

Public Inquiry Into Foreign Interference in Federal Electoral Processes and Democratic Institutions

Enquête publique sur l'ingérence étrangère dans les processus électoraux et les institutions démocratiques fédéraux

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner / Commissaire The Honourable / L'honorable Marie-Josée Hogue

VOLUME 14

Held at :

Library and Archives Canada Bambrick Room 395 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4 Bibliothèque et Archives Canada Salle Bambrick 395, rue Wellington Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4

Wednesday, April 10, 2024

Le mercredi 10 avril 2024

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. https://www.transcription.tc (800)899-0006 Tenue à:

II Appearances / Comparutions

Commission Lead Counsel / Procureure en chef de la commission

Commission Counsel / Avocat(e)s de la commission

Commission Research Council / Conseil de la recherche de la commission

Commission Senior Policy Advisors / Conseillers principaux en politiques de la commission **Gordon Cameron** Erin Dann Matthew Ferguson Hubert Forget Howard Krongold Hannah Lazare Jean-Philippe MacKay Kate McGrann Lynda Morgan Siobhan Morris Annie-Claude Poirier Gabriel Poliquin Natalia Rodriguez **Guillaume Rondeau Nicolas Saint-Amour Daniel Sheppard** Maia Tsurumi Leila Ghahhary **Emily McBain-Ashfield** Hamza Mohamadhossen Geneviève Cartier

Shantona Chaudhury

Geneviève Cartier Nomi Claire Lazar Lori Turnbull Leah West

Paul Cavalluzzo Danielle Côté

III Appearances / Comparutions

Commission Staff / Personnel de la commission	Annie Desgagné Casper Donovan Michael Tansey
Ukrainian Canadian Congress	Donald Bayne Jon Doody
Government of Canada	Gregory Tzemenakis Barney Brucker
Office of the Commissioner of Canada Elections	Christina Maheux Luc Boucher Nancy Miles
Human Rights Coalition	Hannah Taylor Sarah Teich
Russian Canadian Democratic Alliance	Mark Power Guillaume Sirois
Michael Chan	John Chapman Andy Chan
Han Dong	Mark Polley Emily Young Jeffrey Wang
Michael Chong	Gib van Ert Fraser Harland
Jenny Kwan	Sujit Choudhry Mani Kakkar

IV Appearances / Comparutions

Churchill Society	Malliha Wilson
The Pillar Society	Daniel Stanton
Democracy Watch	Wade Poziomka Nick Papageorge
Canada's NDP	Lucy Watson
Conservative Party of Canada	Nando de Luca
Chinese Canadian Concern Group on The Chinese Communist Party's Human Rights Violations	Neil Chantler
Erin O'Toole	Thomas W. Jarmyn Preston Lim
Senator Yuen Pau Woo	Yuen Pau Woo

V Table of Content / Table des matières

HON. KARINA GOULD, Affirmed/Sous affirmation solennelle	2
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-Chef par Ms. Lynda Morgan	2
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Mani Kakkar	29
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Fraser Harland	35
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Thomas Jarmyn	39
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Nando de Luca	44
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Guillaume Sirois	51
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Jon Doody	55
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	58
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gregory Tzemenakis	61
HON. WILLIAM BLAIR, Sworn/Assermenté	64
Examination-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Gordon Cameron	64
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Guillaume Sirois	79
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	83
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Nando de Luca	89
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Mani Kakkar	93
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Emily Young	97
HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC, Sworn/Assermenté	101
Examination-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Erin Dann	101
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Sujit Choudhry	124
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Thomas Jarmyn	131
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Guillaume Sirois	134
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Nando de Luca	139

VI Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	143
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Me Alain Manseau	150
RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU, Sworn/Assermenté	156
Examination-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Shantona Chaudhury	156
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gib van Ert	209
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Sujit Choudhry	220
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Thomas Jarmyn	228
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Nando de Luca	235
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Mark Polley	243
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Sarah Teich	246
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Prabjot Singh	249
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Jon Doody	253
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Guillaume Sirois	256

VII Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
WIT 62	Minister Karina Gould Public Summary of Classified Interview	2
COM 18	Letter from Prime Minister to Karina Gould	6
CAN 13303	Letter to Miriam Burke from Jody Thomas	11
CAN 15506	Memo for the NSIA to the PM - Elections Security Briefings for the Hon. Dominic LeBlanc	26
MMC 20	CSIS Briefings and Intelligence Products on PRC Foreign Interference in the 2019 and 2021 General Elections	46
CAN 4252	Security Brief for Minister Gould	49
HRC 31	LPC Procedure for the Permanent Appeals Committee, Bylaw 9	58
CAN 4079_R01	CAN004079_R01	59
WIT 64	Public Interview Summary: the Honourable Bill Blair, Minister of National Defence	64
CAN 3326	Letter from Public Safety Minister	72
COM 155	Annual Report 2019	85
WIT 63	In Camera Examination Summary: the Honourable Bill Blair, Minister of Defence	89
MCC 53	Bill Blair took months to approve CSIS surveillance of Liberal powerbroker, national-security source says	91
WIT 65	Public Interview Summary: the Honourable Dominic LeBlanc	101
WIT 52	Public Summary of In Camera Examination: Minister Dominic LeBLanc	102
COM 48	Countering an Evolving Threat: Update on Recommendations to Counter Foreign Interference in Canada's Democratic Institutions	106
CAN.SUM 3	People's Republic of China Officials - Foreign Interference Activities in Greater Vancouver in the 2019 General Election	115

VIII Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
CAN.SUM 10	People's Republic of China Threat Actors, Contact with Candidates and Staff, and Funding of Threat Actors	117
CAN.SUM 4	Possible People's Republic of China Foreign Interference-Related Mis or Disinformation	118
CAN 17676	"Handwritten Notes of B. Clow & Meeting Invitation"	119
CAN 4495	Briefing to the Prime Minister's Office on Foreign Interference Threats to Canada's Democratic Institutions	125
JKW 161	National Terrorism Threat Level	127
COM 346	CTV News – "Process underway for Han Dong's possible return to the Liberal caucus" – June 1, 2023	139
COM 344	CBC – "MP Han Dong says he wants to rejoin Liberal caucus after being 'vindicated' by Johnston's report" – May 24, 2023	142
COM 345	CBC – "Han Dong says he's met with government, is waiting to learn if he can rejoin caucus" – Sep 21, 2023	143
COM 347	Global News – "Trudeau not saying if Han Dong will return to Liberal caucus after testimony" – April 3, 2024	143
CAN.DOC 15	Public Safety (PS) Institutional Report – UNCLASSIFIED	144
CAN 2096	Elections Security Brief for Minister LeBlanc	145
WIT 66	Interview Summary: Rt. Hon. Justin Trudeau (Prime Minister)	157
WIT 67	In Camera Examination Summary: the Right Honourable Justin Trudeau, Prime Minister	157
CAN 19496	People's Republic of China Political Interference in Canada	161
CAN 5461	FI Efforts against Dong Han	171
CAN 3116	SITE TF SITREP: 22 October 2019	176
CAN 15487	Safeguarding the 2019 General Elections	177

VIX Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
CAN.SUM 13	Comments by Individual People's Republic of China Officials on Expressed Partisan Preferences in the 2019 and 2021 General Election	180
CAN 1082	Liberal Party Representatives SITE Briefing	183
CAN 15842	Briefing to the PM on Foreign Interference Threats to Canada's Democratic Institutions	186
CAN 9803	Handwritten Notes of Katie Telford	188
CAN 14285	Foreign Interference	190
CAN 17673	Handwritten Notes of B. Clow	193
CAN 18009	Handwritten Notes of Brian Clow	196
CAN 5461	FI Efforts against Dong Han	209
COM 104	"First Report The Right Honourable David Johnston Independent Special Rapporteur on Foreign Interference"	216
COM 8	Cabinet Directive on the Critical Election Incident Public Protocol	232
CAN.SUM 2	Intelligence Relating to Han Dong and Communication with People's Republic of China Officials Regarding the "Two Michaels"	237
COM 118	First Report The Right Honourable David Johnston Independent Special Rapporteur on Foreign Interference	240

1 Ottawa, Ontario --- Upon commencing on Wednesday, April 10, 2024 at 9:32 a.m. 2 3 --- L'audience débute le mercredi 10 avril 2024 à 9 h 32 THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre, 4 s'il vous plait. 5 6 This sitting of the Foreign Interference Commission is now in session. Commissioner Hogue is 7 8 presiding. 9 Cette séance de la Commission sur l'ingérence étrangère est maintenant en cours. La commissaire Hoque 10 préside. 11 The time is 9:32. Il est 9 h 32. 12 13 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Alors, bonjour. 14 HON. KARINA GOULD: Good morning 15 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So good morning. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Commissioner, it's Lynda 16 Morgan, Commission counsel, and Minister Gould is the first 17 witness today. 18 19 I'd ask that she be sworn or affirmed, 20 please. 21 THE REGISTRAR: Do you prefer to be affirmed 22 or sworn? HON. KARINA GOULD: Affirmed. 23 24 **THE REGISTRAR:** May I please have your full name and state your last name for the record? Just spell it, 25 26 please. HON. KARINA GOULD: Yeah, Karina Gould. G-o-27 u-l-d. 28

--- HON. KARINA GOULD, Affirmed/Sous affirmation solennelle: 1 2 THE REGISTRAR: Thanks very much. Counsel, you may proceed. 3 4 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Thank you. --- EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR 5 6 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Minister Gould, you were 7 interviewed by Commission counsel on March 15th, 2024 in a 8 9 classified space. Is that correct? HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct. 10 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Can I have WIT 62, please? 11 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 62: 12 13 Minister Karina Gould Public Summary 14 of Classified Interview 15 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And this is a copy of the publicly disclosable contents of that interview. Have you 16 17 had an opportunity to review the summary? HON. KARINA GOULD: I have, yes. 18 19 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And do you have any changes to make to the summary? 20 HON. KARINA GOULD: I do. 21 22 Paragraph 13, the second sentence. Shall I read it? 23 24 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Let's go down to paragraph 13 first. It is on page 5. 25 26 HON. KARINA GOULD: Okay. 27 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Yes. HON. KARINA GOULD: So to change it to: 28

"She recalls meeting with 1 2 representatives from many of the 3 agencies that became members of the Security and Intelligence Threats to 4 Elections Task Force (SITE TF), 5 6 including CSE, CSIS and GAC RRM as well 7 as..." 8 And that's the extent of the change. 9 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: As well as right in here, the last bit. 10 HON. KARINA GOULD: No, that's it, "as well 11 as the Department of National Defence". 12 13 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Okay. And PCO as well? 14 Does that remain in there? 15 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yeah, everything else 16 remains the same. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Okay. Thank you. 17 And with that change being made, are you 18 19 prepared to adopt the summary as part of your evidence before 20 the Commission today? HON. KARINA GOULD: 21 I am. 22 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Okay. So I understand that you have held various Cabinet positions since 2018, 23 which include Minister of Democratic Institutions from 24 25 January 10th, 2017 until November 20th, 2019. Is that 26 correct? HON. KARINA GOULD: That's correct. 27 28 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And you are the leader of

GOULD In-Ch(Morgan)

the government in the House of Commons, and you've been in 1 that role since July 26, 2023? 2 3 HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And ---4 HON. KARINA GOULD: I am on maternity leave 5 6 right now. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: --- currently on parental 7 8 leave. 9 So I want to first start by asking you about the development of the plan to protect Canada's democracy. 10 And so I just want to ground this in the context of the 11 events that were occurring at the time. 12 13 So in your witness statement, at paragraph 4, 14 you describe that: 15 "... the motivation for the creation 16 of the Plan [came]...in the context of a series of high profile attempts 17 by Russia to interfere with 18 19 democratic elections around the world, primarily through cyber-20 activities and disinformation 21 22 campaigns..." 23 And you have also described that the plan is created against the backdrop of what's described as the 24 "Obama dilemma", which is the -- effectively the fact that 25 President Obama could not alert the public about the 26 intelligence relating to electoral interference because he 27 28 was concerned about being:

GOULD In-Ch(Morgan)

"...viewed as a partisan actor 1 interfering in the electoral 2 3 process." So does that kind of situate ---4 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yeah. So I think it's 5 6 important to recall that in January 2017, it was about two months following the U.S. Presidential Election, there was, 7 you know, considerable activity happening at the 8 9 international level, and particularly in the United States, following what was seen as, you know, very high level, very 10 sophisticated Russian interference in the 2016 Presidential 11 election. There had also been other things going on in the 12 13 world, you know, kind of within the next six to eight months, 14 where we saw, you know, the Brexit vote, where we saw the Macron leaks in France, the cyber attack against the German 15 Parliament and leaks from Bundesstaat. 16 So there were a series of very high profile 17 cyber attacks on fellow democracies around the world, and so 18 19 when the Prime Minister gave me that mandate it was very much trying to protect Canadian democracy and Canadian elections 20 21 from those kinds of high profile, very sophisticated cyber 22 threats, which at the time were primarily coming from Russia. 23 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And so let's pull up that mandate letter that you received from the Prime Minister. 24 25 Can I please have COM 18, please. And so this is a letter that I understand you 26 received on February 1st, 2017 from the Prime Minister. I'll 27 28 just wait for that document to be brought up.

1	COM 18. Thank you.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. COM 18:
3	Letter from Prime Minister to Karina
4	Gould
5	MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And the document you see
6	on the screen, is this the letter that you received?
7	HON. KARINA GOULD: Yes.
8	MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Okay.
9	And if we can go down to page 3, please. A
10	little bit further down. Thank you.
11	So we see the paragraph starting with:
12	"In particular, I will expect you to
13	work with your colleagues and through
14	established legislative, regulatory,
15	and Cabinet processes to deliver on
16	your top priorities:
17	And the first bullet lists:
18	"In collaboration with the Minister
19	of National Defence and the Minister
20	of Public Safety and Emergency
21	Preparedness, lead the Government of
22	Canada's efforts to defend the
23	Canadian electoral process from cyber
24	threats. This should include asking
25	the Communications Security
26	Establishment (CSE) to analyze risks
27	to Canada's political and electoral
28	activities from hackers, and to

release this assessment publicly. 1 As well, ask CSE to offer advice to 2 3 Canada's political parties and Elections Canada on best practices 4 when it comes to cyber security." 5 I appreciate there is other bullets on that 6 list, but I will focus on that one for today. 7 Did you -- in relation to this particular 8 9 aspect of your mandate, did you have any discussions with the Prime Minister about expectations for what the plan should 10 cover and how it should operate? 11 HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, no. It's pretty 12 13 clear in the mandate letter what my task was, and to work 14 across government to protect our elections from cyber security threats. 15 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And so -- and the mandate 16 itself is focussed on cyber threats. 17 HON. KARINA GOULD: M'hm. 18 19 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Was the developing plan, so the plan to protect Canada's democracy, was that plan 20 21 restricted to or focussed on cyber threats? 22 HON. KARINA GOULD: No. It ended up being broader than that, how this came about. So, you know, as --23 when you are a minister, and you get a mandate letter, this 24 is the job that you're tasked with, it's a job description, 25 so to speak, in terms of what the Prime Minister expects you 26 to accomplish in your time in that portfolio. So I set about 27 gathering information, learning about what the threats were. 28

So I had various meetings with the different 1 heads of agencies to understand what the threats were to 2 3 Canada, focussed on our elections, and through that receipt for process, you know, I was presented with other threats to 4 our democracy that included human intelligence. Which is 5 6 what led to the four pillars that were released publicly in 7 January 2019, and Canada's broad plan to protect our democracy that ended up bringing in not just the Departments 8 of National Defence and Public Safety, but also, Global 9 Affairs, as well as Heritage, so that we had as comprehensive 10 of a plan at the time to deal with the threats as we 11 understood them. But really, it was a process of learning, 12 13 understanding, engaging, and trying to come up with the plan 14 that could most robustly protect Canada's national federal 15 democracy.

16 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: So let me talk to you a
17 bit about that information gathering exercise that you've
18 described.

19 What did you identify or learn were the 20 biggest threats or concerns to which the plan needed to 21 respond?

HON. KARINA GOULD: Sure. So I mean, I think one of the important parts throughout all of this was the understanding that foreign interference or attempts at foreign interference, because foreign -- I think it's the attempting that has gone on for a long time. Probably in every election that Canada has ever had there have been attempts at foreign interference, just like in probably every

election in a democracy around the world, since probably
 ancient Greece, there have been attempts at foreign
 interference. Whether they're successful or not is another
 question. And so I think that was one of the most important
 things.

6 The nature of the threats have evolved over 7 time, and in the, you know, period from 2017 to 2019, this 8 was when threats online were becoming more of an issue that 9 people weren't really aware of, and the security agencies 10 themselves were also learning more about.

Because if we go back to, you know, the 11 Russian example in the United States, they were using social 12 13 media platforms to try and either elicit a specific outcome 14 in the American election, or even just creating chaos, right, so that it -- people have less trust in democracy, which 15 16 feeds their interest of a national interest to say that democracy is not something that Russians, for example, should 17 be interested in because look at the chaos that's happening 18 over there, we want stability. So there is many different 19 interests at play here. 20

The other thing that I learned was of, you know, other countries, for example, that also had an interest. Sometimes, perhaps, in getting a specific candidate elected or not, whether they were successful, again, is always a question.

And the other part of it is, you know, they may have specific policy objectives as well, or they may have specific objectives with regards to influence operations.

And it's really important to note that, you know, foreign 1 countries and actors are engaging in influence operations all 2 3 the time, but they're overt. You know, that's diplomacy, that's, you know, trying to, you know, you know, have overt 4 conversations in the public, perhaps through the media, and 5 6 then there's the interference part, which is the stuff that is covert that they are trying to do in a sneaky way so that 7 Canadians or politicians aren't aware of. So really learned 8 9 quite a bit about what is going on.

I would also say I think learned that our 10 security agencies are quite sophisticated in Canada and have 11 pretty good knowledge about what is happening, but also 12 13 recall that, you know, foreign actors are trying to do this 14 in a way so that we don't know about it. And one of the other things that I think is really important that I learned 15 through this process is that we have to be very mindful, and 16 security agencies are, about what information is disclosed 17 publicly because if they make a decision to disclose 18 19 something publicly they're effectively letting the foreign actor know that they know what they're doing. And so they 20 could lose a source, that foreign actor could change what 21 22 they're doing, they could go further underground.

And so need to be really thoughtful and mindful about how and when and what is released publicly, which also played a really big part in the development of the plan.

27 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And just to ask you
 28 specifically about the sources of intelligence or information

you relied on, I understand that in your role as Minister, 1 you did not receive daily packages of intelligence products? 2 3 HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And I understand that you 4 had various briefings and received information from various 5 6 agencies as well; is that correct? 7 HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct. 8 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Can we pull up CAN 13303, 9 please, and go to page 3? --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 13303: 10 Letter to Miriam Burke from Jody 11 Thomas 12 13 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: So you can see here under the heading, "Briefings to Ministers" a series of briefings 14 between August 15th, 2018 and... 15 Can you scroll down a little bit, please? 16 Stopping there is good. Thank you. 17 This shows briefings to Minister of 18 19 Democratic Institutions, as I said, from August 15th, 2018 down through August 23rd, 2019, and we see briefings 20 21 "Director CSIS, Chief CSE". Are those all meetings that you 22 attended? HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, I'm going to assume 23 that I did but I wouldn't be able to confirm the exact dates 24 because I don't recall, but I would meet kind of on a 25 monthly-by-monthly basis with them. 26 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And was the focus of the 27 28 intelligence that you received focused on cyberthreats, or

was it broader than that? 1 2 HON. KARINA GOULD: The primary focus would be on cyberthreats, both in Canada but also from what we were 3 seeing around the world. And the purpose of including around 4 the world is important so that we could learn from other 5 6 experiences so that we would be able to protect Canada's democracies and elections against those. And there would be 7 if -- you know, if relevant, high-level descriptions of other 8 potential threats that could include human interference but 9 that they would never be specific; it would be a very general 10 overview, very high level of what the agency was seeing at 11 the time. 12 13 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Okay. And so in terms of 14 high level, did you ever receive the names of potential 15 threat actors? HON. KARINA GOULD: Could you clarify that, 16 like, in terms of, like ---17 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Did you ever receive names 18 19 of individuals, for instance? 20 HON. KARINA GOULD: No. 21 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And did you receive 22 intelligence relating to -- like, specific intelligence relating to alleged incidents of foreign interference? 23 24 HON. KARINA GOULD: Not in specific detail; it would be quite high level. 25 26 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: So in addition to briefings from CSIS and CSE, I understand you also received 27 28 information from other agencies or entities. From -- who

else did you receive information from? 1 HON. KARINA GOULD: So CSIS and CSE would be 2 the two primary sources, but the Rapid Response Mechanism at 3 Global Affairs Canada would also generally provide 4 information, and what the RRM does is -- well, they worked 5 6 with G7 and NATO allies to look more broadly around the world to try to identify trends and perhaps identify a threat 7 before it would occur. And so they would kind of tell me 8 9 what they were seeing around the world and new trends or threats that they were identifying in the online space, and 10 from publicly-sourced content. 11 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And in addition to RRM, 12 13 any other sources? 14 HON. KARINA GOULD: PCO would also provide intelligence, although I guess this was likely gathered by 15 CSE and CSIS at the time. 16 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: In addition to PCO, do you 17 know who was responsible for compiling the intelligence or 18 19 information that was shared with you? HON. KARINA GOULD: I do not. I would have 20 21 received it through my Deputy Minister. I'm not familiar 22 with the chain of command beyond that. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: So I want to move now to 23 the kind of building of the plan, who you collaborated with, 24 and then I'll get into some specific questions about the 25 plan. 26 But I understand from the mandate letter and 27 28 your witness summary that the Minister of Public Safety and

Emergency Preparedness and National Defence also had some
 involvement in the development of the plan. Are you able to
 describe what their involvement was?

HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, what I set out to
do as Minister of Democratic Institutions was to come up with
a whole of government plan, recognizing that as I learned
more about what the threats were that, you know, we needed to
ensure that we had a variety of different departments part of
this.

So the Ministers of National Defence and the 10 Ministers of Public Safety were much more in a supportive 11 role, but identifying what their departments could do to 12 13 support the broader plan. So for example, you know, under 14 the Minister of Public Safety, obviously, you know, with regards to intelligence priorities, ensuring that 15 cybersecurity in our elections, in our democracy was a 16 priority; the RCMP created a specific unit to look at 17 cybersecurity threats in our democracy; updating their other 18 19 initiatives within public safety to make sure that they had a robust plan. Under Defence, the Communications Security 20 21 Establishment rests, and so they provided opportunities to 22 political parties, Parliamentarians, Parliament of Canada, Elections Canada, the Commissioner, even provincial electoral 23 bodies to say, you know, "We can help do an assessment, if 24 you like, of your cybersecurity." They stood up the 25 Cybersecurity Centre, that part of its mandate was to provide 26 those services to have a call-in number if individuals or 27 28 parties had questions with regard to a potential issue; they

provided a list of, you know, security-approved vendors. 1 So there was a whole wide range of different 2 things that they ended up doing to make sure that we were 3 doing everything that we could, that we could kind of imagine 4 at the time, to safeguard our elections from cyberthreats. 5 6 And then the other thing is that as we learned more, I pulled in Global Affairs Canada through the 7 Rapid Response Mechanism, as well as Heritage Canada because 8 one of the things that, you know, I learned, and I believe 9 very firmly, is that one of the best methods to protect our 10 democracy was a well-informed citizenry. 11 And so as part of that, Heritage Canada had a 12 13 public education program for citizen engagement and public 14 awareness when it comes to cybersecurity to really ensure that we were trying to tick all of the boxes. 15 And maybe one other thing that I'll add is 16 through that and through Defence, we were put in touch with 17 the NATO Strategic Communications Centre, who I then invited 18 19 to Ottawa to brief the Parliamentary Press Gallery to talk about how they may be able to identify cybersecurity threats, 20 21 particularly with regards to mis and disinformation. And 22 through that they brought, for example, a reporter from Finland, who was very familiar with Russian interference 23 attempts, to be able to brief the Press Gallery. 24 So we really tried to do this as whole of 25 government as possible, to identify where the different 26 vulnerabilities were in the system and provide information 27

and support to each of those different actors.

28

MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And I understand from your witness statement that you wanted to consult with all of the political parties for their input and feedback in the process. When you say kind of input and feedback, what feedback were you eliciting from the political parties? HON. KARINA GOULD: So from the get-go, I was

engaged with opposition members as well as political parties. 7 I believe in the fall -- as early as the fall of 2017, I had 8 meetings with each of my opposition critics, the critics from 9 Public Safety and Democratic Institutions, to talk about the 10 fact that we were building this plan, to get their input as 11 to what they were concerned about, and to let them know that 12 13 I thought this was something that we needed to have an 14 ongoing dialogue with regards to.

Following those initial meetings, my staff met with either staff from the political parties themselves, or some of the staff of the critics to keep them informed and engaged throughout the process.

19 And, you know, even in my public comments when I announced the plan in 2019, I referenced the fact that 20 I had been engaging with the opposition political parties 21 22 throughout because I felt it was extremely important that this be non-partisan and that we have a consensus and build 23 trust, in terms of the plan and the process, because one of 24 the things that you'll note in the protocol, and you may be 25 getting to this, but is that democracy is very fragile and it 26 rests on trust. It rests on trust of citizens in the process 27 28 and in the outcome. And so it was vitally important that all

political parties be involved in understanding what the plan 1 was going to be and having a sense of comfort of it going 2 into the election so that if something should arise, we would 3 have a consensus and we would have a comfort to know that 4 this was being monitored and reviewed, and if there was 5 6 something that needed to be said, it was coming from a trusted voice and a trusted source, because what we didn't 7 want to have, you referenced this earlier, in terms of the 8 9 Obama dilemma, is the very fact of making a public comment can be seen as interference, whether that's from a partisan 10 or from a non-partisan body. And so we needed to have a way 11 to engage and to share information by which all of the 12 parties would be confident. And of course what we saw in the 13 14 U.S. election was that there were very partisan comments on both sides with regards to whether the information should or 15 should not have been released, or even whether a foreign 16 actor should have been named. 17

And so there -- this is a very sensitive and complex issue for which I felt it was really important that it be as non-partisan as possible, or completely nonpartisan, and that everybody had comfort in where we were going with it. And of course it was the very first time we had ever done something like this as well.

And so for me, it was really important that all of the political parties, all of the opposition parties, had comfort in where we were going.

27 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And in terms of the input
28 in consultation with the political parties, did you receive

specific feedback on the particulars of the plan? Like in the composition of Panel of Five, for instance, did you specifically elicit feedback or input?

HON. KARINE GOULD: We certainly presented it
to them. I wasn't part of those conversations because that
was happening at the staff level, but I think, you know, what
you could see from the various reports is that going into the
2019 election, there was generally comfort with where we
were.

MS. LYNDA MORGAN: So I want to ask you about 10 the Panel of Five. I won't get into the mechanics of it, but 11 the Panel is composed of five senior public servants. And I 12 13 understand from your witness statement that for the 14 composition of the Panel, you took inspiration from France, who had used their electoral authority, which was an 15 16 impartial body of legal advisors, to address the Macron 17 leaks.

And so I understand in terms of the concept stage of the plan, you had considered forming a panel of judges or other eminent Canadians, but ultimately settled on selecting senior public servants. Is that correct?

HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct.

23 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And on what basis did you
24 decide the composition of the Panel of Five?

22

HON. KARINA GOULD: Sure. So the very first
point is I felt it was really important that partisans be
removed from the process. And so even though I was a
Minister, still am a Minister of the Crown, but was the

Minister responsible, I was also running in the election. 1 And so any involvement of a partisan, 2 3 Minister, Prime Minister, during the writ period during the Caretaker Convention for something this sensitive, even if 4 everything, you know, was fine, could be seen as having a 5 6 partisan interest in whether or not information would be released publicly. And so I wanted to remove -- that was one 7 8 of my primary objectives, was to remove any notion that there 9 could be a partisan interest in the decision as to whether or not to release information if something should occur. 10 So I was very interested in what France had 11 in terms of their council of legal advisors. I think 12 13 colloquially they referred to them as the conseil d'éminence 14 grise; right? It's folks who are very well respected in 15 France. But it wasn't something new that they had done for that election. This was an institution that they have in 16 17 place generally. We don't have something like that in Canada. 18 19 We have Elections Canada. I did consult with Elections That's not really their role, to, you know, 20 Canada.

22 election. They don't necessarily have the capacity to do 23 that.

21

determine if there has been foreign interference in an

I also consulted with the Commissioner of Canada Elections at the time. Again, not really the right space for them either.

27 And so trying to figure out who would be best28 placed here. And one of the reasons why I settled on senior

public servants who are independent, non-partisan,
professional, is that they would have access to information
and understanding of the threat landscape to determine
whether something was irregular and whether or not it would
have an impact on a free and fair election.

6 And the other part of it, in terms of the 7 composition, was that the Government of Canada can be quite siloed sometimes, and so it was important that we brought 8 9 together those that had access to the information, so the head of CSE, the head of CSIS, who are seeing what's 10 happening and can bring that up to this group quickly, 11 because if something happens, there needs to be a quick 12 13 decision as to whether it's going to be released publicly or 14 not. So they had access to that information and a very good 15 understanding of the intelligence world and what intelligence could perhaps be linked to evidence, because that's another 16 important piece. Intelligence is not evidence. They need to 17 be certain if they're going to suggest something, because 18 19 again, the very act of suggesting or making a public declaration will have an impact on the outcome of the 20 21 election.

22 And then the Deputy Minister for Global 23 Affairs Canada as well, again, because perhaps there are 24 steps that might need to be taken with regards to 25 intelligence that don't merit a public intervention, but 26 maybe there are previous things that could be done, such as, 27 like, a démarche to an embassy or something of those lines. 28 And they would have an understanding of the global context.

And then the NSAI -- sorry, the Clerk of the Privy Council,
 again, as the most senior public servant, and the Deputy for
 Justice.

And the reason why I felt it was important to 4 have the Deputy for Justice there was specifically to have 5 6 that legal perspective and to have knowledge and understanding of, you know, the corpus of judicial history 7 and precedent in this country, and to ensure that the 8 9 democratic rights of Canadian citizens, of candidates, of political parties, were front and center, and understood in 10 making such a profound decision that would have such an 11 important impact on an election process and outcome. 12

MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And so with a focus of trying to include non-partisan individuals on the Panel, as I understand it, Deputy Ministers are appointed by the Prime Minister on the advice of the Clerk of the Privy Council? Is that correct?

HON. KARINA GOULD: My understanding. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And that appointment is

20 for an indefinite period?

18

19

28

HON. KARINA GOULD: To my knowledge. I'm not
involved in that. So, yeah.

23 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Well the real point being,
24 the Prime Minister technically has the power to dismiss a
25 Deputy Minister? Is that right?

26 HON. KARINA GOULD: Again, I think so, but
27 that's a bit beyond.

But what I would say is that certainly I'd

say every deputy that I have had has served, I think, well 1 under successive governments of different political stripes. 2 And I have, you know, tremendous confidence in their ability 3 to be non-partisan, independent, and professional. And, you 4 know, many of the deputies that I have had had served under 5 6 Conservative governments, and I'm sure many of the deputies that serve under Liberal governments will also serve under a 7 future government of a different stripe. 8

9 That's the role of the public service, is to be professional, to be independent, and to be non-partisan. 10 And particularly during a writ period where the Government is 11 under the Caretaker Convention. And so part of where this 12 13 Panel of Five fits in is also under the Caretaker Convention, 14 which is something that has existed in Canada since Confederation, where the public service takes on their 15 responsibilities of the Government because the Government is 16 a political actor at that moment in time seeking election or 17 re-election. 18

And so I think it fit very neatly within the existing institutions that we have and, you know, I would say that, you know, Canadians tend to have confidence in the public service to act in a non-partisan way.

23 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And so you've indicated 24 that the Panel's operational only during the Caretaker 25 period. In terms, again, of the concept of the plan, did you 26 consider the creation of a permanent body?

27 HON. KARINA GOULD: I did not at the time.
28 Remember, again, this is the very first time we're doing

something like that and so I felt it was important that, you know, we establish it, but then there also be a review of the process as it happened to learn any lessons and provide any recommendations which ended up being the first Judd Report in terms of whether this should be something that we continue with or whether there should be more of a permanence. It was also one of the first times that we

8 had -- well, the second time we had a fixed date election in
9 Canada as well, so there were many kind of different factors.

I think in some respects it's almost a bit harder for foreign actors to interfere when you have, you know, more spontaneous elections. When you know there's a fixed date, you have a runway to lead up to.

And so we were very much learning as we were going, and those lessons, you know, from that should then be applied for future and subsequent elections.

MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And in terms of a
permanent body, my question is also focused on did you
consider it a creation of a body that existed outside of the
caretaker period, so that would sit for longer than a sixweek period.

22 HON. KARINA GOULD: No. I mean, in the sense, all of those individuals who sit on the panel continue 23 to exercise, you know, their responsibilities as respective 24 deputies and heads of agencies and one would expect that they 25 would continue to talk to each other. However, in the formal 26 capacity, it should only exist during the writ period because 27 28 that is the time when people are making decisions about who

they are voting for and either before or after the government is in place. And my focus in terms of protecting our democracy was specifically with regards to the election event and election events as opposed to, you know, broader foreign interference that happens by attacking government systems or, you know, going through other things outside of a writ period.

8 And we have already in the Government of 9 Canada apparatus roles and responsibilities for those 10 activities. What we didn't have was something concrete for 11 the writ period itself. creation of a permanent body.

MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And so we've heard that the panel's role effectively is to notify the public of an event during the election that threatened Canada's ability to have a free and fair election. And we've also heard evidence that the panel interpreted the threshold for an announcement as being high or very high.

18 In the concept stage, was the threshold 19 intended to be at a high level?

20 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yes. And it was very
21 important that it's at a high level because, again, remember,
22 the very act of making a decision to announce something
23 publicly could be seen as interference itself.

And this is a point that was actually very important for all of the political parties because for those of us that have run in an election or been in an election, either as a candidate or working on it, it's a very intense time. There's a lot of information going around. It is

chaotic, so to speak. And so if there's going to be a high you know, the -- if there's going to be a decision to say, "You Canadian citizens, you need to know that a foreign actor has interfered in our election", the threshold needs to be high because there's a -- it's resting on the trust of Canadians in the process being -- on the integrity of the process.

8 And if someone is saying that the integrity 9 of the process is being questioned or has been compromised, 10 they need to be certain of that fact and they need to be 11 certain that this is something of significant enough value to 12 the national interest that it be made public.

13 And the political parties were very clear 14 that that was something that was important to them as well. 15 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And when you speak about 16 the integrity of the process and the high threshold, was it contemplated the focus on integrity of the process would be 17 examined at a riding-by-riding level or a national level? 18 19 HON. KARINA GOULD: Both. It could be either because it's -- Canada doesn't have one national election. 20

We have 338 individual elections that make up an electoral
event. And so everything is context specific.

You know, it could be something that happens at the national level that everybody is aware of or is being impacted by. It could be something that's happening in one singular riding. But that's where the importance for the panel to have an understanding of the landscape, of the activities and the potential impact was so important to make

that decision. 1 And it was specific in the Cabinet directive 2 to give the panel the authority and the responsibility to 3 make that judgment call. 4 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And did you anticipate 5 6 that the panel could take actions in relation to intelligence or information that fell below the threshold? 7 HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, that wouldn't be 8 9 the panel's decision there. That would be up to the individual agencies who have those responsibilities. 10 The panel's primary focus was on whether 11 there was something of such significance that it would have -12 13 - that it would compromise the free and fair election by 14 Canadians and be in the national interest. And so that was really where the panel's responsibilities lay. 15 16 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: I want to ask you one more briefings-related question. 17 Can I have CAN 15506, please? 18 19 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 15506: Memo for the NSIA to the PM -20 21 Elections Security Briefings for the 22 Hon. Dominic LeBlanc 23 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Scroll down, staying on 24 the first page, but scroll down a bit, please. 25 And if we look at the third bullet, it says: 26 "Prior to and during GE 2019, Deputy Ministers provided regular briefings on 27 28 election security to the then Minister

GOULD In-Ch(Morgan)

of Democratic Institutions, Karina 1 Gould." 2 3 Were you briefed regularly by Deputy Ministers prior to and during GE 2019? 4 HON. KARINA GOULD: Not during. I didn't 5 6 receive a single briefing during the election. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Did you expect to receive 7 briefings during the election? 8 9 HON. KARINA GOULD: I expected not to receive any briefings during the election. I explicitly designed the 10 process so that I would not receive any briefings during the 11 process because, as I mentioned, I had a vested interest in 12 the outcome of the election and so I felt it would be 13 14 completely inappropriate to receive those briefings. And 15 that's why it was so important to create this independent non-partisan body that would be responsible during the writ 16 17 period. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And so you've indicated 18 19 already you were receiving intelligence. It's high level. 20 And no briefings during the writ period. 21 I understand from your witness summary that 22 you did not receive any intelligence during the writ period relating to allegations in Don Valley North. Is that 23 24 correct? 25 HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct. 26 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And I understand as well that you were not aware that secret cleared Liberal Party 27 representatives were briefed in relation to allegations of 28
interference in the Don Valley North nomination contest? 1 HON. KARINA GOULD: That is correct. 2 I -again, as I created this system and policy, it was very 3 important that each of the political parties had their own 4 doors into the security agencies that the government, myself 5 6 as Minister of Democratic Institution, would not be aware of so that they would have trust to have that engagement with 7 the security agencies. 8 9 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And I understand, finally, that you were not briefed on intelligence assessments 10 suggesting that there were likely at least two transfers of 11 funds approximating \$250,000 from PRC officials in Canada 12 13 possibly for FI-related purposes that were transferred via an 14 influential community leader to the staff member of a 2019 federal election and then to an Ontario MPP. 15 16 HON. KARINA GOULD: That is correct. MS. LYNDA MORGAN: So you did not receive 17 that intelligence. 18 19 HON. KARINA GOULD: No. I would have received something at a much higher level. 20 21 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: And were you briefed on a 22 TRM conducted in advance of GE 43 to reduce the FI threat posted by the Government of Pakistan? 23 24 HON. KARINA GOULD: At a very high level, but I wouldn't have received information as to what or with whom. 25 26 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: 27 Thank you. 28 Cross-examination by counsel for Jenny Kwan.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
MS. MANI KAKKAR:
MS. MANI KAKKAR: Thank you, Commissioner.
Good morning, Ms. Gould.
HON. KARINA GOULD: Good morning.
MS. MANI KAKKAR: I'm just going to take a
minute here to so Ms. Gould, this morning I wanted to ask
questions specifically related to the kind of information
that you considered when you were developing the threshold
and to considering the plan for protecting Canada's
democracy. In your witness statement, and you've said this
in your testimony as well, that your briefings were quite
high level, that you actually looked outside of Canada to see
the ways in which foreign interference had affected
elections. Do you think it would have been helpful to know
the specific details though of foreign interference in
Canada, so that you could better address what was happening
here because perhaps the dynamics would have been different
than perhaps our U.S. partners or other countries in the
world?

HON. KARINA GOULD: Okay. Well, I want to take you back to 2017 for a moment because it was the first time that we were thinking about foreign interference in terms of cyber security, and it was the first time that we were seeing these widescale attacks around the world in real time. Typically, foreign interference before was very, very covert, right, and human to human; right? We weren't seeing this kind of hacking of systems, divulging of information,

trying to pollute the information ecosystem as we were at the 1 time. And so it was incredibly important to learn from real-2 world examples that we were seeing happening to figure out 3 what we needed to do here at home to avoid something like 4 that in the future. Of course, I was briefed at a high level 5 6 as to what foreign interference activities -- attempts at foreign interference, I should say, were seen here in Canada, 7 so I would correct a little bit the premise of your question 8 9 and say that, yes, both of those were happening, and that was incredibly important to figure out how we protect ourselves. 10

Also, the understanding is that threat actors 11 don't often act the same way twice, because once they've been 12 13 found out to do one thing, they don't necessarily continue to 14 do that activity, and so you're constantly trying to keep up and understand what potential new things are happening. 15 No one, before the U.S. presidential election thought that 16 Russia was using Facebook and Twitter and posing as Americans 17 through their bought farms at the Internet Research Agency in 18 19 Saint Petersburg. All of that was learned after the fact. So it was really important to have that understanding in 20 21 order to develop a plan to protect ourselves as best as we 22 can.

23 MS. MANI KAKKAR: I appreciate the helpful 24 answer. And so just to disentangle that a bit so that we 25 have an understanding, when you say you were being briefed at 26 a high level of what was happening in Canada, is it fair to 27 say that you were being briefed on the way in which foreign 28 interference happens, the modes, who the players might be in

the Canadian landscape, but not necessarily on specific events, just so ---

3 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yeah, so it would be high level in the sense of which are the foreign actors that try 4 to engage the most in foreign interference activities, and 5 6 some of the ways in which the agencies would have seen them 7 try to do that. So there was an understanding of what the threats are in Canada. I would say that, generally speaking, 8 9 and as I mentioned in my previous answer, it is known that there have been attempts to interfere in Canadian democracy 10 since the beginning of Confederation, but I would say that 11 our intelligence agencies are, you know, I think guite adept 12 13 at trying to monitor that, and if they are able to then share 14 that information with the RCMP, whose job it would be then if 15 they have the evidence to act upon it.

MS. MANI KAKKAR: Okay. So if I'm -- I think 16 I understand your testimony to be that you did have some 17 understanding of the ways in which FI operated here, who the 18 19 risk -- or, sorry, who the threat actors might be. And so over the course of the last few weeks in this Commission, 20 21 we've learned that foreign interference can be very discreet 22 events that perhaps on their own don't add up to very much, 23 but in the aggregate do. Did you have a similar understanding of foreign interference at the time that you 24 developed this particular threshold and plan? 25

26 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yes, could be. However,
27 I would say that the emphasis on this plan was certainly with
28 regards to cyber security, but also understanding the

GOULD Cr-Ex(Kakkar)

entirety of how foreign actors could interfere in an electoral event and ensuring that the respective agencies have the tools that they needed to be able to act upon it when they had the evidence to act upon it.

5 MS. MANI KAKKAR: Okay. So it does sound
6 like you had a similar understanding that perhaps, you know,
7 one WeChat post doesn't much, but you add them all up
8 together and there's a collective impact of that.

9HON. KARINA GOULD: Could be or could not be.10MS. MANI KAKKAR: Right.

HON. KARINA GOULD: Right? Everything is 11 context specific, and every -- you know, it's very hard to 12 13 say that this one particular thing might have an impact or --14 as I was saying in my testimony earlier, the threshold, for example, for the panel was very high, but it could have been 15 something that happened in one riding, or it could have been 16 something that happened at a national level. It would be 17 completely context specific. 18

MS. MANI KAKKAR: That's fair. So then given how context specific everything is, and you have that same understanding, did you consider a sliding scale approach that could adapt to that context, so that the threshold wasn't so high, but perhaps if it were triggered at different levels, a different level of response could ---

25 HON. KARINA GOULD: So I'll just push back
26 gently a bit because ---

MS. MANI KAKKAR: Sure.

27

28

HON. KARINA GOULD: --- again, you can't

really have a sliding scale because, again, you can't really imagine exactly what's going to happen during the election, because as I said, threat actors are going to change. For example, they're watching these proceedings right now, and are likely going to be changing how they're acting in Canada as they're seeing how we are responding in this very setting.

So the panel did a series of tabletop 7 exercises to imagine different scenarios, right, the SITE 8 9 Task Force imagined different scenarios and how they might react, but again, it will all depend on that exact moment, 10 what is happening, and the context in which it is happening. 11 So it's -- you can't really have a rubric to say if X, then Y 12 and Z, because if you did, you might end up interfering in an 13 14 election that you maybe didn't need to in terms of saying something publicly because the context will depend on what is 15 happening in that moment. So I know that you would like to 16 have a rubric and a box that says this is what you need to 17 act when, but it's really important that there's that 18 19 discretion and that judgment in place before something is made public. 20

Actually, I will agree with 21 MS. MANI KAKKAR: 22 you that a rubric in a box is probably not possible given the amount of ways in which you could interfere, but what I mean 23 more is sort of a sliding scale in the way that the national 24 terrorism threat levels exist. There's different threat 25 levels and there are different responses as a result. And so 26 not only would you have a sliding scale with respect to when 27 28 to respond, but how to respond, so that you're not

necessarily interfering -- and, again, not at the minutia of, you know, if X happens, you do Y, leaving, of course, a great degree of discretion, but that way there's no under or overreaction to a particular ---

HON. KARINA GOULD: I don't think you would 5 6 ever be able to determine if there's an under and 7 overreaction because of the nature of an election, when 8 emotions are so high, when the outcome is so personal to so 9 many people, and it has such a great impact on the country that you're going to be able to be in a place where everyone 10 says, oh, because you followed the sliding scale, we're okay 11 with it. That's kind of the crux of the Obama dilemma. 12 He 13 saw what was happening, understood what was happening, didn't 14 feel that he could say something because he was worried that by the very fact of saying something publicly, he would have 15 16 an outcome on -- he would have an impact on the outcome. And so what I would say to you is that those rubrics, those 17 responses, on a more granular level already exist within the 18 19 agencies, and they already have ways to deal with things that happen on a more minor level, and they make those decisions 20 21 as to how and when to respond and react in a way that 22 hopefully doesn't further compromise the national security of Canada and of Canadians, but also, the integrity of the 23 electoral process. 24

25 MS. MANI KAKKAR: I really do appreciate your
26 answer, and despite the follow up, I doubt I can ask a
27 question in eight seconds, so thank you so much for your
28 testimony.

HON. KARINA GOULD: Thank you. 1 2 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 3 Counsel for Michael Chong? --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 4 MR. FRASER HARLAND: 5 6 MR. FRASER HARLAND: Good morning, Good morning, Ms. Gould. 7 Commissioner. 8 HON. KARINA GOULD: Good morning. 9 MR. FRASER HARLAND: Ms. Morgan asked you about consultation with political parties regarding the 10 Critical Election Incident Public Protocol. You remember 11 12 that? 13 HON. KARINA GOULD: I do. 14 MR. FRASER HARLAND: And I believe your evidence is, and you correct me if I'm wrong, but your 15 evidence on that was we presented it to them. And I had -- I 16 want to ask you if you'd agree that presenting a plan is 17 quite different from meaningful consultation on a plan. 18 19 HON. KARINA GOULD: So we had conversations first before the plan was presented. And I'll take you back 20 21 to my testimony earlier with Ms. Morgan where I said the 22 first conversations we had were likely in the fall of 2017, 23 and the plan was made public in January of 2019. 24 So throughout that period of time, there were ongoing conversations with the political parties. First to 25 26 understand what some of their issues and challenges were to get their level of comfort. So for example, one of the 27 28 pieces was CSE offered to do technical audits of their

systems. I don't think a single political party agreed to
 that, because they didn't want the Government to go in there.
 But they did say one thing that would be helpful would be to
 have a list of trusted vendors.

5 So there was a continuous dialogue and 6 engagement as we were building the plan, and then it was 7 presented in its final stage when it was public in the winter 8 of 2019.

9 MR. FRASER HARLAND: That's helpful. And I just want to make sure that we have your evidence, because my 10 specific concern is not on the plan as a whole, but is on the 11 Panel of Five, the Critical Election Incident Public 12 13 Protocol. And so I believe that's what, in response to Ms. 14 Morgan's question, you said we presented it to them. And I'm wondering on that, on the Panel of Five, was there meaningful 15 consultation with the political parties? Or was the plan 16 just presented to them as you had created it? 17

18 HON. KARINA GOULD: I couldn't tell you the
19 exact conversations, because the conversation that I had
20 specifically was in the fall of 2017, and then after that, it
21 would have been at the staff level.

22 MR. FRASER HARLAND: Okay. And so can you
23 point to any specific suggestions made by opposition parties
24 that made their way into the Cabinet Directive on the Panel?

HON. KARINA GOULD: There was a general
acceptance, and I didn't receive any pushback at the time
that public servants were -- there was no push back that
these public servants be on that panel.

MR. FRASER HARLAND: Okay. And I have a 1 question about the public servants on the Panel. You say 2 3 that -- so the Panel is the Clerk, the NSIA, and three Deputy Ministers; correct? You say that they're all non-partisan. 4 And we certainly would expect them to be. But you also 5 6 referred to them as independent. So I want to ask a question about that. Would you agree there's an important difference 7 between a non-partisan at-pleasure appointee and a public 8 office with true institutional independence from government? 9

HON. KARINA GOULD: I would say that as your 10 client was Minister of Democratic Reform who served under --11 who served alongside and was served by the professional non-12 13 partisan public service, that they are independent in the 14 advice that they provide to government, they are loyal in the 15 implementation of it, but I have very, very strong confidence in our public service that they serve the government of the 16 time, but they serve equally well, regardless of what the 17 partisan colour of that government is. 18

19 MR. FRASER HARLAND: And I appreciate that, but that's not quite my question, which is just there's a key 20 21 difference between an at-pleasure appointee who can be 22 removed and an office with institutional independence. I can give you a couple examples. Judges would be an example. 23 They cannot be removed. The Office of the Chief Electoral 24 Officer of Elections Canada, who serves a ten-year non-25 renewable term, has institutional independence. You'd agree 26 there's a difference between that kind of institutional 27 28 independence and at-pleasure Deputy Minister appointees?

HON. KARINA GOULD: I think that what you're 1 getting at is not quite appropriate, in the sense of public 2 3 servants are non-partisan. And while, yes, they -- the very heads of them could be removed, it is not something that I 4 think is the right way to frame this, because they are 5 6 responsible, first and foremost, to protecting Canada. That is their job. And protecting the institution of government. 7 And that is something that they take very seriously. And 8 9 they are not partisan in nature.

And particularly during the Caretaker period, 10 which is a longstanding convention in Canadian governance, 11 they take on the role of a government at that time. 12 And 13 particularly in this Cabinet Directive, they are given that 14 authority. If you look at the Cabinet Directive, yes, they inform the Prime Minister, but they also have to inform the 15 other political parties as well to make sure that this is 16 something that is fair and information that is being received 17 by everyone ahead of it being made public. 18

MR. FRASER HARLAND: So I appreciate all that. I just want to try one more time, because I have your evidence on the non-partisanship, and I'm not asking questions about that. I'm wanting to ask questions on the independence.

So perhaps I can put it this way. There's a difference between an at pleasure appointee who can be removed at pleasure and the institutional independence that say a judge or the Chief Electoral Officer of Elections Canada has? You'd acknowledge ---

HON. KARINA GOULD: There -- yes, there is a 1 difference. However, in this instance, these are very 2 3 professional individuals who take their job of being nonpartisan professional public servants very seriously and 4 whose primary responsibility is protecting Canadians, Canada, 5 6 and their governing institution. MR. FRASER HARLAND: Thank you, Minister 7 Gould. That's very helpful. 8 9 Thank you, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: 10 Thank you. Counsel for Erin O'Toole. 11 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 12 13 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: 14 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Good morning, Minister Gould. My name is Tom Jarmyn. I'm counsel for Erin O'Toole. 15 So let's go back to the Panel of Five and the 16 threshold, which I'm sure everybody will regret me getting 17 into. 18 19 You said -- and the Panel of Five, as said, it was a high threshold, they said need reliable information, 20 21 your words this morning, they needed to be certain. Is that 22 correct? HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct. 23 24 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: So it's by design you've got that particular way. 25 26 I would submit to you that in fact what you've done is you've institutionalized the Obama dilemma. 27 On October 7th, 2016, the Obama Administration actually told 28

the American public that Russia was interfering in the 1 election. And the subsequent criticism of him and his 2 Administration was that he took so long to do it while they 3 were looking for certainty. Are you aware of that? 4 HON. KARINA GOULD: I've read all of the 5 6 public information about it. MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay. But you're aware 7 that in fact the Obama Administration did alert the U.S. 8 9 citizenry about intervention in the 2016 election prior to the election? 10 HON. KARINA GOULD: I don't recall exactly 11 that comment. 12 13 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay. 14 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yeah. 15 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay. Can we go to your witness statement, WIT 62 at paragraph 7? 16 17 Now, the second paragraph, this is the discussion about the -- your initiatives with Facebook, 18 19 Microsoft, and Twitter in order to come to a voluntary, I guess, regime to address information manipulation. 20 HON. KARINA GOULD: 21 M'hm. 22 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: And those were -- did you negotiate with any other platforms? Or did you approach any 23 24 other platforms? HON. KARINA GOULD: Facebook, Microsoft, 25 Twitter, and Google were the main interlocutors. I know that 26 PCO approached other social media platforms, but it was 27 28 harder to engage with them because they didn't have

GOULD Cr-Ex(Jarmyn)

representatives in Canada. 1 2 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay. So no one approached Tencent about WeChat? 3 HON. KARINA GOULD: I don't think they had an 4 office in Canada at the time. And I would say at the time in 5 6 2019, the primary focus was really on Russia. MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay. I'll put those 7 8 other questions to other individuals then. 9 I'd like to look at paragraph 11 of the witness summary. 10 And you speak there about interference in the 11 nomination process. And I see the statement that: 12 13 "...alleged interference in a 14 nomination process would not be 15 significant enough to question the integrity of an election in its 16 entirety." 17 But it would be sufficient enough to question 18 19 the integrity of the lection in the particular riding; wouldn't it? 20 21 HON. KARINA GOULD: Well there are agencies 22 that already have responsibility for that specific instance. So political parties are responsible for nominations. 23 Elections Canada has rules and laws already and the ---24 25 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: How -- sorry, excuse me. 26 How is Elections Canada responsible in nominations process? HON. KARINA GOULD: Well ---27 28 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Just a reminder, my

GOULD Cr-Ex(Jarmyn)

friend is not entitled to cross-examine on a witness statement, pursuant to your rules in this matter. He can ask for clarification. He can use the statement as a basis to form a set of questions. But he's not entitled to crossexamine on a particular statement in the witness summary. MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Well so the ---COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So rephrase your question, I think. MR. THOMAS JARMYN: I was going to say, in that statement, it's said that Elections Canada --"...the remits of the affected political party, Elections Canada [...] and/or the [RCMP]..." How is Elections Canada -- just within the remit of Elections Canada, the ---HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, for a nomination process, it would be the political party itself that is responsible and, of course, if they were breaking the law by having, let's say, foreign money involved, which would be

20 illegal under the *Canada Elections Act*, then the RCMP or the 21 police of jurisdiction would have the authority to act on 22 that.

23 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: So I was going to say, 24 Elections Canada is the financial operation of the campaign 25 and the RCMP, it's acts of fraud or things like that.

26 HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, as you know, we
27 have a separation of government and law enforcement in this
28 country, so yes, the RCMP would respond if it was known a law

42

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 was broken or suspected a law was broken.

2 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: And just going to the 3 threshold for another second, with respect to the balancing 4 of these things, we see the effect on discourse at the 5 general level on the election, at the riding level, but what 6 about the effect on political discourse?

7 If foreign interference affects the political8 discourse, is that an impact on our election?

9 HON. KARINA GOULD: Context specific, again,
10 so it could be. Certainly what we saw in the U.S.
11 Presidential election, it was.

Again, though, as anyone who has run in an 12 13 election, the information ecosystem is quite chaotic during a 14 writ period and so to be able to determine if it was foreign interference that caused a change in the discourse or it was 15 something else, a statement by a politician, a policy from a 16 political party, a platform from a political party, you know, 17 there needs -- it's hard to determine which one of those 18 19 things might be the most affected. However, that's where the involvement of the intelligence agencies and intelligence 20 21 that they see impacting something would then be submitted to 22 the panel to make that judgment call.

But again, it's very context specific and it's one of the biggest challenges and one of the reasons why I believe, you know, Russia, particularly in the lead-up to the 2016 election, used social media so effectively, but you can't necessarily say that Russia was responsible or their information caused the outcome of the 2016 election because,

remember, at the end of the day, I believe this very 1 strongly, we need to protect Canadian citizens to give them 2 the tools and the information to make informed decisions. 3 And at the end of the day, if they go into that ballot box 4 and no one has told them how to vote or is holding them to 5 6 vote a certain way or bribing them a certain -- or bribing them, but rather, they are going and making a decision based 7 on the information that they have. That vote is valid and 8 the outcome of that vote is valid because that is a decision 9 a Canadian has made. 10

And so to be able to determine specifically that they got there because of one specific element in the election is quite challenging, which is why the threshold was set so high and why the intervention of the panel needed to be taken with the seriousness with which I think it was taken.

MR. THOMAS JARMYN:

COMMISSIONER HOGUE:

Thank you.

Counsel for the Conservative Party, Me De

Thank you.

17

18

19

20 Luca.

21 <u>--- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR</u>

22 <u>MR. NANDO de LUCA</u>:

23 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you. Good morning.
24 I'm going to quote from your 2017 mandate
25 letter from the Prime Minister, which says:
26 "As Minister of Democratic
27 Institutions, your overarching goal is
28 [or was] to strengthen the openness and

fairness of Canada's public 1 institutions and also to restore 2 3 Canadians' trust and participation in our democratic processes." (As read) 4 Do you recall that or do you recall those 5 6 words? HON. KARINA GOULD: Would you be able to show 7 them to me? 8 9 MR. NANDO de LUCA: I would, but I don't have a note right now of the document. It was part of it. 10 HON. KARINA GOULD: If you could show it to 11 me, that would be helpful. 12 13 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Sure. 14 MS. LYNDA MORGAN: It's COM 18. 15 HON. KARINA GOULD: And do you know which 16 paragraph? MR. NANDO de LUCA: Scroll up. 17 Well, why don't we do it this way? Do you 18 19 believe that the prospect of foreign interference, to the 20 extent -- and to the extent that it actually took place in 21 our elections, is contrary to the mandate that the Prime 22 Minister charged you with? HON. KARINA GOULD: Sorry. Could you repeat 23 24 that? MR. NANDO de LUCA: Sure. 25 26 To the extent that foreign interference actually took place in the 2019, that would have been 27 28 contrary to what you were charged with safeguarding against

1 in your mandate.

HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, my job was to come 2 up with a plan and a policy to try as best as possible to 3 prevent foreign interference. It doesn't mean that there 4 weren't ongoing attempts, as I mentioned at the outset, of 5 6 foreign interference throughout all elections. But perhaps I can just get to your first 7 point because one of the reasons why I was mandated to 8 9 restore trust in democracy was because at the time, we were -- when we were elected, it was after the Fair Elections Act 10 that the current Leader of the Opposition had put in place 11 which actually reduced citizens' ability to case their 12 13 ballots, and that was the primary overarching objective, was 14 to make sure that every Canadian citizen would be able to cast their ballot, be able to participate in our democracy 15 and have confidence in the process. 16 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can I ask that MMC0020 be 17 pulled up? 18 19 And do you have it in front of you? --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. MMC 20: 20

CSIS Briefings and Intelligence 21 22 Products on PRC Foreign Interference in the 2019 and 2021 General 23 24 Elections 25 HON. KARINA GOULD: I think so. 26 MR. NANDO de LUCA: And this appears to be a summary of the CSIS briefings in the possession of the Privy 27 Council Officer relating to PRC foreign interference in the 28

2019 and 2021 General Elections and a general description of 1 2 those documents. 3 And can -- by my count, between June 2018 and August 2019, you received seven briefings on foreign election 4 interference. Does that sound right? 5 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yes. And I would just 6 gently correct you in the sense that I'm not sure this is 7 specifically related to the PRC. It would have been an 8 9 overall look at foreign interference generally from a variety of actors. 10 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Well, the heading 11 actually says PRC. Do you dispute that? 12 13 HON. KARINA GOULD: I don't see that. 14 MR. NANDO de LUCA: At the top, "CSIS Briefings and Intelligence Products on PRC Foreign 15 Interference". This is the heading of the document. 16 HON. KARINA GOULD: Okay, sorry. I didn't 17 see that. 18 19 But I would just say that those briefings that I would have received would have been general with 20 21 regards to a variety of actors. 22 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And safe to say that, at least as a result of those briefings, you were well 23 aware of the issue of foreign interference in Canadian 24 elections? 25 HON. KARINA GOULD: I would say that to make 26 the statement that there is foreign interference in Canadian 27 28 elections is not entirely accurate. I would say that what

these briefings suggested to me or provided to me was an overview of attempted foreign interference broadly around the world as well as activities that potentially could be observed here in Canada.

5 MR. NANDO de LUCA: So you received -- let me 6 get this straight. You received seven briefings on foreign 7 interference. Are you suggesting that as a result of those 8 seven briefings, you weren't convinced that any foreign 9 interference in the ---

HON. KARINA GOULD: I did not say that.
 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Let me finish the
 question, please.

Are you suggesting that you weren't convinced that any foreign interference had taken place in connection with the Canadian elections process?

HON. KARINA GOULD: What I said was they would show me -- they would share information with me of what potential interference could be of activities that they had seen as attempts and things that we needed to be aware of in terms of what could possibly happen during an election.

21 Certainly I was the Minister of Democratic
22 Institutions before the 2021 election and for a very brief
23 period of time after the 2019 election.

24 MR. NANDO de LUCA: You're not suggesting 25 that attempts at foreign interference have to be successful 26 and have to actually materially impact the result before 27 they're taken seriously, or they're dealt with?

28

HON. KARINA GOULD: I'm not. And, in fact,

we are here right now today because we took attempts at 1 foreign interference very seriously. It's why I was mandated 2 to do it in 2017, and it's why I came up with that plan to 3 protect Canadian democracy, and we did take it extremely 4 seriously. It's why we're here today. It's why we're 5 6 actually looking at documents that were prepared with regards to foreign interference, something I will note that previous 7 Conservative governments didn't do. 8

9 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can I ask you to turn up
10 CAN 004252?

11 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 4252:

Security Brief for Minister Gould 12 13 MR. NANDO de LUCA: And this indicates that 14 it's a briefing or a -- it's a briefing or a security brief that you would have received in or about October 29, 2019 15 from CSIS. Did you, in fact receive this briefing? 16 HON. KARINA GOULD: I did receive a briefing 17 following the 2019 election. I couldn't confirm the date 18 19 with you, and I have only seen this particular document in preparation for today's proceedings. 20

MR. NANDO de LUCA: If we could perhaps
scroll to page 3 of this document? October 29, 2019 would
have been after the 2019 election; correct?

24 HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct.
25 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And at the bottom
26 of page 3, there's a discussion of a China threat update; you
27 see that? And part of it has been redacted?
28 HON. KARINA GOULD: M'hm.

GOULD Cr-Ex(de Luca)

MR. NANDO de LUCA: Do you recall being 1 2 updated with respect to the China threat on or about October 3 29, 2019 after the general election? HON. KARINA GOULD: I would have been briefed 4 at a very high level that they were monitoring the 5 6 activities. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And within or just 7 I guess it's after the third redacted box, there's the tail 8 9 end of an explanation regarding what it says, "...limited specific incidents 10 suggestive of FI which were briefed 11 to relevant clients (GC and political 12 13 parties) during the writ period 14 (e.g., Don Valley)." 15 Do you recall receiving that briefing or that information as part of this October 29, 2019 ---16 HON. KARINA GOULD: It would have been ---17 MR. NANDO de LUCA: --- brief? 18 19 HON. KARINA GOULD: --- a high level. Ι wouldn't have received the specifics about Don Valley and 20 21 would have said something to the effect of limited activity 22 viewed, action taken, but it wouldn't have been to that specific level. 23 24 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. So I just want to be clear though, what you just described as what you would 25 26 have received would have been only at this briefing, or are you saying ---27 HON. KARINA GOULD: It would have been all of 28

GOULD Cr-Ex(de Luca)

the briefings. It would have -- it was high level. I was 1 2 never given specifics about candidates, parties, locations or 3 individuals. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Were you given specifics 4 as part of this briefing? 5 6 HON. KARINA GOULD: No. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Were you given 7 8 generalities relating to voting irregularities for Don Valley 9 North ---HON. KARINA GOULD: 10 No. MR. NANDO de LUCA: --- prior to this 11 12 briefing? 13 HON. KARINA GOULD: No. 14 MR. NANDO de LUCA: You have to let me finish. 15 16 HON. KARINA GOULD: Oh, well, okay, no. 17 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Those are my questions. Thank you very much. 18 19 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. Me Sirois for RCDA? 20 21 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: 22 23 HON. KARINA GOULD: Good morning. 24 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Minister Gould, you mentioned during your examination-in-Chief that a primary 25 national interest of Russia imposed inciting chaos within 26 democratic nations; right? 27 28 HON. KARINA GOULD: One of them, yes.

MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Including the 43rd and 1 44 general election? 2 3 HON. KARINA GOULD: I wouldn't know that specific for those elections because I was not the Minister 4 of Democratic Institutions at the time, but what I can say is 5 6 it doesn't necessarily mean that that was their objective in Canada, but that has been one of their objectives in terms of 7 why they engage in cyber activities during election periods 8 and democracies. 9 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: So, sorry, your 10 evidence is that Russia has an objective and to -- in 11 interfering -- on the national interest in interfering in 12 13 democratic nations, but perhaps not Canada? 14 HON. KARINA GOULD: Could -- Canada is a democratic nation. It's a member of NATO, and so, therefore, 15 we need to be alert and aware. It doesn't mean that Canada 16 is necessarily the main focus, but certainly what we see in 17 democratic countries around the world, one of the objectives 18 19 that Russia has is creating chaos. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Maybe that Canada is 20 21 not the main focus, but it's certainly one of, therefore, the 22 focus? 23 HON. KARINA GOULD: I couldn't necessarily say that. I mean, it would -- we would have to have evidence 24 of that, and I'm not sure that that's something that I'm 25 allowed to talk about. 26 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Yes, that's the thing. 27 28 My question's not about whether we have evidence or not. My

1	question is more on Russia's intent in interfering
2	HON. KARINA GOULD: M'hm.
3	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: in democratic
4	nations as you testified about this morning. And so I'll
5	just ask the question again, just to be sure I understand.
6	Are you saying that Russia does not interfere in Canada, or
7	does not have the intent of interfering in Canada, but has
8	the intent of interfering in other democratic nations?
9	HON. KARINA GOULD: I think Russia has the
10	intent of interfering in most democratic nations,
11	particularly, those that are members of NATO.
12	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: But not the 43^{rd} and
13	44 general election in Canada?
14	HON. KARINA GOULD: I wouldn't be able to
15	say. I wasn't I was Minister of Democratic Institutions
16	before those events took place.
17	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Understand.
18	HON. KARINA GOULD: But certainly, Russia was
19	something that we were concerned about, which is why we
20	created this whole infrastructure to protect our elections.
21	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: You suspecting that
22	Russia may have an intention
23	HON. KARINA GOULD: Be prepared.
24	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Yeah.
25	HON. KARINA GOULD: Yeah.
26	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. And I wanted to
27	move now to the threshold just with the little time I have
28	left. There's a degree of subjectivity when determining

GOULD Cr-Ex(Sirois)

whether the high threshold has been met; right? That's why
 you have five different panel members.

3 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yeah. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: 4 Yes? HON. KARINA GOULD: Yes. 5 6 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Is it possible that for different members of the Canadian public also there's 7 8 different conceptions of what a high threshold is? I'm 9 thinking in particular with respect to diaspora members. For instance, maybe a pro-democracy diaspora member may think 10 that the high threshold has been met by a certain situation, 11 but that may -- same conclusion may not be reached by the 12 Panel of Five? 13 14 HON. KARINA GOULD: Certainly. I think for different actors, there would be different expectations as to 15 16 when that is met, but that's why we created a Panel of Five, 17 so that they could have that conversation and determine when to make a public announcement. 18 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: 19 So ---HON. KARINA GOULD: Because it is a very 20 21 complicated, emotional, high-energy moment that has a huge 22 impact, so that's why it was important to be able to have a group that could make that determination. 23 24 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: But it's possible that the group concludes that there's a -- the high threshold 25 26 hasn't been met, although with the same information, so one from a diaspora group may conclude that the high threshold 27 28 has been met?

HON. KARINA GOULD: I guess what I would say 1 2 to that is that those -- the panel is put in place 3 specifically to determine if that threshold meets the fact that a free and fair election has been compromised, the 4 ability to have one, and that it's in the national interest 5 6 to release this information publicly. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Well, I know why the 7 Panel of Five has been created. That's clear and thanks to 8 9 I just want to understand whether it's possible for the vou. panel to reach one conclusion with respect to a threshold and 10 a member of the diaspora community to reach a different 11 conclusion with respect to ---12 13 HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, in ---MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: --- a threshold. 14 15 HON. KARINA GOULD: --- with all due respect, 16 I'm -- the member of the diaspora community is not necessarily charged with protecting Canada's democracy, and 17 so their understanding of when and what to say publicly may 18 19 be different. I will certainly grant you that, but I think what's important here is that we have a group of the highest-20 21 ranking public servants in the country who determine when 22 that needs to be released publicly. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Well, I'm out of time, 23 24 but I thank you ---25 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes. Counsel for the --26 for UCC? --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 27 28 MR. JON DOODY :

MR. JON DOODY: Good morning, Minister Gould. 1 2 HON. KARINA GOULD: Good morning. 3 MR. JON DOODY: It's Jon Doody. I'm counsel for the Ukrainian Canadian Congress. We've heard from you 4 and others that the motivation to create the plan to protect 5 Canada's democracy was due to Russia's interference in the 6 U.S. and around the world. From when you got that mandate in 7 2017 and leading up to the 2019 election, did you see that 8 9 concern decrease or increase from Russia specifically? HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, I'm not sure I can 10 comment on specific intelligence. 11 MR. JON DOODY: No, but what I mean is Russia 12 13 as a country. 14 HON. KARINA GOULD: But what I can say is -well, I think that would maybe be classified information, but 15 16 what I can say is that I remained very concerned as I continued to learn, that this is something that Canada needed 17 to do, and we needed to make sure that we had a plan and a 18 19 process in place. MR. JON DOODY: Right. And you stated in 20 21 your testimony this morning that in every election there's 22 been attempts at foreign interference, but whether they're successful or not is another issue. 23 HON. KARINA GOULD: M'hm. 24 25 MR. JON DOODY: So do you believe that there are attempts by Russia to interfere in the 2019 and '21 26 election in Canada? 27 28 HON. KARINA GOULD: I don't think I can

comment on that. 1 MR. JON DOODY: So you believe that every 2 election there's attempts, but you don't know about these two 3 with Russia? 4 HON. KARINA GOULD: Well, yeah, I don't think 5 6 I can comment. MR. JON DOODY: And you said in response to a 7 question for counsel for Mr. O'Toole that as long as a 8 9 Canadian voter -- make sure I understand this -- goes to the voter box with their own understanding of the issues without 10 direct foreign interference, that that was a valid vote. 11 HON. KARINA GOULD: Yes. I mean, if you 12 13 consider an election -- a writ period, there's a lot of 14 information that is spread even by domestic actors that is not necessarily true. But the fact of the matter is, is, you 15 know, unless you can tie it specifically to a foreign actor 16 it's hard to determine that that is what made them cast that 17 ballot. 18 19 So one of the reasons why one of the pillars in the plan to protect democracy was about informing citizens 20 21 is so that citizens can have the tools to be able to identify 22 information, see valid sources. And that's also the reason

23 why we invited the NATO StratCom to come talk to Canadian 24 journalists as well, so that they, as arbiters of 25 information, can hopefully provide the best sources to 26 Canadian citizens.

27 MR. JON DOODY: In that scenario, if the
28 understanding of that voter is incorrect due to

misinformation or disinformation being spread by a foreign 1 2 state, would that vote still be valid? 3 HON. KARINA GOULD: Is that citizen casts that ballot, yes, and they were not forced to cast that 4 ballot. I mean, at the end of the day, Canadian citizens 5 6 make decisions on their votes based on a wide range of issues, a wide range of access to information. There's 7 8 plenty of stuff out there now that's false that's informing 9 people that's spread by domestic actors, right? So at the end of the day, if a Canadian has 10 made that decision, that their vote is valid. What we are 11 trying to do, or what I was trying to do was to set up an 12 13 infrastructure to enable Canadians to make informed choices 14 and have an understanding of where that information was coming from. 15 16 MR. JON DOODY: Thank you. 17 **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** Counsel for Human Rights Coalition. 18 19 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE) --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 20 21 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: 22 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good morning. 23 HON. KARINA GOULD: Good morning. 24 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: If the Court Operator could please pull up HRC 31? This is the Liberal Party of 25 Canada Bylaw governing procedure for the Permanent Appeals 26 Committee. 27 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. HRC 31: 28

GOULD Cr-Ex(Taylor)

LPC Procedure for the Permanent 1 2 Appeals Committee, Bylaw 9 3 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And if we could jump to 3.1 at the bottom of page 1? 4 It states that: 5 6 "two (2) Co-Chairs, [are] appointed by the National Board, with the 7 consent of the Leader..." 8 Minister, would that be the Leader of the 9 Liberal Party of Canada? 10 HON. KARINA GOULD: So I will just say that 11 before appearing here, I have never read this bylaw before. 12 13 I would assume it's the Leader of the Liberal Party of 14 Canada, but this was, I think, more a question for the Party apparatus as opposed to a Minister. 15 16 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay, we can move on. HON. KARINA GOULD: Yeah. 17 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Could we please pull up 18 19 next CAN 4079 R01? And if we could go to the top of page 2, 20 please? Thank you. 21 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 4079 R01: 22 CAN004079 R01 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: It reads: 23 24 "The PRC is known to target and/or 25 leverage family as part of its FI 26 [meaning foreign interference] and other threat activity, through 27 28 Operations FOXHUNT and SKYNET, for

example. The PRC could potentially 1 threaten or intimidate [redacted]." 2 3 What are your thoughts on this, Minister? HON. KARINA GOULD: Sorry; could you go to 4 the top of this briefing note for me? I'm not sure I ---5 6 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And my apologies; the document, it doesn't have identification in the database as 7 to what intelligence body prepared it, so I'm not able to 8 9 tell you. HON. KARINA GOULD: Okay. Yeah, I'm not sure 10 I've seen this document before. If that's the correct date, 11 then that's after the time that I was Minister of Democratic 12 13 Institutions. 14 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Would you be able to speak from -- you know, my understanding is that you have 15 received high-level briefings about actors involved in 16 potential foreign interference and the ways that they engage 17 in that foreign interference. Would you be able to speak to 18 19 the issue of the PRC targeting and/or leveraging families as part of the foreign interference -- that's foreign 20 21 interference in your role before that? Are you able to speak about it more generally? 22 23 HON. KARINA GOULD: I can speak more generally about my time as Minister of Democratic 24 25 Institutions. This is something that I have not seen before or been presented with. 26 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Have you been presented 27 28 with information that speaks to the PRC leveraging or

1	threatening family?
2	HON. KARINA GOULD: No.
3	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. So your answer is
4	simply you aren't in a position to discuss or answer
5	questions
6	HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct.
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: because you have not
8	received information about this issue?
9	HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct.
10	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay, thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
12	AG?
13	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Good morning,
14	Commissioner.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
16	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS:
17	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Good morning,
18	Minister. I just have two clarification questions.
19	You were taken to and we can pull this up
20	if we need to; you were taken to CAN 004252, which is a
21	security briefing dated October 29, 2019, which you I
22	
	believe your testimony was you weren't sure if you remembered
23	believe your testimony was you weren't sure if you remembered that the security briefing took place on that date.
23	that the security briefing took place on that date.
23 24	that the security briefing took place on that date. HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct.
23 24 25	that the security briefing took place on that date. HON. KARINA GOULD: Correct. MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And you were asked

MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Can you just confirm 1 2 for the record, as Minister of Democratic Institutions, did 3 you have responsibility and/or accountability to address any alleged incidents of foreign interference that would have 4 flowed ---5 6 HON. KARINA GOULD: No. 7 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: --- in respect of 8 Don Valley North? 9 HON. KARINA GOULD: No. MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And can you tell us 10 which Minister or which portfolio might have been 11 responsible? 12 13 HON. KARINA GOULD: I would think it would be 14 the Minister of Public Safety; however, I believe that that would -- if there was something that happened, that that 15 would be the purview of the RCMP because they would be the 16 17 ones that would respond in such instance because of a ---MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you, Minister. 18 19 HON. KARINA GOULD: --- yeah. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 20 21 Re-examination? MS. LYNDA MORGAN: 22 None. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So it's -- we'll break 23 24 for 20 -- we are starting with another witness, so I think it will be 11:30. Thank you very much. 25 HON. KARINA GOULD: Thank you. 26 (WITNESS WITHDRAWS/LE TÉMOIN SE RETIRE) 27 28 THE REGISTRAR: This sitting of the Foreign

GOULD Cr-Ex(Tzemenakis)

Interference Commission is now in recess until 11:20. Cette 1 séance est en pause jusqu'à 11 h 20. 2 Oh, correction; 11:30. Correction; 11 h 30. 3 --- Upon recessing at 11:20 a.m./ 4 --- La séance est suspendue à 11 h 20 5 6 --- Upon resuming at 11:30 a.m./ --- La séance est reprise à 11 h 30 7 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il 8 9 vous plaît. This sitting of the Foreign Interference 10 Commission is now back in session. Cette séance de la 11 Commission sur l'ingérence étrangère a repris. 12 13 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Good morning, Madam 14 Justice. 15 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Good morning. Mr. Cameron, you will conduct the 16 examination? 17 MR. GORDON CAMERON: Good morning, Madam 18 19 Commissioner. We have Minister William Blair. Can I have the witness sworn or affirmed, 20 21 please. 22 THE REGISTRAR: Do you wish to be sworn? You may sit. 23 24 Could you please state your name and spell your last name for the record, please? 25 26 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: My name is William 27 Sterling Blair. My surname is spelled B-l-a-i-r. 28 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.
1	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR, Sworn/Assermenté:
2	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you very much, sir.
3	You may proceed.
4	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN CHEF PAR
5	MR. GORDON CAMERON:
6	MR. GORDON CAMERON: Good morning,
7	Minister Blair.
8	I wonder if the court operator could pull up
9	WIT 64.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. WIT 64:
11	Public Interview Summary: the
12	Honourable Bill Blair, Minister of
13	National Defence
14	MR. GORDON CAMERON: And while he is doing
15	that, Minister Blair, I'll ask you if you remember that you
16	were interviewed by Commission Counsel on February 21st, and
17	then examined in-camera by Commission Counsel. And that we
18	have on the screen now the public interview summary that was
19	prepared in respect of your interview.
20	And can you tell me, did you have a chance to
21	review that document, the public version of it?
22	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes. Thank you,
23	Mr. Cameron. I do, of course, recall that I attended both
24	meetings. I have had the opportunity to review the interview
25	summaries, both the public interview and the <i>in-camera</i>
26	interview.
27	MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you. And were
28	they accurate?

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir. 1 MR. GORDON CAMERON: Do you have any 2 3 corrections you'd like to make now? HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: No, sir. I believe 4 they're an accurate reflection of the conversations that we 5 6 had. MR. GORDON CAMERON: Okay. And do you adopt 7 8 them as your evidence in this proceeding? 9 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: I do, sir. MR. GORDON CAMERON: 10 Thank you. If you could begin, Minister Blair, mindful 11 that we are a little bit constrained by time this morning, 12 13 but begin by giving us your role in public life and how you 14 arrived at the position of Minister of Public Safety. HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir. I'll try to 15 16 be brief. I became a Toronto police officer in 1976, and I performed a number of wide variety of functions within 17 policing, including in criminal intelligence and organised 18 19 crime. I, in 2005, was appointed the Chief of the Toronto Police Service, and I held that position as the Chief of, I 20 believe, the largest police service in Canada, for 21 22 approximately 10 years until April of 2026 (sic). During that period of time, I also served as the President of the 23 Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police, the Ontario 24 Association of Chiefs of Police, and many other national and 25 international organisations. 26 I retired from my policing career in -- on 27

27 I retired from my policing career in -- on 28 April 26th, 2015. I then sought the nomination to run for federal politics in the riding of Scarborough Southwest. I
 was elected on April 19th, or excuse me, October 19th of
 2015, and became a Member of Parliament.

In July of 2018, I was appointed to Privy 4 Council and the Cabinet of Canada as the Minister of Border 5 6 Security and Organised Crime Reduction. I then, following the election of 2019, I was appointed in November of 2019 as 7 the Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness. 8 9 Following the 2023 election, I was -- excuse me, the 2021 election, we didn't have one in '23, in 2021 election, I was 10 appointed the Minister of Emergency Preparedness for Canada, 11 and in July of last year, the Prime Minister appointed me as 12 13 Canada's Minister of National Defence, the position that I 14 currently hold.

MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you. And if I can
just capture from within that chronology, if I understand
correctly you were Minister of Public Safety from about
November of 2019, so shortly after the 2019 election, until
about October of 2021. Is that correct?

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yeah. Yes, sir. I held
that position until I was appointed to a new position, and
another individual was appointed in -- after -- following the
election of 2021 to the position of Public Safety.

MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you. Now, we had the benefit of hearing yesterday from senior personnel from the Department of Public Security. So what I'd like to ask you about is your perspective from the Minister's chair, being the Minister of that department and the responsible

could you describe that for the Commissioner, please? 2 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Again, I'll attempt to 3 do it briefly. As the Minister of Public Safety, I had a 4 number of responsibilities. Primarily, I was the Minister of 5 6 the Department of Public Safety, which is headed by a deputy minister, but there are also five agencies for which I had 7 ministerial oversight and responsibility. That included the 8 RCMP, the Canadian Border Services, CSIS, Corrections Canada 9 and the Parole Board. In addition, there are a number of 10 other review bodies pertaining to those organisations for 11 which I also had ministerial responsibility. 12 13 There is legislation with respect to the

position of Minister of Public Safety, defining some of those responsibilities, and in addition, each of the five agencies has foundational legislation that prescribes their authorities but also defines the role of the Minister in relation to those organisations.

MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you for that. And if you could just describe, then, in general terms how you would relate or interact with, for example, the Director of the Service or the Commissioner of the RCMP, how you as Minister would relate to the heads of the various agencies for which you were responsible?

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yeah, I had a very close
relationship with the heads of each of the agencies. My
primary point of contact in the Ministry was the Deputy
Minister of Public Safety, Mr. Rob Stewart, throughout my

67

1

person for the various agencies who report to the Minister,

entire -- or through the majority of my tenure in that position. That primarily pertains to issues around policy and other related matters to the Department.

I also interacted with the Commissioner of 4 the RCMP, the Director of CSIS, the President of CBSA, the 5 6 Commissioner responsible for Corrections Canada and the Chair of Parole Board, fairly regularly and routinely meeting with 7 And they had opportunities to brief me on matters 8 them. 9 related to their portfolios, and there were also for each of those departments certain authorities that I held over 10 approvals for certain activities within their departments 11 that they would come to me for and seek those approvals. 12

MR. GORDON CAMERON: And I'm just going to
note that we are trying to keep things at a pace the
interpreters, the simultaneous ---

16

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: I apologise.

17 MR. GORDON CAMERON: --- translators can keep
18 up with, so I'll just ask you to keep that in mind.

In the context that you were just describing, the way that you managed your responsibility for the various agencies, can you tell me what the role was of the ministerial directives that you might have occasion to issue with respect to any of the agencies?

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: One of my
responsibilities as Minister was to provide direction to the
agencies that were under my portfolio, and the mechanism by
which we'd do that was with the issuance of a written
ministerial directive that established priorities, for

example. And I think pertinent to this discussion, I did have the opportunity to issue ministerial directions to both the RCMP and CSIS outlining what I perceived to be the priorities of those agencies. And the intention of that was to give appropriate direction to the areas that I felt they should prioritise in their work.

7 MR. GORDON CAMERON: And did you issue such a
8 ministerial directive with respect to CSIS during your term?

9

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir, I did.

MR. GORDON CAMERON: And did that ministerial
 directive make reference of the Service's responsibility to
 investigate foreign interference?

13 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: It specifically 14 identified foreign interference as a priority for CSIS. As a matter of fact, in the list of priorities that were 15 identified, foreign interference was the second on the list. 16 And although it was not a prioritised list, I think its 17 position there reflects the importance of which I placed upon 18 19 it.

20 MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you. Now, noting 21 that you became the Minister of Public Safety after the 2019 22 election, what was your perspective on foreign interference 23 at the start of your term as Minister of Public Safety?

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: I had had the
opportunity -- first of all, and as I've already mentioned, I
had a very long police career, and I was aware of the -historically hostile activities of certain state actors with
respect to Canada, and the threat that that could represent

to Canada's national interest, to Canadian citizens, to our critical infrastructure.

3 As -- in my previous role, prior to becoming the Minister of Public Safety, as the Minister of Border 4 Security and Organised Crime Reduction, I also had the 5 6 benefit of some briefings under the authority of then Minister Goodall, who was the previous Minister of Public 7 8 Safety, with respect to information that was provided. And 9 when I was appointed, when I became the Minister of Public Safety, I had the benefit of very extensive briefings with 10 respect to the intelligence and the law enforcement 11 situation, the public safety situation in the country, which 12 13 included briefings with respect to issues around the hostile 14 activities of state actors and the wide variety of risks that that represented. 15

MR. GORDON CAMERON: Well, since you've
mentioned that, let me ask the court operator to pull up
WIT 64.

And if you can scroll to paragraph 13 of theinterview summary of Minister Blair.

21 Minister, the -- you can see it in 22 paragraph 13 of your interview summary there's a description 23 of your account of a briefing you received by CSIS after the 24 2019 election. Is this one of those briefings of the type 25 you were just describing?

26 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, it is.
 27 MR. GORDON CAMERON: And can you be more
 28 particular about this one as its discussed in your interview

summary, the one about the 2019 Don Valley North Liberal
 Party of Canada nomination?

3 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: As part of a number of briefings that was provided to me by the Director of CSIS, 4 there was a discussion about concerns that they had 5 6 identified through their intelligence reporting about the nomination process in 2019 that occurred in Don Valley North. 7 And they provided me with information with respect to the 8 9 intelligence that they had received that called into question that nomination process, suggesting that there may have been 10 irregularities in the number -- the people who participated 11 in that and the possibility that it had been influenced in 12 13 some way by the activities of the People's Republic of China, 14 or representatives of that country.

MR. GORDON CAMERON: And in your -- in paragraph 13 of your interview summary, you describe your reaction to that briefing. If you look at the sort of second half of the paragraph, you have some numbered points about your reaction.

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir. As I've
indicated, in previous roles in both policing and in my
previous roles in government, I have a fairly good
understanding of the nature of intelligence. Intelligence
isn't necessarily factual evidence of what took place, if
someone perceives that this has happened.

And so I made some inquiries during that briefing with respect to the source of that intelligence, that information, on -- to determine if I -- from CSIS'

perspective, the reliability of that individual, if there was corroborating evidence to support the intelligence that had been received, if there was other corroboration or manner -effort to substantiate that allegation, it -- they indicated to me that they did not at that time have other corroborating evidence in any way to substantiate that.

7 I also made inquiries if there was any 8 evidence beyond the nomination process itself of interference 9 in the electoral process that we had just gone through in the 10 2019 Election, and they did not indicate at that time to me 11 that there had been any impact during -- in that riding and 12 any evidence of interference following. Their concerns were 13 limited only to the nomination process.

And my perception of that was -- and my last question, was there any suggestion that the candidate was knowledgeable and aware of that? And they had no information to corroborate that.

MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you.

19Perhaps if the Court Operator could call up20CAN 3326?

21 <u>--- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 3326 :</u>

18

Letter from Public Safety Minister MR. GORDON CAMERON: Minister Blair, as you discussed in your *in-camera* evidence, you -- not long after your appointment as Minister, you had an initiative, and this was mentioned by your department in their evidence yesterday, so I'll just ask you again, from your perspective as the Minister, if you can start by describing the motion on

November 18th, 2020 to which the document we now have on the
 screen was a response, and why you responded to it with this
 report and letter to the MPs?

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, there had been a 4 motion on November 18th, 2020 in the House of Commons, when 5 6 the House sought information on what the Government was doing to address threats to the security, prosperity, and 7 democratic institutions right across the country. And in 8 9 response to that, I worked very closely with my department, and some excellent policy work that was done by Deputy 10 Minister and his team, along with my Ministry Office, we 11 crafted a response to that motion. 12

We also had discussion about, you know,
frankly tabling a response to a motion. In my experience,
those don't always receive the full attention of every Member
of Parliament, or the attention of Canadians. And I felt
that it was very important.

This information -- I think in order for 18 19 Canada to defend its institutions, or in order for us to take the steps necessary to respond to the threat of foreign 20 21 interference, it was necessary to inform my Parliamentary 22 colleagues, but also to inform Canadians of the nature of 23 that threat, give them information on what risk it represented, and also information on how they could then 24 respond. I wanted to tell my colleagues what the Government 25 was doing, but also to tell Canadians, if they saw evidence 26 of foreign interference. 27

28

The response that is provided in this

document did not limit itself, quite frankly, to just 1 political interference. There was a great deal of concern, 2 which frankly I still hold, with respect to the hostile 3 activities of state actors in interfering with a number of 4 our critical infrastructure, our life sciences and health 5 6 sciences institutions, our research capabilities. There are a number of cyber threats that are also quite significant and 7 deeply concerning to our national interest. 8

9 And the purpose of this letter was to inform 10 my Parliamentary Colleagues, and through my Parliamentary 11 colleagues, by publishing this document and making it -- and 12 tabling it in Parliament, to inform Canadians about the full 13 nature of this threat, and to inform Canadians about what 14 their government was doing in response to it.

MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you. And with respect to a particular topic, this is a report of some 12 pages long, but I just -- if I could take you to one little section of it and ask for your comments?

19 If the Court Operator could scroll down to 20 page 11 of this report?

And if you look down under the heading "Protecting our citizens and [...] communities", there's a paragraph that begins:

24 "Canada does not tolerate harassment
 25 or intimidation of its citizens."
 26 And you might recollect that in both your
 27 interview and in your *in-camera* evidence, we explored this
 28 issue of your concern as Minister for diaspora communities in

Canada, and just noting that this is a part of your report,
 could you comment on that for the Commissioner, please?

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir. There was and 3 remains a fairly significant concern about the activities of 4 certain hostile states in harassing or intimidating our 5 6 citizens. I made reference in this document, for example, to Operation Foxtrot, in which the Government of China was 7 attempting to gather information and to intimidate people in 8 9 Canada with respect to certain economic investigations that they were conducting. 10

I've spent most of my life trying to keep 11 Canadians safe, and it's been my job, and I believe the best 12 13 way to keep Canadians safe is to give them information on how 14 to protect themselves, but also to tell them what steps to take when they perceive that there is intimidation and 15 16 threats taking place, that they're not alone, and that we're going to be there for them. And I was hoping to make that 17 clear in this document, that we would not tolerate it and if 18 19 they perceived that they were subject to intimidation or threat through the course of action of a hostile government, 20 such as the People's Republic of China, that the Government 21 22 would take it seriously and that we would respond.

MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you. I'm going to
switch gears now and talk to you just in a general sense
about the flow of information and intelligence to you as
Minister. Not about any specific document or incident, but
just generally speaking.

28

And let me begin by asking you, did you have

a security clearance to see classified intelligence? 1 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir. I hold -- as 2 member of the Privy Council, but also by virtue of the 3 various positions that I've held, I have clearance for 4 essentially the highest levels of intelligence. 5 6 MR. GORDON CAMERON: Including ---HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Some internationally 7 8 shared Five Eyes intelligence. 9 MR. GORDON CAMERON: Right. So there would be no intelligence that you wouldn't be able to see if the 10 appropriate agencies thought it was appropriate that you be 11 briefed on it? 12 13 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: I don't think there's 14 any restriction on what I am able to ---15 MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you. 16 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: --- be made aware of. MR. GORDON CAMERON: And generally speaking, 17 in your tenure as Minister, how did classified intelligence 18 19 come to your attention? HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: There were certain --20 there's various levels of classification of material. And 21 22 frankly, I've always tried to be very careful with the handling of all classified information, and I frankly never 23 take it from the room or make notes with respect to it 24 because that would, in my opinion, compromise its security. 25 In my role as the Minister of Public Safety, 26 I generally have access, periodically, to some classified 27 material, but virtually everything of a top-secret nature was 28

BLAIR In-Ch (Cameron)

only shared with me in the confines of a secure environment, 1 a SCIF. Generally throughout my tenure as Public Safety 2 3 Minister, either in the SCIF at -- [phone ringing]. I apologize. I may be subject to some form of interference. 4 (LAUGHTER/RIRES) 5 6 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: All top-secret material was shared to me in the confines of a SCIF, either at 269 7 Laurier here in Ottawa where there is a secure room where 8 9 briefings could take place, in the same building as my Ministerial Office was located. 10 I also attended on a number of -- quite a 11 frequent number of occasions at the CSIS Headquarters, which 12 13 is located in Toronto, where there is secure facilities where 14 information would be shared with me in a secure room. Ι would enter that room. Occasionally there would be secure 15 communications. Either the Director and his team would be 16 17 present, the Director of CSIS and his team would be present at briefings. Sometimes that was done virtually, 18 19 particularly during the pandemic, where we were able to use secure communications for that purpose. And occasionally I 20 21 would just be in the room and they would present a binder of 22 documents that I would read through. MR. GORDON CAMERON: Okay. Could you just 23 expand a little bit on that experience again and describe for 24 25 me who would be briefing you? Maybe not the same group every time, but typically, who are the personnel briefing you and 26 who are the personnel with you on the Ministerial side or the 27 departmental side of those briefings? 28

1 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: In every case, the 2 briefing was done by the Director with his team and so the 3 Deputy Director and sometimes their Associate Director would 4 be present in the room. In addition, not in every case, but in some 5 6 cases, the Deputy Minister and others of his team. His ADM, 7 Mr. Rochon, would also be present in the room. And generally, my Chief of Staff would be present certainly in 8 9 the meetings that took place in Ottawa. And when I attended to CSIS Headquarters, I 10 will tell you frequently I was in the room by myself. I was 11 sometimes connected virtually by screens and sometimes CSIS 12 13 personnel would simply come in, present a binder of documents 14 and I would read through them. 15 MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you. I'm just going to ask if I can clarify a 16 detail in your evidence there. 17 When you talk about attending at CSIS in 18 19 Toronto, I think you're talking about attending at the CSIS regional -- Toronto regional office, right, not CSIS 20 21 Headquarters? 22 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir. I'm not sure whether you want me to give the address, but ---23 No, no. I don't want 24 MR. GORDON CAMERON: you to do that. But it was the Toronto regional office and 25 not Headquarters; right? 26 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: No, it's the Toronto 27 28 regional office.

1	MR. GORDON CAMERON: Thank you.
2	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: And its place because
3	of all the work I did in Toronto and I was also a member of
4	the INSET team dealing with national security investigations.
5	I've attended there very frequently.
6	But I will just in the past few weeks,
7	I've attended secret intel briefings there.
8	MR. GORDON CAMERON: That's been helpful.
9	Thank you.
10	Madam Commissioner, those are my questions.
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
12	Cross-examination. First one is counsel for
13	RCDA.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY / CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
15	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS:
16	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Good morning, Minister
17	Blair. Guillaume Sirois, for the Russia Canadian Democratic
18	Alliance.
19	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir.
20	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: In your witness
21	summary, you mentioned the evolution over time of
22	misinformation and disinformation; correct?
23	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir.
24	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Can you tell me a
25	little bit more about this evolution?
26	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: There are a number of
27	ways in which foreign interference can take place. Some of
28	it is, you know, directed towards the intimidation or

coercion of individual Canadians or institutions. It can 1 also take the form of espionage in capturing information. 2 3 But one of the challenges that we face is in the way in which Canadians now receive most of their 4 information through social media. There is a concern, I 5 6 think a legitimate concern, of misinformation and disinformation. And I would differentiate between them. 7 One is just simply providing false 8 Another is -- frankly, has a more nefarious 9 information. intent, to not just misinform, but to create a public 10 perception which is not based on fact. 11 And we have seen the activities of a number 12 13 of hostile states, and again, I would -- if I may, I would differentiate between a number of -- all foreign states 14 attempt to influence other countries and other citizens in 15 their best interest. But through the application of 16 misinformation and disinformation, it meets the threshold of 17 foreign interference if it is deceptive, if it is clandestine 18 19 and clearly intended to create chaos and mischief and disagreement. 20 21 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Thank you. 22 I'm wondering, why is this a concern for public safety? Is there a chance that this misinformation or 23 disinformation becomes a real threat to the security of 24 Canadians, like threats to violence and so on? 25 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Well, if I may, let me 26 sort of reflect during the period in which I was the Public 27

28

Safety Minister.

There were a number of efforts among our 1 public health officials in order to take steps that were 2 3 necessary in order to keep Canadians safe, but unfortunately, there was a great deal of misinformation and some 4 disinformation that was being widely circulated among the 5 6 Canadian population which interfered with public health's efforts to keep Canadians healthy and safe. And so that can 7 represent a threat to the public safety of the country. 8 It also -- what we seen is one of the intents 9 of disinformation is to create significant social division 10 within the country and, you know, I think it is a well-11 protected right of Canadians to hold an opinion and to 12 13 express that opinion under our Charter but, at the same time, 14 if those opinions are being negatively influenced by misinformation with a nefarious intent to cause that social 15 division, it can represent a concern for public safety. 16 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And is what you just 17 mentioned -- did you witness what you just mentioned 18 19 specifically during the 43rd and 44th General Elections? HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: The misinformation that 20

21 we saw, there ---

22 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Just to clarify, I'm 23 talking not necessarily about the misinformation, 24 disinformation online, but perhaps the transfer of this issue 25 to real threats to public safety, for instance, blocking 26 polling stations, refusing to wear a mask at polling stations 27 so that there was ---

28

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Frankly, we saw those as

that disinformation and the reaction that it created was a challenge, but in my opinion, it did not rise the threshold as interfering with our ability to hold a free and fair election in Canada.

5 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Oh, okay. I was not 6 questioning whether it was -- it met the threshold. I was 7 just questioning as whether -- is it something that the 8 Public Safety witnessed or was aware of during the -- at 9 least the 2021 election.

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Well, I can't tell you -10 - my officials did not brief me specifically on the impact of 11 mis or disinformation on the 2021 election, but I think all 12 13 Canadians observed and recognized, you know, the wide 14 diversity of information that was being put forward. And it was a concern, but it did not rise to the level that our 15 officials came forward and said this is something that we 16 17 need to respond to.

MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: 18 Okav. 19 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: At least not to me. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And you mentioned in -20 21 - just my last question. You mentioned numerous hostile states in one of your previous answers about mis and 22 disinformation. 23 Would one of those be Russia? 24 25 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes. 26 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And with Russia in Canada specifically, or generally? 27 28 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Both Canada specifically

1 and generally.

2 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And in our elections
3 specifically or generally in ---

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: I did not see
substantial evidence of Russian efforts to influence our
elections through disinformation. I think and we have
observed a fairly concerted effort among a number of hostile
actors, including Russia, to engage in disinformation within
our society, but not specifically directed at our electoral
processes in the 2021 election.

MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: So in 2021 and 2019.
HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: In either election. I'm
not aware of any activity by Russia through their
disinformation campaigns to influence the outcome of that
election. They were influencing other types of public
opinion, but I did not see evidence of it directed towards
the outcome of our 2019 or 2021 elections.

18 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. I'm out of
19 time, but I think you, Mr. Blair.

20 COMMISSOINER HOGUE: Next is counsel for
21 Human Rights Coalition.

22 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR

23 MS. HANNA TAYLOR:

24

28

MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Hello, Minister.

If I could ask the court reporter to please
pull up CAN 3326. My colleague for the Commission has
already brought this document up this morning.

I understand it's a letter that you wrote

1 dated December 18, 2020. 2 If we could turn to page 3 to the last 3 paragraph on the page. HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: If I may just offer some 4 clarification, I had a great deal of help among my officials, 5 6 the Deputy Minister and his team and my officials in 7 composing this letter and -- but I adopt it all and added my signature to it, so I am the sender of the letter, but it was 8 9 very much a team effort. 10 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. So prepared by a number of actors, but you adopt what's said in the letter --11 or you agree with what is said in the letter. 12 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, ma'am. 13 14 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you. 15 So that paragraph, it reads: 16 "When foreign states target Canadians, persons residing in Canada 17 or their families, they are seeking 18 19 to deprive members of Canadian communities of their fundamental 20 21 rights and freedoms. Such actions 22 are unacceptable. If anyone feels intimidated or threatened, it is of 23 24 the most importance to contact your 25 local police and I can assure you 26 that your concerns will be dealt with in a serious and appropriate manner." 27 28 Do you remember this sentiment being prepared

BLAIR Cr-Ex(Taylor)

or your ---1 2 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: No, ma'am. This is something that I believe very strongly in and I want -- if 3 people feel that they are being subject to threats or 4 intimidation, it's really important that they reach out for 5 6 the help that's available to them. MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: If we could please pull 7 8 up COM 155 and turn to paragraph 289 on page 106 of the 9 document. --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 155: 10 Annual Report 2019 11 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: This is NSICOP's 2019 12 13 annual report, and I'll just wait for this. It might take a 14 moment for it to load. Maybe in the meantime, in the interest of time, I can read it out and we'll just make sure 15 that it's up there. 16 So in paragraph 289, at page 106, it notes: 17 "... in a spring 2019 presentation to 18 19 the Standing Senate Committee on 20 Foreign Affairs and International 21 Trade, the Secretary General of 22 Amnesty International Canada noted 23 that those who are targeted do not know whether to turn to CSIS, the 24 25 RCMP or municipal police, and that 26 they rarely receive a coherent response from officials." 27 Likewise, and if you'd like we can ---28

BLAIR Cr-Ex(Taylor)

1	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I think
2	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: wait to see it.
3	Yeah.
4	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes. I think it will be
5	better to have the document.
6	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Certainly.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: At least the paragraph.
8	The document is there, but
9	Can you repeat the paragraph number?
10	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Sure. So it's at
11	paragraph 289. You'd like me to read it out loud again,
12	Madam Commissioner?
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No, paragraph 29.
14	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Two-eighty-nine.
15	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Oh, 289. Sorry.
16	There you are.
17	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Oh, I'm sorry. Now, I've
18	got it'll be on are we on page 106 of the document?
19	Perhaps the PDF, I or the document. Okay.
20	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, ma'am, it was
21	the paragraph in question, 289, is open before me.
22	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. I think I'm just
23	making sure that it matches.
24	Could we try the PDF page 106? My apologies.
25	I should have taken note of which one it was.
26	Okay. Perhaps we can move on. I apologise.
27	At the start of these hearings, we heard from
28	a panel of representatives from diaspora community

organisations, who explained that members of targeted diaspora communities often think it's a waste of time to even try to contact the police because in their experience nothing comes of it, or they get bounced around to different agencies.

Are you aware that community members are
experiencing these difficulties when they attempt to contact
law enforcement for help?

9 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yeah, I've been a police officer in one of the most diverse cities in the world for a 10 very, very many years, and worked very hard in those diverse 11 communities to make sure that they can know and trust that 12 13 the police will respond appropriately. One of the things I attempted to do in the letter that I published to 14 parliamentarians and tabled in Parliament, was to actually 15 provide for Canadians the direct contacts with both CSIS and 16 the RCMP, it's articulated in that letter. But one of the 17 reasons I made reference to local police is because if there 18 19 is a immediate threat to someone's safety and they're concerned for their safety that's a 9-1-1 call. And it's 20 21 really important that Canadians know that if they make that 22 call that someone will come there and help them to be safe. And that's the information. 23

And I would also acknowledge too that many diaspora communities, you know, often come from cultural experiences which makes them untrustful of the police. And it really is incumbent upon all police services, the RCMP and CSIS, to make a very sincere effort to build trust in those

1 communities so that people know that if they need help 2 they'll get help.

MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And speaking specifically
to reports of foreign interference through perhaps tip lines,
web forums for public reporting, are you aware that diaspora
communities are having difficulties accessing those
mechanisms?

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: I'm not, but that would 8 9 be a concern to me because those are established in order to help people report their concerns and to be safe. And I 10 think it -- your question highlights the need for us to do 11 more to make sure we reach out to those communities, make it 12 13 available to them in ways which are both language and 14 culturally appropriate so that people can trust that if they need help they'll get it. 15

16 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And so by virtue of the fact that you've recognised that there's a lot more work to 17 do to make sure that law enforcement can properly address the 18 19 concerns of diaspora communities or they can properly engage in that reporting, access help, does that change your opinion 20 21 as to whether or not you can assure Canadians that they're 22 concerns will be dealt with in a serious and appropriate manner by law enforcement as you -- as it was stated in that 23 letter? 24

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, ma'am. I can tell
you that I have represented Canadian Police Services across
this country as president of the national association, and I
work very closely with my colleagues in policing at all

levels of policing in this country. I believe there is a 1 very sincere effort to reach out to diaspora communities and 2 to ensure that we are there for them in a way that is both 3 language and culturally appropriate. 4 Building trust is a -- requires a constant 5 6 effort. Part of that is providing those citizens with a reassurance that we will answer their call and that we will 7 respond in an appropriate way. And I've tried to provide 8 that reassurance in this document. 9 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you very much, 10 Minister. 11 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Thank you. 12 13 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 14 Counsel for Michael Chong. 15 MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you, Commissioner. No questions. 16 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No questions. 17 Conservative Party. 18 19 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 20 MR. NANDO de LUCA: 21 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Good morning, 22 Minister Blair. 23 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Good morning, sir. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Just bear with me. I've 24 had to change equipment here. 25 26 Minister Blair, in your witness statement at WIT 63. 27 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 63: 28

1 In Camera Examination Summary: the Honourable Bill Blair, Minister of 2 3 Defence MR. NANDO de LUCA: Perhaps we can get that 4 called up. 5 6 Paragraph 12, sir. You discuss approving judicial warrants under the CSIS Act? 7 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: That's correct. 8 MR. NANDO de LUCA: As Minister of Public 9 Safety. And am I correct that your evidence, as indicated 10 there, that it usually takes you two-and-a-half hours to 11 three hours to review and sign off on such warrants? 12 13 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: It's approximately. Ιt 14 depends on the complexity of the application, but that's usually the amount that it takes. 15 16 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And in your experience, including as a police officer and former chief of 17 police, would you agree that warrants and applications for 18 19 warrants are often very time sensitive? 20 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes, sir. 21 MR. NANDO de LUCA: And you'd agree that 22 delay in approving a warrant or applying for a warrant could jeopardise an investigation and the evidence that you're 23 actually seeking to obtain under the warrant? 24 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: No, I think there always 25 has to be a balance of -- there's an appropriate due 26 diligence of officials in preparing -- preparation of those 27 documents. There are also issues around candor and other 28

BLAIR Cr-Ex(de Luca)

1 matters that need to be addressed. But certainly any undue delay is -- can be problematic. 2 3 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Right. It could jeopardise the investigation. 4 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Depending on the 5 investigation, but yes. 6 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can I get MCC000053 7 8 called up. --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. MCC 53: 9 Bill Blair took months to approve 10 CSIS surveillance of Liberal 11 powerbroker, national-security source 12 13 says 14 MR. NANDO de LUCA: And Minister Blair, this 15 is an article from the Globe and Mail, dated May 19, 2023, which generally deals with foreign interference from China, 16 and it also includes an assertion at the top of page 2. 17 Perhaps we can scroll to that. 18 19 MS. ERIN DANN: Commissioner, I'm sorry to interrupt Mr. De Luca. I just wanted to raise a potential 20 21 concern as to the -- whether or not this line of questioning 22 may be -- go beyond the scope of these first set of hearings which are directed, as you mentioned in your opening remarks, 23 to the allegations of foreign interference in the 2019 and 24 25 2021 general elections, information flow relating to those, 26 and two decisionmakers. As noted, other related issues in respect of 27 28 foreign interference may be addressed at later proceedings.

BLAIR Cr-Ex(de Luca)

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I'll see what is the 1 2 line of questioning. 3 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Sorry. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Just go on with your 4 question ---5 6 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- and I see whether --7 8 9 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Sure. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- you're outside the 10 scope of this space ---11 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Sure. 12 13 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- or not. 14 MR. NANDO de LUCA: So there's a passage that's highlighted in the document itself in purple. Perhaps 15 you could read that to yourself to save me from reading it 16 into the record. But generally, it suggests that there was 17 undue delay in your signing off on a warrant or -- to surveil 18 19 Michael Chan in the lead up to the 2021 federal election. Can you comment on why it took so long for 20 21 you to sign off on the warrant? 22 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yeah, let me comment. This paragraph is false. 23 24 MR. NANDO de LUCA: What aspects of it are false, sir? 25 26 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: There was no delay of several months. The document in question ---27 28 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Right.

BLAIR Cr-Ex(de Luca)

1	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: was put in front of
2	me on May the 11th. I signed it off the same day, about
3	three hours later.
4	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Thank you. Those
5	are my questions, sir.
6	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
8	Next one is Jenny Kwan, counsel for Jenny
9	Kwan.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
11	MS. MANI KAKKAR:
12	MS. MANI KAKKAR: Good morning, Commissioner,
13	and Mr. Blair.
14	Mr. Blair, I would like to ask you some
15	questions about CSIS' threat reduction measure power and your
16	oversight of that. My understanding is that you, as the
17	Minister, have over any TRMs that CSIS may want to pursue?
18	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: That's correct.
19	MS. MANI KAKKAR: And just to understand,
20	what does oversight mean in this case? Are you required to
21	approve any such TRMs?
22	HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: CSIS when a TRM would
23	be sought by CSIS, they would come and brief me, seek my
24	concurrence. My understanding of legislation doesn't
25	necessarily require my approval, per say, but it does require
26	that CSIS make me aware of it, and that I concur with the
27	actions taken.
28	MS. MANI KAKKAR: Were there so just to

take a step back then, could you approach CSIS about a 1 potential situation in which you felt a TRM was appropriate? 2 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: There would be nothing 3 to limit my ability to do that. 4 MS. MANI KAKKAR: Okay. And in the context 5 6 of foreign interference and during your tenure, did CSIS approach you of any TRMs that were related to or targeted to 7 foreign interference? 8 9 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: No, not specifically. There were things that did not meet the threshold of CSIS 10 seeking authority for a TRM, but there were a number of I 11 think really important and relevant discussions with respect 12 13 to various serious concerns that CSIS had with respect to, 14 for example, foreign interference in some of our health sciences institutions and research institutions. And we 15 discussed measures that could be taken in response to that. 16 17 And as a result, CSIS took the steps of very proactively going to those institutions, briefing those 18 19 institutions, alerting them to the nature of the risk, and helping them take steps to mitigate that risk. 20 21 MS. MANI KAKKAR: Okay. So that's an example 22 of a TRM during your tenure that was brought to you by CSIS, and that you concurred with, and then was taken and actually 23 24 implemented? 25 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes. MS. MANI KAKKAR: Okay. Were there any 26 examples where you brought to CSIS the possibility of using a 27 TRM to address a foreign interference issue? 28

1

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: No.

2 MS. MANI KAKKAR: No. And were you briefed 3 or made aware of CSIS' TRM undertaking just before you became Minister to brief candidates of foreign interference related 4 issues during the election? 5

6 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: I did have discussion, and I had some awareness that CSIS intended to proactively 7 speak to -- frankly, I had a concern that I discussed with 8 the Director about Members of Parliament or candidates who 9 might be unconsciously influenced or interfered with as a 10 result of the action of a hostile government. And I felt it 11 was important to give those individuals enough information so 12 13 that they would recognize the interference and to alert them 14 to how they might take steps in order to protect themselves, and to make sure that they knew that CSIS was there to help 15 them and support them. And so we did have discussions. 16

CSIS did not tell me specifically who they 17 wanted to talk to, or the information that they would share 18 19 with them, but we did talk about the importance of what is sometimes called defensive briefings or proactive briefings 20 21 of -- that CSIS would undertake with an individual, sometimes 22 Parliamentarians, or candidates.

MS. MANI KAKKAR: And so based on the 23 evidence you're giving now, would you have known not 24 necessarily who was briefed or what they were told, but that 25 the briefing actually occurred? 26

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: 27 No, there was no 28 reporting mechanism whereby CSIS would tell me who they were

going to talk to, or if they had in fact talked to anybody.
 At no time did CSIS come back and say to me, while I was the
 Minister of Public Safety, that they had actually conducted a
 defensive briefing, or that they were intending to do so.

5 We talked about the process, but CSIS did not 6 share with me the information of anyone that they felt that 7 it was necessary to talk to or what information they wanted 8 to share with that individual.

9 MS. MANI KAKKAR: So in the oversight
10 function that you had, it was to sort of concur on these
11 TRMs, but did you have any sort of oversight function to
12 determine if the TRMs were an effective means of producing a
13 particular result? Or is that left entirely to CSIS to do?

14 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Well it's an operational matter for CSIS, and so the information that they had --15 Ministerial oversight, if I may, it did not mean that I was 16 sort of overseeing and actively engaged in managing their 17 inquiries, their intelligence gathering, or their -- even 18 19 their operations in order to mitigate threat. It was to provide Ministerial direction on priorities and where it was 20 21 necessary for them to seek authority, to provide that 22 authority.

But decisions with respect to the operational response, the gathering of intelligence, the sharing of intelligence, and information that they would take to mitigate the nature of threat, was the responsibility of CSIS.

28

MS. MANI KAKKAR: Thank you for your

testimony. It's very ---1 2 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: You're very welcome. 3 MS. MANI KAKKAR: --- helpful clarification. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: 4 Thank you. Counsel for Han Dong. 5 6 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. EMILY YOUNG: 7 MS. EMILY YOUNG: Good morning, Minister. 8 9 Good morning, Madam Commissioner. If I could ask the Court Reporter, please, to 10 pull up WIT 64 again? Page 5, paragraph 13. 11 So Minister Blair, you have already had some 12 13 discussions about the briefing that's addressed in this 14 paragraph with Mr. Cameron this morning. I'd just like to clarify a particular aspect of your evidence. 15 So looking at paragraph 13 here on the 16 screen, you said that you were not concerned about the 17 intelligence regarding Don Valley North at the time you were 18 19 briefed. Is that right? HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: I think it was important 20 21 to be briefed on this by CSIS, but it did not raise concerns 22 for me based on the information that CSIS provided, that with respect to this process, or any compromise of the election, 23 or there was no indication in the briefing that Mr. Dong was 24 a willing, or even an aware participant in this. 25 MS. EMILY YOUNG: Okay. Thank you. 26 And I just want to put a point on what we see here is that you 27 28 actually gave three specific reasons that you weren't

concerned about the intelligence at the time, and I was just
 hoping that to the extent you've not already spoke about
 them, you could just do so now? Those three reasons.

HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: 4 Yeah, if I may, just going through the three reasons that I shared in my earlier 5 6 testimony, I did make inquiries about the source of this information, whether or not it was single source or multiple, 7 whether or not this individual had previously provided 8 9 information which was found reliable or not, whether there was any corroborative evidence or other elements of the CSIS 10 investigation that would substantiate the intelligence in 11 this thing. 12

I think it's important to recognize that intelligence isn't necessarily truth. It is the beginning of other inquiries and it has to be assessed in a broad context of reliability in order to make a determination of next steps.

The second thing that I specifically inquired about was whether or not that there was any intelligence or suggestion that Mr. Dong was aware of this potential interference, or in any way a willing participant, and the indication that CSIS provided me at that time was that they had no evidence that suggested that.

And finally, my concern, because we -- it had been a longstanding concern about the integrity of our elections, whether or not the -- because this briefing was given to me after the 2019 Election, whether or not there had been any other interference or influence that could have

BLAIR Cr-Ex(Young)

influenced the outcome of the 2019 Election in Don Valley 1 North. And they indicated that they had no information that 2 3 indicated that. MS. EMILY YOUNG: 4 Okay. Thank you. Those are our questions. 5 6 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. Attorney General? 7 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: No questions. 8 9 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No questions. 10 Re-examination? So you're free to leave ---11 MR. GORDON CAMERON: Excuse me, Madam 12 13 Commissioner. I don't have any re-examination, but I just 14 wanted to make an observation that we called Minister Blair to speak to his term as Minister generally and that the 15 timing of any specific incident or warrant is not an issue in 16 this part of the proceedings. 17 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 18 19 HON. WILLIAM BLAIR: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So we'll take five 20 21 minutes break to -- just the time to switch witnesses. THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre, 22 s'il vous plaît. 23 24 This sitting of the Foreign Interference Commission is currently in pause. Cette séance de la 25 Commission d'ingérence est en pause. 26 --- Upon recessing at 12:20 p.m./ 27 28 --- La séance est suspendue à 12 h 20
--- Upon resuming at 12:43 p.m./ 1 --- La séance est reprise à 12 h 43 2 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il 3 vous plait. 4 This sitting of the Foreign Interference 5 6 Commission is back in session. Cette séance de la Commission sur l'ingérence étrangère a repris. 7 8 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So my apologies for the 9 delay, but we are now ready. MS. ERIN DANN: Thank you very much, 10 Commissioner. It's Erin Dann, Commission counsel, and our 11 next witness is Minister LeBlanc. 12 13 If he could be sworn -- if the witness could 14 be sworn, please. 15 LE GREFFIER: Veuillez indiquer votre nom et épeler votre prénom pour le dossier. 16 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Dominic LeBlanc. 17 LE GREFFIER: Et épelez votre prénom, s'il 18 19 vous plait? HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: D-O-M-I-N-I-C. 20 21 **LE GREFFIER:** OK. Et votre dernier nom? 22 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: C'est L-E-B majuscule - les Acadiens, c'est un B majuscule - L-A-N-C. La 23 24 Commissaire est québécoise, elle va comprendre ça. 25 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Oui. Je réalise surtout qu'on a fait une erreur. 26 27 LE GREFFIER: Jurez-vous que le témoi... HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Ah oui? 28

COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: C'est écrit petit « b » 1 2 sur votre ... HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Ah, OK, j'ai pas 3 remarqué. 4 LE GREFFIER: Jurez-vous que le témoignage 5 6 que vous allez rendre sera la vérité, toute la vérité, et 7 rien que la vérité? HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Je le jure. 8 9 --- HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC, Sworn/Assermenté: LE GREFFIER: Merci beaucoup. 10 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Merci à vous. 11 LE GREFFIER: Procédez. 12 13 --- EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR 14 MS. ERIN DANN: 15 MS. ERIN DANN: Good afternoon. Minister LeBlanc, do you recall being interviewed by the Commission 16 counsel on February 22nd, 2024? 17 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: I do. 18 MS. ERIN DANN: Right. And if I could ask 19 20 that WIT 65 be called up. 21 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 65: 22 Public Interview Summary: the Honourable Dominic LeBlanc 23 24 MS. ERIN DANN: Minister, this is a summary 25 of the publicly disclosable information from that interview. 26 Have you had a chance to review the summary? HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, I have. 27 **MS. ERIN DANN:** And is it accurate? 28

1	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: It is.
2	MS. ERIN DANN: And will you adopt it as part
3	of your evidence before the Commission?
4	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: I will.
5	MS. ERIN DANN: Thank you.
6	And next, if we could go to WIT 52.
7	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 52:
8	Public Summary of In Camera
9	Examination: Minister Dominic LeBLanc
10	MS. ERIN DANN: This is a summary, Minister,
11	of your in camera examination. Have you had an opportunity
12	to review this summary?
13	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, I have.
14	MS. ERIN DANN: And is it accurate?
15	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, it is.
16	MS. ERIN DANN: And will you adopt it as part
17	of your evidence before the Commission?
18	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: I will.
19	MS. ERIN DANN: Thank you.
20	You've had a number of roles in government, a
21	number of roles in Cabinet. I will try and take you through
22	what I understand your various positions have been since
23	approximately August of 2018 and please correct me if I get
24	any of this wrong.
25	I understand that in August of 2018, you were
26	appointed Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs and you held
27	that position until 2019. Is that right?
28	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes.

MS. ERIN DANN: And after the election in 1 2019, you were appointed President of what was then the 2 3 Queen's Privy Council for Canada, which included responsibilities for Democratic Institutions. 4 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: That's correct. 5 6 MS. ERIN DANN: In the summer of 2020, you were appointed Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs and you 7 served in those offices as Minister of Intergovernmental 8 Affairs and with responsibility for Democratic Institutions 9 until the 2021 election. 10 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: That's right. 11 MS. ERIN DANN: Right. And after the 2021 12 13 election, you were appointed Minister of Intergovernmental 14 Affairs and retained responsibility for Democratic Institutions. 15 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: That's right. And I 16 had the Infrastructure and Communities portfolio attached as 17 well. 18 19 MS. ERIN DANN: Thank you for that addition. And in 2023, you were appointed Minister of 20 21 Public Safety, Democratic Institutions and Intergovernmental 22 Affairs. HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: That's right. 23 MS. ERIN DANN: All right. Glad I didn't 24 leave any -- you have the record for longest title, I think. 25 26 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: I have a hard time 27 keeping a job, you see. 28 MS. ERIN DANN: Given the scope of this stage

of the proceedings, I'll focus my questions today primarily
 on your responsibilities in relation to Democratic
 Institutions.

4 Can you describe your role or mandate in5 relation to that portfolio?

6 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Les institutions démocratiques, c'est surtout un secrétariat à l'intérieur du 7 Bureau du Conseil privé pour développer des politiques, 8 9 considérer des changements législatifs, par exemple, pour appuyer la capacité des Canadiens d'avoir des élections 10 libres et bien sécures. C'est une fonction de politiques 11 publiques, évidemment, l'Agence d'élections Canada est 12 13 indépendante, gère la mécanique de nos élections, mais c'est 14 la façon que le gouvernement et l'Exécutif, le Conseil des 15 ministres interagit avec l'appareil électoral.

16

Me ERIN DANN: Merci.

Et je poserai mes questions en anglais, mais,
of course, feel free to answer in the language of your
choice.

20 We heard this morning from your colleague, 21 Minister Gould, about her work in developing the plan to 22 protect democracy. Did your responsibilities in relation to 23 Democratic Institutions include reviewing or updating that 24 plan?

HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, they did. She
was the Minister in the lead-up to the 2019 General Election.
I remember as a Minister her coming to Cabinet with that
plan. I remember conversations with her as a colleague

1 around that work. And after the 2019 election, when I took over 2 that responsibility, one of the mandates that I got was to 3 review how the plan had worked in the 2019 election and come 4 back to Cabinet with any suggested changes or adjustments for 5 6 the upcoming election. 7 We were then in a minority Parliament, so we 8 wanted to have those measures in place. 9 MS. ERIN DANN: And did part of that include reviewing what we've heard referred to as the Judd Report, 10 the May 2020 assessment on the Critical Election Incident 11 Public Protocol? 12 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, it did. That was 13 14 a deliberate decision made by the government to have an 15 independent review by a very senior public servant, former Deputy Minister, Director of CSIS. So once we got Mr. Judd's 16 report, I worked with the senior officials at the Privy 17 Council Office to make any adjustments that Mr. Judd 18 19 recommended. We also had the benefit of a National 20 21 Security and Intelligence Committee of Parliamentarians 22 report, so that work went into the sort of second version or 2.0 version of what Karina Gould had taken to Cabinet two 23 24 years previously. 25 MS. ERIN DANN: Thank you. 26 And just for the benefit of the participants, the Judd Report can be found at CAN 900. We don't need to 27 28 bring it up.

1	I would ask the court operator to bring up
2	COM 48.
3	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 48:
4	Countering an Evolving Threat: Update
5	on Recommendations to Counter Foreign
6	Interference in Canada's Democratic
7	Institutions
8	MS. ERIN DANN: And this is a report entitled
9	"Countering An Evolving Threat" that I think, Minister,
10	you'll be quite familiar with. I realize it was produced
11	some time later.
12	But if we could just go to page 20 of that
13	document, it contains a review of different recommendations
14	that have been made by some of the entities that we've listed
15	this morning, including the Judd Report.
16	You mentioned, Minister, that you adopted or
17	recommended adopting a number of the recommendations made by
18	made in that report. One recommendation I understand that
19	was not implemented, if we just scroll down a bit on this
20	page, what's listed as number 2, that the protocol would
21	cover the pre-writ period. Can you explain why that
22	particular recommendation was not implemented?
23	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: So that would have
24	been based on advice that I would have received from senior
25	officials at the Privy Council Office. In a context where
26	we're not in an election period, where a writ hasn't been
27	issued, there's a basic principle of ministerial
28	responsibility. Ministers are in office and have

responsibility, including around foreign interference. The
 national security agencies are empowered to work with the
 Minister who's in office.

This was very much and deliberately designed to be something that would be in effect during a caretaker period. It's a convention of British Parliamentary democracy where the government is, in itself, a candidate to succeed itself.

9 So in a -- governments act with a great deal 10 of restraint during a writ period, as is absolutely 11 appropriate. That's why the Panel and the protocol was 12 deliberately designed to exist at a period where the elected 13 government perhaps shouldn't be the best arbiter of public 14 pronouncements on the conduct of an election.

15

MS. ERIN DANN: All right.

Let me turn to a next topic, which is to ask you about whether and when you received classified intelligence in your capacity as Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs and with responsibilities for Democratic Institutions? Do I understand that it would be rare for you to receive classified intelligence or classified briefings?

HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: Yes, the Minister of
 Democratic Institutions is not a regular consumer of
 intelligence products or intelligence documents or briefings
 from intelligence officials.

27 And I've had a perspective on that since I28 became the Minister of Public Safety last summer. I now see

the difference between the operational responsibility of a
 Minister responsible for CSIS or the RCMP and a Minister
 responsible for Democratic Institutions.

The Democratic Institutions portfolio, I did 4 receive eye-level briefings from officials on a number of 5 6 occasions. I think the first one was in March of 2020, I think literally on the eve of the declaration of the 7 pandemic; one tends to remember those moments. But it was a 8 high-level situational awareness of the threat landscape. 9 Ιt was my first opportunity to hear from them how -- what they 10 had seen, in terms of threat actors and potential attempts to 11 interfere in the election of 2019, but it didn't-- it was to 12 13 situate my understanding of the threat landscape of the 14 particular state or non-state actors that are active in this 15 space, but it didn't go into granularity around specific 16 constituencies or specific events. It was a higher level briefing. Probably so, as in your reference to the Judd 17 Report and other work that we do, as we were thinking through 18 19 how we wanted to adjust the protocol and the Protecting Democracy Plan for the subsequent election. This was a sort 20 21 of an introduction for me to the threat landscape. That was 22 an intelligence briefing but it was at a much higher level than, for example, the Public Safety Minister would typically 23 receive. 24

MS. ERIN DANN: All right. We'll go through
that briefing in just a moment, but we heard from Minister
Gould this morning that in developing the Plan to Protect
Democracy, she had sort of monthly meetings, she estimated,

with CSIS, CSE; the Privy Council Office received information from RRM. I understand you did not receive -- and those were, to be clear, sort of high-level, as you've described, briefings, not sort of specific incidents or specific geographical areas or things of that sort. I understand you did not have sort of these regular monthly briefing sessions. Can you explain the difference in approach?

8 HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: It's probably three 9 explanations. The first one is in September of 2019 I had a 10 stem cell transplant to deal with a very aggressive and rare 11 form of blood cancer. So when I became Minister, I was 12 literally -- I came from Montreal and went back to Montreal 13 the same day. So I was recovering, in terms of my own 14 health.

15 The assessment was that the plan that Karina 16 had put in place had worked. The initial information was 17 that it had been successful. We recognized that we needed to 18 adjust or tweak or take into account recommendations from the 19 National Security and Intelligence Committee of 20 Parliamentarians or Mr. Judd. So that was less of an 21 undertaking than building a plan from scratch.

22 Before Karina Gould had put together the 23 Protecting Democracy Plan, nothing of this sort had existed. 24 So she built the infrastructure from scratch. It was the 25 first time the federal government had set up these mechanisms 26 to detect and disrupt foreign interference, the public 27 protocol. So these were all new elements. We were satisfied 28 generally with how they had worked. We recognized that we

had committed to reviewing and adjusting them, which is what 1 I did. And then along came COVID as well. 2 COVID literally happened, I think, the day --3 the pandemic was the day after my first briefing. Like many 4 Canadians I returned to New Brunswick. My health was still 5 6 fragile, recovering from the transplant. And we were building the communications infrastructure as a government to 7 allow Ministers to receive classified information from 8 9 residences. So that guickly changed, and by the fall 10 everybody was in a much different routine. But the need for 11 the monthly briefings or to travel to California to meet the 12 13 social media companies was much different after she had, in our view, successfully done that work. 14 15 MS. ERIN DANN: All right. Let's turn to that March 2020 briefing. If the Court Operator could pull 16 up CAN 15506. 17 This is a memo. The memo is dated March 9th, 18 19 2020. It is a memorandum to the National Security and Intelligence Advisor, and I understand represents the notes 20 21 for the NSIA for a security briefing to you in your capacity 22 as President of the Queen's Privy Council Office. And we

heard some evidence yesterday that briefing notes are not
always strictly applied to. So I just want to go through
this document and understand what topics were or were not
covered in that briefing.

27 If we look at the summary on the first page,28 it indicates that the purpose of the meeting is to provide

1 you: "...with a summary of elections 2 3 security related activities undertaken to help safeguard the 4 2019...election...as well as an 5 6 overview of the threat environment, 7 particularly as it pertains to foreign interference." 8 9 Does that accord with your memory of the purpose of the briefing? 10 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, it does. 11 MS. ERIN DANN: Okay. And then the summary 12 13 also indicates in the third bullet point that the December 14 mandate letter that you had received specified that you were: 15 "...to lead a review of the measures 16 put in place to protect the electoral process, and bring forward 17 recommendations..." 18 19 And does that accord with your memory of the December mandate letter that you had received? 20 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, it does. 21 22 MS. ERIN DANN: And then in the bullet point below that, indicates that Ms. Bruce, who I understood was 23 then the head of the CSE, and Mr. Vigneault, the Director of 24 the CSIS, would expand upon potential threats observed in GE 25 26 2019. Do you remember whether Ms. Bruce and -- Ms. 27 28 Bruce and Mr. Vigneault were at that briefing and provided

you -- and did they provide you with some information on the 1 potential threats observed during the 2019 election? 2 3 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, they did. MS. ERIN DANN: Okay. If we go to page 3 of 4 that document, just scrolling to the bottom of the page, 5 6 there's a text box there indicating there is some discussion of a threat reduction measure that the Government of Canada 7 had conducted in advance of the 2019 election. Do you recall 8 9 receiving information about that -- about that TRM in this meeting? 10 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: I don't recall details 11 of that discussion around threat reduction measures or -- I 12 13 see that it references the Government of Pakistan. I don't 14 have a specific recollection of a conversation about CSIS threat reduction measures. 15 MS. ERIN DANN: All right. And then if we go 16 to page 8 of the document? If we scroll just a little bit 17 further down, there's a title indicating "What we saw". 18 19 And the bullets indicate that: "...we did not observe any activities ..." 20 21 And I presume -- sorry, I should -- just to 22 put this in context, there's a discussion above about the SITE Taskforce and the Panel of Five's work. So I am 23 assuming, and you can correct me if I'm wrong, that this --24 the "we" addressed here is the Panel: 25 26 "...we did not observe any activities that met the threshold for a public 27 announcement or affected Canada's 28

1	ability to have a free and fair
2	election, including in the online
3	space."
4	Is that something that you recall being
5	briefed on in this meeting?
6	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes, I do. And as I -
7	- that was one of the most significant takeaways for me from
8	that sort of first high level briefing, is that some of the
9	most senior intelligence and security officials in the
10	country confirmed to me their view that the 2019 Election was
11	free and fair and that any attempts at foreign interference
12	would not have affected the outcome of the election,
13	including in specific and individual ridings.
14	So I remember being reassured that the plan
15	that we had put in place, in their view, in their independent
16	senior official view, had been successful.
17	MS. ERIN DANN: And the next bullet point
18	down, do you recall that being said as well?
19	"That is not the same as saying we
20	saw nothing at all."
21	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes. That's why I
22	said the idea that there have been attempts is not new. This
23	had existed for over a decade. And they would talk about
24	that sort of overall threat landscape, but the takeaway for
25	me, I thought was significant, your first bullet, that the
26	election had been free and fair and decided by Canadians in
27	Canada.
28	MS. ERIN DANN: Turning to page 10 of this

1 document? We see a heading labeled "China threat 2 update" and there are a number of largely redacted bullets. 3 The third down, third bullet down, is bolded and says: 4 "...specific incidents suggestive of 5 6 [foreign interference] which were briefed to relevant clients 7 ([Government of Canada] and political 8 9 parties) during the writ period (e.g., Don Valley)." 10 Do you recall being briefed on anything 11 specifically related to Don Valley? 12 13 L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Comme j'ai dit... pis 14 d'ailleurs, la première fois que j'ai vu ce document ici, c'est quand je me préparais pour ces audiences. Alors, moi, 15 comme ministre qui recoit un briefing des hauts 16 fonctionnaires, je ne vois pas leurs notes qui ont été 17 préparées par leurs collèques pour la réunion. Alors, la 18 19 première fois que je savais qu'ils avaient des notes comme ça, honnêtement, c'est quand j'ai vu et quand je me préparais 20 pour l'audience et j'ai vu vos documents. 21 22 Je crois comprendre aussi que cette section ici, c'était comme si vous avez besoin de d'autres 23 renseignements, c'est même supplémentaire à la section 24 principale ou primaire du document. Et, comme j'ai dit, ma... 25 mon impression, c'était qu'ils voulaient me donner une 26 perspective plus globale à un haut niveau en termes du 27 28 contexte des menaces.

1	Mais moi, je ne rappelle… je ne me rappelle
2	pas du tout qu'on a rentré dans les détails aussi précis
3	qu'une circonscription et qu'un pays en particulier avait
4	fait quelque chose, supposément, dans une circonscription.
5	Je ne… j'ai aucun… la première fois que j'ai appris les
6	allégations de ce compté-là, c'était quand c'était public
7	suite à des fuites, et c'était rendu public l'année passée.
8	MS. ERIN DANN: I understand. Thank you.
9	And so my next questions you may be able to answer quite
10	quickly, given that you've indicated it was really more high
11	level or global type briefings.
12	I'm turning away specifically from this
13	document.
14	Can I ask you whether I'll ask the Court
15	Operator to pull up SUM 3.
16	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN.SUM 3:
17	People's Republic of China Officials
18	- Foreign Interference Activities in
19	Greater Vancouver in the 2019 General
20	Election
21	MS. ERIN DANN: Minister, there was a number
22	of summaries produced for the purposes of this Commission on
23	warious issues valating to the 2010 and 2021 Elections and
	various issues relating to the 2019 and 2021 Elections, and
24	I'll just ask you very briefly to indicate whether or not you
24 25	
	I'll just ask you very briefly to indicate whether or not you
25	I'll just ask you very briefly to indicate whether or not you were aware of intelligence relating to these various issues

of, at paragraph 3, the use of proxy agents to exclude 1 candidates from community events. 2 3 Was this the type of intelligence that you would have been briefed on in 2020 or after the -- sometime 4 after the 2019 Election? 5 6 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yeah. And in your introduction, you said, like, before the 2019 Election. So I 7 would not have had even this level of detail before the 2019 8 9 Election when I became Minister Responsible for Democratic Institutions. So after the 2019 Election. 10 The officials that would provide the 11 briefings, it was -- certainly they were focusing on China as 12 13 one of the most frequent countries in terms of attempting to 14 interfere. I don't remember details of local community events in the City of Vancouver. 15 16 Again, I -- the first time I saw these summaries was prepared for this hearing, and there are a long 17 list of caveats that you can't figure out from this summary, 18 19 we don't know at what particular moment this intelligence information was gathered, we don't have the context of other 20 pieces of information, we're not sure if it's a single 21 22 source, if it was corroborated. So I want to be careful not to comment on these specific things, other than having looked 23 at the summaries before my appearance today. 24 MS. ERIN DANN: I understand. And I don't 25 want to ask you about the substance of any of the 26 intelligence. I'm really just looking -- or seeking to 27 understand whether these are -- you would have been briefed 28

on these issues in your capacity as having Responsibilities 1 for Democratic Institutions? 2 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: So they would have, 3 for example, talked about proxy agents. And that is one of 4 the ways that different hostile actors attempt to interfere. 5 6 I would have understood that China was very present in that kind of activity, but I -- was it in the City of Vancouver 7 and was somebody kept out of a community event? That, I 8 9 would not have known. MS. ERIN DANN: 10 Understood. And if we could bring up SUM.10, please? 11 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN.SUM 10: 12 People's Republic of China Threat 13 14 Actors, Contact with Candidates and 15 Staff, and Funding of Threat Actors 16 MS. ERIN DANN: This is a summary, Minister, in relation to PRC threat actors, contact with candidates, 17 and funding of threat actors. It mentions 11 candidates, 13 18 19 political staff, and a transfer of \$250,000. Were you briefed in relation -- or had you been briefed in relation to 20 these -- to this body of intelligence in your capacity as 21 22 Responsible for Democratic Institutions? HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: So again, I wouldn't 23 comment on specific allegations. In this case, I learned 24 about this when it became public following some leaks. So I 25 26 would not have been briefed in this level of granularity. But as I say, I also think it's important 27 28 that people not think we are confirming stuff that appeared

in particular leaks of intelligence information. I think it 1 just merits saying that I took note of the public discussion 2 of these issues. 3 MS. ERIN DANN: Right. And turning to 2021 4 now, I'll ask the Court Operator to bring up SUM 4. 5 6 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN.SUM 4: Possible People's Republic of China 7 Foreign Interference-Related Mis or 8 Disinformation 9 MS. ERIN DANN: And this is a summary, 10 Minister, that describes some of the allegations of 11 misinformation, or a disinformation campaign targeted Erin 12 13 O'Toole, Kenny Chiu, and the Conservative Party of Canada. 14 And I want to ask whether in the months or weeks after the 2021 Election, were you aware of -- were you 15 16 aware of the intelligence summarized in this summary? HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Again, I knew that 17 China used social media platforms, and particularly, WeChat, 18 19 to propagate campaigns of disinformation and misinformation. But the first time I learned about the specific allegations, 20 21 either with respect to Mr. O'Toole or Mr. Chiu, was 22 following, again, the public release of this information, and then there were subsequent meetings in the fall of 2022, I 23 think, and certainly in the spring of 2023, where we were 24 taken into some more detail a small group of ministers. 25 MS. ERIN DANN: Right. And so turning, then, 26 to those -- we'll jump ahead, then, to those briefings, and 27 I'll take you specifically to one that was held in May of 28

2023. And that's CAN 17676. 1 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 17676: 2 Handwritten Notes of B. Clow & 3 Meeting Invitation" 4 MS. ERIN DANN: If we can scroll to the 5 6 second page, please. These -- I realise these are not your notes, 7 Minister, but we heard ---8 9 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: But Brian Clow has pretty good handwriting. 10 MS. ERIN DANN: He does indeed. So we heard 11 some evidence from Mr. Clow yesterday that these were notes 12 13 that he made during a briefing on May 18th. And I understand 14 that you were -- your name is listed at the top, and I understand you were at this briefing? 15 HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: 16 I was. MS. ERIN DANN: All right. And the document 17 or the notes refer to some expressions of -- or partisan 18 19 preferences, shifting, or wanting to punish -- I'm looking at the first, sorry, in the middle of the page, under discussion 20 of media leaks. There is: 21 22 "PRC - no threats of physical harm to MPs or families..." 23 The next line down: 24 25 "PRC wanted to punish LPC shift to CPC..." 26 And some further discussion of shifting back 27 28 to LPC.

Was this the first time you had been briefed
 on intelligence relating to shifting partisan preferences
 expressed by the PRC?

HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: Yes, it was. 4 That was the first time I would have heard that level of granularity. 5 6 I remember being quite skeptical that an intelligence briefing would be able to discern the shifting preferences of 7 a country in another country's election. I've been in enough 8 9 elections where a lot of people claim to have influence or to be involved in either a successful or unsuccessful election, 10 and having played a critical role where, in some cases, it's 11 exaggerated. So that's part of a free and open democratic 12 13 discussion. I -- but I do remember the officials offering up 14 that piece of intelligence at that meeting.

MS. ERIN DANN: All right. And there's also, I see a note towards the bottom of the screen right now, "FI in DVN 2019 nomination." Is this the first time you would have heard at that sort of granular level about a particular intelligence relating to the nomination process in 2019 in DVN?

HON. DOMNIC LEBLANC: Yes, I think it was.
MS. ERIN DANN: Okay. And at the bottom of
the screen now, there is reference to the 11 candidates, and
a reference to \$250,000. Is -- again, this is the first time
you would have heard with that level of granularity about
that ---

27 HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: Yes.
28 MS. ERIN DANN: --- that allegation?

HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: Yes, it was. 1 MS. ERIN DANN: All right. And scrolling to 2 Sorry. The second unredacted line there: 3 the next page. "Disinformation campaigns did exist. 4 Can't include direct impact on 5 certain results." 6 And above that, there is a list of various 7 media outlets. 8 9 Is this the first time you would have heard about intelligence relating to a disinformation campaign in 10 11 2021? HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: I don't disagree with 12 Brian's notes. I think there was a meeting in February in 13 14 the winter of that same year, but I don't have those notes in 15 front of me, and I just want to make sure I don't say yeah, that was the first time, and then there's a note 16 referencing.... 17 This was the first time that I remember 18 19 hearing about ridings, allegations around money exchanging. Disinformation campaigns and China using social media 20 platforms was something that we'd heard a lot about for a 21 22 considerable amount of time, but this may have been the first time when they went into detail of the targets, the 23 particular elements of the disinformation that was used. 24 25 MS. ERIN DANN: Thank you. And I don't mean 26 to suggest it was -- you may well have heard about this at an earlier briefing, but it was well after 2021. It would have 27 only been after various media leaks. Is that fair to say? 28

1	HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: Yes. Yes.
2	MS. ERIN DANN: Okay.
3	HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: This level of
4	granularity started after some of these allegations were in
5	the public domain.
6	MS. ERIN DANN: Thank you. And would just
7	to conclude, would having knowledge of this type of
8	information, this level of granularity, had would it have
9	benefitted your review of the implementation of the plan to
10	protect democracy in 2019, and your efforts to update that
11	plan that you spoke about earlier for 2021? Would having
12	this level of information about the nature and extent of
13	threats of foreign interference have benefitted your efforts
14	in reviewing and developing the Plan 2.0, as you put it?
15	HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: I'm not sure that this
16	level of granularity would have made a significant
17	difference. The senior officials at the Privy Council Office
18	who worked with me talked to their colleagues in the
19	intelligence secretariat of Privy Council Office, and I
20	assume with the national security agencies.
21	I certainly believed in the discussions I had
22	with them, they gave me a sufficiently precise picture of the
23	threat landscape of the countries that were active in the
24	particular foreign interference space. And the measures that
25	we wanted to be put in to be adjusted or tweaked following
26	Mr. Judd's report or the National Security Intelligence
27	Committee of Parliamentarians were validated by the fact that

confirming that in their views the measures that had been in 1 place had worked, had been successful. So I had every 2 3 confidence that I had all of the information I needed, and my colleagues at Privy Council Office, the senior officials that 4 helped me go to Cabinet with the adjusted version of the 5 6 plan, were well aware of what we needed to ask Cabinet to make the changes, largely based on Mr. Judd's review. 7 And Mr. Judd would have had all of this 8 9 granularity. So I had very much confidence in his experience in this area. He had a long and distinguished experience in 10 this area, and I was told that he had been taken through all 11 of this detail. I was satisfied to rely on his advice, and 12 13 the advice of the deputy ministers at the Privy Council 14 Office, when we went to Cabinet for the amended or the adjusted plan. 15 16 MS. ERIN DANN: Those are all my questions, Commissioner. 17 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. We'll break 18 19 for lunch, and we'll come back at 2:20. THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre, 20 21 s'il vous plaît. 22 This hearing is in recess until 2:20. La séance est en pause jusqu'à 14h20. 23 --- Upon recessing at 1:19 p.m./ 24 --- La séance est suspendue à 13h19 25 --- Upon resuming at 2:21 p.m./ 26 --- La séance est reprise à 14 h 21 27 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il 28

vous plait. 1 This sitting of the Foreign Interference 2 Commission is back in session. Cette séance de la Commission 3 sur l'ingérence étrangère a repris. 4 --- HON. DOMINIC Le BLANC, Resumed/Sous le même serment: 5 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: La séance a repris, 6 mais j'ai oublié mon cahier de notes. Je vais prendre une 7 minute pour le... 8 9 (SHORT PAUSE) COMMISSIONER HOGUE: At least it's a 10 confirmation that I'm taking notes. Before we start the 11 cross-examination, I just want to specify one thing. The 12 13 question that I've been asked oft and the answer that I've 14 been given by Minister Blair regarding the media report 15 concerning the CSIS warrant were outside the scope of this stage of the Commission work, and no findings will be made on 16 these matters in the initial report. 17 Cross-examination. First one is Jenny --18 19 counsel for Jenny Kwan. --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 20 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: 21 22 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: My name is Sujit Choudhry. I'm counsel for Jenny Kwan, MP for Vancouver East. 23 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Good afternoon. 24 25 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Good afternoon. I just have five minutes, so a couple of quick questions. The first 26 is you've probably seen reports about the CSIS Director's 27 talking points that we examined yesterday with the PMO panel. 28

LeBLANC Cr-Ex(Choudhry)

I just have a question about those, a quick one. Were you --1 2 did you ever receive -- so there's particular talking points regarding a -- that are dated February 21st, 2023, and I'll 3 refer to the CAN doc number from my friends. It's CAN 4495. 4 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 4495: 5 6 Briefing to the Prime Minister's Office on Foreign Interference 7 Threats to Canada's Democratic 8 9 Institutions MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And just a quick 10 question is whether you ever received a briefing from the 11 director that covered the points in those talking points? 12 13 And maybe, if it would help, Minister, we could put up the 14 document. And in particular, it's on pages 5 and 6. There's some conclusions. You can scroll down. Yeah, so there's 3 15 conclusions listed on page 5. And then there's 2 conclusions 16 on page 6. And we're just wondering if you ever received a 17 briefing from the director that covered those five points. 18 19 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Well, then if you want me to speak to all five of them, let's go back to the first -20 21 _ _ 22 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Sure. HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: --- three? 23 24 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Of course, sir. HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: If I could go back up 25 26 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: 27 Yeah. 28 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: --- to the first

1	three?
2	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Yeah.
3	HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: Because this you'll
4	appreciate the first time I saw this document was when I was
5	preparing for these.
6	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Of course, sir. Yes.
7	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: And I was not in that
8	briefing that the Prime Minister would have had.
9	Okay. Can I see the last two again?
10	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Sure, of course. Thank
11	you.
12	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: And your question
13	again?
14	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: I said I question is,
15	did you ever receive a briefing from the CSIS Director that
16	addressed any of those five points or communicated those five
17	points?
18	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Not in that context at
19	all. My first briefing with the CSIS Director as Minister of
20	Democratic Institutions was, as I said earlier today, a
21	higher level sort of analysis of the threat landscape. Since
22	I became Minister of Public Safety, I talk to the Director of
23	CSIS about these issues with more precision than the Minister
24	of Democratic Institutions at the time. And we're always
25	looking at, and he talks to me about things the service is
26	doing to detect and disrupt foreign interference. We've
27	always said that the threat evolves, that the kind of the
28	nature of the threat and the particular ways that hostile

state or non-state actors attempt to interfere evolve, and he talks to me about what CSIS is doing to keep up with the evolving threat. So that would be the context of my conversations with him.

MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Okay. Thank you. Well, 5 6 that's actually a good seqway to my next question, which is so, Me Drouin was here testifying in her capacity, her most 7 recent role as NSIA, and she offered an observation at the 8 9 end of her cross-examination with me. She said that, "You know, it's been two years or two-and-a-half years since 2021. 10 There's -- and our understanding of foreign interference 11 continues to evolve to the kind of threat it might pose today 12 as to what it might have posed in 2021, let alone in 2019." 13 14 And so the -- and I know that you've been working on a -you've issued a report with Mme Charette about steps forward. 15 And so I'm hoping I can ask you a couple of questions on that 16 theme, of what our current understanding of foreign 17 interference is and what -- how we might respond today 18 19 relative to our current understanding. And so the first is a question that's been put to other members of the government, 20 21 but we'll put to you as well, and if we could call up now, 22 it's in the document database, JKW 161.

23 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. JKW 161:

National Terrorism Threat Level
 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Good. And is -- if we
 could scroll down, there should be a chart here. Yes, that's
 it. Thank you. So, Minister, you're familiar with this
 obviously. This is a national terrorism threat levels chart.

And so the question is, as an alternative to the threshold and the protocol, which is a high threshold and a single threshold, this in the counterterrorism context, we use a spectrum, and with kind of a graduated set of responses. And so is this type of framework an alternative to the high single threshold model that we use for foreign interference, is it something we should consider or look at carefully?

HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: So -- and I -- my 8 9 colleague, Karina Gould, would have talked about that this morning when she was the Minister of Democratic Institutions 10 and brought forward the first Protecting Democracy Plan, 11 which had the public protocol, the threshold is deliberately 12 set at a high-level. It's an extraordinary moment in the 13 14 middle of an election campaign where a group of five senior public servants chaired by the Secretary to the Cabinet, the 15 16 most senior non partisan public servant in the country, would intervene in an election context to alert Canadians to a 17 potential threat of foreign interference that in their 18 19 independent judgement would impact the ability of Canadians to have a free and fair election, including at the riding 20 21 level or obviously at the national level.

22 So the threshold has to be high. In a -- an election campaign, you deliberately want a robust public 23 They are often not gentle moments in a country's 24 discourse. democratic evolution, and that's positive. You want to 25 encourage robust debate, and having a weekly comment from a 26 panel of the most senior public servants, or a regular 27 28 commentary, would be an extraordinary moment, and done at

anything less than a high threshold in our view might 1 undermine confidence in the election. 2 3 So that's why it's deliberately set that high, and that's why I don't think a comparison to a 4 terrorism threat level is a valid comparison. 5 6 During an election campaign, the national security agencies are still very much, according to law, 7 doing their job at detecting and disrupting foreign 8 9 interference. You're going to the ultimate instrument of a public declaration by the Panel of Five. I think it's 10 important to know that the work is being done on a regular 11 and effective basis throughout the election period, and 12 13 obviously before the election as well. 14 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So one follow up 15 question, Minister, because -- sorry. 16 **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** It's going to be the last question ---17 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Yeah. So just to put 18 19 this back to you, it could be that at the critical level there is a public announcement by the Panel of Five, but 20 21 beneath that, there is different types of communications that 22 might not be of that character to parties, to candidates, to different entities. So there is a -- there's a more 23 complicated, a more complex set of tools available to the 24 25 government than the one that its chosen in this version of 26 the Protocol that might evolve in response to the recommendations that you're, or the review that you're 27 28 undertaking right now.

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And the question is? 1 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so -- isn't that --2 isn't -- can't we think about something other than it could 3 be all or nothing approach where it's a public announcement 4 from the Panel of Five, where there is communications to 5 6 parties, to candidates, to affected communities that maybe don't have the same -- doesn't have the same level of 7 seriousness? 8

9 HON. DOMNIC LEBLANC: Well, I think you -- as
10 I said, you want to be careful in an electoral context,
11 before intelligence information is shared in a public
12 context, you know that there's a security cleared
13 representatives of each political party that can meet with
14 representatives of the intelligence and security community.
15 Elections Canada has access to these officials as well.

I don't think that you can -- I don't think that you can have a spectrum of public comment. It either reaches the threshold where in the independent professional judgement of these five senior officials they are required to inform the public because in their judgement our ability to conduct a free and fair election in a riding or nationally is affected. I don't think you take steps along that road.

It's a -- candidates respond to allegations, candidates disagree with other candidates, candidates comment on social media posts. That's part of a normal robust democratic discussion, and having intelligence services or senior public officials commenting in a public way in an election, in our view, has to be because in their independent

judgement during the caretaker period they think that something has happened that impedes the ability of Canadians to have a free and fair election. And it's important to note that in 2019 and 2021, in their judgement, they did not think that was the case.

6	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Thank you, sir.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
8	Counsel for UCC?
9	MR. JON DOODY: No questions, Commissioner.
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No questions?
11	Counsel for Erin O'Toole?
12	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you, Commissioner.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
14	MR. THOMAS JARMYN:
15	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Good afternoon, Minister.
16	My name is Tom Jarmyn, here on behalf
17	HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: Good afternoon.
18	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: of Erin O'Toole.
19	I've just got a couple of questions. During
20	the period from 2019 to 2021, when you were serving your
21	duties as Minister of Democratic Institutions, is it fair to
22	say that your the intelligence briefings you received were
23	high level as opposed to directed at significant incidents?
24	HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: Yeah, they were high
25	level threat analysis of the threat environment. There were
26	there was discussions of different state hostile state
27	and non state actors that were active in this space. But it
28	didn't go down into details around specific ridings or

1 specific geographical regions.

2 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay, thank you. And 3 this morning, when Minister Gould testified, she talked about 4 the relationship she developed with Facebook, Twitter, and 5 Microsoft, and I guess Google as well, in order to come to 6 this voluntary protocol with respect to the 2019 election. 7 Was that reviewed after the 2019 election?

8 HON. DOMNIC LEBLANC: Yes, it was reviewed by 9 the National Security and Intelligence Committee of 10 Parliamentarians and by Mr. Judd in his review. The 11 voluntary undertaking that Ms. Gould got from the major 12 social media platforms was reviewed, and in fact, in 2021, we 13 also added others to that space.

MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Yes. And what steps were
taken to add foreign enterprises like Tencent and ByteDance,
who are legal owners of WeChat and TikTok, respectively?

HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: So again, we were 17 governed by the analysis that Mr. Judd did and the National 18 19 Security and Intelligence Committee of Parliamentarians. We always recognised that there was a threat of disinformation 20 21 and misinformation in this space and that some foreign state 22 and non state actors were particularly active. That is one of the challenges of a democratic process in a moment where 23 social media has taken on such significant importance and has 24 such a significant impact. 25

26 But we believe that the officials at Privy 27 Council Office, the members of the SITE Task Force, and 28 others, had developed ongoing relationships with these social

1 media platforms, and during the context of an election,
2 during the caretaker period where the government is itself a
3 candidate in the election, they were the ones that would have
4 those conversations and those relationships.

5 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: And have ByteDance or
6 Tencent been asked to enter into the same relationships with
7 -- as Facebook, Twitter, Microsoft, and Google?

HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: I want to be careful 8 before getting in. I'd want to -- I don't want to talk about 9 specific discussions that may have happened with intelligence 10 officials who are the ones that are best placed to give this 11 advice to the government. But we have participated, for 12 13 example, in a G7 effort, the Rapid Response Mechanism Canada 14 was a global leader in this space, there was the Paris call for trust in democracy where I participated quickly or soon 15 after becoming Democratic Institutions Minister, with other 16 countries. It's a live conversation with our Five Eyes 17 partners about what we can do in terms of sharing information 18 19 around different platforms, but also which hostile state actors or non state actors are active in this space. 20

21 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: But if their -- wouldn't 22 their refusal to participate in such an arrangement be a 23 signal to the government, particularly after the 2019 24 election, where we began to observe these activities, that 25 other measures might be necessary?

26 HON. DOMNIC LeBLANC: Again, regulating
27 global social media platforms is obviously a complicated
28 space. You'll appreciate that it's not easy for one country

to regulate or legislate in this area. That's why the most 1 effective ways, in our view, are to work with likeminded 2 3 countries. And there's increasingly an effort from Five Eyes partners, from G7 partners to work in this space together. 4 We took our responsibility to do everything 5 6 that we could. And I would think that certainly the work that Ms. Gould did told us that the major social media 7 platforms want to ensure that they're not participating in 8 9 activities or being used in a way that disinformation or misinformation campaigns could affect, negatively, the 10 outcome of an election. But it's a constant challenge for 11 democratic governments around the world, and it's an active 12 13 conversation that I've had with counterparts in other 14 countries as well. 15 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay. That's my time. 16 Thank you, Minister. 17 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. Next one is counsel for RCDA, Me Sirois. 18 19 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: 20 21 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Bonjour. 22 L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Bonjour. 23 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Ministre LeBlanc, vous 24 avez été impliqué dans le développement du mandat de la 25 présente Commission, n'est-ce pas? 26 L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Oui. Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Chaque mot mentionné 27 dans le décret de la Commission a été choisi avec soin? 28

1	L/HON DONTHIC LODIANCE Desce la guestion
1	L'HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: Posez la question
2	une autre fois, pardon?
3	Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Chaque mot qui a été
4	choisi dans le mandat de la Commission…
5	L'HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: Les termes de
6	référence? Absolument. C'est sûr.
7	Et ont été négociés, d'ailleurs, avec tous
8	les partis reconnus à la Chambre des communes, le NPD, les
9	Conservateurs et le Bloc québécois. On était tous d'accord
10	avec chaque mot dans les termes de référence ou le mandat que
11	vous avez référé.
12	Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: OK. Je vais citer le
13	mandat. Le mandat ordonne à la Commissaire d'examiner et
14	d'évaluer l'ingérence de la Chine, de la Russie et d'autres
15	acteurs étatiques et non-étatiques étrangers.
16	On comprend tous que la Chine est mentionnée
17	expressément dans le mandat parce que certaines allégations,
18	je dis bien allégations, d'ingérence chinoise sont sorties
19	dans les médias. Est-ce que le gouvernement est au courant
20	d'allégations semblables voulant que la Russie s'est ingérée
21	dans les 43 ^e et 44 ^e élections?
22	L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Vous comprendrez que
23	je ne vais pas commenter sur des allégations dans le domaine
24	public sur les détails ou les implications de renseignements
25	précis.
26	C'est connu, je pense, dans le domaine public
27	que la Russie est particulièrement présente dans les
28	campagnes de désinformation, de mésinformation, dans d'autres
LeBLANC Cr-Ex(Sirois)

contextes, dans des cyberattaques, que moi je fais référence
 à qu'est-ce qui est dans le domaine public.

3 Et d'ailleurs plus tôt dans d'autres pays, on a vu dans d'autres pays des allégations de l'implication de 4 la Russie dans ce genre de menace, mais je vais pas commenter 5 6 sur les détails de l'implication de la Russie. Sauf dire, comme on a dit publiquement, que la Russie a été dans 7 d'autres circonstances assez actif et on voulait s'assurer 8 9 que toutes les protections appropriées soient en place au 10 Canada.

Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Mais il y a d'autres 11 pays qui sont aussi actifs. C'est pour ça que les termes de 12 13 référence disent, justement, d'enquêter sur la Chine, la 14 Russie, et d'autres acteurs étatiques. Alors, je me demande pourquoi on mentionne pas simplement la Chine et d'autres 15 acteurs étatiques ou non étatiques étrangers? S'il y a pas 16 de preuve apparente ou pas d'intention apparente que la 17 Russie s'est ingérée dans les 43^e ou 44^e élections? 18

19 L'HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: J'ai pas dit qu'il y
20 a pas de preuve ou pas... que la Russie s'est ingérée. J'ai
21 dit c'est une menace continuelle que la Russie s'ingère avec
22 des campagnes de mésinformation, de désinformation. Dans
23 d'autres pays, il y a eu dans le domaine public des
24 allégations quant à la Russie par exemple...

25Me GUILLAUME SIROIS:Je parle pas des26autres...

27 L'HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: ... dans le contexte
28 des cyberattaques. Alors...

Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: ... des autres pays.
 L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: ... quand j'ai parlé
 avec mes homologues, leaders parlementaires des trois autres
 partis politiques, mes confrères, la discussion -- c'était
 assez public au printemps, il y un an -- les gens parlaient
 de la Russie et la Chine. Il y a d'autres pays. On a vu des
 allégations quant à l'Inde.

Alors, un moment donné, je me rappelle bien, 8 9 dans la conversation, au lieu de ... c'était au mois d'aout, je crois, quand on finalisait ces termes de référence, on s'est 10 conclus entre nous autres que on voulait donner à la 11 Commission la capacité de suivre la preuve. On a utilisé 12 13 deux pays comme exemples, mais on a utilisé les mots que vous 14 avez prononcé, « d'autres acteurs étatiques ou non étatiques » parce qu'on veut que la Commission soit capable 15 dans son jugement de poursuivre la preuve et d'arriver à des 16 conclusions qui s'imposent. 17

Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Donc, est-ce que je comprends bien qu'il était important pour vous qu'une Commission d'enquête indépendante soit créée pour s'assurer que rien n'avait été manqué par le gouvernement quant à l'ingérence de la Russie dans les deux dernières élections?

L'HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: On est toujours
intéressé à avoir des recommandations quant à comment
renforcer les mesures robustes qui sont déjà en place, que
nous croyons ont été appropriées lors des deux dernières
élections générales. Mais on a hâte à voir les
recommandations de la Commission et de d'autres experts,

LeBLANC Cr-Ex(Sirois)

parce que nous reconnaissons que le niveau ou la façon que la 1 2 menace évolue nécessite des mesures pour contrer... détecter et contrer l'ingérence qui soient capables d'évoluer aussi. 3 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Et votre affirmation 4 que vous venez de faire s'applique particulièrement à la 5 6 Russie et c'est pour ça qu'elle est intégrée dans le mandat de la Commission, n'est-ce pas? 7 L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Non, moi je l'aurais 8 9 pas décrit comme ça. Je dirais pas particulièrement à la Russie. 10 Comme j'ai dit, on a hâte de voir l'analyse 11 et les recommandations de la Commission sur plusieurs pays 12 13 dans son jugement qui méritent d'être enquêtés et reconnus. 14 Moi, je ne passe pas beaucoup de temps à imaginer où la Commission va aller quand la Commission va suivre la preuve. 15 Mais nous avons décidé, les quatre formations politiques, que 16 la Russie et la Chine sont présents dans le domaine public 17 dans ces questions-là, mais ce sont pas du tout les deux 18 19 seuls pays. Et comme j'ai dit, on va pas commenter sur 20 21 des incidents précis de tel ou tel pays. La Commission a 22 accès évidemment à tous les renseignements et toutes les 23 preuves dans ce domaine-là, mais je veux être un peu plus

25 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Ça va être ma dernière
26 question. Je veux dire, on a quand même mentionné la Russie
27 pour s'assurer que la Russie soit enquêtée par la Commission?
28 Sinon, on l'aurait pas mentionnée?

prudent dans le contexte public.

24

L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: On a reconnu que la 1 Russie, comme je l'ai dit, a été active dans ... surtout le 2 3 domaine des cyberattaques potentielles, la désinformation et la mésinformation. Et on a décidé, les quatre formations 4 politiques, d'utiliser deux exemples de pays qui étaient 5 6 beaucoup discutés dans le domaine public, mais on voulait que la Commission, ayant accès à tous les renseignements 7 classifiés et tous les documents et les hauts fonctionnaires 8 9 qui sont capables de donner des briefings à la Commission, on voulait que la Commission puisse, dans son jugement, suivre 10 la preuve. 11 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Merci. 12 13 L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Merci. 14 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Parti conservateur. --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 15 MR. NANDO de LUCA: 16 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Good afternoon. 17 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Good afternoon. 18 19 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can I have COM 346 pulled up, please? 20 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 346: 21 CTV News - "Process underway for Han 22 23 Dong's possible return to the Liberal caucus" - June 1, 2023 24 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Minister -- do you have 25 it in front of you, Minister LeBlanc? This is a news report 26 from CTV News published June 2, 2023. 27 And at the top -- if you could scroll down, 28

1	please? Page 2? The top of page 2.
2	It says:
3	"A senior government official says
4	Intergovernmental Affairs Minister
5	Dominic LeBlanc is leading a process
6	to determine Independent MP Han
7	Dong's possible return to the Liberal
8	caucus."
9	Do you see that?
10	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes.
11	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Is it correct that as of
12	June 2, 2023, you were leading a process to determine if Mr.
13	Dong could rejoin the Liberal caucus?
14	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Apologies,
15	Commissioner. If my friend could explain how this is
16	relevant to Parts A and B of your mandate?
17	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Well we've been
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Can you, please?
19	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Sure. We've been through
20	this before there's considerable controversy about Mr. Dong's
21	participation, willing or not, in foreign interference, and
22	there's conflicting reports as to what he did or didn't do,
23	and what he said or didn't say, and whether that gave rise
24	to, for lack of a better term, discipline or him being forced
25	from Liberal caucus.
26	So I'm asking this witness whether that in
27	fact happened, and whether, in light of I'll come to the
28	questions, in light of the Special Rapporteur's conclusions,

that decision to be excluded from caucus has been 1 reconsidered at all. 2 3 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And tell me, what is the relationship with A and B of the Terms of Reference? Because 4 I can follow you if we look at the broad ---5 6 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Sure. **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** --- mandate of the 7 Commission, ---8 9 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Well if we ---**COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** --- but we are just in 10 Phase 1 ---11 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Well part of Phase 1 ---12 13 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- and I fail to see --14 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Part of Phase 1 is to 15 understand the extent of foreign interference, who it 16 involved, and what the government officials knew. I put it 17 to -- or I submit to you, Madam Commissioner, that the extent 18 19 to which Mr. Dong was disciplined, and remains disciplined, is relevant to that inquiry. 20 21 **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** I'm going to allow the 22 question as to whether he was disciplined, but I think after that, you know, what happened in 2023 or 2024 is outside the 23 scope of the Commission for the time being. 24 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: So Mr. Dong said 25 publicly in the House of Commons that he voluntarily decided 26 to withdraw from the Liberal Caucus when the allegations 27 28 became public. He stood up one evening in the House of

Commons and voluntarily withdrew from the Liberal Caucus and
 asked the speaker to sit as an independent. That was the
 decision that Mr. Dong made when these allegations became
 public, and that is on the public record. Those were his
 words.

6 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Mr. Dong has also 7 said since that he would like to rejoin caucus and that he's 8 had discussions with you about the possibility of rejoining 9 the caucus; is that correct?

10 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I think that's crossing
11 the line. It goes beyond the ---

MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay.

13COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- the scope of the --14this phase.

MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. So I'll just put
the questions on the record. I appreciate your ruling.

And if it's correct that Mr. Dong has 17 requested to rejoin caucus, and that has not yet been 18 19 exceeded to that request, I'd like to know why, and so that's the next question. I accept your ruling, Madam Commissioner. 20 21 And I'd just like to put on the record the documents that 22 speak to these questions that I've intended to ask Minister LeBlanc. It's COM 3044, 30 -- sorry, COM 344, 345, 346 and 23 347. 24

25 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 344:

12

26 CBC - "MP Han Dong says he wants to 27 rejoin Liberal caucus after being 28 'vindicated' by Johnston's report" -

1	May 24, 2023
2	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 345:
3	CBC - "Han Dong says he's met with
4	government, is waiting to learn if he
5	can rejoin caucus" - Sep 21, 2023
6	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 347:
7	Global News - "Trudeau not saying if
8	Han Dong will return to Liberal
9	caucus after testimony" - April 3,
10	2024
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So
12	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Those are my
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: it's noted. Thank
14	you.
15	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Those are my questions.
16	Thank you.
17	HON DOMINIC LeBLANC: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Counsel for Michael
19	Chong?
20	MR GIB van ERT: Madame, nous n'avons aucune
21	question pour ce témoin. Merci.
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Merci.
23	Counsel for Han Dong?
24	MR. MARK POLLEY: No questions. Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Human Rights Coalition?
26	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
27	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR:
28	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good afternoon.

1	L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Bonjour.
2	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Could we please pull up
3	CAN.DOC 15 and turn to page 4?
4	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN.DOC 15:
5	Public Safety (PS) Institutional
6	Report - UNCLASSIFIED
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: This is going to be the
8	institutional report prepared by Public Safety Canada. And
9	the final bullet point on page 4 reads,
10	"The Public Safety Minister is
11	responsible for most of the federal
12	agencies operating in the areas of
13	national security, policing and law
14	enforcement, border services and
15	corrections, and conditional release,
16	namely, the RCMP, CSIS, CBSA, CSE and
17	PBC. The Minister's role is to
18	coordinate their activities and
19	establish strategic priorities
20	relating to public safety and
21	emergency preparedness." (As read)
22	Is this correct?
23	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yes.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Is it a strategic
25	priority to protect diaspora communities?
26	HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: It's always been a
27	priority not just of the Public Safety Department, but of the
28	whole government. As I learned about the prevalence of

1 foreign interference, we were always struck that diaspora 2 communities are, in many cases, the targets and the victims of these foreign interference attempts. So it's -- the 3 Public Safety Department is absolutely seized with that, as 4 would be, for example, of CSIS and other agencies, but the 5 6 whole government is concerned about this. My colleague, the Minister of Diversity and Inclusion talks to me about this. 7 So it's not just my department, but the Public Safety 8 Department is absolutely concerned about this, but it goes 9 beyond one department. 10 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you. And if I 11 could ask the Court Operator to please pull up CAN 2096? 12 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 2096: 13 14 Elections Security Brief for Minister 15 LeBlanc 16 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And as it's being pulled 17 up, Minister, I understand this was an election security brief provided to you. Looking to the first page at the 18 19 third bullet point, it's under the heading ---HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Sorry, do you know the 20 21 date of that? 22 MS. ERIN DANN: Unfortunately, that document was produced without a date. 23 24 HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Okay. MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: So just under slide two, 25 26 it reads, "A [2016] public threat report from 27 28 the Communications Security

1	Establishment (CSE) identified
2	political parties and politicians,
3	electoral activities, and the media
4	as vulnerable to threats, but also
5	noted that our system has inherent
6	strengths built-in. For example,
7	paper-based ballots cannot be
8	"hacked"."
9	Would you agree with this statement?
10	And for
11	HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: I think our system has
12	a lot of inherent strengths. One of them is paper-based
13	ballots. That's probably in the context of cyber attacks.
14	That my discussions with Elections Canada or the security
15	agencies have always been around the risk, obviously, of a
16	cyber attack. In the case of paper ballots, it's a lot
17	easier to maintain public confidence in the election
18	machinery and in the outcome, but it's it would be one
19	example. I don't remember the details. I accept the
20	document you put before me. If it was my then Deputy
21	Minister Ian McCowan, who was the Deputy Secretary at Privy
22	Council Office, these were ongoing conversations that I would
23	have had with him over a number of meetings or briefings.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And so you've said that
25	it would be one of many tools in an arsenal to address the
26	issue. And with that in mind, you would agree that a paper-

27 based ballot doesn't make an elector any less vulnerable to
28 intimidation or harassment, which is why there needs to be

LeBLANC Cr-Ex(Taylor)

1	other mechanisms to protect them?
2	HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Yeah, that's a fair
3	statement.
4	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you,
5	Minister.
6	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
7	AG?
8	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: I have no questions.
9	Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Re-examination?
11	MS. ERIN DANN: No, thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: J'ai une question pour
13	vous, Monsieur LeBlanc.
14	Vous avez indiqué lors de votre témoignage en
15	chef — je pense c'est à ce moment-là — avoir appris
16	l'existence d'allégations concernant monsieur Chiu et
17	monsieur O'Toole seulement une fois que l'information a été
18	rendue publique en 2022. Vous avez également en réponse à une
19	autre question qui vous a été posée, indiqué que ça ne vous
20	aurait pas vraiment été utile lorsque vous avez entrepris
21	d'évaluer dans quelle mesure les mesures qui avaient été
22	mises en place avaient été suffisantes ou avaient été
23	efficaces.
24	Est-ce que vous pouvez par ailleurs
25	m'indiquer si, dans votre rôle de ministre, ce type
26	d'informations là ou d'allégations là vous aurait été utile
27	en tant… de façon concomitante au moment où elles ont été…
28	ces éléments-là ont été identifiés?

L'HON. DOMINIC LEBLANC: Et vous parlez,
 Madame la commissaire, à ce moment-là comme ministre des
 Institutions démocratiques, par exemple?

4 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Comme ministre des
5 Institutions démocratiques, puis ensuite vous me direz comme
6 ministre de la Sécurité publique.

L'HON. DOMINIC LeBLANC: Alors, parfait. Si 7 moi je suis tout à fait confortable dans mes discussions avec 8 9 les responsables au Conseil privé, les quelques discussions que j'ai eues avec les chefs des services de renseignement ou 10 de sécurité nationale m'ont donné suffisamment d'informations 11 pour comprendre qu'est-ce qu'il fallait faire pour évoluer 12 13 nos mesures entre l'élection de 2019 et l'élection de 2021, 14 j'aurais compris par exemple qu'il y a des acteurs hostiles qui utilisent des plateformes des médias sociaux, qu'ils 15 16 utilisent des agents pour essayer d'influencer ou intimider, 17 moi, pour moi, je n'avais aucune… à ce moment-là, aucune responsabilité opérationnelle pour le suivi dans le cas de 18 19 monsieur X ou madame Y ou X en termes de... le Service de renseignement était parfaitement, selon la loi, capable de 20 21 prendre des mesures appropriées, ça aurait été dans les mains 22 de mon collègue à ce moment-là, le ministre de la Sécurité publique. 23

Alors, moi, je suis tout à fait convaincu que j'avais suffisamment d'exemples dans mes conversations d'ordre général des hauts fonctionnaires pour évoluer le plan pour la protection de la démocratie. J'avais pas besoin nécessairement de savoir que c'était candidat X ou la ville Y

ou telle ou telle chose se sont produites. Il s'agit de
 demander et d'être rassuré que le Service de renseignement,
 la GRC, Élections Canada, le Conseil privé avaient les outils
 nécessaires, le mandat nécessaire pour détecter et contrer ce
 genre d'ingérence.

Alors, c'est un accident de parcours de
remaniement ministériel que j'avais ces fonctions-là comme
ministre des Institutions démocratiques.

9 Et là, l'été passé, j'ai assumé les responsabilités comme ministre de la Sécurité publique, et 10 là, j'ai compris d'une façon que je n'avais pas réalisé 11 avant, le rôle du ministre de la Sécurité publique quant à 12 13 l'approbation de certaines opérations de les Services de renseignement par exemple, toute la question des mandats du 14 Service de renseignement, des fois ils vont informer le 15 ministre pour les mesures de réduire des menaces. Ca, c'est 16 une fonction qui existe comme ministre de la Sécurité 17 publique, mais j'avais pas besoin ou ça n'aurait pas été 18 19 approprié, je pense, d'être dans ces détails-là comme ministre des Institutions démocratiques. Là, j'ai la bonne 20 chance d'avoir les deux. 21

22 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Et comme ministre de la
 23 Sécurité publique, est-ce que vous vous attendriez à ce que
 24 ce type d'allégation là soit porté à votre connaissance?

25

Absolument. Et d'ailleurs, je peux vous rassurer que dans mes
discussions avec monsieur Vigneault ou ses collègues, c'est
le genre de discussions qu'ils ont librement avec moi. Je me

L'HON DOMINIC LeBLANC: Absolument.

sens tout à fait renseigné sur ces questions-là dans la mesure qu'eux autres jugent que c'est approprié ou quand ils ont besoin soit d'une approbation ou ils ont l'obligation de m'informer. Des fois, ils ont l'obligation de m'informer sans nécessairement avoir besoin d'une autorisation de ma part, mais je vois ce genre d'échanges là confortablement maintenant.

COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Merci.

9 L'HON DOMINIC LEBLANC: Merci à vous.
 10 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So no re-examination
 11 after my questions?

12 MS. ERIN DANN: No.

13Me ALAIN MANSEAU:Votre Seigneurie?14COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:Oup! Pardon.

Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Oui. Alain Manseau du Bloc
québécois. Vu que Han Dong et le gouvernement du Canada ont
passé leur tour pour les questions, je vous demanderais
l'autorisation pour poser quelques questions à l'Honorable
LeBlanc.

20 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Bien, écoutez, je vais
 21 vous donner quelques minutes. On va convenir de 5 minutes,
 22 Maitres Manseau.

--- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR

23

28

8

24 Me ALAIN MANSEAU:

québécois.

25 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Bonjour, Monsieur LeBlanc.
26 L'HON DOMINIC LeBLANC: Bonjour.
27 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Alain Manseau pour le Bloc

LeBLANC Cr-Ex (Manseau)

Nous avons appris et par les médias ainsi que 1 par différents témoignages ici que le Groupe de défense des 2 3 droits de la personne - en espagnol, c'est Rights Defender -, avait... en septembre 2022, avait attirer l'attention de 4 résistance de (inintelligible) postes de police chinois 5 6 dispersés à travers le monde, donc trois à Toronto. Par la suite, la GRC est venue nous dire 7 qu'il y a eu une enquête qui a été faite également sur deux 8 9 postes de police, dont un à Montréal et un autre à Brossard, et ces deux postes de police semblaient receler tout au moins 10 de l'ingérence politique à partir de leurs locaux. 11 Vous ou le ministre de l'époque avez 12 13 mentionné que ces... 14 L'HON DOMINIC LeBLANC: Pardon, c'est quel 15 ministre? 16 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: De la Sécurité publique. L'HON DOMINIC LEBLANC: Mon prédécesseur? 17 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Voilà. 18 19 L'HON DOMINIC LeBLANC: OK. Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Avait mentionné, et ce qui 20 21 a été rapporté par les journaux que les deux postes en 22 question avaient été fermés définitivement. Et on a appris également qu'il y avait des actes illégaux qui avaient été 23 commis, et c'est la raison pour laquelle ces postes auraient 24 25 été fermés. Est-ce que vous êtes en mesure de nous dire 26 si effectivement ces actes illégaux étaient des actes 27 criminels? 28

MS. ERIN DANN: Excuse me, Commissioner. 1 Sorry to interrupt. I'm concerned that the question goes 2 3 beyond the scope of this portion of the hearings -- of this portion of the Commission's work, which is focussed on the 4 2019 and 2021 general elections. 5 6 **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** Pouvez-vous, Maitre Manseau, indiquer quel est le lien que vous faites avec 7 effectivement le... 8 9 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Oui. **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** On est assez limités au 10 niveau de ce qu'on regarde dans cette phase-ci, il y en aura 11 évidemment une seconde... 12 13 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Vous avez raison et ma 14 collègue a raison de le souligner, toutefois, le lien que je 15 fais, c'est que ces postes de police ne sont pas... n'ont pas pris naissance après, mais ont dû prendre naissance avant 16 2022 où ça nous a été rapporté par la suite par les médias. 17 Donc, ce sont des postes de police qui existaient en 2019 ou 18 19 encore en 2021, à moins que ça soit contredit. **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** Alors, je veux juste 20 21 comprendre parce que je ne veux pas ouvrir tout un pan là sur 22 quelque chose qui ne sera pas utile à ce stade-ci. Ce que 23 vous dites, c'est... parce que ce qu'on regarde, c'est l'existence d'ingérence étrangère dans le cadre d'un... juste 24 précédemment ou dans le cadre de la campagne électorale de 25 2019 et 2021, et ce que vous dites, c'est les postes de 26 police auxquels vous faites référence auraient été en 27

28 existence à ce moment-là?

Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Absolument. 1 2 **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** Et quel lien faites-vous avec les élections de 2019 et de 2021? Le simple fait qu'ils 3 existaient? 4 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Ils existaient et on peut 5 6 présumer qu'il y avait de l'ingérence puisqu'effectivement, la GRC a dit qu'on avait fermé ces deux postes de police à 7 cause d'actes illégaux sans nous dire quels étaient les actes 8 9 illégaux qui avaient été commis à l'époque. **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** Et votre question, c'est? 10 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: C'est effectivement quels 11 sont ces actes illégaux parce qu'on a dit qu'on intervenait, 12 13 la GRC, en matière d'ingérence seulement s'il y avait des 14 actes illégaux qui avaient été commis. Alors, jamais on nous a dit quels étaient ces actes illégaux. 15 16 **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** Je pense la question est trop large. Si votre question ... si vous reformuliez pour 17 demander s'il y a eu des... à la connaissance évidemment du 18 19 ministre LeBlanc, s'il y a lieu des actes illégaux commis en lien avec les élections de 2019 ou de 2021, cette question-là 20 21 je la permettrais. Mais de façon large là, ça, je pense qu'on 22 sort nettement du cadre qu'on a fixé ici. MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Pardon, Madame la 23 Commissaire, according to your terms of reference, if there 24 were ongoing investigations related to this matter, and there 25 is ongoing litigation in relation to this matter, it would 26 not be appropriate. And then my second point is my friend 27

has not provided any information to found the statements that

28

he is making, that these police stations were in existence early, that they were in 2019. And it's somewhat unfair for the witness to be asked questions on the basis of a hypothetical set of circumstances that he may know nothing about.

6 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: But this is the reason
7 why I made clear that it's as far as Minister LeBlanc knows.
8 He doesn't have to speculate, but if knows whether some of
9 the ---

Me ALAIN MANSEAU: À sa connaissance. **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** --- alleged activities

would have been in relation with the elections, then this question is permitted.

10

11

But I will not permit that you go very farwith this line of questions.

Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Alors, est-ce que… alors, est-ce qu'effectivement vous avez eu connaissance tout au moins qu'il y aurait eu des actes illégaux qui auraient été commis dans ces deux centres à Brossard et à Montréal au moment des élections de 2019 et 2021?

21COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:Et en lien avec les22élections.

23Me ALAIN MANSEAU:Et en lien avec les24élections.

L'HON DOMINIC LEBLANC: C'est ça. Alors,
j'hésite de… c'est pas un ministre qui détermine qu'est-ce
qui est illégal et qu'est-ce qui n'est pas illégal. Je ne
suis pas procureur, policier, ni juge. Je vous crois quand

vous citez les commentaires de la GRC supposément, il 1 faudrait que je vérifie qu'est-ce que la GRC avait dit. Je 2 3 suis connaissant des discussions publiques dans le domaine public sur ces supposés postes de police - je pense c'est 4 important d'utiliser ce mot-là -, mais j'ai pas des détails 5 6 opérationnels de la GRC, et comme l'avocat pour le qouvernement a dit, je ne suis pas suffisamment confiant 7 8 qu'il y a pas possiblement des enquêtes en cours 9 présentement. Ça, je ne sais pas. Alors , je suis un peu hésitant à m'aventurer dans ce domaine-là. 10 Me ALAIN MANSEAU: Je vous remercie. 11 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Je pense que vous avez ... 12 13 Merci. 14 Alors, on va prendre la pause. Je sais que l'horaire prévoit que c'est une pause de 5 minutes, en fait, 15 ca sera probablement plus une pause d'à peu près 20 minutes 16 17 parce qu'il y a des... compte tenu du changement de témoins, il y a aussi certaines mesures de sécurité qui doivent être 18 19 mises en place, alors je m'attends à une vingtaine de minutes de délai. 20 21 Merci beaucoup. 22 L'HON DOMINIC LeBLANC: Merci à vous. THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il 23 24 vous plait. 25 This hearing is in recess until 3:25? 26 **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** 3:30.

27 THE REGISTRAR: 3:30. La séance est en pause
28 jusqu'à 3 heures 30.

--- Upon recessing at 3:08 p.m./ 1 --- La séance est suspendue à 15 h 08 2 --- Upon resuming at 3:34 p.m./ 3 --- La séance est reprise à 15 h 34 4 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il 5 6 vous plait. This sitting of the Foreign Interference 7 Commission is back in session. Cette séance de la Commission 8 9 sur l'ingérence étrangère a repris. COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Alors, Maitre Chaudhury, 10 c'est vous qui menez l'interrogatoire. 11 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Good afternoon. 12 13 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Good afternoon. 14 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Shantona Chaudhury. Lead counsel for the Commission. Our witness this afternoon 15 is Prime Minister Justin Trudeau. 16 Can I ask that the witness be sworn or 17 affirmed? 18 19 THE REGISTRAR: Would you like to be sworn or affirmed for the record? 20 21 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Sworn, please. THE REGISTRAR: Could you please state your 22 name for the record? 23 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 24 Justin Trudeau. --- RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU, Sworn/Assermenté: 25 26 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you very much. Counsel, you may proceed. 27 --- EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN CHEF PAR 28

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: 1 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: 2 Thank you. So, Prime Minister, we'll start with the typical routine 3 4 housekeeping. Mr. Clerk, can I ask you to pull up WIT 66, 5 6 please? --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. WIT 66: 7 Interview Summary: Rt. Hon. Justin 8 9 Trudeau (Prime Minister) MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Prime Minister, 10 you'll recall being interviewed by Commission counsel on 11 February 27th, 2024? 12 13 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. 14 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Can you confirm that you've reviewed the summary of that interview, that the 15 summary is accurate, and that you adopt it as part of your 16 evidence before the Commission? 17 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I can. 18 19 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you. The next is WIT 67, please, Mr. Clerk. 20 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. WIT 67: 21 In-Camera Examination Summary: The 22 Right Honourable Justin Trudeau, 23 Prime Minister 24 25 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So, Mr. Prime Minister, this is the summary of your *in-camera* examination. 26 You'll recall having been examined in-camera by Commission 27 28 counsel earlier this year?

1 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, I do. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. And once 2 3 again, can you confirm that you've reviewed the summary, that the summary is accurate, and that you adopt it as part of 4 your evidence before the Commission? 5 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 6 I can. 7 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Perfect. We can take that down now, Mr. Clerk. 8 9 So I'm going to ask you to start today, Prime Minister, by asking a pretty general guestion, but 10 nevertheless a fundamental one, which is, having been Prime 11 Minister now since 2015, can you paint for the Commission a 12 13 picture of the foreign interference landscape over your 14 tenure as Prime Minister? 15 And before you answer, I'll just put two sort 16 of precisions on that. One is that we know foreign interference comes in all shapes and sizes, but the kind of 17 foreign interference that interests us most today at this 18 19 Commission is, obviously, foreign interference in democratic processes and electoral processes and institutions. 20 21 Second, it goes without saying, but in 22 answering this question and all questions I pose to you, please stick to information that can be safely publicly 23 disclosed. 24 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 25 Indeed. One of the things that we had grown concerned about as a party when we 26 were in opposition before the 2015 election was the lack of 27

oversight by Parliamentarians into what was going on in our

28

1 national security universe in this country.

An example of the Afghan detainee documents, 2 3 where there wasn't a process whereby Parliamentarians of different parties, of opposition parties, could examine top-4 secret material was seen as a lacking that Canada had, 5 6 certainly compared to our other Five Eyes partners, which is why in our 2015 campaign platform we committed to creating a 7 National Security and Intelligence Committee of 8 Parliamentarians whereby parliamentarians of all different 9 parties would be sworn into the highest levels of clearance 10 to be able to oversee, verify, and ascertain that everything 11 that our national security agencies were doing was on the one 12 13 hand compliant with Canadian values, rules, and the Charter, 14 and on the other hand, doing everything necessary to keep 15 Canadians safe.

So we started in 2015 with a commitment to 16 strengthen our national security institutions. We did that 17 by the creation of National Security and Intelligence 18 Committee of Parliamentarians. We also combined a number of 19 oversight organisations into NSIRA, which is a more judicial 20 or academic or high level oversight of our national security 21 22 agencies, as well as, you know, as we began to govern, strengthened our various national security and intelligence 23 agencies and tools. 24

25 One of the things I did is I changed our 26 national security advisor to a national security and 27 intelligence advisor because it's not just about security. 28 And obviously the work around intelligence was getting more

and more complex and important and part of keeping Canadians
 safe.

160

Over the course of that first mandate, we 3 witnessed the significant foreign interference allegations or 4 threats during the 2016 Presidential Election in the United 5 6 States, where Russia, certainly through misinformation and 7 disinformation online, attempted to interfere. But also, more interestingly as a key example, in 2017, during the 8 French Presidential Election, there was actually a moment in 9 which officials within the French governmental apparatus 10 actually had to come out and tell the citizens of France that 11 a particular piece of information or news that was about to 12 break was in fact Russian disinformation and should not be 13 14 given any weight or heed.

15 That got us to reflecting on whether or not 16 Canada had a potential to intercede in an election campaign if there was a significant threat of foreign interference 17 impacting the ability of our elections to actually unfold in 18 19 a free in and fair way. So we got to work on developing such a mechanism here in Canada, which ended up being two 20 mechanisms, both the SITE panel -- the SITE Task Force that 21 22 allows our security agencies to monitor very closely the going's on in an election, and the Panel of Five, which is 23 top civil servants who would have the ability, if they deemed 24 it necessary, to actually go public or take other actions to 25 ensure the protection of our democratic institutions and 26 electoral processes from foreign interference. 27

28

One of the other examples of things that

we've -- we did during that time, in 2018, when Canada hosted 1 the G7 leaders meeting in Charlevoix, Quebec, we actually 2 brought forward and created the G7 Rapid Response Mechanism, 3 which was designed to monitor and respond to threats of 4 misinformation and disinformation in our democracies. A tool 5 6 that has been successfully used over the past year since in a number of different occasions, and indeed was more recently 7 actually strengthened to weigh in a little more on the 8 9 democracies in Eastern Europe where we're seeing significant interference by Russians, given the conflict in Ukraine. 10 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. Thank you for 11 that summary. What I'm going to try and get at now is the 12 13 threats, really, to which all of this responds. So we heard

14 from Minister Gould this morning about the plan to protect 15 Canada's democracy and what it was really designed to do, 16 that process.

17 Mr. Clerk, I'm going to ask you to pull up a18 document, CAN 019496.

19 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 19496:

20 People's Republic of China Political21 Interference in Canada

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So Mr. Prime Minister, this is a document actually from 2017, so before this Commission's mandate per se, but it gives an idea, I think, of the kind of information or at least that was available to you at that time, and that's what I'm going to bring out here. So if we -- this is the memo that was written to you by David Morrison, your NSIA at the time. You

received it in June 2017. 1 2 So the top of that document there talks about the Chinese foreign interference threat, and it says CSIS 3 describes the PRC, essentially, as sophisticated, pervasive, 4 persistent. There are other countries around, but the PRC is 5 6 the big one. Mr. Clerk, if you can just scroll down a 7 little bit more. Okay. Scroll down, scroll down. I'll tell 8 you when to stop. Keep going. Okay, there we go. 9 So on the third page here, you'll see, Prime 10 Minister, it talks about allies who are facing similar 11 challenges, and refers specifically to Australia in which --12 13 I believe what's explained there is they -- in Australia it 14 was found that agents of the Chinese Government were donating millions of dollars across the political spectrum. So your 15 NSIA is informing you of this. 16 And keep scrolling down, please, Mr. Clerk, 17 to the next page. 18 19 And then brings it back to Canada. Oh, sorry. Scroll down a little bit more, 20 21 Mr. Clerk, to the next page. PCO comments. There we go. 22 Okay, last page: "Politicians, and elected officials, 23 in particular...provincial, 24 territorial, and municipal levels, 25 26 are largely unaware of the PRC's (and others) efforts to influence Canada's 27 political landscape, making them more 28

vulnerable to these attempts, either 1 in Canada or when travelling abroad." 2 3 So there's that. And then scroll down just a little bit more, 4 Mr. Clerk, so we can see the last part of this. 5 6 So this is -- I'm sorry, I said it was David It's actually Daniel Jean: 7 Morrison. "This is a very sensitive issue, and 8 9 public efforts to raise awareness 10 should remain general and not single out specific countries to avoid 11 potential bilateral incidents. 12 13 However, countries that cross the 14 line should be reminded of 15 appropriate conduct and risk of consequences." 16 So Mr. Prime Minister, I'd like you to speak 17 to those points if you can. First of all, the level of 18 19 knowledge about foreign interference, the level of threat, here we see it coming from the PRC, and also that tension 20 between sort of exposing something about foreign 21 22 interference, while at the same time having to balance international relations, bilateral incidents, and the like. 23 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Well, first of all, 24 25 it's a good example, as I spoke about the experiences in the 26 United States and in France, the experience that Australia had, not with Russia but with China, is another excellent 27 28 example that we were very aware of at the time, and

highlighted the fact that there are foreign state actors who
 are interested in playing a role in our democracies or in
 disrupting our democracies.

The difference between Russia and China is a significant one in that China has a very large diaspora of Chinese Canadians who are often the first targets of interference efforts by a foreign state, by that foreign state. So we were very aware of it.

9 As a politician in Canada for eight years, when I became Prime Minister, I was certainly aware of the 10 various ways officials and different countries, particularly 11 through diasporas, can take an interest in Canadian political 12 13 processes. But to understand it better, one of the first 14 things we did in 2015, maybe into 2016, was request a 15 briefing from our national security officials that would go at some of the things we had heard, some of the things we 16 knew, or understood as opposition politicians now in a 17 position of being in government. That we wanted to 18 19 understand more about the role of foreign interference in particular communities, in -- you know, we wanted to know 20 about particular individuals that we had heard things about, 21 22 and understand what landscape we were actually walking into because we suddenly had access to a very sophisticated and 23 excellent national security apparatus that when one is a 24 25 simple opposition politician you don't have access to.

26 So from the very beginning, we knew there 27 were things we needed to know about, and we got briefings on 28 that. And this 2017 memo is certainly a continuation of that

level of awareness. The issue of it being a sensitive issue 1 is guite germane, and it evolves over time. Back in the 2 3 early days of our government, we were very much looking to deepen the trade and commercial ties with China, seeing it as 4 an opportunity for exports. One of my biggest files of the 5 6 day on that was trying to restore the canola shipments that 7 many western grain farmers were relying on that were seeing irregular blockages from the Chinese authorities. So that 8 9 was part of our work.

But even as we were doing that, we were very 10 aware of the areas in which we needed to challenge or contest 11 China, whether it was on issues of human rights, or democracy 12 13 of Uyghurs, of protection of the rights of our diaspora communities from influence or intimidation. There has always 14 15 been a complex approach that every government has had to take 16 with China. Over the years, however, this has shifted significantly, as I'm sure we'll get into. The relations 17 with China took a significant turn when they chose to 18 19 arbitrarily detain two Canadian citizens. And for close to three years, we were not just pushing back hard against China 20 on the arbitrary nature of those detentions and the fact that 21 22 they needed to release those to Canadians. But we were extremely active around the world in mobilizing other 23 countries to bring up Canada and the plight of the two 24 Michaels during their bilateral conversations, which was 25 something I can say ended up putting a significant amount of 26 strain on our relationship because it was a massive irritant 27 28 to China that everyone kept talking about these two Michaels,

TRUDEAU In-Ch (Chaudhury)

even when they didn't have anything to do with Canada. We 1 heard it regularly. But that was what we continue to do. 2 3 It perhaps came to the greatest sort of head in terms of being reminded of appropriate contact and risk of 4 consequences in November of 2022 when I was in Indonesia for 5 6 a G20 meeting where when I saw the President of China, Xi Jinping, at the opening ceremonies. I mentioned to him that 7 I needed China to stop interfering in Canadian democratic 8 9 processes because that was very much something that people were very concerned about back home at that particular 10 11 moment. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. We'll move 12 13 then to the -- from the general landscape, which we now I 14 think have a decent picture of, to some more precise questions having to do with the Commission's Terms of 15 16 Reference. Et je vais commencer en français, Monsieur, 17 maintenant. 18 19 Et on a parlé d'un sujet qui est couvert dans votre résumé d'entrevue et votre témoignage, et c'est la 20 manière dont vous recevez de l'information et du 21 22 renseignement. 23 Un point clé qui ressort de votre entrevue et de votre témoignage auparavant, c'est que les documents 24 écrits sont peut-être pas la même manière principale dont 25 vous recevez ces informations-là et c'est plutôt les 26 breffages verbaux que vous recevez la plupart de votre 27 28 information.

Alors, est-ce que vous pouvez nous expliquer
 ça et nous expliciter de façon générale la manière dont on
 vous fournit les informations dont vous avez besoin.

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Bien, tout 4 d'abord, tout premier ministre reçoit énormément de breffages 5 6 et d'informations, pas seulement sur l'ingérence étrangère ou des situations de sécurité nationale, mais sur comment 7 fonctionne l'économie, quels sont les enjeux au niveau de la 8 sécurité publique, quelles sont les préoccupations qu'on a 9 avec nos alliés. Je suis constamment en mode de recevoir de 10 l'information ou d'énormément de différents départements et 11 conseillers à travers le gouvernement. 12

Je suis aussi les nouvelles principales pour être à l'affut de ce que les Canadiens voient dans leur jour à jour, quelles sont les préoccupations des Canadiens dans leur vie quotidienne.

Tout ça est présenté de différentes façons, 17 mais malgré le fait que je reçois de l'information écrite des 18 19 sommaires de la semaine, des documents en matière de sécurité et de renseignement qui sont souvent très « FYI », très 20 « Pour votre information ». La facon... la seule facon sûre de 21 22 s'assurer que je suis informé d'un enjeu prioritaire, c'est jamais juste de me glisser une note que peut-être je lirai, 23 que peut-être je n'aurai pas le temps de lire si je suis en 24 déplacement, si j'ai un horaire très chargé, c'est d'assurer 25 d'avoir un moment de breffage direct avec ma conseillère en 26 sécurité et renseignement, c'est la NSIA, qui vient me donner 27 des mises à jour sécuritaires, d'habitude sur plusieurs 28

TRUDEAU In-Ch (Chaudhury)

sujets pendant une même séance, de façon assez régulière, des
 fois une ou deux fois par semaine, plus si nécessaire, des
 fois juste trois ou quatre fois par mois, ça dépend.

Mais la seule façon de garantir ou de
s'assurer que je reçois les informations nécessaires, c'est
de me donner un briefing en personne ou au téléphone
sécurisé, si nécessaire, sur un enjeu quelconque ou
prioritaire.

9 Me SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: OK. Et vous avez
10 mentionné, bien sûr, la conseillère principale NSIA, la
11 (inintelligible) m'échappe quand même, mais la NSIA, est-ce
12 que c'est vraiment la NSIA dont vous dépendez le plus pour
13 vous fournir les informations dont vous avez besoin dans ce
14 domaine ou c'est la greffière ou c'est un peu les deux?

15 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Dans ce domaine-16 là, c'est la responsabilité de la NSIA de me garder à l'affut 17 de tout ce que j'ai de besoin, et quand j'ai des questions en 18 lien avec la sécurité ou le renseignement, c'est directement 19 vers elle que je me tourne pour avoir des réponses à mes 20 questions.

Le greffier ou la greffière a souvent un rôle à jouer pour me souligner des enjeux très importants qui peuvent être des rensei… des enjeux de sécurité ou de renseignement, mais c'est principalement la NSIA qui est chargée de me garder à l'affut sur les enjeux de sécurité et de renseignement.

27 Me SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: OK. Et lorsqu'on vous
28 fournit ces informations-là, je vais vous demander de nous

expliquer un peu votre réaction et de façon assez précise, 1 parce que nous avons entendu votre Chief of Staff, Madame 2 3 Telford, hier, votre cheffe de cabinet, elle a témoigné que ... elle a mentionné qu'elle lit les produits de renseignement ou 4 qu'elle reçoit ces informations-là parfois avec certaines 5 6 réserves, sans les prendre nécessairement au pied de la 7 lettre parce que ça contient parfois des erreurs, c'est pas tout à fait juste, et j'aimerais savoir votre perspective ou 8 votre expérience à ce sujet-là. 9

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Il y a un principe 10 en politique, surtout pour ceux qui sont en train de donner 11 des notes d'information ou des breffages aux ministres ou au 12 13 premier ministre, que si vous n'êtes pas certain de ce que 14 vous dites, vous ne devrez peut-être pas le dire. De mettre des faits erronés dans la tête d'un ministre ou d'un premier 15 ministre avant qu'il sorte parler aux médias ou se lever dans 16 la Chambre, ça peut être extrêmement problématique. 17

Alors, quand on me donne des informations sur un incident qui s'est produit, ou une préoccupation quelconque, ou un désastre naturel, ou une situation à laquelle font face les Canadiens, c'est sûr que la véracité de l'information est... que ce soit l'information la plus complète possible, est extrêmement importante.

24 Mais je ferais un peu une exception par 25 rapport à l'intelligence parce que quand on a des 26 renseignements ou de l'intelligence, c'est pas toujours 27 certain, c'est pas toujours corroboré. Dans les milieux 28 juridiques, c'est bien connu que la différence entre ce qui

est intelligence et ce qu'on peut montrer en évidence est une
 grosse distinction.

3 Alors, pour moi, quand je me fais briefer, par écrit ou plus souvent de façon verbale, par les agents de 4 renseignement, la conversation sur la fiabilité de 5 6 l'information fait partie intégrale de ce qu'on est en train de dire. Par exemple, quand on est... j'ai été briefé sur le 7 fait que l'Iran avait tiré sur l'avion ukrainien contenant 8 une centaine de Canadiens, les premiers rapports étaient un 9 peu plus flous, mais ils ont dit « on a des indications que 10 ceci, cela », et rendu au troisième breffage que j'ai eu là-11 dessus, ils ont pu être très, très précis que, oui, on sait 12 13 que c'est les forces armées iraniennes qui ont abattu l'avion 14 ukrainien.

15 Mais ce que je dis, c'est qu'on doit toujours 16 prendre les renseignements et l'intelligence, les documents d'intelligence avec un certain... une certaine conscience que 17 c'est à confirmer ou c'est peut-être pas cent pour cent 18 19 exact, mais parce que c'est de l'information extrêmement sensible, ça peut être très, très utile pour nous indiquer, 20 21 par exemple, que la Russie est peut-être à la veille 22 d'envahir l'Ukraine. On agit ou on prend les informations au niveau du renseignement différemment que la façon que je 23 prends un rapport sur le taux de chômage au Canada ou le 24 niveau d'inflation. 25

26 Donc, il y a toujours un certain niveau pas
27 de scepticisme, mais de pensée critique qui doit s'appliquer
28 à toute information recueillie par nos services de

renseignement et d'informations. 1 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: We'll probably come 2 3 back to some of that as we go along. I'm going to take you to the 2019 election 4 now specifically. 5 6 Mr. Clerk, can you pull up CAN 005461, please? 7 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 5461: 8 9 FI Efforts against Dong Han MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So Prime Minister, 10 this is, while it's getting pulled up -- yeah, there it is. 11 We know at this point in the evidence before 12 13 the Commission that on September 28th, 2019 the SITE Task 14 Force and CSIS gave a briefing to the security cleared 15 representative of the Liberal Party about foreign interference in the Don Valley North riding. We also know 16 from Mr. Broadhurst that he then received that information. 17 How did this play out from your perspective? 18 19 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Late in September, as I was coming through Ottawa, I believe I was on my way out 20 21 across the country for another stretch of campaigning -- I 22 believe it was on a Sunday, as I was heading out after a Saturday with my family. 23 Mr. Broadhurst met me at the airport in a 24 holding room in a lounge on the government side of the 25 26 airport, government terminal in the airport to let me know of concerns that he had received from the SITE Task Force and 27 CSIS about the nomination campaign -- the nomination election 28
-- the nomination race contest in Don Valley North. 1 He shared with me that intelligence services 2 had shared with him concerns that Chinese officials in Canada 3 had been developing plans to possibly engage in interference 4 in the nomination contest, specifically by mobilizing buses 5 6 filled with -- the challenge in this is always trying to pick out what I heard exactly then from what I knew later, but I 7 believe it was either buses full of students or buses filled 8 with Chinese speakers or Chinese diaspora members who would 9 be mobilized to support Han Dong -- who would have been 10 mobilized to support Han Dong in that nomination contest of a 11 few weeks previous. 12 13 In what ended being probably a 20-minute to

13 In what ended being probably a 20 minute to 14 half-hour conversation with Mr. Broadhurst, I asked him more 15 specifically about, okay, so they had plans or an intent or 16 capacity to do this. "Do we know that they did? Did you 17 hear from CSIS and the security agencies that this was 18 actually done?".

19 They weren't entirely certain. There was 20 reasons to believe that perhaps it has and perhaps there were 21 -- the indication was that there were buses filled with 22 Chinese speakers at that nomination contest.

I asked if -- and as a matter of course, those who are in politics and certainly on the ground riding politics know that it is regular for buses to be mobilized in -- particularly in contested nominations of community organizations, student groups. You know, a particular seniors' residence could bring a minibus full of seniors to

participate in a nomination contest. So just the existence 1 of buses wasn't enough -- buses with Chinese speakers or 2 Mandarin speakers in them wasn't enough to be itself alarming 3 or a condemnation, but it was -- there were clear indications 4 that there were concerns by CSIS that China might have been 5 6 behind this and that those students or those individuals on the bus might have been motivated or mobilized to vote in 7 that way and they were concerns that CSIS had. 8

9 I asked the extent to which they were certain that it happened, the extent to which they were certain that 10 China was, indeed, behind the mobilizing of the bus or buses, 11 and I also asked whether or not CSIS had information that Han 12 13 Dong knew about this, whether he was a witting and aware that 14 China had mobilized or Chinese officials had mobilized buses for him or not. And the answers were not clear from CSIS at 15 16 that point, according to what Mr. Broadhurst told me.

I then asked -- I also asked if it was a close nomination, if there was a sense that the actual result of the nomination could have been affected by this bus or buses or what was there, and that wasn't clear at all. CSIS didn't have any conclusions to share at that point.

I asked Mr. Broadhurst whether CSIS was making any recommendations or suggestions as to what we should do with this information and it was clear to Mr. Broadhurst that this was very much about just letting us know so that we know and could perhaps take any actions that we deemed appropriate, but they weren't going to be recommending for us to take action one way or another. But they also

specified that this was secret information that we could not
 share with the candidate in question, Mr. Dong, or the public
 at large in terms of what they were telling us about these
 concerns and these allegations.

I then asked Mr. Broadhurst what the Liberal
Party processes that are in place to oversee nominations,
particularly contested nominations, had flagged around that
nomination contest of a few weeks before.

9 There are Party officials that oversee the voting, the registrations, the voting, the processes, the 10 counting. There are lawyers in place overseeing the count. 11 There are possibilities for the losing contestant or 12 13 contestants to challenge the result if they feel it was 14 unfair. There are many processes because political parties often have some very complex fights around nomination parties 15 -- nomination contests. All political parties are like that. 16

And Mr. Broadhurst assured me that they had
looked into when they heard these allegations or this
information from CSIS and SITE, and had no flags on the
nomination process.

So then I had what was a brief conversation 21 22 with Mr. Broadhurst after we had established all that to sort of agree that the threshold for overturning a democratic 23 event like an official party nomination to find out who would 24 be the candidate for a general election, particularly during 25 an election -- general election, must have a fairly high 26 threshold for removal of that candidate. And that was really 27 28 sort of the binary choice we were placed with in that

1 situation.

2 Acting would be removing Han Dong as our official candidate. The other choice would be not to remove 3 that candidate. But even not having removed that candidate, 4 it would be something, given this information, that we would 5 6 have to revisit. Certainly in the case that that candidate got elected, there would be questions we would have to follow 7 up on after the election to properly understand what happened 8 and what the issues or the risks were in this situation. 9 But understanding that the decision to remove 10 someone needed a high threshold. A threshold that, 11 incidentally, I have met and seen many other cases. As 12 13 Liberal Party leader, I have, on many, many different 14 occasions, had to ask people to step down, step away, or desist as candidates for the Liberal Party, most recently as 15 the last election, where we did that in the case of a 16 downtown Toronto riding. 17 But in this case, I didn't feel that there 18 19 was sufficient or sufficiently credible information that would justify this very significant step as to remove a 20 candidate in these circumstances. 21

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So where does that leave you? So you don't exercise that option, and you put it as a pretty binary choice, but you have this information, you receive this information, it's, as you say, classified information that you can't share. What are you able to do? Where does this leave a political party receiving this information?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Well it meant that 1 after the election, when we were out of Caretaker period, 2 3 where I went back to being primarily Prime Minister and not simply leader of a political party with 338 candidates across 4 the country, I was able to turn to our intelligence agencies 5 6 and say, "We need to know more about this. We need to 7 understand what the context is," because the answers that we get on that will have a bearing on choices we could make in 8 the future about different roles or responsibilities for an 9 individual in such a situation. 10 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. Going to move 11 on to some other things now because we have a lot to cover in 12 13 75 minutes et je vois le temps qui coule. Okay. So the next topic then. Mr. Clerk, 14 you can pull this up, CAN003116. 15 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 3116: 16 SITE TF SITREP: 22 October 2019 17 But Prime Minister, I think I can ask you 18 19 this question without reference to a document. 20 An incident that was reported by the RRM in 21 the 2019 Election had to do with an article published in the 22 Buffalo Chronicle, some misinformation, false information about you specifically. Is that something that came to your 23 attention in the 2019 Election? 24 25 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No, it did not. 26 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: No, it did not. 27 Okay. 28 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Sorry, the

engagement of the SITE Taskforce, or the Panel, or anyone 1 into that issue was not something that I was aware of at the 2 3 time. I was, of course, aware of the quite disgusting false conspiracies or allegations being shared by both the Buffalo 4 Chronicle and a significant number of Conservative 5 6 politicians. 7 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So you were aware of the article, but not how, let's say, the apparatus 8 9 was dealing with it? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I may have been 10 aware of the article. I was certainly aware of the 11 allegations and the accusations that were heinous and untrue 12 13 in that. 14 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. I think that's probably what we'll cover for 2019, although I do want 15 to pull up CAN015487, please. 16 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 15487: 17 Safeguarding the 2019 General 18 19 Election MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So, Prime Minister, 20 21 this is the memo from David Morrison. I misspoke earlier. 22 This is January 14th, 2020, I think when you received this. And it's essentially a report on the 2019 Election. Not on 23 the outcome of the election, but on the operation of the SITE 24 25 Taskforce and the Panel. 26 Mr. Clerk, can you scroll down to the third bullet, please? 27

28

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Actually, could I

1	just quickly look at the box?
2	MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Sorry.
3	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yeah, sorry. The
4	third bullet, yes. That's fine.
5	MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So what they
6	say here is:
7	"Pre-election intelligence briefings
8	and monitoring provided a baseline
9	assessment [] suggesting [that]
10	foreign interference would be
11	commensurate to overall interference
12	[campaigns]. While some instances
13	[] were [noted], and some TRMs [TRM
14	is a threat reduction measure] were
15	[taken], [] none of these activities
16	met the threshold "
17	And then, Mr. Clerk, can you keep scrolling
18	down? Next page. Keep going. I'll tell you when to stop.
19	I think we may oh, no. There we go. Okay.
20	It says:
21	"As it pertains to [FI] and as
22	reference above, despite concerns
23	that Canada would be targeted"
24	And then I'm going to go through this quite
25	quickly, but the assessment is:
26	"there was no foreign cyber threat
27	activity targeting Elections Canada,
28	no […] instances of foreign

interference in the HUMINT space, [...] 1 no significant indications of [FI] in 2 3 the digital information ecosystem ... " And then what Mr. Morrison says is: 4 "Arguably, this [...] places the level 5 of [FI] [...] in GE 2019 below the 6 anticipated baseline ... " 7 Is that consistent with the information that 8 9 was being provided to you about what happened in GE 2019? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. This was a 10 report in January of 2020. So three months after the 11 election. I would have already have been briefed multiple 12 times by the Clerk and by others that their conclusion was 13 14 that the elections in 2019 were indeed free and fair and the outcome was not affected by foreign interference either 15 overall or in the specific riding contests. 16 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So now let's 17 leave 2019 and move to the 2021 Election. I'm going to ask 18 19 you about a series of some incidents or events that -- about which the Commission has received information. And I'll do 20 the first one with reference to one of the topical summaries 21 22 that's been produced to the Commission by the Government. So, Mr. Clerk, that's CAN.SUM4. 23 The title of this one is a bit of a tongue 24 twister, but Possible People's Republic of China Foreign 25 Interference-Related Mis or Disinformation. 26 So what we have here, if you can scroll down 27 past the caveat page, Mr. Clerk, is a summary of essentially 28

allegations of misinformation about the Conservative Party, 1 its leader Erin O'Toole, and I think Kenny Chiu is in there 2 as well, that were circulating during the 2021 Election. 3 So my question to you, Prime Minister, is, is 4 this something that you were aware of as it was occurring in 5 6 2021? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: During the 2021 7 Election, no. Shortly after the 2021 Election when the 8 Conservative Party went public with its concerns in sort of 9 the week that followed, I learned about it through media 10 11 reports. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. And were you 12 13 aware that the Conservative Party had raised those concerns 14 with the Government as well? 15 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Not at the time, but later I would learn that through briefings. 16 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okav. 17 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Months later. 18 19 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: The next one then is CAN.SUM13, please, Mr. Clerk. 20 21 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN.SUM 13: 22 Comments by Individual People's Republic of China Officials on 23 Expressed Partisan Preferences in the 24 25 2019 and 2021 General Election 26 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So this is actually a summary about both 2019 and 2021, a more germane one, 27 maybe, 2021. 28

Can you scroll down to the information page?
 Thank you, Mr. Clerk.

3 So what this summarizes, you'll see, is 4 expressions of partisan preferences by certain PRC officials 5 in Canada. And what it says about 2019 is that there was 6 reporting that some PRC officials expressed political 7 preferences which were party agnostic and opportunist at 8 riding levels.

9 So and scrolling down, please, again, Mr. Clerk. In 2021, there was reporting that some individual PRC 10 officials in Canada made comments expressing a preference for 11 a Liberal Party minority government. The rationale was they 12 13 don't perceive any of the political parties as being 14 particularly pro-China, but perceived minority governments of 15 being more limited in terms of acting -- enacting anti-China 16 policies.

So this reporting of an expressed preference
by certain PRC officials for a Liberal minority, was that
something of which you were aware at the time?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: As I said, both the 20 21 2019 and 2021 elections happen in the context of significant 22 tensions between our government and the government of the People's Republic of China, particularly over the illegal and 23 arbitrary detention of two Canadian citizens, the two 24 Michaels. We were extremely active both in pushing back at 25 Chinese officials on this issue, but also, as I said, active 26 around the world in drumming up support for people for the 27 two -- for different countries, for the two Michaels, but 28

also support for an initiative we were taking around 1 arbitrary detention and how it shouldn't be used as a tool of 2 3 political pressure or achieving political goals. So, yeah, I can certainly say that while individual officials may well 4 have expressed a preference or another, the impression we got 5 6 and consistently would get is that the actual People's Republic of China would have no -- it just would seem very 7 improbable that the Chinese government itself would have a 8 9 preference in the election.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So I take it from
this that whatever intelligence reporting there was on that,
it did not reach your ears?

13

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. Thanks. You
can take that down now, Mr. Clerk.

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: And there's also 16 the issue of the difference between foreign interference and 17 attempts by different countries to influence behaviour. 18 19 Diplomats around the world are in their roles to try and influence favourable behaviours by the countries in which 20 21 they're serving towards the country they represent. That is 22 a big part of the role of a diplomat, of a foreign official, of all types. Canadians certainly take an active role in 23 furthering our interests, including, from time to time, 24 25 having certain preferences around what might happen or what might be an outcome of an election or a particular domestic 26 debate in a foreign country. 27

28

However, foreign interference happens when

there is -- and there's a full proper definition of it 1 somewhere, but my understanding is where it's covert, where 2 it's coercive, where it is using pressure, or a particularly 3 untoward means other than having a diplomat express, "I 4 really hope you should sign this trade deal, it'd be good for 5 6 both our countries," as opposed to trying to strongarm people behind the scenes to get them to sign said trade deal, or 7 whatever one might examine. 8

9 So for a diplomat to express a preference, whether it would be personal, or tactical, or what have you, 10 is not in itself foreign interference. It may be attempts at 11 influence. It may not be anything other than the regular 12 13 conduct of diplomacy.

14 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So it would be the actions they take to further their preference that would 15 constitute potentially foreign interference? 16

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: And certainly, in 17 the case of China, we have seen regularly that many examples 18 19 to this Commission that there are clear actions that would amount to or indicate a willingness to engage in foreign 20 21 interference.

22 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: The next incident I want to bring you to is CAN 001082, Mr. Clerk. 23

--- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 1082: 24

28

25 Liberal Party Representatives SITE Briefing 26 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: This is another 27 briefing, Prime Minister, that was given to the cleared

representative of the Liberal Party at the time. It's the 1 2021 election this time. You probably, judging from that 2 document, can't say very much about this, but what I'm 3 interested in knowing here is the timing of how this one 4 played out, again, from your perspective. So we know that 5 6 the briefing it was actually on the 12^{th} of September, I 7 believe, not the 11th as this document indicates, but it was given, again, to the Liberal Party representative and then to 8 Mr. Broadhurst. And we've heard Mr. Broadhurst's evidence on 9 it, so now we'd like yours. 10 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: My understanding is 11 -- which I learned after the election was over, was that Mr. 12

Broadhurst made the determination that it wasn't something that he needed to bring to my attention as leader of the Liberal Party, and he did not.

16 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: He did not bring it17 to your attention?

18 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: He did not bring it
19 to my attention.

20 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: During the election?
 21 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: During the
 22 election, yes.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: After the election?
 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: He did not -- or he
 probably did, but I actually got more official briefings on
 this matter after the election.

27 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. I understand.
28 Okay.

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: He was the vehicle for briefing me theoretically during the election, not officials, because that's the way it would flow through as party leader -- in my party leader role. But afterwards, once I was once again fully Prime Minister, it was officials who would be able to brief me on this.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. Speaking of 7 briefings, we're going to turn to that topic now. So I'm 8 9 going to go through a few briefings that we know you -- or we think you received. We do know you received in many 10 instances on foreign interference over the relevant time 11 period. I'll start with February 9th, 2021. This one I 12 13 don't really have a document to point you to, so I'm just going to ask you for your recollection of it. So this would 14 be, again, February 20 -- February 9th, I'm sorry, 2021. Do 15 you recall receiving a briefing on that date? 16

17 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. That was a
18 briefing that I got on the phone. I was not in person for
19 that briefing. I was there via teleconference on a secure
20 phone, and, yes, I got a briefing.

21 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. Do you recall22 the content of that briefing at all?

23 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: It was a, as I
24 recall, a general briefing on a number of issues, including
25 foreign interference.

26 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. The next one
27 then in time skips to the fall of 2022. Mr. Clerk, can you
28 pull up CAN 015842, please?

--- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 15842: 1 Briefing to the PM on Foreign 2 3 Interference Threats to Canada's Democratic Institutions 4 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. This document 5 6 which has been talked about quite a bit in these proceedings is "Briefing Notes to the Director of CSIS." And, Mr. Clerk, 7 again, can you scroll down just so the Prime Minister can see 8 a bit of the document and its content? 9 So, Prime Minister, my first question is you 10 -- do you remember getting this briefing in the fall of 2022, 11 October 27th? 12 13 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, my memory's 14 always better when I'm -- when I was physically in the place where I got the briefing, so I remember very clearly this 15 briefing. This briefing was actually an overview of a number 16 of different cases and situations, none of which had to do 17 with federal elections. 18 19 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So would you say that the content of this particular -- these notes, these 20 21 briefing notes accurately conveys what you were told during 22 that briefing? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Not particularly. 23 Obviously, there are elements in this that are consistent 24 with the briefing that was on different elements of foreign 25 interference, but when it comes to briefings, and others can 26 speak to this and how they make decisions about what to read 27 28 from their prepared notes during an actual briefing with

Ministers or Prime Minister, but it is much more of a
 conversation than someone reading a prepared text to the
 Minister that they're briefing.

Yeah, there are elements in here that say,
for example, having read the briefing notes in preparation
for this Inquiry, that talk about how serious foreign
interference is and how we need to do more.

8 That wouldn't have been something that the 9 CSIS Director or the National Security Advisors or whoever 10 would have had to spend much time on because they would have 11 known that we did understand how serious foreign interference 12 is and how much we take it seriously and, actually, that was 13 why we would spend more time on specific cases or concerns 14 that were really the meat of the briefing.

So while notes are prepared for the briefers, what actually becomes the most important thing that I certainly recall about those briefings was the various and specific cases we went through and how they are examples of concern or not concern that we then have to behave in certain ways or have follow-ups on this or that.

I mean, it is much less a large theoretical briefing and much more concrete, this is the situation. And then the discussion about how we deal with this particular situation or example or another would be where the larger theoretical discussion and implications would come in, but they would be concentrated around specific individuals or cases.

28

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So maybe

we'll pull up now Ms. Telford's notes from that meeting, so 1 that's CAN 009803. 2 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 9803: 3 Handwritten Notes of Katie Telford 4 Me SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: They're a little more 5 6 sparse than Brian Clow's would be, but at least we have a few points here. 7 Do these notes help shed any light on what 8 9 was dealt with in that briefing for you, Prime Minister? Do they seem familiar? 10 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, I think the 1, 11 2, 3 indicates the different examples that we were -- or 12 13 situations -- actually, they're cases that we were talking 14 about or individuals we were talking about. And the bragging is not doing, definitely, 15 definitely helps me recall a part of the conversation where 16 there was -- and let me be careful how I say this so it's not 17 identifiable. 18 19 There was a foreign government official based in Canada who was taking credit for a certain thing having 20 21 happened in Canada in their reporting to a superior or to 22 their home country and just the fact that a foreign official was taking credit for having delivered a particular outcome 23 in no way meant that anything that particular official did 24 actually created the outcome. 25 Bragging is not doing. So you know, one can 26 imagine a diplomat in a far-off land wanting to write back 27 home to say, "See, look, look what I did. Aren't I good? We 28

got the outcome we wanted", perhaps, when that individual may 1 not have had any actually bearing on the outcome of the 2 particular event. 3 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: 4 Okay. RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I don't know if 5 6 that's sufficiently clear for what it was. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: It is, and thank 7 8 you. 9 The last document, maybe, on this point, 4097. 10 794079, sorry. So 4079. My bad. 11 There we go. Okay. 12 13 So again, these are notes from that day, so 14 if you can have a quick look at these, Prime Minister, the non-redacted parts of these. 15 And what you'll see there is a text box over 16 17 information that's been redacted but summarized by the Commission. 18 19 Does this seem familiar as information that was discussed at that meeting? 20 21 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: During that same 22 October meeting? 23 Sorry. Was that the ---24 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Yes, yes. 25 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: --- October ---26 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: That's the October 27 meeting. 28 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I couldn't really

speak to it. There's too many redactions on a document that 1 I would never have seen. 2 3 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Fair enough. Okay. Next one, then, is November 30th, 2022. 4 Can we pull up, please, Mr. Clerk, CAN 5 6 014285? --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 14285: 7 Foreign Interference 8 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So this is a memo to 9 you, Prime Minister, of November 30th, 2022. 10 And Mr. Clerk, again, if you can scroll down 11 so the Prime Minister can see the document past the 12 13 transmittal note. 14 It's a memorandum for you by the NSI copied to the Clerk, "Claims of foreign interference in the 2019 15 General Election for information". And the context of this, 16 Prime Minister, is this is shortly after the media leaks have 17 started about foreign interference, so a memo was written. 18 19 And we can again scroll through a bit to see the content of that memo. 20 21 Just keep going a little faster than that. 22 I'm not really going to stop on anything. But I will ask you, now that you've seen it a 23 24 little bit, to just scroll back up to the summary part, Mr. 25 Clerk. There we go. "PCO searched its 26 Okay. holdings". 27 28 So what's happening here is the NSIA and PCO

are trying to figure out what you were briefed on and when, 1 and so there's a paragraph here: 2 3 "PCO searched its holdings and engaged security and intelligence 4 partners to identify instances when 5 6 briefings on suspected interference in the 2019 General Election were 7 provided..." 8 9 That identified a single PCO information note dated January 14th, 2020, which is the one that we've seen 10 earlier, and then it references the February 9th, 2021 11 briefing. 12 13 Is that consistent with your recollection of 14 when you were briefed on these issues? 15 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Sorry. This note of November 30th, 2022 was when we were asking, okay, there 16 have been all these leaks on what may have happened during 17 the 2011 -- 2019 election and we were asking, you know, were 18 19 these things we got briefed on, were these things that we were flagged at that time. 20 21 And yes, that's the single POC information 22 note dated January 24th, 2020 ---MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: And then the 23 February 9th, 2021 briefing. 24 25 So all I'm asking is whether that's consistent with your recollection of when you were briefed on 26 these issues. 27 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: But I wasn't --28

these were requests I was made -- I made a request to our 1 National Security Intelligence Advisor because there were 2 3 things being alleged in the leaks that we had not been briefed on, so I'm not entirely certain about the briefing 4 dates there given because there were things, including those 5 6 11 candidates as a quote, that we had never been briefed on until we saw them in the papers because -- following the 7 8 leaks.

9 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Right. So I guess
10 maybe my question wasn't clear.

11 The content of this particular document I'm 12 not asking you about except just to confirm that this is 13 consistent with your recollection of when you were briefed, 14 the January 2020 and the February 2021.

15 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: January 2020 -- 16 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Was the memo that we
 17 looked at earlier.

18 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Sorry. That was
19 the David Morrison memo?

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Right.

20

28

21 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I never read the 22 David Morrison memo, to my recollection. I got briefed on 23 the contents, which was basically that foreign interference 24 was lower than expected and the elections were free and fair 25 in 2019. Those were the top level conclusions that I was 26 briefed on within days or weeks of the end of the 2019 27 election.

By the time we got around to January, it was

good to have that report. I ended up reading the -- the Judd 1 Report, I believe, was the full assessment of the work that 2 3 SITE and the Panel did during the 2019 election, but I did not read that -- I did not receive that January 24th note 4 because I had already been briefed on its entire contents. 5 6 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okav. RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: And then the 7 February 9th, 2021 footnote was that was, that was the phone 8 9 brief that we spoke about earlier, yes. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Right. I guess that 10 goes back to your point about oral briefings or what really 11 get to you, not necessarily the written ones. 12 13 Okay. Can we then pull up, Mr. Clerk, 14 CAN 017673. --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. CAN 17673: 15 CAN 017673 - [Handwritten Notes of B. 16 17 Clow] RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: And let me just --18 19 I mean, wouldn't want to give people the impression that briefings weren't something particularly -- intelligence 20 21 briefings we took very, very seriously. But in most of these 22 secure briefings, which we'd go into a skiff, a secure compartmentalised room, where we would be told -- we're told 23 to leave our phones outside, take off our watches and our 24 Fitbits, and make sure were totally secure within a Faraday 25 cage, and then we received the briefings, often being told 26 no, we can't keep any of the documents that are given. We 27 can read the documents that are given, but we then need to 28

1

return them to the officials.

Certainly in the beginning, we were never 2 clear on whether we could take notes on this either because 3 security was important. Fortunately, as we've all seen 4 through various inquiries, it's a good thing Brian Clow does 5 6 take notes. But you know, there was always a sense that there was lots of written material and lots of tracking of 7 that information, as the government must, and taking very 8 seriously all of these things and very careful controls. 9

But when it came to briefing and taking actions and understanding the context, it happened through secure briefings and conversations that were primarily us receiving information, us asking questions, us directing further actions or research in this area or that area that they would then take away and do.

I wouldn't want anyone to think that oh, because the briefings were primarily oral, or for example, that that David Morrison memo I didn't read because it wasn't delivered to me, because I got the content in other conversations with my NSIA, with my Clerk about the fact that the election was -- integrity was upheld.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. We'll just go
to some other notes, then. I think -- I believe these are
Brian Clow's notes from November 30th, 2022.

25 Do you recall this briefing or this meeting,26 Prime Minister?

27 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: My notes indicate
 28 that this was immediately before Question Period, a briefing

that happened over lunch hour as I was preparing to go into 1 deal with some fairly intense questioning on the issue of 2 3 foreign interference, given the explosive nature of the media stories stemming from unsubstantiated and uncorroborated 4 intelligence shared by a leaker. So these were -- you know, 5 6 these were conversations around what I could say and what we could and couldn't say around some of these allegations that 7 were in the paper, but would leave us limited on what we 8 9 could actually rebut, regardless of the fact that there was -- there were inconsistencies, there were uncorroborated 10 information in the leaks. There were also things that were 11 flat out wrong. 12

13 But I was remined of the old story of a FBI agent questioning a witness in a organised crime situation 14 and saying, "Well, did you meet with that mobster in LA?" 15 Guy says, "I can't comment." "Did you meet with that mobster 16 in Detroit?" "I can't comment." "Did you meet with that 17 mobster in Miami?" "No, I definitely did not." You know, 18 19 sometimes in denying something you're giving information you couldn't. 20

21 And throughout my preoccupation on why these 22 leaks were of such deep concern was that we couldn't actually correct the record without in some cases confirming the 23 tradecraft and the work that women and men in our security 24 agencies, and sources relied upon by our security agencies to 25 keep Canadians, our institutions safe, without putting them 26 at risk, without sharing with adversaries some of the 27 28 information or the methods that we use to keep Canadians

safe. 1 2 And that's part of the reason for the complex nature of a public inquiry into issues of foreign 3 interference, that if we say certain things or if we 4 contradict or deny other things we could be giving our 5 6 adversaries tools to actually understand how we go about detecting their interference or illicit ways of engaging to 7 harm Canadians. 8 9 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: It's a complex problem. 10 So the next -- I'm going to keep going with 11 the briefings, and the post leak world briefings 12 13 specifically, Prime Minister. 14 Not long left, but CAN 018009, please. --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 18009: 15 Handwritten Notes of Brian Clow 16 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So these are notes 17 from -- the date on the notes is March 19th, but we know it 18 19 was actually March 20th. So this is March 20th, 2023, a meeting at which you were present and I believe your staff 20 21 was present and a number of senior national security 22 officials. So if we scroll down so again, Mr. Prime 23 Minister, you can see the content of this document or the 24 unredacted content. Are you able to tell us your 25 recollection of what was happening at this meeting based on 26 these notes? 27 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. I remember 28

this meeting well. 1 If you actually scroll back up, please, 2 Mr. Clerk, to -- yeah, a little higher so we get both --3 4 there. Right there is fine. PM, that's me, speaking of nominations. 5 We 6 were talking about -- thank you. 7 (LAUGHTER/RIRES) RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: We were talking 8 9 about nominations in there, and I don't remember what they -what the next -- who the next speaker was, that's redacted, 10 but the emphasis on Charter rights or the bringing up of 11 Charter rights, and further down, "PM - no June 2019 12 13 meeting". 14 Those are two examples of us working constructively with CSIS and the intelligence agencies to 15 better understand and validate certain pieces of information. 16 For example, in the information we were seeing, we've seen 17 that CSIS had a source that said that there was a June 2019 18 19 meeting that I was at that I can clearly and unequivocally at the time and since then confirm never happened. I did not 20 21 have the meeting that the source had said. 22 Now, this doesn't mean that CSIS got it wrong, it meant that CSIS was now able to validate that what 23 their source had said in this situation was wrong, and 24 therefore, that puts a particular understanding or colour on 25 their ability to interpret other statements of fact, supposed 26 fact that that source made. 27 And that's part of how intelligence work 28

happens. When you know for sure -- when a source says something that you can verify is true, that's make them more reliable. A source says something that you can then verify was wrong, that also gives you more information about that source. So it was important for us to highlight for example in that meeting that there was no meeting, as was described by that source.

Similarly, on the question of Charter rights, 8 9 that was a slightly different tweak where in the CSIS analysis, the analyst had highlighted that there was possible 10 violations of people's Charter Rights in a particular 11 situation. And we had asked and pressed for more sort of 12 13 legal or judicial analysis of that assertion within, because 14 it didn't quite ring true to our instincts as political actors in terms of the analysis that CSIS was making. 15

Again, it's part of the process that one goes through as you engage with the experts in foreign intelligence and security in an active way to try and make sure we're understanding, getting the accurate picture, and able to then continue to keep both Canadians and our institutions safe through the various jobs we do.

22 Me SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Madame la
23 Commissaire, I think I'm out of time. Vous me permettez une
24 dernière question?

25 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Certainement.
 26 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Mr. Prime Minister,
 27 I'm going to sort of ask you to conclude this by addressing
 28 the following question.

So we've heard about the existence of foreign
 interference, the pervasiveness of the threat, and various
 measures that, as you've said, have been put in place to
 combat this.

5 You may know that earlier in these 6 proceedings we heard from a number of individuals who found 7 themselves sort of in the receiving end, being targeted by 8 potential foreign interference in some ways. And there have 9 been calls for the Government to do more than it's done 10 already to address this and to protect Canadians.

And in particular, I'm going to take you --I'll just read you a small excerpt of former MP Kenny Chiu when he was testifying here. He said that experiencing what he had gone through in terms of the potential PRC, well, we don't know PRC, but potentially PRC related misinformation, disinformation, potential foreign interference, he said:

17 "...it's almost like I was drowning, 18 and they are watching, and the best 19 they could do, by the way, is to let 20 know that I'm drowning. I don't need 21 their notification. I need their 22 help."

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: H'm.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So, Prime Minister, I'd like to hear your response to that, and essentially maybe in providing this response, help set the stage for the second phase of the Commission's work?

28

23

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Starting by perhaps

taking a bit of a step back, and the idea that, you know, we need to do more. I agree.

3 When we took office in 2015, there was very little, if any, mechanisms to counter foreign interference. 4 Yes, our intelligence agencies did good work, but the idea or 5 6 the priority of protecting our democracy, particularly when 7 it comes to misinformation, disinformation, active engagement in various diaspora communities, or electoral events, was not 8 on the radar at all when we took office. It hadn't been 9 something that the previous government or any previous 10 government had done much on at all. 11

So we started from a standing start. We 12 13 created the National Security Intelligence Committee of 14 Parliamentarians. We created NSIRA, we moved forward with the Rapid Response Mechanism, and we've continued to do more. 15 Yes, the Panel for the 2019 to 2021 Elections, SITE. 16 But we've continued to continue to do more. The -- we recently 17 brought in a National Security Committee, National Security 18 19 Council of Cabinet to address sort of strategic threats on a larger level. We're continuing to give more tools and powers 20 and learning from what the P5 was able to do in 2019 and 21 22 2021, that they'll be able to apply in the 2025 Election when it's likely to come. 23

There is always more to do, and one of the things I'm very much looking forward to, coming from the work this Commission is doing, is to make recommendations on how we can strengthen even further the protection of institutions and of our democracy.

But that's only half of it. The other half 1 is giving Canadians confidence in their institutions and 2 3 their democracy. And whether it's a diaspora member worried about stepping up to running for elected office in this 4 country because they're worried about the impact that might 5 6 be real or perceived from a country they chose to leave many years ago for whatever reasons. There are real concerns and 7 feelings involved. 8

9 And ultimately, democracy only works when people are confident in its ability to keep them safe, but 10 also be the articulation of what they want for their 11 community and their country. That's where confidence in the 12 13 integrity of the elections in 2019 and 2021 is so important 14 and something that we have emphasized throughout this process, that the -- every briefing I've ever got from all my 15 intelligence and security experts is that those elections 16 were indeed free and fair and nothing we have seen and heard, 17 despite, yes, attempts by foreign states to interfere, those 18 19 elections held in their integrity, were decided by Canadians.

20 But the feeling that individuals can have 21 that maybe our institutions aren't so strong, maybe they are 22 impacted by foreign actors who wish to do ill to Canada and 23 to Canadians, is something that we need to be very, very 24 thoughtful about.

And one of the ways, ultimately, to keep ensuring that our democracy is safe is to make sure that citizens themselves are engaged, active, critical thinkers who are empowered to see what is information, what is

misinformation or disinformation, and be robust in their
 right to choose whatever direction they want for the country.

3 And we've seen with the intensity of misinformation and disinformation, not just from foreign 4 actors, but just on social media generally in many topics, 5 6 that it's not automatic. Democracy requires constant vigilance and constant hard work. It didn't happen by 7 accident. It doesn't continue without effort. It's not just 8 9 effort of Commissioners, and politicians, and spooks, it's efforts of every single individual to feel like they have the 10 full ability to engage in our democratic processes and to 11 feel that they are safe and protected as they engage, whether 12 13 it's as a voter, or a candidate, or an elected Member of 14 Parliament, of Provincial Parliament or wherever.

15 These are things that we all need to continue16 to work together on.

And I am in constant awe of everyone across 17 this country who continues to put up their hand and step 18 19 forward in a time where it's getting more and more difficult and more and more challenging to be part of public and 20 political discourse, to say, "No, I want to build my country 21 22 for the better. I want to contribute to my community and I'm going to step forward into a place where I'm going to take 23 slings and arrows," particularly members of diaspora 24 25 communities.

But bringing in that diversity of Canadian experiences is the only way to make sure that we're actually building the kind of country we need to be for the future.

So I salute everyone who steps up and will continue to commit myself to making sure that those feelings of confidence and of safety as we involve -- engage as citizens or more, as our democracy, are protected.

5 Me SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Madame la
6 Commissaire, ce sont mes questions.

9

7 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Merci. J'en ai quelques8 uns pour vous, Monsieur le Premier Ministre.

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Bien sûr.

10 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: À votre connaissance, 11 existe-t-il un mécanisme ou une procédure en place pour faire 12 en sorte que la NSIA -- moi aussi je cherche le mot en 13 français -- soit... ait toujours accès et reçoive toujours 14 l'information qui circule en matière d'ingérence étrangère?

15 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: La NSIA a le rôle 16 de rassembler et d'aller chercher toute l'information dans… 17 pour nos différentes agences de sécurité, que ce soit à la 18 défense, que ce soit aux Affaires étrangères ou à SCIS, CSE, 19 ou la GRC. C'est vraiment la personne qui est à mes côtés 20 pour coordonner tout cet univers-là. Alors, elle a la 21 capacité et le pouvoir d'aller chercher ses réponses.

D'ailleurs, quand je me suis réveillé ce matin et voyant des rapports dans les médias qui amenaient certaines préoccupations, je me suis immédiatement tourné vers ma NSIA pour dire « peut-tu faire un suivi sur ce que je suis en train de lire ce matin et me revenir avec des informations », et moi, j'ai confiance que quel que soit l'univers ou l'endroit dans l'univers de sécurité et

renseignement où l'information se trouve, elle a accès à tout
 cet univers-là. C'est la personne vers laquelle tout est
 éventuellement conjugué.

4 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Et je veux bien
5 comprendre, donc elle a accès à tout, mais est-ce que, par
6 ailleurs, tous, que ça soit les agences, que ça soit les
7 différents ministères, systématiquement transmettent
8 l'information relative à l'ingérence étrangère à la NSIA?

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Je suis confiant 9 qu'elle recoit l'information que les différentes agences 10 trouvent pertinente, mais comme on a vu, il y a toujours des 11 améliorations à faire sur la façon que différents 12 13 départements et différents secteurs du gouvernement 14 travaillent ensemble, et l'existence même de la NSIA permet 15 d'avoir un point d'autorité et de capacité d'aller exiger des réponses et des informations de partout. 16

17 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: OK. Lorsque vous recevez
18 des renseignements, et au sens d'intelligence là...

19

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Oui.

20 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: ...qui ne sont peut-être 21 pas encore corroborés, mais qui sont susceptibles d'être 22 extrêmement importants d'avoir un impact significatif, est-ce 23 que vous pouvez demander aux agences en établissant une liste 24 de priorités de compléter ou de poursuivre les enquêtes qui 25 sont entreprises?

26 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Absolument, mais
27 souvent et presque dans toutes les situations, quand je dis
28 il faudrait faire un suivi là-dessus, ce que j'entends, c'est

« on est en train de le faire et voici ce qu'on est en train 1 de faire ». Évidemment, le travail que font les agences ne 2 dépend pas d'un premier ministre pour dire « ah, vous devriez 3 vraiment faire un suivi là-dessus », ils vont suivre les 4 enjeux préoccupants. Oui, un gouvernement ou un premier 5 6 ministre peut accorder plus d'emphase, peut mettre de la 7 pression pour aller plus vite, peut envoyer plus de ressources, mais nos systèmes de ... et nos agences de sécurité 8 9 et de renseignement ont les mandats et les responsabilités pour pouvoir faire des suivis sur des enjeux préoccupants. 10

11 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Et vous pouvez le faire
12 en changeant les priorités qui peuvent être à ce moment-là
13 déjà établies.

14 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Oui, on peut 15 modifier, on peut accroitre certainement. On a une réflexion 16 réqulière sur les priorités en matière de sécurité pour le pays. L'emphase qu'on met sur la cybersécurité, par exemple, 17 c'était pas ce que c'était il y a dix ans, par exemple. Le 18 monde est en train d'évoluer, la réalité d'un monde où les 19 grands pouvoirs sont en train de changer d'orientation, où la 20 Russie est devenue extrêmement problématique et pas juste un 21 22 peu problématique comme elle l'était il y a dix ans. On s'ajuste constamment et les élus ont un rôle important à 23 jouer là-dedans, mais certainement le travail que font nos 24 25 agences de renseignement et de sécurité procèdent de façon 26 robuste de toute façon.

27 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Lorsque votre directeur
28 de campagne, monsieur Broadhurst, vous informe qu'il y a des

1 allégations voulant que des personnes aient été transportées
2 par autobus pour voter à une investiture, est-ce que vous
3 demandez, vous, que des vérifications additionnelles soient
4 effectuées?

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Par rapport aux 5 6 partis, oui, j'ai demandé d'abord qu'est-ce qu'on a comme information là-dessus et « est-ce que tu peux faire un suivi ... 7 est-ce que vous pouvez faire un suivi avec ... », que le parti 8 fasse un suivi avec Élections Canada pour voir les rapports 9 qui ont été remplis, pour voir les conclusions, voir si on a 10 d'autres informations. Mais la réalité, c'est dans des 11 nominations contestées, il y a presque toujours des autobus. 12 13 Dans certaines situations, ces autobus sont payés par le 14 candidat soi-même et comme ça ils seront sur la liste de dépenses soumise à Élections Canada, mais dans d'autres 15 16 situations, comme j'ai dit, c'est des autobus qui appartiennent à un centre d'âge d'or qui sont utilisés ou qui 17 sont utilisés par d'autres, qui sont commandés par d'autres, 18 19 et là, il n'y aurait peut-être pas de reçus là-dessus.

Moi, dans mon propre concours à la nomination 20 21 en février-mars, mars-avril peut-être, 2007, il y avait 22 plusieurs autobus d'Italiens et de Grecs parce que c'était la 23 réalité pour mon comté de Papineau. C'est quelque chose qui est assez commun et ça n'aurait pas été assez pour que 24 quelques regardants comment se déroulait la nomination 25 automatiquement disent « ah, il faudrait faire un suivi 26 immédiat là-dessus ». On n'est pas une organisation de ... 27 we're not a forensic organisation. C'est de regarder à ce 28

point-là en termes d'un parti qui fonctionne principalement
 par bénévoles, on est limités dans ce qu'on peut aller
 regarder trois semaines plus tard.

4 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Et vous avez, si j'ai... si
5 mes notes sont justes, vous avez indiqué que c'était une
6 question be revisited after the elections.

7 Est-ce que la question a été « revisited
8 after the elections »?

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 9 Oui. **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** Oui? Par le parti? 10 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Par le parti, je 11 suis pas sûr qu'il y avait une norme, puis je suis sûr que 12 13 oui, il y avait eu des vérifications, mais les vérifications 14 ont été faites de façon assez complète, j'en suis sûr, immédiatement après qu'ils auraient été avisés par le SITE 15 Task Force. Je suis pas certain qu'il y aurait beaucoup plus 16 de recherches qui auraient pu découler pendant des mois par 17 la suite ou même des semaines parce que on avait 18 19 l'information qu'on avait et on n'en avait pas beaucoup plus 20 que ça.

S'il y avait eu des enquêtes d'Élections
Canada à cause d'irrégularités, ça, il y aurait eu des
suivis, mais ça, c'est Élections Canada qui pourrait en
parler.

25 Pour moi, les suivis, c'était au niveau de
26 l'engagement possible d'autorités chinoises ici au Canada qui
27 auraient pris un intérêt actif dans un candidat particulier,
28 dans un processus de nomination particulier. C'est à ce
niveau-là où on aurait fait des suivis pas pour voir, OK, 1 est-ce qu'on peut savoir la vérité sur ce qui s'est passé 2 3 dans la nomination, parce que ça, c'est peut-être très difficile à voir, mais est-ce qu'on peut établir un peu plus 4 de clarté sur le rôle ou l'intérêt potentiel qu'une autorité 5 6 chinoise aurait eu par rapport à ce candidat particulier. 7 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Merci. TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Merci. 8 9 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Alors, avant ... before the cross-examination, we are supposed to take a break, and I 10 think we will take a break. 11 So -- but we are running a late a little bit, 12 13 so I suggest a 10-minutes break. So we'll come back at 5:15. 14 THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre, s'il vous plait. 15 This sitting of the Foreign Interference 16 Commission is in recess until 5:15. Cette séance de la 17 Commission sur l'ingérence étrangère est en pause jusqu'à 18 19 17 h 15. --- Upon recessing at 5:07 p.m./ 20 --- La séance est suspendue à 17 h 07 21 22 --- Upon resuming at 5:30 p.m./ --- La séance est reprise à 17 h 30 23 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il 24 vous plait. 25 26 This sitting of the Foreign Interference Commission is back in session. Cette séance de la Commission 27 28 sur l'ingérence étrangère a repris.

1	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So the first one to
2	conduct cross-examination is counsel for Michael Chong.
3	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
5	MR. GIB van ERT:
6	MR. GIB van ERT: Prime Minister.
7	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Gib.
8	MR. GIB van ERT: You've already heard Ms.
9	Chaudhury telling you that we had Mr. Broadhurst in
10	yesterday.
11	And I'll just ask the court reporter to turn
12	up the document that you've already seen and that we went
13	through with Mr. Broadhurst, and that's CAN 5461, please.
14	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. CAN 5461:
15	FI Efforts against Dong Han
16	MR. GIB van ERT: And so this is the document
17	that we looked at earlier. I took Mr. Broadhurst through it
18	because I wanted to have his evidence about what he told you.
19	And of course, the value of this document is that it sticks
20	to things that we can talk about in an open proceeding like
21	this.
22	And so I just want to show you the key
23	points. Of course, the first one is that there were
24	allegations of foreign interference by China in the Don
25	Valley North nomination contest.
26	And then secondly, if you'll just scroll down
27	a little bit, please, this is the redacted bit. Thank you.
28	The summary of the redaction is:

1	"Buses being used to bring
2	international students to the
3	nomination process in support of Han
4	Dong at the direction of PRC
5	officials in Canada."
6	And so it's that second paragraph that I want
7	to focus on to begin with.
8	And I just want to begin by noting that
9	there's nothing in this summary that indicates what language
10	the students were speaking. They're described as
11	international students.
12	And the reason why I'm noting that is that in
13	your evidence earlier and also in the witness statements that
14	you adopted at the beginning, you referred to people on the
15	bus, the students, at points as being "Chinese speaking
16	peoples" or "Chinese speakers". Do you recall that?
17	I can take you to the passages, if you like.
18	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No, no. No, I
19	appreciate that.
20	One of the challenges that I have is
21	remembering what I knew at a particular moment when months
22	later or even years later I would find out more information
23	about this means that I'm never 1000 percent precise on what
24	it is that I knew at a particular moment.
25	MR. GIB van ERT: Yes, I do appreciate that.
26	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I do remember at
27	one point when we were talking about whether or not CSIS
28	understood how nomination races worked and how community

organizations would regularly bring buses, there was a quote 1 -- or there was -- someone relayed to me that one of CSIS's 2 3 concerns was they were bus filled with Chinese speakers showing up at the nomination. And my response, as I sort of 4 alluded to in my previous testimony, was, "Well, I had buses 5 6 filled with Greek speakers and Italian speakers because in my 7 nomination in Papineau those were the communities that were mobilized". 8

9 That phrase stuck in my head, but I will 10 admit that I do not specifically remember whether or not the 11 Chinese speakers or Mandarin speakers element was part of 12 that what I call the airport briefing, that briefing on this 13 particular Sunday during the campaign, or not.

15 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: But it certainly is
16 consistent with this.

MR. GIB van ERT: Yes.

17MR. GIB van ERT: All right. No, that's very18helpful.

19And I will ask the court reporter to turn up20WIT 067 on this. And if you'll go, Mr. Clerk, to paragraph2130, which is -- sorry, starting at paragraph 29, please.22Paragraph 29. There we are.

Right. Thank you.

14

23

And so the last sentence is the concern was that buses of Chinese speakers had arrived at the nomination or possibly been brought into the nomination.

27 And if we go to paragraph 30 and just over28 the page, the top of the next page. A little further. There

1 we are. Prime Minister, you see the last sentence: 2 3 "The central issue of concern was that buses filled with Chinese 4 speakers could have been 5 6 international students directed by 7 the PRC." So the point that I want to make with you, 8 9 Prime Minister, and it sounds to me like perhaps you've already got it, is that the central concern of the service 10 here, as I understand it, is not that they were Chinese 11 speakers. 12 13 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No. 14 MR. GIB van ERT: It's that they were 15 directed by the PRC. 16 Had these people been students from Switzerland rather than China but were brought at the behest 17 of China and to do China's bidding, I say the service's 18 19 concerns would have been absolutely the same, which is ---RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. 20 MR. GIB van ERT: --- that this would be 21 22 foreign interference. RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. Entirely. 23 It is not the nature of that -- that is part 24 of what I remember as context around the Service's concerns 25 26 that China might have mobilized individuals, yes. MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you. And I 27 28 appreciate you acknowledging that. And I'll tell you why.

And it's because, as you'll recall, there have been times where you have, let us say, cautioned us all as the news about the allegations in Don Valley North came out and as other allegations concerning Han Dong came out. You have, as I say, let us say, cautioned Canadians not to fall into anti-Chinese or anti-Asian stereotypes around this.

7 And what I want to press on you here is that 8 the ethnicity or the language of these students has never 9 been the issue, either for the Service or for any right-10 thinking Canadian. The concern instead is that PRC was 11 directing people, whoever they were, to go do their bidding 12 and to help Han Dong into his seat in Parliament.

And you can have that concern, and worry about that, and worry about the consequences for our democracy, without having an ounce of racial prejudice running through your veins. Do you agree with me on that?

17 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Entirely. And that 18 was actually the point that I made to the Service, that I 19 made in response to them saying -- or the suggestion that, 20 oh, the concern was the bus filled with Chinese speakers. I 21 said, "That has nothing absolutely no bearing on anything."

22 MR. GIB van ERT: And I want to be clear 23 though, I hope it is your evidence that you did not feel that 24 the Service itself was acting in some racially prejudiced 25 way?

26 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No. My concern was
27 more that perhaps the Service didn't understand, as deeply as
28 political actors do, the prevalence of bussing of different

1 community groups in nomination campaigns. MR. GIB van ERT: Right. And let's come to 2 3 that point as well. If we could go to WIT 66, please? 4 That's your other statement. 5 6 Paragraph 24, please. Thank you. And actually, it's at the top of page 7, so keep scrolling a 7 little. Yes. Stop there. 8 9 In the middle of the page, Prime Minister: "The fact that there were buses of 10 Chinese-speaking people at the 11 nomination meeting did not 12 13 necessarily corroborate the 14 allegation that the PRC was 15 responsible." 16 And in fact, I should have read the sentence before as well. He, meaning you, Prime Minister: 17 "...also remembered that the 18 19 intelligence was only an allegation, 20 [...] included no evidence that the 21 people being bussed to the polls were 22 supported by PRC officials." Right. And you go on to say, Prime Minister, 23 that you remembered asking whether the Service understood 24 25 that busing is part of the nomination process. Is that 26 right? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 27 Yes. 28 MR. GIB van ERT: Okay. Thank you. And

again, going back to the reporting I just showed you, there's 1 obviously a reference to bussing there. But what I want to 2 3 suggest to you is that the emphasis again wasn't on the mode of travel for these people. They took busses this time. All 4 right. They could have come some other way and it wouldn't 5 6 terribly matter for the Service's perspective because their concern was that they were directed by PRC and assisted in 7 getting to the nomination place in order to, allegedly, help 8 one candidate over the other. So the way they got there 9 doesn't matter one way or another. I understand your point 10 that you wanted to make sure CSIS understood that busses per 11 say are not a problem, but my proposition to you, sir, is 12 13 that when you read that statement, that the emphasis is on 14 "direction by China". Yes, they got there by busses. That's the allegation. They could have got there by tricycles. 15 Ιt doesn't terribly matter. The point is, they were directed by 16 17 China.

18 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I would suggest
19 that it might be more difficult for a foreign actor to
20 organize fleets of individuals showing up on tricycles,
21 rather than filling them into a bus.

MR. GIB van ERT: I expect that's right. But
one way or another, let's say they managed that miracle, CSIS
would still be concerned, and rightly so?

25 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Absolutely.
 26 MR. GIB van ERT: Yes. All right. I would
 27 like to take you to the David Johnston report for a moment
 28 now.

That's at COM 104. 1 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 104: 2 3 "First Report The Right Honourable David Johnston Independent Special 4 Rapporteur on Foreign Interference" 5 6 MR. GIB van ERT: And if you'll go to page 23, please? Now, I forgot that this is in two columns, so 7 I'm not sure where I'm going to find my quote. 8 Let me read it to you. I don't think it's 9 controversial. You may recall that Mr. Johnston, I hope we 10 can find it in here somewhere, but Mr. Johnston concluded in 11 respect of the Don Valley North allegations, he said: 12 13 "...there is a well-grounded suspicion 14 that the irregularities were tied to the PRC Consulate in Toronto..." 15 Do you recall that, sir? I can try to find 16 it for you, if you don't. One moment. 17 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, there it is. 18 19 "Irregularities were observed ... " MR. GIB van ERT: Yes. And then there we 20 21 are, and there's -- thank you very much, Prime Minister: 22 "...and there is a well-grounded suspicion that the irregularities 23 were tied to the PRC Consulate in 24 25 Toronto..." 26 That's what I wanted to ask you about. Now, I fully appreciate, sir, that that was 27 28 not a conclusion you were able to make or prepared to make in 1 September 2019.

2 But my question for is today, now that we've 3 had Mr. Johnston's report and he's come to that conclusion, 4 do you accept, first, that there were irregularities in that 5 nomination contest, and secondly, that they were likely tied 6 to the PRC Consulate in Toronto?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I'd suggest that
irregularities being observed is not itself enough to
overturn a democratic event. And I'd also suggest that a
well-grounded suspicion is certainly warranting more
reflection and follow-ups, but also might not hit the
necessarily very high threshold for overturning the result of
a democratic event.

MR. GIB van ERT: Yes, but I don't think that's what Mr. Johnston is speaking to. He's just saying that there is a well-grounded suspicion that the irregularities, which he seems to have found, were tied to the Consulate. And what I want to know is, do you accept those conclusions today?

20 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yeah, sorry, if 21 you're not asking me about how -- I accept there is a 22 suspicion that PRC officials in Canada were engaged in some 23 way with that nomination. I can't speak to irregularities. 24 Perhaps you know what irregularities specifically Mr. 25 Johnston was talking about?

26 MR. GIB van ERT: No, not as well as some
27 people in this room. All right. Well you do accept though,
28 and you say that there's a suspicion. Do you accept that

it's well-grounded? That was Mr. Johnston's view. 1 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I can't speak to 2 analysis made by others. I certainly -- and again, 3 distinguishing what I knew in 2019 from what I may have 4 learnt later leaves me in an awkward position around 5 6 answering this. MR. GIB van ERT: All right. I'll move to my 7 next document, and that is CAN15842, please. 8 9 And you've seen this already. It is the October -- late October 2022 briefing. 10 You've already given evidence that your 11 briefings are oral, you didn't see this document per say, and 12 13 I've got that point, sir. 14 But I do want to go to page 2 of the And scrolling down a little further? There we 15 document. 16 are. Thank you. My question for you is, did the Director say 17 words to the effect of, or convey the message that, as you 18 19 see here: "Canada has been slower than our Five 20 21 Eyes allies to respond to the 22 [foreign interference] threat..." RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 23 No. MR. GIB van ERT: All right. And if you 24 continue on in that same passage: 25 26 "...such as proactively publicizing successful disruption [...] 27 activities..." 28

Was that something that the Director conveyed 1 to you? 2 3 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No. When I spoke to this before, I believe I said that the briefing notes 4 prepared for the Director didn't particularly align with the 5 6 actual briefing we got. 7 MR. GIB van ERT: Yes. RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: The briefing was 8 9 spent almost entirely on specific cases, and all of these notes prepared for the Director generally saying, "Yes, 10 foreign interference is serious. India. China. Serious," 11 would have taken up the first 30 seconds of what the Director 12 13 said, because ---14 MR. GIB van ERT: Understood. 15 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: --- he would have 16 gotten right into the cases. So this is not ---MR. GIB van ERT: I'm just going to show you 17 one more point from this. I do have your point about that. 18 19 It's page 3. Yes, thank you. Yes. It's the bullet point that begins with the 20 21 word "ultimately": 22 "Ultimately, state actors are able to conduct [foreign interference] 23 successfully in Canada because there 24 25 are no consequences, either legal or 26 political. [Foreign interference] is therefore a low-risk and high-reward 27 endeavour." 28

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(van Ert)

1	Did the Director convey, in those words or in
2	some similar words, that message, that this is an a
3	low-risk, high-reward endeavour because there are no
4	consequences?
5	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No.
6	MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you. That's very
7	helpful.
8	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
9	Counsel for Jenny Kwan.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
11	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY:
12	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Prime Minister, good
13	afternoon. So Prime Minister, it's a matter of public record
14	that MP Kwan has alleged that she may have been the target of
15	foreign interference by the Communist Party of China in the
16	2021 general election. So based on that premise, there's
17	time permitting, there is three sets of issues I hope we
18	could discuss.
19	The first is how MP Kwan came to know from
20	CSIS that she was a target for foreign interference; the
21	second is why she might be a target; and the third is how
22	foreign interference be occurring against her in Canada.
23	So you're aware, Prime Minister, that MP Kwan
24	received a confidential briefing from CSIS on May 26, 2023.
25	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes.
26	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And you're aware that
27	she has not shared publicly any of the classified information
28	she received in that briefing.

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I believe that to 1 2 be correct, yes. 3 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: But you're aware she stated that she was told that she is an evergreen target for 4 the Communist Party of China and for the rest of her life, 5 6 even after she leaves politics. 7 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I can't speak to directly what she was told, but that seems consistent with 8 9 what they might have told her, yes. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So Prime Minister, are 10 you able in this setting to share with us whether you had any 11 role in the decision to brief MP Kwan about foreign 12 13 interference? 14 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: When there were --15 when there are allegations or information brought to me 16 regarding a particular Member of Parliament or a particular individual, often one of our first responses in my office, 17 and my response, is to ask CSIS, or the security agency 18 19 involved, to engage directly with the individual. The nature of that engagement, often we find that CSIS is already 20 21 preparing to do that. Sometimes us encouraging it allows it 22 -- or encourages it to happen more quickly. It's certainly something CSIS can make decisions about on its own as well, 23 but I believe in this case, we encouraged those briefings to 24 25 happen. 26 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so you encouraged

26 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so you encouraged
27 them to happen. And if you're able to comment, was one of
28 the reasons why you and your office encouraged the briefing

to happen was to enable MP Kwan to herself identify foreign interference that might be occurring and to take steps, if she could, to counter foreign interference?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 4 The challenge of foreign interference exists for, as we've heard, for just 5 6 about every elected official at every different order of government has a potential threat, but we also know that 7 diaspora communities, particularly from certain countries of 8 9 origin, are more susceptible to be targets on that. So whether it's defensive briefings or threat reduction 10 measures, which are two different approaches that CSIS and 11 others can use in terms of briefing, it is their design to 12 13 both inform, make aware, and hopefully help the individual in 14 avoiding the kind of interference that is of concern.

MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so one -- so one goal is to help individuals avoid foreign interference if it's occurring, so a self-help remedy, if we could, but surely another purpose would be to urge an individual to come forth with concerns about potential foreign interference to CSIS or the RCMP or the Commissioner of Canada Elections or some other federal authority. Is that ---

22 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: --- right? Right. 23 And so the -- and of course, for anyone to come forward, a Member 24 of Parliament or any Canadian who might be targeted for 25 foreign interference, the expectation would be that if they 26 presented such a complaint or a concern that it would be 27 28 investigated thoroughly.

1	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: That it would be
2	given the attention that it merits, yes.
3	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so I want to ask
4	dig in a bit to why MP Kwan might have been targeted and what
5	your thoughts are. So you're aware that MP Kwan's testified
6	here that she believes she has been targeted for foreign
7	interference because of her outspoken criticism over many
8	years of the human rights record of the People's Republic of
9	China. Are you aware of that?
10	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes.
11	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Yeah. And so she's
12	you know you're aware of her criticisms of the Tiananmen
13	Square massacre?
14	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes.
15	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And the Hong Kong
16	National Security Law?
17	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, like many,
18	many Canadians of all different origins, but particularly,
19	progressive Canadians of Chinese origin, there are some very,
20	very strong and outspoken and brave individuals who speak up
21	against the government of their country of origin.
22	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And she made some of
23	those criticisms as a parliamentarian
24	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: M'hm.
25	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: on the floor of the
26	House of Commons.
27	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes.
28	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So for example, when she

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(Choudhry)

spoke in favour and voted in favour of the resolution on the 1 Uyghur genocide. And so it's clear, then, in making these 2 3 criticisms she was actually exercising her right to free speech. You'd agree? 4 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 5 Yes. 6 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And that she was exercising her parliamentary privilege when she ---7 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. 8 9 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: --- had made those criticisms? And so no Canadian ---10 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: But I'd also say 11 12 more. 13 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Yeah. 14 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: She was fulfilling her responsibilities as a Member of Parliament to represent 15 16 her constituents and her community in our Parliament. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Agreed. And that no 17 Canadian, MP or not, should be subject to foreign 18 19 interference for expressing their political views? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Indeed. Yes. 20 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So I want to then take 21 you, then, if I may, Prime Minister, to how foreign 22 interference by the CCP might be occurring in Canada. And so 23 we have had testimony that the CCP's foreign interference 24 activities occur through the United Front, an arm of the 25 Chinese Communist Party. You're aware of that. 26 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Not exclusively. 27 28 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Right. Not -- but

including through the United Front? 1 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. 2 There are many different ways, and the United Front is one of the ways 3 in which the Communist Party of China exerts either 4 influence, or perhaps in other cases, interference. 5 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And the other -- are you 6 able to comment on the other ways? 7 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Chinese police 8 9 stations are a perfect example of something that's been in the news recently that our friend from the Bloc asked about 10 earlier today. 11 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And of course -- and 12 13 they often -- the United Front often operates through proxies 14 we've learned. You agree that that's correct. 15 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And I think the words 16 you used to describe foreign interference in your examination 17 in-Chief were "covert", "coercive", "deceptive". And so 18 19 would it surprise you if you were told that the United Front might funnel funds to Chinese proxies in Canada? 20 21 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I am wary of 22 getting into too much of what I know in an open forum here, but I think there has been evidence submitted along the lines 23 of that. 24 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Okay. And so -- and 25 you're aware that CSIS has confirmed that the United Front 26 uses proxies to disinvite or deplatform regime opponents from 27 28 invitations to important community events.

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I -- again, I would 1 encourage you to go directly to the source of these reports 2 3 and allegations. I can say that yes, I am kept very, very highly briefed on various ways of interference. I am not 4 always sure which ones I can talk about that I know about 5 6 that others may not know about. 7 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Right. RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: But if you're 8 9 talking about public record things, then it's good that you're putting them into the record. 10 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Sure. And so as a 11 politician of course, part of the -- some of the rituals of 12 13 Canadian political life involve attending events hosted by 14 different ethnic communities that are potentially quite significant, so the Sakhi would be one, I'm sure, that you 15 16 might be familiar with. RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 17 Yes. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so there is a 18 19 certain significance, then, to having been invited for many years to an event and then suddenly disinvited in a public 20 21 way. That would be designed to send a message, wouldn't you 22 agree? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 23 I think publicly 24 disinviting someone, as wrong as it would be, might fall into 25 the category of influence rather than interference. If a diplomat is hosting an event that the Chinese government is 26 behind or through proxies, it's a fairly open and visible way 27 28 and perhaps meant to be open and visible to exclude an

1 2 That sounds like -- as wrong or as unfortunate or much as we might disagree with it, it sounds 3 like something that is not covert or surreptitious, but more 4 very direct disapproval. 5 6 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: But if it's a community event organized by a Canadian organization ---7 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I think we're 8 9 getting into hypotheticals here, but I understand your point, that it is unfortunate that China in general tries to silence 10 critics of its regime, including, you know, high-profile 11 Members of Parliament. 12 13 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Okay. So a couple of 14 concluding questions, if I may, Prime Minister. 15 So the GAC panel testified that if the 16 People's Republic of China or, for that matter, any other foreign state were engaged in foreign interference in Canada, 17 it would violate international law. Do you have any reason 18 19 to disagree with that evidence? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No, I'm -- yes. 20 21 The foreign interference is violation of Canadian law and 22 international law. 23 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And you'd agree, then, it's a violation of Canadian sovereignty. 24 25 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. 26 MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Thank you, Prime Minister. 27 28 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.

individual.

1	Mr. Jarmyn, representing Erin O'Toole.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
3	MR. THOMAS JARMYN:
4	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you, Prime Minister
5	or thank you, Commissioner.
6	Prime Minister, my name's Tom Jarmyn. I
7	represent Erin O'Toole.
8	Just building on a question that my colleague
9	was asking, Mr. O'Toole, similar to Ms. Kwan, has also
10	received a defensive briefing from CSIS. And was that done
11	with the permission or direction of your office?
12	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Again, it is not
13	something that CSIS needs to get permission from the Prime
14	Minister's Office to do, but in this case, we certainly
15	encouraged it.
16	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: And has your office given
17	general direction when MPs come under this sort of, I guess,
18	scrutiny or attack that they should be made aware of that?
19	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: That is in general
20	our approach on things, yes.
21	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: But has your office given
22	direction to that effect?
23	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: It is not to us to
24	direct CSIS on what threat reduction or defensive briefings
25	it gives or doesn't give, but certainly our posture has been
26	one of encouraging CSIS to keep all Parliamentarians informed
27	and aware of not just threats against them, but of issues of
28	foreign interference.

1	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you.
2	I guess if we could go to CAN 4495.
3	And this is a document that supposedly
4	relates to a briefing which was intended to occur some time
5	in late February of 2023. It was prepared by CSIS.
6	Commission counsel has shown it to you and I
7	believe you also saw it during your witness interview.
8	If you could scroll down to the third page.
9	A little further, please. Thank you.
10	So I understand from your witness interview
11	that you were not advised of this these events concurrent
12	to them happening in the election in 2021, but I would ask
13	after February 21st of 2023, have you ever been briefed by
14	either CSIS or the NSIA with respect to conclusions similar
15	to this that:
16	"observed online media activities
17	aimed at discouraging Canadians,
18	particularly of Chinese heritage,
19	from supporting Conservative Party of
20	Canada, leader Erin O'Toole, and
21	particularly Steveston-Richmond East
22	candidate Kenny Chiu.
23	the timing of these efforts to
24	align with Conservative polling
25	improvements; the similarities in
26	language with articles published by
27	PRC state media; and the partnership
28	agreements between these Canada-based

outlets and PRC entities; all suggest 1 that these efforts were orchestrated 2 3 or directed by the PRC." RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I think on this I'd 4 like to turn to the summary prepared of evidence on this one. 5 I believe it's ---6 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: I think it's WIT 66, 7 8 paragraph 49. 9 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Sorry. I'm talking about the intelligence summaries, not the interview 10 summaries. The prepared summaries. 11 MR. FREDERICK SCHUMANN: Madam Commissioner, 12 13 I think the Prime Minister's referring to the multi-source 14 topical summary on ---15 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: That one, topical 16 summaries. Yes. MS. ERIN DANN: I believe it's number 4, if 17 that assists, .4. 18 19 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, thank you. 20 That's it. 21 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: So that's the topical 22 summary, but going back to my question, which was, has any official, either the NSIA or Director of CSIS or anyone on 23 your staff, briefed you with respect to the conclusions that 24 25 were in CAN 4495? 26 MR. FREDERICK SCHUMANN: And just to be fair to the witness, perhaps he could be allowed look at those 27 conclusions one by one rather than ---28

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(Jarmyn)

MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Sure. 1 2 MR. FREDERICK SCHUMANN: --- en masse. 3 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: So possibly he can go back to CAN 4495. 4 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, I have the 5 6 summary, so if we can go back on the page to the document you brought up. 7 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: 8 Thank you. 9 Scroll down. Thank you. Just a little bit further. Thank you. 10 So the overall statement is observed online 11 media activities aimed at discouraging Canadians, 12 13 particularly of Chinese heritage, from supporting the 14 Conservative Party leader, Erin O'Toole, and particularly Steveston-Richmond East candidate Kenny Chiu. 15 **RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU:** Yeah. 16 What I will go to is the bottom of that -- the last line in the second 17 paragraph there and refer to the general summary there, point 18 19 6, that says no PRC state direction of the incident was detected or reported. 20 21 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Yes, I realize that's 22 what that document says. But my question is, did anyone brief you with 23 respect to those allegations that are in CAN 4495? And if 24 25 your answer is no, that's fine. RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: The -- first of 26 all, these are briefing notes that I never saw. These are 27 28 briefings for a briefer who then gave a briefing that, as

we've seen, may or may not have included all of these things. 1 I am and was, however, aware of the elements 2 in the summary that talked about whether it's following the 3 publication of the article in the Hill Times. There was a 4 number of different media organizations that picked up and 5 6 ran with those things, but again, getting to the bottom line, no, you know, Chinese state direction of the incident was 7 8 detected or report. 9 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you. And I'd like to turn now to COM 008, which is 10 the Cabinet directive from 2021 with respect to the Critical 11 Election Incident Public Protocol. 12 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 8: 13 14 Cabinet Directive on the Critical 15 Election Incident Public Protocol 16 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: And this is the standing directive right now. It is -- unlike 2019, this is an 17 ongoing thing. Is that correct? 18 19 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, this is the existing directive now. 20 Yes. 21 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: And we've heard from 22 various witnesses, Madam Drouin, who said that the threshold for intervention by the Panel of Five would either be high or 23 very high, were the words she used. Minister Gould used 24 "observed with certainty" and Minister LeBlanc used the "high 25 threshold" as well. Is that consistent with your 26 understanding of how the directive is meant to apply? 27 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: The directive is 28

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(Jarmyn)

meant to apply, and the Panel is meant to kick in when there 1 are threats to Canada being able to hold a free and fair 2 election. That must necessarily be a significantly high 3 threshold because just the act of engaging for the Panel 4 could itself have an impact on the unfolding of the election. 5 6 So the expertise and the experience and the professional judgment of the people on the Panel is what we 7 lean on significantly for whether and how they intervene. 8 9 I will highlight that not every intervention by the P5 would be to convene a supper hour press conference 10 to tell Canadians about something in the middle of an 11 election campaign. It could involve, as it has, apprising 12 13 different parties of concerns; it could be -- involve asking 14 or working with a social media giant to take down a particular piece of misinformation. Like, there are 15 different things that would have different thresholds, in 16 terms of what is required to do to ensure that the election 17 remains free and fair for Canadians. 18 19 Mr. THOMAS JARMYN: Can we scroll down in the

20 directive itself, please, and into 5? There we are, the 21 Process. Actually, we need -- sorry; I have go to back up 22 again. So they say -- paragraph 3, please.

You say, Minister -- or Prime Minister, rather, that this can be engaged by threats. The Panel of Five has testified that it must be an event that has occurred which affects a free and fair election, at which they would give notice to Canadians. Is it your evidence that the Panel can act on a perceived threat as opposed to an event?

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(Jarmyn)

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I'd ask, actually, 1 to scroll down to -- go to 4.0 or -- no, next one, 5.0. 2 3 There we go: "...lays out a process through which 4 Canadians would be notified of an 5 6 incident that threatens Canada's ability to have a free and fair 7 election, should notification be 8 necessary." 9 I suppose an incident could be an event, but 10 I think if there is an imminent threat to Canada's ability to 11 have free and fair election, I have no doubt that the Panel 12 13 would engage with that, whether or not the incident or event 14 had happened or was just imminently about to happen. 15 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay. Just one last question, please, Commissioner. 16 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Sure. 17 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: And that threat could 18 19 crystallize at the general election level, at the riding level, or indeed, among a diaspora community level that's 20 21 spread out over across several ridings. RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Sorry; and what's 22 your question? 23 24 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: That threat could crystallize ---25 26 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Good. Yes. MR. THOMAS JARMYN: --- at either the general 27 election level, an individual riding level, or among a 28

broader community that's spread out over several ridings. 1 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, as long as it 2 threatens Canada's ability to have a free and fair election, 3 either at the riding level or in the aggregate general 4 election, which is just the sum of 338 individual riding 5 6 elections. MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you. Thank you. 7 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Thank you, Tom. 8 9 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. Mr. de Luca, acting on behalf of the 10 Conservative Party. 11 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE) 12 13 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 14 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Mr. NANDO de LUCA: Good evening, Mr. Prime 15 16 Minister. Could I have -- just give me a moment. Could 17 I have TRN 6 called up, please? 18 19 And while that's being called up, Mr. Prime Minister, in preparation for your testimony here today, have 20 you been aware that MP Han Dong confirmed under oath at this 21 22 Inquiry that he spoke to PRC officials on multiple occasions about the two Michaels while they were held in captivity in 23 China? 24 25 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I believe so, yes. 26 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And am I correct that the two Michaels had been taken into custody and 27 detained in China commencing in December 2018 until they were 28

released at the end of September 2021? 1 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 2 Yes. 3 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Would you agree with me that the detention of the two Michaels was a very 4 high profile and very sensitive matter, both in Canada and 5 6 abroad? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: It was certainly 7 very high profile, and it was a detention that caused us to 8 9 mobilize broadly. It was certainly a very difficult experience for the two Michaels and their families, but it 10 was also something that mobilized an awful lot of not just 11 Canadians but our partners around the world. 12 13 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you. 14 We've heard evidence, and seen evidence at 15 this Inquiry, that at least one of the conversations between Mr. Dong and PRC General Consul -- Consul General in Toronto 16 was intercepted and monitored, that it touched upon the two 17 Michaels in some respect, and that at least a summary of the 18 19 intercepted conversation exists, and I have a couple of 20 questions for you regarding this intercepted conversation. MR. FREDERICK SCHUMANN: Just before my 21 22 friend does, I'm not sure whether -- of the details he is -or the assertion he's making is one that can be found in the 23 public record, but I'm happy to hear from him about that. I 24 25 would urge him to refer to the summary, the topical summary 26 on this issue. MR. NANDO de LUCA: I'm going to come to the 27 28 topical summary, but if I could ask the Prime Minister

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(de Luca)

generally, when were you first made aware that Mr. Dong had 1 been having conversations with the PRC Consul General 2 3 regarding the two Michaels? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I can't recall 4 offhand at what point that was. 5 6 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Do you remember what year, sir? 7 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Perhaps there's 8 9 documents that refer to the meeting that I can talk about publicly, various briefings that I've had when these 10 allegations came out. I believe -- actually, I believe they 11 -- this was the source -- this was a matter disclosed in the 12 13 leaks in the fall of 2022, and it was only subsequent to 14 those leaks that I became aware of those conversations. So 15 it would have been late in 2022. 16 MR. NANDO de LUCA: You don't believe you were made aware of any such conversations prior to that? 17 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No. 18 19 MR. NANDO de LUCA: And could I ask that CAN.SUM 2 be called up, please? 20 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN.SUM 2: 21 22 "Intelligence Relating to Han Dong and Communication with People's 23 24 Republic of China Officials Regarding 25 the "Two Michaels" 26 MR. NANDO de LUCA: And I believe Ms. Chaudhury took you through tis document, to some extent, 27 earlier. This is a summary of intelligence held by CSIS and 28

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(de Luca)

the intelligence agencies relating to Han Dong and some 1 communications with the People's Republic of China relating 2 to the two Michaels. And I take it from your answers 3 earlier, you reviewed this document in preparation for your 4 testimony here today? 5 6 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. MR. NANDO de LUCA: And there's a summary of 7 8 five points relating to this intelligence. Can you confirm 9 -- and I think you may have -- that aside from your review of this particular document in preparation for today, that you 10 have received intelligence briefings and information that is 11 reflected in each of these five points? 12 13 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I have six points 14 on mine. MR. NANDO de LUCA: I may have misspoken, 15 you're correct. Quite correct, six points. 16 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Okay. Yes. 17 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Can I turn your 18 19 attention to point 4? Perhaps you can review that, and while you are, the second sentence says: 20 21 "MP Dong expressed the view that even if the PRC released the 22 'Two Michaels' at that moment, opposition parties would view the 23 PRC's action as an affirmation of the 24 effectiveness of a hardline Canadian 25 26 approach to the PRC." You see that? 27 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. 28

1 MR. NANDO de LUCA: When were you first made 2 aware of MP Dong expressing that view? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: As I said, this was 3 subsequent to leaks. But let me also just say that it's 4 aware of information alleging that MP Dong expressed these 5 6 views. As has been previously stated, there have been significant questions around both translation and summary of 7 the actual exchange that -- you know, I don't think I need to 8 read the first page filled with caveats around incomplete, 9 single-sourced, varying degrees of reliability, you know, not 10 necessarily indicating corroboration or reliability of 11 sources. So there's a lot of uncertainty around even the 12 things that we're saying in -- that we're seeing in the 13 14 summaries. 15 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can I ask you, Prime Minister, have you personally reviewed that summary? 16 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: This summary? 17 MR. NANDO de LUCA: No, the summary of the 18 19 intercepted conversation. 20 MR. FREDRICK SCHUMANN: Madam Commissioner, 21 I'm concerned that we're getting into a sensitive area. I'm 22 frankly not sure ---23 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I can say yes to 24 that. Yes, I have. But there's not much more I can say 25 about it. 26 MR. NANDO de LUCA: That's fine. Thank you. Can we call up COM 118, which is the Special 27 28 Rapporteur's first report that was produced or dated May 23,

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(de Luca)

1	2023?
2	THE COURT OPERATOR: COM 118?
3	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Yes.
4	THE COURT OPERATOR: Just one moment.
5	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. COM 118:
6	First Report The Right Honourable
7	David Johnston Independent Special
8	Rapporteur on Foreign Interference
9	MR. NANDO de LUCA: And I'd like to go to
10	page 26, small roman numeral viii.
11	There's an analysis of a piece of reporting
12	that Han Dong advised the PRC Consulate to extend the
13	detention of the Two Michaels, Global News, March 22, 2023.
14	Do you see that?
15	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes.
15 16	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately
16	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately
16 17	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been
16 17 18	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been considerable media attention about an alleged transcript of
16 17 18 19	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been considerable media attention about an alleged transcript of this conversation. Do you see that?
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been considerable media attention about an alleged transcript of this conversation. Do you see that?</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been considerable media attention about an alleged transcript of this conversation. Do you see that? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. MR. NANDO de LUCA: And he then says:</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been considerable media attention about an alleged transcript of this conversation. Do you see that?</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been considerable media attention about an alleged transcript of this conversation. Do you see that? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. MR. NANDO de LUCA: And he then says:</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been considerable media attention about an alleged transcript of this conversation. Do you see that?</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And immediately before that, Mr. Johnston comments on how there has been considerable media attention about an alleged transcript of this conversation. Do you see that? MR. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. MR. NANDO de LUCA: And he then says:</pre>

And we're going to come to the following.
But my question now though is, Mr. Johnston tells us that he reviewed the same intelligence report that you did and that this is the only report that exists that speaks to this issue.
So my question for you is the following. Is the intelligence report that Mr. Johnston is referring to

8 there CAN.SUM002 that we just looked at? Or is it something 9 else?

MR. FREDRICK SCHUMANN: I'm not sure the
witness can answer that in this setting.

12 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So we'll note the13 question.

MR. NANDO de LUCA: And are there other reports that, for now we'll go with written reports, either hardcopy or electronic, that you're aware of that perhaps were not shared with Mr. Johnston that might relate to precisely what was or wasn't said between Mr. Dong and the PRC official?

20 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I'm not certain I
21 can answer that question.

MR. NANDO de LUCA: For the same reasons -- RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Reasons of security
 and confidentiality.

25 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you.
26 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: National security.
27 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you. Have those
28 reports, if there are any such reports, have they been

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(de Luca)

provided to the present Commission? 1 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Again, I'm not sure 2 I can -- I cannot confirm or infirm the existence of any 3 4 other reports that I cannot speak to here. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you very much. 5 Mr. 6 Johnston then gives his assessment of that particular allegation as follows. He says: 7 "The allegation is false. Mr. Dong 8 discussed the Two Michaels with the 9 PRC official but did not suggest to 10 the official that the PRC extend 11 their detention. The allegation that 12 13 he did make that suggestion has had a very adverse effect." (As read) 14 15 Do you agree with that assessment, sir? 16 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. We know that the media reports and the allegations made in rather a 17 spectacular fashion about Mr. Dong were false. 18 19 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. But would you agree with ---20 21 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: In regards to what 22 he said or didn't say about the Two Michaels. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Would you agree 23 with me, sir, that all that Mr. Johnston was commenting on 24 25 was what is contained in that heading? That particular allegation? Mr. Johnston didn't comment one way or the other 26 about whether -- what else Mr. Dong might have said to the 27 28 PRC Consul re: the Two Michaels was correct. So for example,

in CAN.SUM02, the conversation is stated slightly 1 2 differently. 3 MR. FREDRICK SCHUMANN: I'm not sure the witness can comment on what Mr. Johnston was or was not 4 5 commenting on. 6 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Mr. Prime Minister, I have very many more questions, as you might imagine, but I 7 simply don't have the time. Thank you very much. 8 9 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you, Mr. De Luca. Counsel for Han Dong? 10 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 11 MR. MARK POLLEY: 12 13 MR. MARK POLLEY: Thank you, Commissioner. 14 Prime Minister, I am Mark Polley, and as you heard, I represent Han Dong. 15 16 I'm going to start with the same issue that Mr. De Luca finished with, and that is the allegations that 17 were made in the -- in Global, at least, relating to the Two 18 19 Michaels. And we -- as you know, we heard yesterday 20 21 from Mr. Clow, among others, and Mr. Clow told us about how 22 after the leaks came out, there were discussions about what to do, what -- how to respond, whether there could be any 23 response, and in particular, he said there were a number of 24 discussions about how to get the truth out that the story was 25 wrong. And he explained that up until yesterday, he was not 26 able to say that publicly. 27 Are you able to, first of all, tell us, did 28
you have conversations like that as well about whether there was anything the government could do, whether -- anything you could do?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Well, further, what 4 we actually did was engage the Special Rapporteur, who had 5 6 the opportunity to go through the evidence and state guite categorically that the allegations were false. We felt that 7 having the Special Rapporteur able to engage on that and 8 9 qualify those allegations as false was perhaps more reassuring to concerned Canadians than having officials of 10 the same -- elected officials of the same party as Mr. Dong 11 categorizing that the same way. 12

MR. MARK POLLEY: And so does that -- well,
aside from ultimately making that decision, did you, like Mr.
Clow, have discussions about whether there was anything that
could be released before that, like immediately, to respond?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 17 There were many discussions following the leaks on this issue, but on a 18 19 number of the issues that were leaking. As we highlighted and attempted to highlight a few times in the media, there 20 were clear falsehoods and inaccuracies in the media 21 22 reporting. But the challenge of protecting national security meant that we were very much limited in our ability to 23 contradict the false allegations being made by the leaker. 24

25 MR. MARK POLLEY: And that difficulty you're 26 describing, although we've heard, as I say, Mr. Clow talking 27 about finally being able to say something publicly yesterday, 28 you're speaking about it today to some extent, but I take it

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(Polley)

that same tension continues? That there's only so much, as 1 2 we can see, that you can -- you're able to say? 3 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: But, you know, given, as Mr. Clow pointed out yesterday, we are now in a 4 position to express and to repeat the way it was 5 6 characterized in the media was wrong. MR. MARK POLLEY: So let me turn to busses. 7 The ongoing discussion about busses. We heard about you 8 9 being briefed by Mr. Broadhurst in September of 2019, and you talked about him flagging concerns and describing this 10 scenario of students being brought to Don Valley North 11 nomination meeting. And you asked whether the intelligence 12 13 agency understood this thing that bussing people to 14 nomination meetings is standard, or I think you said regular 15 earlier. Is that right? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 16 Yes. MR. MARK POLLEY: And ---17 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: This will be your last 18 19 question. 20 MR. MARK POLLEY: Thank you. 21 And you -- sorry, let me make sure. And you raised the issue of whether the intelligence agency 22 understood this basic issue that someone like you who knows 23 politics and nomination campaigns knows, and did you figure 24 25 out an answer to that? Whether the people at the Agency who 26 were reporting this had that context? RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Oh, certainly. 27 Listen, our intelligence agencies, even though they don't 28

organise nomination meetings themselves as, you know, 1 political parties do, you know, regularly turn to experts 2 and, you know, learn about the things that they don't know 3 about when they need to. So I am very confident that our 4 intelligence agencies now know a lot more about the unfolding 5 6 of nominations, which is important because they need to be able to ensure that those nominations, like all electoral 7 events, are decided by, you know, by the residents and indeed 8 9 by ensuring that our democratic processes are free and fair and absent interference by foreign actors. 10

11

13

MR. MARK POLLEY: Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.

MR MARK POLLEY: Thank you.

14 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Human Rights Coalition?

15 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR

16

MS. SARAH TEICH:

17 MS. SARAH TEICH: My name is Sarah Teich, and as the Commissioner stated, I'm representing the Human Rights 18 19 Coalition. I understand, Mr. Prime Minister, that you have a lot of confidence in your NSIA's ability to receive 20 21 information from the national security agencies, but I want to ask about your level of confidence in the agencies' 22 abilities to receive information from those most vulnerable, 23 24 namely, members of targeted diaspora communities. So let me just start with this, were you aware, Mr. Prime Minister, 25 that the RCMP's National Security Information Network is only 26 available in English and French? 27

28

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I was not.

MS. SARAH TEICH: Were you aware that the CSE's online reporting tool, as well as CSIS's reporting tool, and the OCE's complaints form on the website are also available in only English and French?

5 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: But I am also aware 6 that all those agencies use in language individuals who are 7 able to reach into and engage with communities, but I take 8 your word for it that the online forms are only in English 9 and French.

MS. SARAH TEICH: 10 I appreciate that. And we also heard on March 27th with the diaspora panel, that was 11 the first day of these hearings, that community members 12 13 oftentimes don't feel empowered to reach out to the agencies. They feel that they won't be heard. They feel it's a waste 14 of time, for whatever reason. Given these limitations, how 15 16 can you expect the agencies themselves to really know, and, therefore, how can you expect the NSIA to really know if 17 coercion, harassment, or intimidation of diaspora community 18 19 members is happening including in the context of elections?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: This is certainly a 20 21 challenge, and it is something that we've been working on 22 over the past years to try and improve and increase the -not just the diversity within our various agencies, but also, 23 the ability of those agencies to reach into people who are 24 often most vulnerable to interference, particularly in 25 diaspora communities, but also, at the same time, often with 26 good reason, most suspicious of authorities and enforcement 27 28 agencies that have not always treated them fairly in the

1 past.

2 MS. SARAH TEICH: Thank you. Given these 3 limitations, does this plant even a seed of doubt in your 4 mind in terms of the integrity of the 2019 and 2021 general 5 elections?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: 6 I think those are two different things. The challenge of any democracy is 7 ensuring that people who perhaps disagree with the outcome of 8 a given election still have faith that that is indeed the 9 will of the people, the will of citizens. And that's where 10 having a panel in place, both in 2019 and 2021, that can say 11 that they have determined, or they have concluded that the 12 13 election was free and fair is a really important step. Now 14 nobody can say that about the 2015 election, for example, or 15 elections before because those panels didn't exist. At the same time, what we've learned from the 2019 election was 16 applied to the 2021 election and will continue to be applied 17 and expanded and become more -- perhaps more sensitive or 18 19 alert to various vulnerabilities that are more difficult to go into, particularly when you talk about racialized or 20 marginalised communities. So there is more to do, but I do 21 22 have confidence in the ability of our intelligence agencies and our panel to have drawn the conclusion that the elections 23 in 2019 and in 2021 were indeed free and fair. 24 25 MS. SARAH TEICH: All right. Thank you.

26 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
 27 Mr. Doody for the Ukrainian Canadian Congress
 28 -- or, yes. No, it's the Sikh Coalition, I'm sorry.

1	You'll be next.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
3	MR. PRABJOT SINGH:
4	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Thank you, Commissioner.
5	Mr. Trudeau, my name is Prabjot Singh. I'm appearing on
6	behalf of the Sikh Coalition. So I don't have a whole lot of
7	time, but I want to start by asking whether you would agree
8	that your government missed key opportunities to hold India
9	to account for its interference in Canada. And to be more
10	specific so we can narrow down a precise answer, that there
11	were attempts made by the government to minimise the threat
12	that India posed throughout this relevant period and actually
13	tried to hide the severity of the threat from Canadians.
14	Would you agree with that assessment?
15	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No.
15 16	RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: No. MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can
16	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can
16 17	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the
16 17 18	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the 2019 annual report of NSICOP, which you mentioned was a body
16 17 18 19	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the 2019 annual report of NSICOP, which you mentioned was a body created by your government in the hopes of creating some
16 17 18 19 20	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the 2019 annual report of NSICOP, which you mentioned was a body created by your government in the hopes of creating some oversight and transparency on security and intelligence
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the 2019 annual report of NSICOP, which you mentioned was a body created by your government in the hopes of creating some oversight and transparency on security and intelligence issues.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the 2019 annual report of NSICOP, which you mentioned was a body created by your government in the hopes of creating some oversight and transparency on security and intelligence issues. And, Mr. Operator, if we can go to page 73
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PRABJOT SINCH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the 2019 annual report of NSICOP, which you mentioned was a body created by your government in the hopes of creating some oversight and transparency on security and intelligence issues. Mnd, Mr. Operator, if we can go to page 73 of the PDF? So as you know, this report deals with concerns
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the 2019 annual report of NSICOP, which you mentioned was a body created by your government in the hopes of creating some oversight and transparency on security and intelligence issues. Mnd, Mr. Operator, if we can go to page 73 of the PDF? So as you know, this report deals with concerns about foreign interference. Is that 73 of the PDF? Or,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Mr. Operator, if we can bring up COM 155? So, Mr. Trudeau, this is a copy of the 2019 annual report of NSICOP, which you mentioned was a body created by your government in the hopes of creating some oversight and transparency on security and intelligence issues. MR. Mr. Operator, if we can go to page 73 of the PDF? So as you know, this report deals with concerns about foreign interference. Is that 73 of the PDF? Or, yeah, 55 of the actual document. And so this is a section

And right there if you can hold for a second. 1 If you can scroll up, please? So there is mentioned 2 3 specifically of foreign interference by the People's Republic of China. Continue scrolling. There's mention of the 4 Russian Federation. And if we can pause right there and it 5 6 specifically says, "other states engaged in foreign 7 interference". And if you continue scrolling, that entire section has been redacted. 8

9 Mr. Operator, if we can go to page 108 of the 10 PDF? And if you continue scrolling until 113, we see these 11 are instances of Canada's response to foreign interference in 12 relation to China. And then it goes into instances of a 13 response to Russian interference. And if we scroll down, and 14 this entire section again is redacted.

15 So, Mr. Trudeau, I'm going to suggest that the redacted sections of this report outline details of 16 Indian electoral interference and coercive activities against 17 the Sikh community, as well as outlining governmental 18 19 failures in combating the specific threat. And so I understand that you may not be able to address this in a 20 public setting for national security reasons, and if that's 21 22 the case, you can indicate that to the Commissioner, so can you confirm that that is the substance that's been redacted 23 in this report? 24

25 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Obviously, in a
26 public setting, I can't speak to redactions made for national
27 security, but I will say that the principle that anyone who
28 comes to Canada from anywhere in the world has all the rights

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(Singh)

of a Canadian, to be free from extortion, coercion, 1 interference from a country that they left behind, and how we 2 have stood up for Canadians, including in the very serious 3 case that I brought forward to Parliament of the killing of 4 Nijjar, demonstrates our government's commitment to defending 5 6 the rights and freedoms of Canadians for whom we have -which are the reasons for which so many people crossed oceans 7 and continents to come live in this country and build this 8 9 country. And the suggestion that we haven't and we won't do everything we can to defend Canadian rules and values and 10 defend Canadians from foreign interference is simply 11 misplaced. 12

13 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And so I take your point there and I have very limited time, but I do want to confirm 14 15 that it was you that approved the redactions in this report; 16 is that correct, based on suggestions from public servants that you received? 17

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Redactions are made 18 19 by professional public servants, and we sign off on them, but we do not modify them. 20

21 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: But you do have the 22 authority, the ultimate approval, and you do have the possibility to push back against excessive redactions. 23

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Redactions are made 24 by professional public servants, not by the political wing. 25 26 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And does the Prime Minister have the authority to push back on the suggestions

that are made in cases where there may be excessive

27

28

1 redactions?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: That gets into the 2 entire question of declassification of information. And in 3 the American system, the President can, you know, declassify 4 in ways that are not replicated in our system here in Canada. 5 6 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: So just very simply, I 7 have one last question I want to ask after this, does the Prime Minister have the authority and the ability to push 8 back against those suggestions when there's excessive 9 redaction? 10 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: The Prime Minister 11 has an ability to engage in discussions and ask for reasons, 12 13 but like I said, as Prime Minister and as a government, our habit and our approach has always been to allow the 14 15 professional public service to make determinations around what needs to be redacted in the name of national security 16 and confidentiality. 17 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Madam Commissioner, I 18 19 have one final question if that's okay? 20 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Very quick. 21 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Sure. I think you would 22 agree that the lack of meaningful steps to expose and stop foreign interference activities when they first arise, 23 including deliberate actions to redact any failures that may 24 25 have been included in the NSICOP report, could play a role in India's increasingly aggressive interference and repressive -26 - repression activities over this period. So that would be a 27 consequence of failing to act effectively and failing to 28

bring the threat of Indian foreign interference to Canadians' 1 attention earlier; is that correct? 2 3 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I think that's certainly a question one needs to ask of the previous 4 Conservative government that was known for its very cozy 5 6 relationship with the current Indian government; whereas, our government has always stood up to defend minorities in Canada 7 and the rights of minorities to speak out, even if it 8 irritates their home countries overseas. 9 10 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Thank you. Those are all 11 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 12 13 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: --- my questions. 14 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So, Mr. Doody, it's your 15 turn. --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 16 17 MR. JON DOODY: MR. JON DOODY: Good evening, Prime Minister. 18 19 It's Jon Doody. I'm counsel for the Ukrainian Canadian 20 Congress. We've heard that Russia's foreign interference 21 activities, foreign elections, was the catalyst for the plan 22 to protect Canada's democracy, and that Russia was a foreign nation the Canadian government was concerned could 23 potentially interfere in Canadian elections; correct? 24 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes. 25 26 MR. JON DOODY: Okay. And we've also heard from the SITE Task Force and the Panel of Five that neither 27 28 identified any foreign interference activity by Russia in

either of the 2019 or the '21 general election. It would seem possible that Russia was not interested in interfering with Canadian elections in those years, or equally possible that they did, and the Canadian government failed to detect it. Would you agree that it's possible that Russia interfered in one or both the elections and the Canadian government simply failed to notice it?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: I would highlight 8 9 that, of course, it is always possible that the entire body of our national security intelligence agencies, our very 10 sophisticated cyber and security communications establishment 11 detected absolutely nothing or got it wrong. But I would 12 13 also suggest that undermining Canadians' confidence in their 14 elections being free and fair is probably something that 15 Russia would love to see in Canada, so I would be very wary about saying that, oh, you know, despite the fact you didn't 16 find any evidence of it, it still might have happened. 17

I think we have seen the extent to which 18 Russia is engaged in misinformation, disinformation and 19 actions of sowing chaos and destabilising democracies around 20 the world, including attempts at cyber attacks and successful 21 22 cyber attacks in Canada. But I think one of the big differences between Russia and a number of other hostile or 23 challenging state actors is the significant lack of a 24 critical mass of either Russian diaspora or Russian speakers 25 in Canada, as you contrast with the situation in the Ukraine, 26 or in Latvia, or elsewhere where there is an easier threshold 27 for them to interfere in democratic processes. 28

MR. JON DOODY: You spoke about the need for
 Canadians to be confident that the government is doing what
 it can to keep Canadians safe. How confident are you in the
 SITE Task Force and Panel of Five's conclusion that Russia
 did not interfere with either election?

RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: We know Russia is 6 responsible for significant amounts of propaganda, of 7 misinformation, of disinformation, and certainly attempts at 8 9 interference are no doubt ongoing from Russia. They are a hostile actor, hostile to Canada, hostile to our values, 10 hostile to our support of the Ukraine and hostile to our 11 democracy. But to say -- to reach a threshold at which there 12 13 is a belief that Russia posed a threat to the integrity of 14 our elections, to the outcome of our elections is certainly not something that either the SITE or the Panel determined. 15

16 MR JON DOODY: And finally, would you expect 17 members of the Canadian-Ukrainian community to have a high 18 level of confidence in that conclusion as well?

19 RT. HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Yes, I think the Canadian-Ukrainian community, like all Canadians, can have a 20 high degree of confidence in the conclusions by all of our 21 22 national security experts and top public servants that the elections in 2019 and 2021 are free and fair. At the same 23 time, I think Ukrainian Canadians, like all Canadians, need 24 to remain vigilant to Russian disinformation and to the 25 26 amplification of pro-Russian narratives in context and coming from places that one wouldn't suspect pro-Russian narratives 27 to be amplified. I'm very pleased to see that Ukraine just 28

passed the updated Canada Ukraine Free Trade Agreement, yeah, 1 2 over the past days, and I am -- continue to be bewildered of the fact that the Conservative Party voted against that 3 update because they fell prey to pro-Russian narratives that 4 are undermining Canada's support for Ukraine amongst 5 6 Conservative Canadians which I know is a thing of deep distress for many Ukrainian-Canadians and rightly so. 7 MR. JON DOODY: Thank you, Prime Minister 8 9 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Me Sirois for the RCDA, Russian Canadian Democratic Alliance. 10 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 11 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: 12 13 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Bonjour, Monsieur le 14 Premier Ministre. 15 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Bonjour. 16 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Madame la commissaire. Guillaume Sirois, avocat pour l'Alliance 17 démocratique des Canadiens russes. 18 19 On a entendu un témoignage à l'effet qu'une certaine campagne de désinformation pouvait avoir couté 20 21 quelques sièges à un certain parti politique lors de 22 l'élection de 2021. Je pense que vous savez à quoi je fais référence, mais j'aimerais dépolitiser l'enjeu, si possible, 23 pour parler plus de désinformation. 24 25 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Sauf que la conclusion a été, de nos experts en sécurité nationale et du 26

27 Panel chargé d'assurer l'intégrité de nos élections, qu'il
28 n'y a eu aucun impact de l'ingérence étrangère dans les

résultats, que ce soit au niveau des 338 comtés ou au niveau
 de l'élection générale. Donc, oui, il y a eu des attentats
 d'ingérence, mais nos élections ont demeuré intègres dans
 leurs résultats.

5 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Ma question, c'est : 6 est-ce que ce genre de tentatives là affecte seulement un 7 seul parti ou un seul chef de parti ou elles peuvent affecter 8 des partis de toutes les couleurs, des chefs de parti de 9 toutes les couleurs, dont le Parti libéral du Canada ou…

10 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Et certainement
11 des... l'engagement ou l'ingérence étrangère pourrait affecter
12 juste un parti ou tous les partis, ou différents partis,
13 selon non seulement le pays, mais... le pays d'origine, mais
14 aussi et le comté, la région dans laquelle il se trouve.

Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Et est-ce que c'est
quelque chose que vous avez témoigné en tant que premier
ministre lors des élections de… en tant que chef du Parti
libéral, pardon, lors des élections de 2019 ou de 2021?

19 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: En termes de chef de parti, moi, ma job, c'était de faire campagne, de parler 20 21 au plus de Canadiens possible, et de faire élire le plus de 22 libéraux possible. Je me fiais aux institutions qu'on a bâties, le SITE Task Force, le P5 Panel, pour s'assurer que 23 l'intégrité des élections tienne, et effectivement, ils ont 24 conclu dans les élections de 2019 et 2021 que les élections 25 ont été libres et justes. 26

27 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: J'aimerais mettre de
28 côté un peu l'institution pour l'instant, mais vraiment vous

TRUDEAU Cr-Ex(Sirois)

poser la question en tant que chef de parti qui fait la campagne électorale en 2019, 2021, puis savoir qu'est-ce que vous avez vu ou entendu de vos propres yeux et oreilles. Estce que c'est des choses comme des campagnes de désinformation visant les libéraux ou vous-même en tant que chef de parti, c'est quelque chose que vous avez entendu ou vu pendant l'une ou l'autre des campagnes électorales?

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Ah, des campagnes 8 9 de désinformation, on en a vu énormément, plus en 2021 qu'en 2019, mais que ce soit au niveau des théories de complot par 10 rapport à la vaccination, que ce soit au niveau des théories 11 de complot par rapport au Forum économique mondial ou 12 13 d'autres, ou des attaques personnelles contre moi et ma 14 famille, oui, il y a eu de la mésinformation et de la 15 désinformation pendant cette campagne-là... ces campagnes-là.

16 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Mais ca ne doit pas être une situation super facile, surtout quand ça touche la 17 famille, mais ma question, c'est concernant vous connaissez 18 19 la puissance des médias sociaux, évidemment, vous avez fait la campagne en 2015 grâce aux médias sociaux justement, ça 20 21 vous a certainement aidé dans cette campagne-là. Je me 22 demande est-ce que vous pensez que les campagnes de désinformation que vous dites qui semblaient être importantes 23 en 2019, 2021, pensez-vous que ça a eu un effet sur les 24 25 électeurs lors de ces campagnes?

26 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Bien, tous les
 27 partis politiques utilisaient des médias sociaux comme moyen
 28 d'aller chercher des votes et chercher des électeurs. Donc,

certainement les médias sociaux ont joué un rôle important
 dans ces deux élections-là.

3 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Mais je parle des
4 campagnes de désinformation spécifiquement. Pensez-vous que
5 celles-ci ont pu influencer la décision de certains
6 électeurs?

7 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Bien, je pense
8 qu'on voit que la mésinformation et la désinformation ont un
9 impact sur énormément de gens. Les milliers de Canadiens qui
10 croyaient que la vaccination était plus dangereuse que la
11 maladie de COVID-19 elle-même sont un exemple de gens qui ont
12 été affectés, des fois de façon mortelle, fatale, par la
13 désinformation.

14 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Votre dernière question,
15 Maitre Sirois.

16 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Oui. Il me reste encore 17 30 secondes. Je vais vous poser ma question, c'est : si vous avez témoigné des campagnes de désinformation qui pouvaient 18 19 potentiellement influencer des électeurs, pourquoi vous n'avez pas soulevé cette question-là avec des organismes, des 20 21 institutions justement du gouvernement qui sont mandatées 22 pour agir sur ces campagnes de désinformation là ou lorsqu'il y a des atteintes à l'intégrité des élections? 23

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Parce que ces
institutions-là, et, au contraire, j'en parle régulièrement
avec mes conseillers en sécurité nationale de l'impact de la
mésinformation, de la désinformation, juste à regarder la
situation des convois à Ottawa et d'autres pour savoir que ça

a un impact réel, mais c'est pas à moi de dire au Panel qu'il
faut veiller contre la mésinformation et la désinformation,
ça fait partie de leur job pour s'assurer de l'intégrité des
élections. C'est une job qu'ils ont bien fait en 2019, 2021,
mais on reconnait qu'en 2025, ça va être encore plus
difficile et ils vont devoir faire une… continuer leur
excellent travail.

8 Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Donc, juste ma dernière
9 question, s'il vous plait, Madame la commissaire.

10 Si vous, en tant que chef de parti qui fait 11 la campagne, remarquez des campagnes de désinformation 12 sérieuses lors des élections, vous pensez que c'est pas 13 nécessaire de rapporter ça au SITE Task Force ou au Panel des 14 5 en tant que chef du Parti libéral parce que vous avez 15 confiance qu'ils font leur travail?

TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Non. Si j'ai 16 bloqué ça... j'ai confiance qu'ils vont faire leur travail, 17 mais ça fait partie de notre responsabilité à tous, qu'on 18 19 soit citoyens, qu'on soit candidats, qu'on soit chef de parti ou de parti politique, de travailler avec le Panel, avec le 20 21 SITE Task Force pour souligner la mésinformation et la 22 désinformation, ça fait partie de ce qu'on va faire en travaillant avec le Panel pour soulever des enjeux, mais le 23 Panel ne dépend pas de nous pour faire leur travail non plus. 24 Mais oui, on peut absolument participer et on devrait. 25

Me GUILLAUME SIROIS: Merci. **COMMISSAIRE HOGUE:** Merci. Du côté du Procureur général du Canada?

26

27

28

TRUDEAU

MR. FREDERICK SCHUMANN: Nothing from the 1 Attorney General. Thank you very much, Madam Commissioner. 2 3 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Nothing. Re-examination? 4 5 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: No, thank you, 6 Commissioner. 7 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Merci beaucoup. TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Merci, Madame la 8 commissaire. 9 COMMISSAIRE HOGUE: Alors, si je dois dire 10 que vous êtes libre de quitter, mais je vais me permettre de 11 vous le dire que vous êtes libre de quitter. 12 TRÈS HON. JUSTIN TRUDEAU: Merci beaucoup, 13 14 Madame. 15 THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre, s'il vous plaît. 16 This sitting of the Foreign Interference 17 Commission has adjourned. Cette séance de la Commission sur 18 l'ingérence étrangère est levée. 19 --- Upon adjourning at 6:51 p.m. 20 --- La séance est levée à 18 h 51 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

TRUDEAU

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, a certified court reporter,
6	hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an accurate
7	transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and
8	ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, une sténographe officielle,
11	certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une transcription
12	conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes
13	capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	Itt you
16	Sandrine Marineau-Lupien
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	