## Intelligence Relating to Han Dong and Communication with People's Republic of China Officials Regarding the "Two Michaels"

This document is an unclassified summary of intelligence held by Security and Intelligence departments and agencies. It has primarily been created by the Canadian Security Intelligence Service, with input and agreement from the Communications Security Establishment, Global Affairs Canada, Privy Council Office, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police and Public Safety Canada. It responds to a specific request by the Commission for the Public Inquiry into Foreign Interference in Federal Electoral Processes and Democratic Institutions, for an unclassified summary of information regarding this particular topic and should not be used as the basis of understanding for any other topic. This document is based on intelligence collected and assessed over a period of time and does not necessarily reflect the Government of Canada's full understanding of the topic at any specific point in time. The underlying intelligence has been provided to the Commission. By employing summaries and redactions, this document sanitizes intelligence in a manner that removes the potential injury to national security and international relations, does not disclose sensitive activities, techniques, methods, and sources of intelligence that would cause potential injury, a nd abides by relevant Canadian legislation. It does not provide all of the caveats and limitations contained in the original classified documents or provide an assessment of the reliability or credibility of any specific piece of intelligence, as it could disclose information that would cause injury.

This is a summary of some intelligence information that is available to the Government of Canada's national security and intelligence community on a certain topic, presented such that it can be released to the public without disclosing information that would cause injury to national security and international relations. As such it has several important limitations. The summary must be read in light of these limitations, otherwise the summary has the potential to mislead the reader. The limitations are the following:

- Summary may be incomplete: The summary summarizes some, but not necessarily all, the intelligence information on
  this topic that is available to the Government of Canada's national security and intelligence community. For example,
  it only contains relevant information that can be appropriately sanitized for public release.
- Summary does not indicate time of collection: This summary does not indicate, unless expressly stated, when the
  summarized information was collected or obtained. This information was collected and analyzed over a period of time,
  which may/may not have been available to decision makers across the Government of Canada during the writ-period.
  As such, for example, it should not be assumed that the information was collected close in time to the events being
  described.
- **Summary may contain information that is single-sourced**: The summary does not indicate whether the information it relates comes from a single source, or from multiple sources.
- Summary may contain information of unknown and varying degrees of reliability or information that may have been provided to influence as much as inform.
- Summary does not indicate the source of information: The summary may present information from different types of
  sources without identifying the type of source (i.e. open source, human sources, technical intercepts, etc.). Nor, does it
  indicate whether it was translated from another language than the language in which it is presented.
- Summary does not indicate corroboration or lack of corroboration: The summary does not indicate whether other
  information exists that may corroborate the summarized information, or, alternatively, whether there is no such
  corroborating information.
- Summary does not analyze information: This document is a summary of intelligence; it is not an analysis of the
  overall import, meaning, or strength of intelligence.

The Commission has been provided with all relevant intelligence and assessments, which indicates information on reliability and corroboration of the information contained therein.

## **SUMMARY**

Intelligence reporting indicates that:

- In early 2021, Han Dong (henceforth Dong), MP for Don Valley North, expressed views in private
  on a range of topics, including the state of the PRC-Canada relationship. Dong made it clear he
  was not speaking on behalf of the Government of Canada but sharing his personal views on the
  matter.
- 2. MP Dong's comments focussed primarily on the House of Commons' Uyghur Genocide in Xinjiang motion. The "Two Michaels" (Michael Kovrig and Michael Spavor) were also raised in the broader context of Sino-Canada relations.
- 3. On the "Two Michaels", MP Dong emphasized that the Canadian public believed that the PRC's approach to the Two Michaels was wrong and lacking legal justification. Canadians believed that Canada was merely fulfilling its legal obligation in relation to Meng Wanzhou, Chief Financial Officer for Huawei.
- 4. More precisely, MP Dong's reference to the detention of the "Two Michaels" came in the context of MP Dong noting the difficulty of getting people to change perspectives once particular positions solidified. MP Dong expressed the view that even if the PRC released the "Two Michaels" at that moment, opposition parties would view the PRC's action as an affirmation of the effectiveness of a hardline Canadian approach to the PRC.
- 5. MP Dong stressed that any transparency provided by the PRC in relation to the "Two Michaels", such as a court hearing or a court date, would help to placate Canadian public opinionand provide some valuable talking points to his own political party against the opposition.
- 6. MP Dong also noted that a Canadian hardline approach to the PRC would be detrimental to Sino-Canada relations.