

### THREAT ACTORS

While hostile activities by state actors (HASA) can originate from anywhere in the world and threat actors may change over time, the **People’s Republic of China (PRC), Russia and India** are currently the main threat actors targeting Canada and Canadian interests. Iran, [redacted] and Pakistan are also known to engage these activities, including attempting to silence dissidents in Canada and harassing Canadians abroad.

	The <b>PRC</b> is currently the most active perpetrator of HASA in Canada, due to the scope of its activities and the level of resources it expends. The party-state targets all levels of government and civil society (e.g., communities, media entities, academia) to further its interests and protect/enhance its legitimacy by influencing political decision-making, pursuing unfair advantages in trade, business and research, suppressing criticism and dissent, facilitating espionage, and inappropriately influencing Canadian communities.
	<b>Russia</b> engages in malicious cyber activity, the theft of classified information, and influence operations to manipulate decision-makers and influence public opinion. Russia’s threat activity targeting Canada has continued following the invasion of Ukraine, owing to the large Ukrainian-Canadian population, Canada’s position and support of Ukraine, and its criticism of Russia.
	<b>India</b> engages in HASA in Canada to influence Canadian politicians to take a pro-India stance and counter perceived threats to its stability. Indian [redacted] officials are actively engaged in clandestine activities in Canada; they monitor Indo-Canadians of interest and push a pro-India, pro-Hindutva agenda. India also engages in monitoring dissidents supportive of the Khalistani separatist movement to discredit the movement in Canada, including politicians that are perceived to support it.

### THREAT LANDSCAPE

PRIORITY SECTORS	EXAMPLES OF THREAT ACTIVITY OBSERVED	TOOLS IN PLACE	GAPS
<b>1. DEMOCRATIC PROCESSES AND INSTITUTIONS</b>  <i>Foreign states interfere with our democratic institutions (including elections systems and processes) to promote their interests,</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Investigations (<i>CSIS Act, Criminal Code, Security of Information Act</i>)</li> <li>✓ Foreign Intelligence Collection (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act, CSE Act</i>)</li> <li>✓ CSE assistance to federal and non-federal partners</li> <li>✓ CSIS investigates, supports and advises federal and non-federal partners</li> </ul>	<b>Legislative:</b> Rapidly evolving technology and techniques mean that Canadian laws (in particular, the <i>CSIS Act, Criminal Code, Security of Information Act</i> ) must be updated to cope with the evolving threat, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✗ Gap in foreign intelligence authorities (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>Gap in sharing information and advice with non-federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> </ul>

PRIORITY SECTORS	EXAMPLES OF THREAT ACTIVITY OBSERVED	TOOLS IN PLACE	GAPS
<p><i>counter the influence of another country, or directly undermine Canada's national interests.</i></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Cyber investigations for threats targeting the Government of Canada (GoC) or systems of importance to the GoC</li> <li>✓ Critical infrastructure threat assessments</li> <li>✓ Publicly available telephone and online mechanisms to report suspected threats to national security</li> <li>✓ Domestic and foreign cooperation (s. 17 of the <i>CSIS Act</i>, RCMP collaboration with domestic and international law enforcement agencies)</li> <li>✓ Threat reduction measures (CSIS) against threats to the security of Canada</li> <li>✓ CSE foreign cyber defence operations</li> <li>✓ <i>Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (IRPA)</i> provides ground for inadmissibility for national security reasons and the <i>Citizenship Act</i> contains prohibitions related to national security</li> <li>✓ Public attribution of malicious cyber activity to a state actor of their proxy</li> <li>✓ <i>Canada Elections Act (CEA)</i>, and related investigations conducted by the Office of the Commissioner of Canada Elections with RCMP support</li> <li>✓ Canada's Plan to Protect Democracy (e.g., Security and Intelligence Threats to Elections Task Force)</li> <li>✓ International cooperation and coordinated messaging with like-minded partners</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✗ Gap in providing technical and operational assistance to federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Criminal Code</i> offences (e.g., lack of aggravating factors for sentencing)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Security of Information Act</i> offences (e.g., commission of an indictable offence for a foreign entity, general foreign interference offence, foreign influenced intimidation, covert interference with a democratic right or duty)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Security of Information Act</i> provisions (e.g., preparatory acts, leakage of sensitive government information)</li> <li>✗ Limitations of the <i>Investment Canada Act</i> (e.g., Measures taken under the act must be tied to a specific investment, which may not address all national security threats identified during an investigation; information about residual risks cannot be shared outside the ICA community)</li> </ul> <p><b>Information sharing:</b> intelligence to evidence problems cause challenges for criminal proceedings. Challenges in sharing information and advice among federal partners due to clearances, knowledge and awareness, need-to-know limitations.</p> <p><b>Resources:</b> the workload of the S&amp;I community has increased exponentially but resources have not been commensurate with rise in threat activity.</p> <p><b>Reporting:</b> Members of the public have noted that they seldom receive responses after reporting instances of HASA. Reporting systems are</p>

PRIORITY SECTORS	EXAMPLES OF THREAT ACTIVITY OBSERVED	TOOLS IN PLACE	GAPS
			decentralized, agency-specific, and may not be available in preferred language.
<p><b>2. COMMUNITIES</b></p> <p><i>Canadians and Canadian communities are also directly targeted through harassment, intimidation and disinformation. These activities erode confidence in government authorities and undermine social cohesion.</i></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Investigations (<i>CSIS Act, Criminal Code</i>)</li> <li>✓ Foreign Intelligence Collection (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act, CSE Act</i>)</li> <li>✓ Publicly available telephone and online mechanisms to report suspected threats to national security</li> <li>✓ Domestic and foreign cooperation (s. 17 of the <i>CSIS Act, RCMP</i> collaboration with domestic and international law enforcement agencies)</li> <li>✓ Threat reduction measures (CSIS) against threats to the security of Canada, when appropriate</li> <li>✓ CSE foreign cyber defence operations</li> <li>✓ <i>IRPA</i> provides ground for inadmissibility for national security reasons; <i>Citizenship Act</i> contains prohibitions related to national security</li> <li>✓ Engagement with civil society organizations and at-risk communities</li> </ul>	<p><b>Legislative:</b> Rapidly evolving technology and techniques mean that Canadian laws (in particular, the <i>CSIS Act, Criminal Code, Security of Information Act</i>) must be updated to cope with the evolving threat, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✗ Gap in foreign intelligence authorities (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in sharing information and advice with non-federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in providing technical and operational assistance to federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Criminal Code</i> offences (e.g., lack of aggravating factors for sentencing)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Security of Information Act</i> offences (e.g., commission of an indictable offence for a foreign entity, general foreign interference offence, foreign influenced intimidation)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Security of Information Act</i> provisions (e.g., preparatory acts)</li> </ul> <p><b>Information sharing:</b> intelligence to evidence problems cause challenges for criminal proceedings. Challenges in sharing information and advice among federal partners due to</p>

PRIORITY SECTORS	EXAMPLES OF THREAT ACTIVITY OBSERVED	TOOLS IN PLACE	GAPS
			<p>clearances, knowledge and awareness, need-to-know limitations.</p> <p><b>Jurisdiction:</b> Transnational repression activities often involve leveraging loved ones abroad, which is difficult for Canadian law enforcement to prevent/disrupt.</p> <p><b>Resources:</b> the workload of the S&amp;I community has increased exponentially but resources have not been commensurate with rise in threat activity.</p> <p><b>Reporting:</b> Members of the public have noted that they seldom receive responses after reporting instances of HASA. Reporting systems are decentralized, agency-specific, and may not be available in preferred language.</p> <p><b>Engagement:</b> outreach efforts are inconsistent, delivered in a piecemeal fashion by individual department/agencies</p>
<p><b>3. ECONOMIC PROSPERITY AND RESEARCH SECURITY</b></p> <p><i>Foreign states use or direct investments in certain sectors for strategic goals beyond economic prosperity. Foreign states target Canadian-made research and innovation to support their economic,</i></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Investigations (<i>CSIS Act, Criminal Code</i>)</li> <li>✓ Foreign Intelligence Collection (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act, CSE Act</i>)</li> <li>✓ Cyber investigations for threats targeting the GoC or systems of importance to the GoC</li> <li>✓ Publicly available telephone and online mechanisms to report suspected threats to national security</li> <li>✓ Domestic and foreign cooperation (s. 17 of the <i>CSIS Act, RCMP</i> collaboration with domestic and international law enforcement agencies)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Legislative:</b> Rapidly evolving technology and techniques mean that Canadian laws (in particular, the <i>CSIS Act, Criminal Code, Security of Information Act</i>) must be updated to cope with the evolving threat, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✗ Gap in foreign intelligence authorities (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in sharing information and advice with non-federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in providing technical and operational assistance to federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Review definition of “threats to the security of Canada” (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> </ul>

PRIORITY SECTORS	EXAMPLES OF THREAT ACTIVITY OBSERVED	TOOLS IN PLACE	GAPS
<i>military or strategic objectives.</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Threat reduction measures (CSIS) against threats to the security of Canada, when appropriate</li> <li>✓ CSE foreign cyber defence operations</li> <li>✓ The <i>Investment Canada Act (ICA)</i> National Security Provisions provide for the review of foreign investments of any size</li> <li>✓ <i>IRPA</i> provides ground for inadmissibility for national security reasons; <i>Citizenship Act</i> contains prohibitions related to national security</li> <li>✓ <i>Export and Import Permits Act</i> controls</li> <li>✓ <i>National Security Guidelines for Research Partnerships</i></li> <li>✓ Engagement with at-risk sectors (e.g., <i>Safeguarding Science initiative</i>)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Security of Information Act</i> offences (e.g., commission of an indictable offence for a foreign entity)</li> <li>✗ Gaps in <i>Investment Canada Act</i> compliance and monitoring capabilities</li> <li>✗ Limitations in ICA regarding the types of investments that can be reviewed. (e.g., joint ventures and loan agreements cannot be reviewed)</li> </ul> <p><b>Information sharing:</b> intelligence to evidence problems cause challenges for criminal proceedings. Challenges in sharing information and advice among federal partners due to clearances, knowledge and awareness, need-to-know limitations. National security information is also not always incorporated into economic security regulatory decision-making. There are also gaps in the <i>Guidelines for Research Partnerships</i>.</p> <p><b>Resources:</b> the workload of the S&amp;I community has increased exponentially but resources have not been commensurate with rise in threat activity.</p> <p><b>Reporting:</b> Under-reporting of suspicious, threat, or criminal incidents targeting economic prosperity and research security. Reluctance of some organizations to report incidents and share information with government/law enforcement.</p> <p><b>Engagement:</b> outreach efforts are inconsistent, delivered in a piecemeal fashion by individual department/agencies.</p>

PRIORITY SECTORS	EXAMPLES OF THREAT ACTIVITY OBSERVED	TOOLS IN PLACE	GAPS
<p><b>4. INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS AND DEFENCE</b></p> <p><i>Foreign intelligence services target Canadian diplomatic and military missions abroad through a variety of means to obtain sensitive information, undermine the legitimacy of Canada's presence, or to deny Canada its foreign and defence policy goals.</i></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Investigations (<i>CSIS Act, Criminal Code, Security of Information Act</i>)</li> <li>✓ Foreign Intelligence Collection (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act, CSE Act</i>)</li> <li>✓ Defence intelligence prepared by DND/CAF</li> <li>✓ CAF and allied (North American Aerospace Defense Command) capabilities</li> <li>✓ CSE assistance to federal and non-federal partners</li> <li>✓ CSIS investigates, supports and advises federal and non-federal partners</li> <li>✓ Cyber investigations for threats targeting the GoC or systems of importance to the GoC</li> <li>✓ Domestic and foreign cooperation (s. 17 of the <i>CSIS Act, RCMP</i> collaboration with domestic and international law enforcement agencies)</li> <li>✓ Threat reduction measures (<i>CSIS</i>) against threats to the security of Canada, when appropriate</li> <li>✓ CSE foreign cyber defence operations</li> <li>✓ <i>IRPA</i> provides ground for inadmissibility for national security reasons; <i>Citizenship Act</i> contains prohibitions related to national security</li> <li>✓ Diplomatic levers, including bilateral and multilateral relations, international trade, consular support, development, and peace and security assistance</li> </ul>	<p><b>Legislative:</b> Rapidly evolving technology and techniques mean that Canadian laws (in particular, the <i>CSIS Act, Criminal Code, Security of Information Act</i>) must be updated to cope with the evolving threat, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✗ Gap in foreign intelligence authorities (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in sharing information and advice with non-federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in providing technical and operational assistance to federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Review definition of "threats to the security of Canada" (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gaps in the <i>Defence Act</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Information sharing:</b> intelligence to evidence problems cause challenges for criminal proceedings. Challenges in sharing information and advice among federal partners due to clearances, knowledge and awareness, need-to-know limitations.</p> <p><b>Resources:</b> the workload of the S&amp;I community has increased exponentially but resources have not been commensurate with rise in threat activity.</p>

PRIORITY SECTORS	EXAMPLES OF THREAT ACTIVITY OBSERVED	TOOLS IN PLACE	GAPS
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Imposition of sanctions under the <i>Special Economic Measures Act</i> and the <i>Justice for Victims of Corrupt Foreign Officials Act</i>.</li> <li>✓ Intelligence diplomacy</li> </ul>	
<p><b>5. CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE</b></p> <p><i>Foreign threat actors target Canada's critical infrastructure systems to undermine Canadians' confidence in their government, disrupt the economy, access to vital services and weaken Canada's military defensive posture.</i></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Investigations (<i>CSIS Act</i>, <i>Criminal Code</i>, <i>Security of Information Act</i>)</li> <li>✓ Foreign Intelligence Collection (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act</i>, <i>CSE Act</i>)</li> <li>✓ CSE assistance to federal and non-federal partners</li> <li>✓ CSIS investigates, supports and advises federal and non-federal partners</li> <li>✓ Cyber investigations for threats targeting the GoC or systems of importance to the GoC</li> <li>✓ Critical infrastructure threat assessments</li> <li>✓ Publicly available telephone and online mechanisms to report suspected threats to national security</li> <li>✓ Outreach and engagement with CI owners/operators (RCMP)</li> <li>✓ Domestic and foreign cooperation (s. 17 of the <i>CSIS Act</i>, RCMP collaboration with domestic and international law enforcement agencies)</li> <li>✓ Threat reduction measures (CSIS) against threats to the security of Canada, when appropriate</li> <li>✓ CSE foreign cyber defence operations</li> </ul>	<p><b>Legislative:</b> Rapidly evolving technology and techniques mean that Canadian laws (in particular, the <i>CSIS Act</i>, <i>Criminal Code</i>, <i>Security of Information Act</i>) must be updated to cope with the evolving threat, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✗ Gap in foreign intelligence authorities (s. 16 of the <i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in sharing information and advice with non-federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in providing technical and operational assistance to federal partners (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Increase the efficiency of the collection and use of data (<i>CSIS Act</i>)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Criminal Code</i> offences (e.g., treason, sabotage)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Security of Information Act</i> offences (e.g., commission of an indictable offence for a foreign entity, general foreign interference offence, foreign influenced intimidation, covert interference with a democratic right or duty)</li> <li>✗ Gap in <i>Security of Information Act</i> provisions (e.g., preparatory acts, leakage of sensitive government information)</li> <li>✗ Limitations of the <i>Investment Canada Act</i> (e.g., Measures taken under the act must</li> </ul>

PRIORITY SECTORS	EXAMPLES OF THREAT ACTIVITY OBSERVED	TOOLS IN PLACE	GAPS
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <i>ICA</i> National Security Provisions</li> <li>✓ <i>IRPA</i> provides ground for inadmissibility for national security reasons; <i>Citizenship Act</i> contains prohibitions related to national security</li> </ul>	<p>be tied to a specific investment, which may not address all national security threats identified during an investigation; information about residual risks cannot be shared outside the ICA community. Certain types of investments such as joint ventures and loan agreements cannot be reviewed.</p> <p><b>Information sharing:</b> intelligence to evidence problems cause challenges for criminal proceedings. Challenges in sharing information and advice among federal partners.</p> <p><b>Resources:</b> the workload of the S&amp;I community has increased exponentially but resources have not been commensurate with rise in threat activity.</p> <p><b>Reporting:</b> Under-reporting of suspicious, threat, or criminal incidents due to reputational risks. Reluctance of some organizations to report incidents and share information with government/law enforcement.</p> <p><b>Engagement:</b> outreach efforts are inconsistent, delivered in a piecemeal fashion by individual department/agencies.</p>