

## Speaker 1 (0:00 – 9:20)

各位聽眾，你好，我係梁燕城，同大家講下世界大事啦！今日喺《星島日報》個報道呢，就講到呢，呢個係保守黨內部，有啲人呢，就話呢，最近呢啊、啊同呢啲嘅，加拿大嘅情報局呀、安全局呀等等，呢下係有啲簡報，咁佢哋話呢呀係保守黨裏面認為有十三個選區係發生啲事呀，咁佢話大多數選區嘅保守黨候選人都有競爭力嘅，但係呢，佢外懷疑呢啲啲潛在嘅郵寄選票有欺詐，我哋聽過有疑似外國政府畀錢一啲人進行嘅特定選舉工作呀，或者我哋會聽過非法廣告嘅問題呀，甚至話啲啲信上發表消息可能好快被刪除呢等等。咁呀，保守黨呢，其實就係到將佢自己嘅失敗了，就呢嘗試一下，係視為係呢個吓外國勢力嘅干預。咁當然佢講啲十三個選區呢啲都係啲華人較多選區，例如Richmond Centre, Richmond East Vancouver, 大溫區，咁仲有多倫多區嘅，例如，Markham啊，Mississauga 啊，Richmond Hill啊，呢啲等等 Scarborough。咁呢華人多嘅區，你又懷疑係有外國干預嘅？

咁通常呢，我話如果受過大一嘅思想訓練嘅人都會知道，如果你有可能性嘅嘢可以，邏輯上可能係樣樣，只要唔違背邏輯都可能。咁樣呢個不能建立任何嘅事實，當你想作一個事實嘅評斷嘅時，你唔可以用可能性嚟到講，你一定要有客觀嘅事實嘅證據、確鑿嘅證據，你先可以確定一個事實係乜嘢嘅，否則你之後可能性講呢，又就將可能性當成一個事實呢？依種係叫無意義嘅，完全係 nonsense 嘅語句嚟嘅。

咁呢，其實呢，當一個選舉贏同輸呢關鍵在乎選民，而加拿大嘅最基本嘅精神，就係認為選民係有權作佢嘅決定，而選民係你要相信佢係獨立思考嘅。你唔可以話我依家我輸咗了，如果真係俾啲外國勢力影響咁樣。咁嘛，嗰，呢啲講嘢方法呢？我諗起緬甸政府，緬甸政府呢，當好多人出來係反對佢政變嘅時，佢就為外國幕後煽動嘅，甚至可以出動軍警開槍鎮壓，啫係咁。咁緬甸呢個軍事嘅獨裁政府就係，一有唔同意自己嘅聲音，就話係外國幕後面安排嘅咁，呢啲係一種頭腦簡單嘅思維方式。咁呢，但加拿大呢啲政客，你自己輸咗，你就要諗一諗即系話點解下選民唔選你？唔係話「哦，啲選民因為蠢啫畀外國影響啫」咁，呢啲係完全呢，係唔尊重選民嘅思維方式嚟嘅嘛。

呢次嘅報道裡未有再次提到趙錦榮囉，咁趙錦榮呢，話保守黨啲啲選區呢失敗當然有好多種原因嘅，不過比較麻煩呢，係點樣讓未來嘅選舉唔受外國勢力嘅影響呢咁呢？咁呢個好明顯呢，係佢又想賴佢自己輸係外國勢力嘅影響。咁其實呢趙錦榮點解輸呢，就要應該佢自己去檢討自己失敗嘅邊，同好多華人都唔知幾支持佢嘅[illegible]。咁呢趙錦榮呢個曾經亦係報刊上呢下就咁講過啦下，呢句佢話呢，係啲啲反對佢嘅人呢，係同情中共嘅人，但呢啲咁樣將人戴帽嘅做法呢，係唔合理嘅。你輸咗你未「啊，因為啲啲人呢，就同情中共」所以唔選你。第一呢，係咪同情中國呀嘅人呢，係人有權同情唔同情，呢個係人權嘅架嘛。咁第二，唔選你，唔係因為一定因為佢同情或者唔同情中國，或者同情中國，最關鍵就係你去檢討你做錯嘅乜嘢，咁多華人出來選你支持你，你先贏嘅最先。但收尾你話你唔需要華人嘅，我哋為加拿大價值嘅啫，咁同埋呢啲又搞C-282法案呢，令到好多嘅少數族裔，唔單止華人呀，都會擔心。係因為呢，如果你C-282係有一種嘅強迫一啲嘅同系我係外國嘅移民背景嘅人吓，或者係對族裔唔係白人嘅人。咁呢，如果佢同佢來源嘅國家有乜嘢嘅交往，涉及政府嘅需要報告，下呢樣野呢，就係政府干預人嘅[illegible]。加拿大政府要干預人民，如果佢返去自己來源嘅地區或者國家去做啲啲乜嘢嘢，要向你報告嘅話，呢種咁法案呢，令人覺得係政府干預個人嘅私隱呀，係一個好嚴重嘅問題。去干預呢少數族裔思想嘅言論自由呀！將少數族裔呢，係放喺國家權力監視之下，因為如果你唔向政府交代呢、唔報告呢，你可能要坐2年監，或者罰呢20萬或者呢啲等等。係令人呢，係當然覺得你無端端整啲監控普通人民嘅法案出來，人梗係唔選你啦。

咁呀，其實呢係[illegible]呀，係2021年7月12號呢，都有篇文章，係印度人寫嘅，叫做Chris Teguma。佢就批評過C-282法案呢，係將一啲本來好少數好窄嘅範圍，可能特務會關注嘅啲啲咁嘅人呢，就變成呢係，就係讓普通嘅所有人都會被政府嚟監視，好似豺狼地被監視呀，係豺狼一樣監視緊呀，咁同埋呢，會打開咗辱罵、欺凌少數族裔嘅可能性。所以你睇呢個法案係令你輸嘅最基本原因，咁你要檢討下，其實呢，如果你話係加拿大選舉有中共干預呢，咁我就唔知相反呢個問題，有右邊個中共係好支持嘅人，係選舉贏咗嘅呢？那我哋加拿大咁多年呀，咁呀，到近十年都會發覺係有一啲嘅較為親中背景嘅人出來競選嘅，無論係選呢個聯邦嘅，或者係選省嘅或者選市嘅，都有。比較親中嘅人說出來選，我都未見過，有啲咁樣嘅人係贏過嘅，你話中國影響咁大嘅，咁啲啲梗贏啦，係咩？咁咁而家你輸，你話因為中國反對係，咁大哥，又唔見支持中國啲啲人贏？咁其實呢啲呢，就用理性諗下都會明，真正呢係保守黨需要檢討嘅。

其實我最近都遇到一啲係好好嘅朋友啦，或者幾十年前認識，但係好耐冇見佢，因為聽咗華僑之聲，又會搵返我傾計，即係咁樣嘅人啦，佢大家三代一致地，原本係支持保守黨嘅，華人，後來都唔支持保守黨，咁點解保守黨失去咗華人嘅支持呢？保守黨需要一個深切嘅檢討，而呢啲講[illegible]保黨嘅人呢，當然支

持保守黨好多嘅政策但係呢，佢哋對保守黨嘅黨領導O'Toole對中國嘅批評呢，係非常反感，因為呢啲人都去過中國，而且呢，有有聯絡呀，做生意呀，起中國裏面生活過呀，佢哋見到嘅真實中國同佢哋嘅呀O'Toole政客所批評中嘅完全不一致，咁O'Toole有冇去過中國？有冇做過對中國研究？有冇真係深入了解過中國今日嘅體制同生活方式，中國文化？一定係無呢！你即係聽一啲嘅人嘅講法，一啲嘅報告嘅一啲嘅講法，咁個講法係咪有偏見呢？咁當然好多人而家唔選保守黨，因為覺得保守黨對中國有一種係錯誤嘅偏見同錯誤嘅觀念，咁唔選你呢係因為你如果對中國採取一個錯誤觀點，你對加拿大，你會唔會有正確觀？對世界大局有正確觀點咩？

其實我哋呢班人大家都好痛苦啊，因為佢哋都唔鍾意自由黨，亦唔鍾意保守，啊亦唔鍾意呢個新民主黨。佢哋係想選保守黨，年年都係選保守黨嘅，包括我自己都係。咁但係呢，即係佢好痛苦㗎，今屆係Richmond嗰啲被迫要選印度人。咁係因為如果係好似趙錦榮呢啲贏個話，佢可能對中國人會產生咩嘢後果，大家都擔心。即係華人喺海外呢，好容易變成被歧視嘅對象，咁所以大家唔敢再選保守黨，拉呢個保守黨需要深切反省。你對中國提批評嗰時係根據同特朗普同一個觀點，嗰種意識形態，唔係基於真實、客觀、有證據嘅觀念，例如對新疆嘅講法，趙錦榮當時都講到去新疆嘅話，我都就成日問你客觀證據係邊度，你確鑿證據係邊度？如果你擺唔出足夠證據，你就當係真嘅話，咁大家咪對你有意見囉。

咁其實對中國需要有一個客觀公正嘅了解同批評，需要冇專家嘅研究，需要深入嘅細去探索，而唔係呢跟住美國走嘅嗰種嘅意識形態，所以最關鍵就係話外國嘅影響係咪只係中國呢？因為我哋又受緊美國嘅影響，或者美國一啲觀點，變成我哋都不假思索嘅接受呢？當我哋一路以來同美國好老友吓，就係加拿大自由黨都係呀，咁啊，甚至開埋戰艦去一個嘅外、台灣海峽，都好似要干預台灣海峽局勢。加拿大人又唔係帝國主義國家，點會做咁嘅嘢？哦都係係，無必要，你為捱義氣，幫美國一齊去做個樣啊，五眼聯盟一起合作，再跟着跟美國一樣，嗰啲電動汽車，佢即刻佢會美國政府去資助，搞到我哋呢係好難呢，即係同佢去競爭，仲有我哋嘅油管去美國，美國都唔畀，咁所以呢個究竟我哋係咪時常聽晒美國講法？抑或我哋加拿大都要有獨立思考，我哋呢個政府，包括將來想係政黨上，上場成為一個有領導性嘅、人人服膺嘅政府，需要冇客觀確實嘅研究，就有獨立思考，唔係淨係跟着其他國家走呀。

### **Advertisement (9:30 – 9:49)**

又係時候搵Otic嘅Andy整番靚個髮型啦。我都要呀！Otic已經搬咗去West King Edward夾Oak街。嗰度環境優美、高雅大方，交通方便，泊車位又多。Andy仲憑着佢豐富嘅經驗，對潮流嘅觸覺，為我哋提供寶貴嘅意見嘞，咁我哋就馬上打電話畀Otic約定

**Speaker 1 (0:00 – 9:20)**

Hello dear listeners, this is Thomas Leung. Let's talk about news around the world. There is an article from today's *Sing Tao Daily* reported that someone from the Conservative Party is working with Canadian Security Intelligence Service, hmm, how to say it. So basically, the Conservative is suspecting there were faulty mail-in ballots from 13 electoral districts, despite there were many strong Conservative candidates. The Tories further claimed there were humors that a foreign governmental body bribed voters to change their votes, or there was suspicious illegal campaign, and even messages on WeChat were instantly deleted. All in all, the Tories is trying to blame their lost (in the election) to the foreign interference. Not surprisingly, the 13 electoral districts the Tories referred to all consist of with a large Chinese descent population, such as Richmond Centre, Richmond East Vancouver, the Greater Vancouver area, as well as those in Toronto, for instance Markham, Mississauga, Richmond Hill and Scarborough etc. So, you will suspect there are foreign interference as long as there are many Chinese residents in the area?

I usually same that whoever has taken critical thinking 101 course would understand that everything is possible as far as logic allows, provided the logic makes sense and you cannot make up any fact. When you want to make a factual judgement, you must have objective facts and solid evidence, instead of basing it on probability. This is how you can tell if it's a fact. Otherwise, making up facts based on possible (incidents) is groundless, a complete nonsense.

As a matter of fact, voters are the key whether a party can win in an election, and the underlying principle is that the votes have the right to decide for themselves and you have to believe that the voters are independent thinkers. You can't say something like "Oh, I lost. It must be because of the foreign influence". This reminds me of the Myanmar, the Burmese government. When lots of people stood up to oppose the coup, the government asserted that it was instigated by the foreign influence behind the scenes. They even went as far as dispatching the military to suppress with open fire. The way the military dictated Burmese government works is to claim there's foreign influence whenever there is opposition. This is just naïve, simple-minded way of thinking. Now back to certain Canadian politicians who lost (in the election), my advise is that you need to reflect to see why the voters didn't cast their votes to you, instead of "Oh stupid voters affected by the foreign influence". This is totally a disgrace and disrespect to the voters.

Kenny Chiu was not mentioned in the report – for once this time – though he did say that there were many reasons why the Conservative Party lost in these electoral districts, and it would be challenging to ensure there won't be any foreign influence in future elections. Isn't it obvious that he's blaming the foreign influence for his lost too? So why did he lose? He should have a postmodern review to find out why he was defeated even though many Chinese descents were by his side [illegible]. Chiu once said in reports that whoever disagrees with him is on the Chinese Communist Party's side. Hey, labeling people is not reasonable. You can't just say "Alas, it's because of their commiseration to the Chinese Communist (Party), so they didn't vote for me." First thing first, people have their right to sympathize or not, it's just human right. Secondly, they didn't vote for you is not necessarily because of their commiseration to China. The key is you need to reflect what you have done wrong and what mistakes you had made. There were many Chinese Canadians supporting you and you were leading at the beginning. But later on, you said you don't need Chinese Canadians (support) and you are running for the Canadian values, and you even introduced the Bill C-282 which makes not only Chinese descents but also many ethnic minorities worried. This is because the Bill C-282 is a compulsive act to watch immigrants like me or non-Caucasians. If they were in contact with anything or anyone from their country of origin that involving government, they need to report it. For this kind of purpose, the government is interfering [illegible] of the people, the Canadian government wants an intervention on the people. If they have to report (to the government) what you have done whenever they go to their countries of origins, they will certainly think this is an interference with privacy – a serious issue. Such Act is an invasion of the freedom of speech in our ethnic minorities and put the ethnic minorities under the national security watch. If they don't disclose or report to the government, you are facing up to 2 years in prison or maximum \$200,000 penalty. And this makes people feel like you introduce the bill/act to watch common people for no grounded reason. It's not a surprise no one would vote for you.

Huh on July 12, 2021, there was an article on [illegible] writing by an Indian named Chris Teguma who also criticized the Bill C-282. He said that the proposition of the Bill will widely extend and escalate the level of supervision towards ordinary people in the general public as if they are social hazard, or like a jackal or evil person, in which usually, such acts would only be deployed down to the level of monitoring very limited groups of individuals, such as spies, or secret service agents. Additionally, the Bill would also increase the potential abuse, revilement and bullying toward the ethnic minorities. See? This Bill is exactly why you lost, and you gotta review (to see what has gone wrong). If you said there were Chinese Communist (Party) influence in the Canadian federal election, then may I ask which pro-CCP candidate won in the election? I have been living in Canada for ages, huh, and did notice that in the past 10 years or so there have been some candidates with pro-China background in federal, provincial, and municipal elections. However, I don't recall any pro-China candidate won. If you said there's Chinese influence involved in elections, shouldn't these candidates win then? Now you said you lost because of the Chinese (government) disagreed with you, but dude, how come those pro-China candidates didn't get their seats? Well indeed, you would understand if you had a rational mindset. What the Conservative really needs is a self assessment.

Recently I reconnected with some friends whom I have known for decades but somehow lost connections. But we got in contact again after they listened to my show at AM1320 CHMB. People like this, all three generations of the family, used to be firmed supporters of the Conservative... Chinese Canadians stopped supporting the Conservative later one. But why did the Tories lose the Chinese Canadians' support? The Conservative needs to conduct an in-depth self-assessment. So, these people about [illegible] Conservative, they are in favour of many policies from the Conservative but was turned away by the Conservative Party leader O'Toole's criticism against China. These people have all been to China and/or have connections, whether to do business or live in China. Their first-hand experience is a complete opposite of what certain politicians such as O'Toole has criticized China for. Has O'Toole been to China? Has he done any research on China? Has he ever even tried to understand the current Chinese system and lifestyle of the modern China and Chinese culture? Definitely not! All he did was accepting claims from certain people and reports as is. But what if the claims were biased? The incorrect biased assumption by the Tories is why many don't vote for them anymore. Not voting for the Conservative because if they adopt a wrong perspective (that's not fact-checked) toward China, what's the chance they would do so domestically, and globally?

It's actually a painful decision to make, as they don't like the Liberals, nor the Conservatives, I meant the New Democrats. They, including myself, wanted to vote for the Conservative and have been doing so election after election. It's getting tougher to make a decision now. In Richmond, they were concerned that there would be unbearable consequence if Chiu won, and they may end up casting their votes to an Indian. Everyone is worried. Overseas Chinese could be an easy target when it comes to discrimination, and that's why many of us do not vote for the Tories anymore – something the Conservative should reflect on why. The Conservative criticizes China the same as Donald Trump, who based on the perspective, the ideology that are not true, objective and with evidence. When Chiu brought up the Xinjiang topic last time, as usual I asked for the objective evidence, the solid evidence. If you can't back it up with evidence before saying it as a fact, then people would disagree with you.

In fact, to have an objective and fair understanding about China, it requires experts doing research and in-depth exploration, instead of simply following the footstep and ideology of the United States. So, the most critical point is that you can't just say there's influence from China, as we are influenced by the States too. Or what if we accept some American opinions without thinking? The Conservative has been friends with the US for a long time, same as the Liberals who dispatched our warships to the Taiwan Strait as if they wanted to intervene the tension (between China and Taiwan). Canada is not an imperialist country, why did we do that? It's to show you are an ally, but it's not necessary. Helping the American, cooperating with the Five Eyes, and subsidizing the electric vehicle like the US government did, all making it extremely difficult for us to compete with, but meanwhile, the US never let us build the oil pipelines to the south. So, should we always follow the footstep of our neighbours, or should we the Canadian think it over independently? If the (current) government and the future elected party wants to

be a leader that everyone respects, objective research and independent judgement are needed, instead of following other country.

**Advertisement (9:30 – 9:49)**

It is time to meet Andy of OTIC for a trendy new hair style. Yes, I want to go, too! OTIC has moved to King Edward West at Oak Street with a great and elegant atmosphere. The new location is easily accessible, with lot of parking available. Andy is a highly experienced hairstylist, with his sense of trendy touch on styling, he is chilled to offer his valuable and professional insight. Call OTIC now to book your appointment.