

Public Inquiry Into Foreign Interference in Federal Electoral Processes and Democratic Institutions

Enquête publique sur l'ingérence étrangère dans les processus électoraux et les institutions démocratiques fédéraux

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner / Commissaire The Honourable / L'honorable Marie-Josée Hogue

VOLUME 15 ENGLISH INTERPRETATION

Held at :

Virtually over Zoom

Friday, April 12, 2024

Tenue à:

Virtuellement sur Zoom

L

Le vendredi 12 avril 2024

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. https://www.transcription.tc/ (800)899-0006

II Appearances / Comparutions

Commission Lead Counsel / Procureure en chef de la commission

Commission Counsel / Avocat(e)s de la commission

Commission Research Council / Conseil de la recherche de la commission

Commission Senior Policy Advisors / Conseillers principaux en politiques de la commission **Gordon Cameron** Erin Dann Matthew Ferguson Hubert Forget Howard Krongold Hannah Lazare Jean-Philippe MacKay Kate McGrann Lynda Morgan Siobhan Morris Annie-Claude Poirier Gabriel Poliquin Natalia Rodriguez **Guillaume Rondeau Nicolas Saint-Amour Daniel Sheppard** Maia Tsurumi Leila Ghahhary **Emily McBain-Ashfield** Hamza Mohamadhossen

Shantona Chaudhury

Geneviève Cartier Nomi Claire Lazar Lori Turnbull Leah West

Paul Cavalluzzo Danielle Côté

III Appearances / Comparutions

Commission Staff / Personnel de la commission	Annie Desgagné Casper Donovan Michael Tansey
Ukrainian Canadian Congress	Donald Bayne Jon Doody
Government of Canada	Gregory Tzemenakis Barney Brucker
Office of the Commissioner of Canada Elections	Christina Maheux Luc Boucher Nancy Miles
Human Rights Coalition	Hannah Taylor Sarah Teich
Russian Canadian Democratic Alliance	Mark Power Guillaume Sirois
Michael Chan	John Chapman Andy Chan
Han Dong	Mark Polley Emily Young Jeffrey Wang
Michael Chong	Gib van Ert Fraser Harland
Jenny Kwan	Sujit Choudhry Mani Kakkar

IV Appearances / Comparutions

Churchill Society	Malliha Wilson
The Pillar Society	Daniel Stanton
Democracy Watch	Wade Poziomka Nick Papageorge
Canada's NDP	Lucy Watson
Conservative Party of Canada	Nando de Luca
Chinese Canadian Concern Group on The Chinese Communist Party's Human Rights Violations	Neil Chantler
Erin O'Toole	Thomas W. Jarmyn Preston Lim
Senator Yuen Pau Woo	Yuen Pau Woo

V Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT, Affirmed/Sous affirmation solennelle	4
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Shantona Chaudhury	4
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gib van Ert	22
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Thomas Jarmyn	29
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Nando de Luca	33
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Sarah Teich	38
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Guillaume Sirois	42
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Jeffrey Wang	45
Re-Examination by/Ré-interrogatoire par Ms. Shantona Chaudhury	50

VI Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
CAN 15842	Briefing to the PM on Foreign Interference Threats to Canada's Democratic Institutions	5
CAN 0479_R01	CAN004079_R01	12
CAN 004495	Briefing to the Prime Minister's Office on Foreign Interference Threats to Canada's Democratic Institutions	13

1 Ottawa, Ontario --- The hearing begins Friday, April 12, 2024, at 10:00 a.m. 2 3 THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. This sitting of the Foreign Interference 4 Commission is now in session. Commissioner Hoque is 5 6 presiding. 7 The time is 10 o'clock. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 8 9 Good morning, all. Before we begin with Mr. Vigneault's testimony, I want to give the reason for the 10 present hearing. 11 [No interpretation] ... so a process that, 12 13 despite the fact that the parties do have the right to cross-14 examine the witness, it needs to be within a greater 15 framework than is in this hearing. And I would like to explain to all of you who are following our work why it was 16 inevitable that sometimes the documents are not filed in a 17 more appropriate time. 18 19 The Commission, as all know now, is working essentially with a huge quantity of documents, classified 20 documents, that often have to be modified before being made 21 22 public, and this -- in order to give them the most information possible. 23 24 More, there is work that is to be given out more with -- the work is heavier, to be able to look at what 25 cannot be made public and to be able to summarize those 26 notes, or they need to be blacked out. And sometimes the 27 documents can only be made public once the testimony is 28

heard. And we have to have the disclosure team that has
 security, and this is not under the exclusive control of the
 Commission.

So the option, which would consist of
disclosing less information to be able to do it more quickly,
did not seem a good idea in this case. Given the short time,
it's important to be able to formulate recommendations
quickly.

9 And I'm also aware that this means that the lawyers sometimes have very little time to finalize their 10 cross-examination questions, but this is something unusual, 11 and they're used to this type of thing. And also that was 12 13 case when you have that before the courts. So I am not 14 bothered by the fact that sometimes they need to adjust 15 quickly, and I think that that really does not affect the equity of the process. According to me, to maximize 16 transparency is a sufficiently important objective to justify 17 the inconvenience. 18

19 And, however, this is not the case here. The documents that the parties want to have cross-examine Mr. 20 21 Vigneault had not yet been communicated to the parties, nor 22 made public at the time when they had their crossexamination. And so there was great work done by the lawyers 23 that would not have allowed them to deal with these questions 24 when they were doing their cross-examination. Those 25 documents are important to be able to understand how the 26 information circulates. 27

28

Witnesses have also said that what these

documents seem to be suggesting does not necessarily
 correspond to what has happened. So it's important for the
 Commission, as well as for the parties, to be able to bring
 light to these questions.

5 In the exceptional circumstances, I felt that 6 it was equitable to bring back Mr. Vigneault to allow parties 7 to complete their cross-examination. Since it's not a 8 question of going over cross-examinations that have already 9 heard, they will have a maximum of 30 minutes that will be 10 shared, and it will be on these three documents that I have 11 identified.

Mr. Vigneault will be first questioned by the Commission lawyers, and then we'll have -- he will also be -the Attorney General will also have the possibility of questioning him before the other parties cross-examine.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you,
Commissioner.

As you've said, our witness today is the Director of CSIS, Mr. David Vigneault, and he's been recalled to testify to three specific documents. The scope of his examination today will be limited to that.

22 So can I have the witness sworn or affirmed, 23 please? 24 THE REGISTRAR: [No interpretation]. MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: [No interpretation]. 25 26 THE REGISTRAR: [No interpretation]. [No interpretation]. 27 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: 28 **THE REGISTRAR:** [No interpretation].

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: David Vigneault, V-i-g-1 2 n-e-a-u-l-t. 3 **THE REGISTRAR:** [No interpretation]. MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: [No interpretation]. 4 --- MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT, Affirmed: 5 6 **THE REGISTRAR:** [No interpretation]. 7 Counsel, you may proceed. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you. [No 8 9 interpretation]. --- EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: 10 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: [No interpretation] 11 ... today because the document's in English, but you can 12 13 answer in the language of your choice. 14 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: [No interpretation]. 15 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So the first thing that I'm going to ask you about this morning, Director, is a 16 meeting that took place on October 27th, 2022, at which you 17 briefed the Prime Minister and PMO on foreign interference. 18 19 Before I pull up any documents relating to that, I just want to ask you what your recollection of that meeting is. 20 21 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Thank you. 22 The meeting was at the request of the Clerk of the Privy Council Office. I had briefed the Clerk and the 23 National Security Intelligence Advisor, at my request, at 24 National CSIS Headquarters earlier in September to give them 25 an overview of significant cases of relative foreign 26 interference. And as a result, I was asked to go brief the 27 28 Prime Minister. So that was the genesis of the October

meeting. 1 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. 2 Maître Herrera, can I ask you to pull up CAN 3 Thank you. 4 015842? --- EXHIBIT No. CAN 15842: 5 6 Briefing to the PM on Foreign 7 Interference Threats to Canada's Democratic Institutions 8 9 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So Director, this is a set of briefing notes dated October 26, '22, which I 10 understand are notes that were prepared for you in advance of 11 this meeting. 12 13 Before I start asking you about the specific 14 of these notes, I'm going to ask you to explain to the 15 Commission; help us understand what briefing notes are. MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes. So typically for 16 briefing -- an important briefing to a Minister, the Prime 17 Minister, senior officials, we have sometimes a little bit of 18 19 heads-up, or a longer period of time to prepare. In this case, my professional staff had a longer heads-up, so they 20 were able to prepare a lot of material for my reference to be 21 22 able to prepare for the meeting in question. So the material is a combination of our policy and operational experts, 23 intelligence experts, looking at the issues that I would be 24 potentially having to brief. But as -- the expression I 25 would use, it's also trying to cover the waterfront; what are 26 some other issues that could come up that I would need to be 27 -- to have some reference material. 28

So the professional staff puts this together, 1 goes up to a couple of review by senior officials inside 2 3 CSIS. And in this case, because of the type of material, the Deputy Director Policy would be approving the final material, 4 and that would then be transmitted to me. 5 6 So this material is for my review for reference points. It's not something I need to approve 7 because, you know, it's for my own use; it's not something I 8 need to transmit to somebody else. And so that is -- this is 9 why you have, in some of these briefing binders, as we refer 10 to them, a fairly large amount of different documents. 11 So grosso modo, that would be the process of 12 13 how we are putting together the briefing material. 14 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So you've said they're not approved by you. How do you use them? Do 15 you read briefings notes during your meetings, or ...? 16 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So the way I would be 17 using this, and again, it depends on the type of briefings. 18 If it's a more formal briefing, organized, like a Cabinet 19 meeting or something, I normally would have something more 20 prepared. I have limited amount of time and I have some 21 22 specific points to go through, so that would be more of a scripted approach. 23 But the vast majority of the briefings and 24

24 But the vast majority of the briefings and 25 meetings would be material that I read ahead of time. I take 26 my own notes and I refine what I expect to be asked, to be --27 to discuss. I refine the key messages or the key elements, 28 the key facts; facts I would need to convey to the person,

ENGLISH INTERPRETATION

7

the persons I'm briefing. And so that will be how I would 1 normally be using this material. 2 3 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. Mr. Herrara, can you scroll down, please? 4 Director Vigneault, I'm going to be asking 5 6 you some questions about specific statements that are in 7 this. 8 So Mr. Herrara, can you scroll down to page 2 9 of 5, please? There we go. Director, you'll see a statement there that 10 11 says: "However, Canada has been slower than 12 13 our Five Eyes allies to respond to 14 the FI threat with legislative and 15 other initiatives, such as proactively publicizing successful 16 disruption of FI activities as a 17 means of deterring future efforts." 18 19 Can you recall whether this is something that you conveyed to the Prime Minister and the PMO in this 20 21 meeting? 22 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So Madam Commissioner, what I remember of this briefing, some briefings are more 23 specific, I believe imprinted in your memory more strongly 24 than others. This one I remember clearly that it was a 25 briefing to cover a number of very specific points, specific 26 foreign interference cases. 27 So what you see here, this document, covers 28

what I would call more foreign interference 101 or background 1 information of foreign interference. So in the process of 2 3 briefing the Prime Minister and his team, and the Clerk in October, my point was not to cover background information on 4 foreign interference, it was to dive right into those very 5 6 specific cases. So I would not have gone through these notes 7 and cover something like "Canada has been slower than our Five Eyes allies," or others because these are statements 8 that I had made before, in public and in private, during 9 briefing to Ministers. But also because the purpose of that 10 briefing was to cover very specific cases of foreign 11 interference, and also one or two other issues that were not 12 13 related to foreign interference. 14 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you, that's helpful, Director. 15 So I take it this wasn't something that you 16 mentioned in this specific meeting, but it was something that 17 you had mentioned before or after? 18 19 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes. 20 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: okay. MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: I had, as I mentioned, 21 22 both in private and in public. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. 23 Maître Herrara, if you could keep scrolling 24 down to page 3 of 5, please? Okay, there we go. There. 25 26 Thank you. The next statement, Director: 27 28 "Ultimately, state actors [were] able

to conduct [foreign interference] 1 successfully in Canada because there 2 3 are no consequences, either legal or political. [Foreign interference] is 4 therefore a low-risk and high-risk 5 endeavour." 6 7 So I'll ask you the same questions with respect to this statement, Director. Is this something that you 8 conveved to the Prime Minister and the PMO in this meeting 9 specifically or otherwise? 10 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So I would not have 11 used that specific expression at that meeting, because we're 12 13 talking very specific cases, and these cases were complex, 14 nuanced, and the focus was entirely on those cases. However, this is a line that I have used 15 before. I have said that exact line quite a few times. 16 That's one of the reasons why my professional staff, when 17 they're putting these briefing materials together, they're 18 19 not only bringing me new facts, new analysis, and information, but they also refer to how I have verbalized 20 21 some of these issues in the past so that when I go to the 22 material to prepare myself for different meetings, this is something that I remember that reflects on me. 23 So that specific line, I have used it before 24 quite a few times, and to the point now that some other 25 people are starting to use it -- use that exact same approach 26 to describe foreign interference and I think even to the 27 Commission this was used. And in the last number of days, I 28

ENGLISH INTERPRETATION

10

have a number of colleagues who have reminded me that they 1 have heard me say that exact line quite a few times. 2 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you. 3 Me Herrera, could you please scroll down to 4 page 5 of 5? There we go. 5 6 So, Director, again, the same questions with respect to these statements: 7 "Ultimately, better protecting 8 Canadian democratic institutions 9 against [foreign interference] will 10 require a shift in the Government's 11 perspective and a willingness to take 12 13 decisive action and impose consequences on perpetrators." 14 15 "Until [Foreign Interference] is viewed as an existential threat to 16 Canadian democracy and the Government 17 forcefully and actively responds, 18 these threats will persist." 19 Is this something that you conveyed to the 20 Prime Minister and the PMO in the October 27th meeting or is 21 22 it something that you've conveyed at other times? MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So, Madam Commissioner, 23 my recollection is the same as previous statements. 24 These 25 are more tombstone facts about foreign interference, as 26 opposed as the purpose of the meeting in October, which was about these cases. 27 This is something that I have absolutely said 28

a number of times, again, in public and in private. I have 1 used expressions like we need to impose costs, we need to 2 3 harden Canada, because when you consider foreign interference, you know, the intent of a foreign country to 4 use foreign interference to pursue their interests will -- is 5 6 something for them that is existential, that they are going 7 to pursue no matter what. So one of the very specific and very important things to do is, as I said, harden the target, 8 9 increase our resilience, both in government and in public, about foreign interference. And that is one way of reducing 10 the impact of foreign interference, and impose costs on the 11 perpetrator of that. 12

13 And this is why, Madam Commissioner, for 14 example, I've been talking about having Sunshine Policy 15 related to foreign interference, because the solution to foreign interference is not just from the Government. 16 Ιt comes from a society that is informed, it comes from a 17 society that is able to, in some specific ways, in democratic 18 19 processes, but also in normal day to day lives, being able to identify that there's something bizarre here and that, you 20 know, people can understand that they can do something about 21 22 this, they can report this information.

And that is the only way that we will be able to reduce the impact on foreign interference and eventually make Canadians safer.

26 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Director, you've
27 mentioned a few times that this meeting was about specific
28 cases. And just to be clear, these cases are not something

ENGLISH INTERPRETATION

you're able to speak about in this forum? Is that correct? 1 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: That's correct. I can 2 maybe just precise that they were not cases related to 3 elections. They were more cases related to overall foreign 4 interference and one or two other topics that were not 5 6 related to foreign interference. 7 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you. MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: But obviously they are 8 9 highly classified. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Mr. Herrera, you can 10 take that document down now, please, and pull 4079. 11 --- EXHIBIT NO. CAN 4079 R01: 12 13 CAN004079 R01 14 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So, Direct 15 Vigneault, we'll wait for it to come up, but this is another document that's dated October 26th, 2022. There it is. 16 So without getting into any of its classified content, can you 17 tell the Commission what this document is? Like, were these 18 19 also briefing notes prepared for you? Or? MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes, Madam 20 21 Commissioner, this is one of the supporting materials that 22 would have been included in my briefing binder. It speaks to one of those specific cases that I said that I briefed the 23 Prime Minister on that day. So it's about a specific issue. 24 And of course you can see some of the information. It speaks 25 to foreign interference by the People's Republic of China. 26 So some of the information has been released. And so this is 27 one of the cases that I briefed the Prime Minister on that 28

1 day. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Me Herrera, can you 2 3 scroll down a little bit so we see the text box? Perfect. Thank you. 4 So, Director Vigneault, you'll see that text 5 6 box, which is a summary of the redacted information underneath it, and it says: 7 "PRC officials could be emboldened in 8 their electoral interference efforts 9 by the 2021 defeat of former Richmond 10 MP Kenny Chiu" 11 Are you able to recall whether that is 12 13 something that you had conveyed to the Prime Minister in the 14 October 27th meeting? MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I 15 don't remember if I've used these exact words, but talking 16 about that specific case, I put that case in context in 17 relation to other PRC activities. So I cannot recall if that 18 19 exact line was used, but it is -- would have been part of the context that would have situated the case I briefed the Prime 20 Minister on in relation to overall PRC interference 21 22 activities. MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you, Director. 23 We're now going to leave October 27th, 2022 and go to 24 February 23rd, 2023. 25 26 Me Herrera, can you please pull CAN4495? 27 Thank you. 28 --- EXHIBIT No. CAN 4495:

Briefing to the Prime Minister's 1 Office on Foreign Interference 2 3 Threats to Canada's Democratic Institutions 4 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So, Director, the 5 6 next meeting here is we understand this was a meeting on February -- the notes are dated February 21st, 2023, but we 7 understand the meeting took place on February 23rd, 2023, and 8 that this was a meeting with the Prime Minister's Office, 9 staff from the PMO, but not the Prime Minister himself, to 10 brief them on the media leaks of classified information. 11 So were these also briefing notes that were 12 13 prepared for you in advance of this meeting? 14 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes. The -- it's the same process that I described, that the briefing material --15 there was a request for a briefing to the Prime Minister's 16 Office. So again, my staff would have been working to pull 17 together information again covering the waterfront. 18 19 Sometimes you have an idea of what you believe the topic might be, but you walk into it and there might be quite a few 20 21 other things. 22 So again, this is an example of the team putting together material that covers quite a few aspects of 23 foreign interference in this case. 24 25 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. Me Herrera, can you scroll down please to page 3 of 6? The second bullet 26 is what I'm interested in seeing. 27 So again, Director, I'm going to take you to 28

a few specific statements: 1 "We['ve] also observed online and 2 3 media activities aimed at discouraging Canadians, particularly 4 of Chinese heritage, from supporting 5 the Conservative Party, leader Erin 6 O'Toole, and particularly Steveston-7 Richmond East candidate Kenny Chiu." 8 Then we have a redaction. And then: 9 "the timing of these efforts to align 10 with Conservative polling 11 improvements; the similarities in 12 13 language with the articles published 14 by PRC state media; and the 15 partnership agreements between these Canada-based outlets and PRC 16 entities; all suggest that these 17 efforts were orchestrated or directed 18 19 by the PRC." So first of all, was this something that you 20 21 conveyed to the PMO at the February 23 meeting? 22 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So, Madam Commission, I should have maybe, in my -- when I described the general 23 meeting, I should have added, I think, a piece that is really 24 25 relevant. In preparing for today's hearing, working 26 with the material that was prepared ahead of time and 27 disclosed. My recollection is that that meeting was very 28

VIGNEAULT En-ch (Chaudhury)

specifically focussed on -- to discuss an article in the 1 Globe and Mail that I believe had been published on the $17^{\rm th}$ 2 or the 18th, so a few days before, that was talking about 3 some unauthorized disclosures of documents. And if I 4 remember, there was a reference at the end of that article 5 6 about the 11 candidates, so the issue that has been canvassed 7 in the -- at the inquiry before. So the -- this specific briefing, so the material you have referenced, Ms. Chaudhury, 8 and that specific briefing to the Prime Minister's Office was 9 focussed to discuss on that part. So I think that in terms 10 of context, that is important. 11

Coming back to you now, your specific 12 13 questions about these two paragraphs, Ms. Chaudhury, again, I 14 would not have been using that specific briefing material because the focus of that -- of the briefing to the PMO was 15 on the Globe and Mail article. And so what you have here, 16 those bullets, represent the, again, what I describe as 17 general briefing material prepared for me, again, to cover 18 19 different angles as required, but the specific briefing, specific discussion was focussed on the specific information 20 contained in the Globe and Mail article. 21

22 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So does that 23 mean, Director, that this was not something that you conveyed 24 during that meeting because the focus of the meeting was the 25 11 candidates?

26 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes, that's correct.
 27 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. I'm still
 28 going to ask you a question about it, which was we know that

in the aftermath of the 2021 election, the SITE assessment 1 was the extent to which the PRC was behind these online media 2 3 activities couldn't be conclusively determined. So my question is, does the statement in these briefing notes 4 indicate a shift in this assessment, where it says all 5 6 suggest that these efforts were orchestrated or directed by the PRC, is that something new? Is that something that came 7 from additional evidence? Is it a shift in the service's 8 9 assessment?

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Mme Commissioner, I 10 would say that it is not. This is one different formulation 11 of the same ideas that have been canvassed and assessed by 12 13 SITE, which is there was a number of messages. There were 14 some messages that also were conveyed by media entities associated with the Chinese Communist Party. And so the fact 15 that we could see a level of convergence between these 16 different messages suggested that, yes, that they would have 17 been potentially orchestrated by the PRC. And so reviewing 18 19 the classified SITE report, was -- this wording was not meant to convey any other new analysis or a high level of certainty 20 about the activity. It is a different way of conveying that 21 22 we've seen a convergence, we've seen there were some PRC entities involved in it, but we were not able to conclude --23 SITE was not able to conclude that it was specifically 24 orchestrated by the PRC. So that's why, from my perspective, 25 it was absolutely not a change of analysis. It was just a 26 different wording. 27

28

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Ms. Herrera, can you

now scroll down, please, to page 5 of 6? Thank you. Sorry, 1 the language I'm looking for is -- maybe scroll up a little 2 3 bit from there? Scroll up again? There we go. So you'll see in this bullet, Director, 4 "In February 2021, I briefed the Prime 5 Minister on PRC-linked individuals 6 7 interfering with the 2019 Liberal nomination in Don Valley North." 8 Do you have any recollection of this meeting 9 taking place in February 2021? 10 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Unfortunately, Mme 11 Commissioner, I don't remember that briefing specifically, 12 13 and in the period of time between reviewing the material and 14 appearing in front of you, my staff were able to show me some documents in relation. So, again, briefing material in 15 relation to the -- to that briefing that had been prepared 16 for me, but I do not have a specific recollection of that 17 meeting in 2021. 18 19 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So it happened, but you don't have a recollection of it. 20 21 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Well, what I -- sorry, 22 Ms. Chaudhury, what I can say is that, yeah, I do believe it happened. You know, we checked, you know, our calendars and 23 there was briefing material, but as I say, I cannot just take 24 the briefing material that was prepared and assess that this 25 is what was discussed at that meeting. As I described, the 26 briefing material covers many other issues often than what is 27 the exact -- how the -- how exactly the meeting unfolded. So 28

I just do not have a specific recollection of that 2021
 briefing.

3 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. That's fair.
4 Can you tell us though whether that would have -- in your
5 recollection, whether that would have been the first time
6 that you briefed the Prime Minister on Don Valley North?

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So if you go back to 7 the initial briefings on -- about Don Valley North around 8 2019, the passage of time between 2019 and 2021, my 9 assumption is that this was not the first time that I was --10 I would have personally talked to the Prime Minister about 11 this. But again, I -- it's not because the specific 12 13 information there is highlighting the specifics that I --14 it's exactly how it unfolded. So I do not want to create an 15 impression for the Commission here that I have that recollection. I just do not, but what I know for a fact is 16 that we had -- the material had been discussed at some 17 length, as I think has been canvassed to the inquiry about 18 19 the information 2019 and moving on.

20 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. So I --21 Director, if I'm understanding correctly, would it be fair to 22 say that just because information is in briefing materials 23 for you, the Commission can't take for granted that that 24 information was necessarily conveyed during that briefing? 25 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Absolutely. I think,

26 you know, what is -- the reality of these types of briefings 27 is they're not -- I'll use again the example of a Cabinet 28 briefing. I will not go into the details, of course, but if

you go to a CAB briefing, you have -- there will be five or 1 six items being discussed in one session. You will have a 2 limited amount of time for each of these elements, and you 3 will have a very prescribed approach to follow. So most 4 people, when they will go to these scripted meetings, if I 5 6 can use that expression, will have indeed such a script and 7 you will follow, so that you're able to reconstruct much more easily what was said. If you compare and contrast with these 8 types of meetings that are -- that we're discussing this 9 morning, these are much more fluid. You know, my staff would 10 have prepared me for whatever information related to foreign 11 interference, but the specific discussion is often quite 12 13 different.

14 And the last thing maybe I can say is that it would not have been -- it's not extraordinary to go to a 15 briefing having material and having prepared yourself to 16 discuss a topic, and it's something completely different that 17 happens to be discussed. So that's when I joke with my staff 18 19 that I bring my briefing material and I'll bring my hockey skates because you have to be able to be very agile on 20 21 dealing with any issues that the person you're briefing wants 22 to raise with you.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: So these are more
 informal conversations than formal presented briefings?
 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yeah, I think the - probably the most -- the best way to describe for the
 Commission will be to say they go from very informal to very
 scripted, and they go from a topic that, you know, you go in

knowing that you expect a topic to be discussed and it's 1 exactly what is being discussed to other meetings where the 2 3 specific discussion takes you completely in another direction. So these are not -- again, the purpose of 4 briefing is to convey sometimes very specific information, 5 6 but also, convey -- be able to answer questions, be able to 7 provide a context to help the person, in this case a decision maker like the Prime Minister or a Minister, to have a view 8 available to answer a number of questions while they are 9 processing the specific information you convey, but also a 10 number of other pieces of information they have. So I think 11 that is probably the best way to describe; very formal to 12 13 very informal, very specifically discussed, you know, the topic that was planned to be discussed, to a meeting that 14 15 takes you in a completely different direction.

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Okay. With all of that in mind, Director, I'll just ask you one last question about this document, which is the conclusion you see at the bottom of the page there. That repeats some of the language that we saw in the other notes. So I'll just ask you again whether your recall conveying this to the PMO in the February 2023 meeting, or otherwise?

23 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Again, Madam 24 Commission, my recollection of this meeting was on the very 25 specific reference to a media article, and so I would not 26 have used the -- more of the generic language about foreign 27 interference.

But what I think is instructive here is, you

1 know, again, my staff working to prepare material for me is
2 using, again, that expression, low-risk, high-reward, and so
3 again it's because it's an expression I have used many times
4 in different *fora* and that's why I think you see it again
5 repeated there.

22

6 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you.
7 Madam Commissioner, those are my questions
8 for the witness.

9 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you, Maître
10 Chaudhury.

11So we'll start the cross-examination. The12first one will be conducted by counsel for Michael Chong.13Mr. GIB van ERT: Thank you, Commissioner.14I'll ask the Court Operator to turn up 15842 again.

15 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GIB van ERT:

MR. GIB van ERT: Director, thank you for the
evidence you've given so far; it's been extremely helpful for
all of us to understand your process.

19 Let me ask you, in a general way, whether you 20 are able to confirm that this document as a whole reflects 21 the Service's views on the matters that it addresses?

22 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I 23 had a chance to review the material and I do believe that it 24 does indeed reflect the views of the Service. My personal 25 views as a Director of the Service, there are one or two 26 nuances I would make on these different points. There's also 27 the fact that, you know, our knowledge and understanding 28 continues to evolve, so something that was drafted in 2022,

would evolve in 2024. 1 But overall, I think it is a very useful tool 2 3 for someone to see very specific information, with examples, of what foreign interference is in Canada and in our 4 democratic institutions. 5 6 MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you, sir. That's very helpful. 7 The next question I have for you is about the 8 9 passage that Ms. Chaudhury showed you about the -- being slower than our Five Eyes allies; I think it was at page 2. 10 My question is simply -- and you may have already said this, 11 but I want to make sure I've got it. Have you ever 12 13 communicated this particular assessment about us being slower 14 than our Five Eyes allies to either the Prime Minister or the 15 Prime Minister's Office? MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I 16 can say with confidence that this is something that has been 17 conveyed to the government, to Ministers, the Prime Minister 18 19 using these words and other types of words. We often -- in order to make sure we 20 21 understand -- have the best possible assessment of a 22 situation, we often look to other jurisdiction to see what is their own analysis of the threat, what tools they have put in 23 place. And so the comparative analysis of -- with the Five 24 Eyes, but also with other like-minded nations, nations who 25 have similar political systems as ours, or Western 26 democracies, you know, we -- doing the comparative analysis 27 is a very useful tool, both from an intelligence point of 28

VIGNEAULT Cr-Ex(van Ert)

view but also from a policy point of view. 1 So I can say with a high degree of confidence 2 3 that, you know, these examples, I have used them in both private briefings but also in our -- in my speeches, public 4 speeches, in CSIS annual reports, in Parliamentary testimony. 5 6 Just this past week, I was in -- testifying 7 in front of the Canada-China Committee in the House of Commons, and I used same kind of analysis on different topics 8 linked to foreign interference. So, yes, to the question to 9 the counsel, this has been conveyed. 10 MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you. And I take it 11 your answer would be the same for the passage at page 3 about 12 13 there being no consequences, legal or political, for state 14 actors who conduct foreign interference; have I got that right? 15 16 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes, Madam Commissioner. I elaborated on that point with previous 17 questions -- answers; sorry. But, yes, it is accurate to say 18 19 that, you know, I am -- I have said -- used these expressions. Indeed, this one very specifically, low-risk, 20 21 high-reward endeavour. 22 MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you. Now, this document, as we were hearing, was 23 something that was prepared in advance of an oral briefing 24 that you were you going to give the Prime Minister on the 25 27th of October. And we heard evidence from the Prime 26 Minister on Wednesday that his preferred working method in 27 intelligence matters is to rely on oral briefings rather than 28

1 briefing notes.

Is that a method of working that you were aware of before you heard his evidence about that on Wednesday? Is that something that you have been alive to and have sort of adjusted your practices to meet?

6 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I 7 can say that in my experience, I have used both methods, specific material that has been written and provided to the 8 Prime Minister, intelligence assessments or information, but 9 also verbal briefings. So in my experience, both methods 10 have been used, depending on the situation, depending on the 11 context, depending on timing. But we have used -- I have 12 13 used both methods of briefing.

MR. GIB van ERT: All right. And in the event that -- let me back up and ask you this; we heard the Prime Minister say that these notes were not provided to him, and I don't think you dispute that because they were meant to be your notes, not notes for him, am I right?

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes, Madam
Commissioner. I did not have a chance to see all the
proceedings of the Commission, I'm sorry, Madam Commissioner,
but I have seen -- I saw that specific reference from the
Prime Minister, and my recollection of the briefing is
exactly the same.

25 MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you. All right. And 26 if it your understanding, then, that in order to ensure that 27 the Prime Minister or his office has been briefed on a matter 28 to do with intelligence, you should ensure that there is an

oral briefing that has taken place? 1 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Excuse me, Commissioner. 2 3 My understanding was that we were talking about these three documents, and it appears, to me at least, 4 that we're venturing away from that now and that that 5 6 question is outside the scope of this cross-examination. MR. GIB van ERT: Commissioner, I'm content 7 to hear your ruling on that. 8 9 MS. ERIN DANN: Commissioner, you're on -you're just on mute, Commissioner. 10 MR. GIB van ERT: Oh. 11 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Sorry. 12 13 MS. ERIN DANN: Sorry. 14 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: That's better now. 15 MS. ERIN DANN: Yes. **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** I'll just ask Maître van 16 Ert to explain the relationship between his question and the 17 three documents. 18 19 MR. GIB van ERT: My concern is simply that given that this document was not delivered to the Prime 20 Minister, and that the Prime Minister's preferred method of 21 22 receiving intelligence briefings is orally, I wanted to ensure that the material in this was conferred to him, one 23 way or another. It sounds like it wasn't in the October 24 meetings, but of course the Director has had other briefings 25 with the Prime Minister in the past. And so just given the 26 working methods the Prime Minister prefers, I'm wondering 27 28 whether that CSIS ensures that everything that is sent over

1

in writing is also briefed orally.

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I will permit the 2 3 question.

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So Madam Commissioner, 4 the way that I think has been testified to by different 5 6 people is CSIS would be preparing material and intelligence 7 reports and assessments, and that is distributed to the Privy Council Office. So the -- I believe that the National 8 9 Security Intelligence Advisor has testified to that, but I can say that it is my understanding that the material is then 10 processed by the Privy Council Office, the National Security 11 Intelligence Advisor's office, to be produced to the Prime 12 13 Minister. So we have -- as I testified, I have used both 14 methods. So specific material and briefing material in 15 writing, and also those verbal briefings.

What I think is important is that all of that 16 is underpinned by intelligence products that are with the 17 Privy Council Office and then are able -- they're able to 18 disseminate that to the Prime Minister's Office and the Prime 19 Minister as required. 20

So I think it's important to understand that 21 22 this is, if I can use an expression, an ecosystem. It is not just one method, one person, but you have those verbal 23 briefings, those written briefings, and intelligence 24 25 products, and I think this is how we have to understand how 26 we are conveying information, engaging in discussions, answering questions, throughout the year, if you want. 27 28

MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you.

Commissioner, I have one question about 1 Document 4495 and then I'll be done. 2 If you could pull that up, please, Mr. Clerk? 3 And if you'll go, please, to page 2, about one third down? 4 There we are. Yes. 5 6 Director, it's the bullet point: "We know that the PRC clandestinely 7 and deceptively interfered in both 8 the 2019 and 2021 general elections." 9 Is this knowledge something that you or the 10 Service as a body have communicated to the Prime Minister or 11 the Prime Minister's office? 12 13 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, it 14 is indeed something that has been communicated. I believe that I testified to that in -- where I said in our 15 assessment, we saw foreign interference in both the 2019 and 16 2021 elections. However, I concur with the results of the 17 Panel, the conclusion of the Panel, that this interference 18 19 did not amount to having the impact on the General Election. So I think it's important to understand that 20 21 both statements, in my opinion, are true at the same time. We 22 saw foreign interference during those elections, and that interference was indeed clandestine and deceptive. And at 23 the same time, that interference did not amount to have an 24 impact on the integrity of the election. 25 MR. GIB van ERT: And if I may just finally 26 follow up on this one point, if you're able to say, Director, 27 28 and I appreciate you may not be, are you able to say whether

ENGLISH INTERPRETATION

29

the interference referred to in this bullet is limited to Don Valley North in 2019 and Steveston-Richmond East in 2021? Or whether it's broader?

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: I think, Madam
Commissioner, the best way to answer the question is refer
back to the summary that the Government has published in
public -- to the Commission as made public regarding the
specific information on the 11 candidates and 13 staff
members.

10

11

12

13

28

MR. GIB van ERT: Understood.

Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.

So next one is counsel for Erin O'Toole.

14 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMAS JARMYN:

MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you, Commissioner.
My name is Tom Jarmyn, Director Vigneault.
Clerk, if you could bring up CAN4495 again,

18 please? And if you could scroll down to the same place we
19 were when Mr. Van Ert was examining? Thank you.

20 Commissioner, just following on a question21 that Mr. Van Ert asked.

You answered him that you knew there was clandestine and deceptive interference in both elections, but it did not have an impact. Is the correct answer, as I understand the Panel's conclusion, is that the impact did not meet the threshold specified in the Cabinet Directive? MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I'm

using these words with my own understanding. I think if you

go back to my exact testimony, I may have said that, you know, I concurred with the conclusion of the Panel. So I just do not want to leave the impression, because I may have used language that is not identical in both times, that I am hedging my answer, is that I have absolutely concurred with the conclusion of the Panel.

7 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Right. Thank you. And 8 just to go back to the general issue of preparation of these 9 documents, my understanding is that this briefing note sits 10 at the top of probably a briefing binder of maybe 70, or 80, 11 or more pages in order to support you in the course of that 12 meeting. Is that correct?

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, maybe the best analogy I can give here is for anyone who has the chance or the opportunity to attend a Parliamentary Committee Hearing, or watch on T.V. a Parliamentary Committee Hearing, there is one common truth to all of these hearings, is that you will see a senior official coming up with a big black binder, a very thick binder full of information.

And I think the other thing that is universally true of these meetings is that no senior official is going through that binder from head to toe, from top to bottom, in the hearing, or even for the preparation.

The way the material is being put together is to help the person who is going to testify give that person enough information, contextual information, but also very specific information. And so, Mr. Jarmyn, yes, the way you describe it is this was one piece of many other pieces of

24

VIGNEAULT Cr-Ex(Jarmyn)

briefing material that formed the -- what I would have used 1 for such a meeting. 2

3 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you. And would the briefing note portion have been -- I appreciate it wasn't 4 given to the Prime Minister or the Prime Minister's Office, 5 6 but would the briefing note portion have been shared with the NSIA or someone at PCO in order that they would know what you 7 were saying in that course? Or what you could be 8 9 anticipating to say in the course of that briefing?

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, in 10 my experience, I have seen both. Sometimes that we would 11 have part of all of the briefing material shared in advance 12 13 with the Privy Council Office, and other times where no 14 briefing material is shared ahead of time. It depends both on the issue being discussed, the specific circumstances, the 15 timelines involved, the sensitivity of the information. So 16 as I said, I have and continue to see both cases where we 17 share all or a portion of the material in cases where nothing 18 19 is shared ahead of time or even left behind after.

MR. THOMAS JARMYN: But in this case, was 20 21 this note shared with the NSAI or someone from PCO?

22 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I do not remember that specific note, unfortunately. 23

MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you. Now if I could direct -- let's go down to the 25 bottom, in the conclusion paragraphs. 26

So we've heard from the Prime Minister and 27 others that there are certain generic or -- generic is not 28

32

the right word. Certain general messages that are 1 consistently referred to, and during meetings dealing with 2 specific topics, that those wouldn't be conveyed because 3 they're already understood. 4 Are these the sorts of messages that are so 5 6 consistently conveyed by you that everyone knows they're accurate and they don't need to be restated? 7 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, if 8 9 I can use the example of the specific point where it says: "[Foreign interference] is therefore 10 low-risk and high-reward." 11 As I testified to a few minutes ago, I have 12 13 said that repeatedly, and to the point where some colleagues 14 are now starting to use the same vernacular. 15 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Okay. Thank you. And then can we scroll up to the page 3? 16 **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** Mr. Jarmyn, it's going 17 to be your last question because your time is already over. 18 19 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you, Commissioner. Looking at that page 3, the comment with 20 21 respect to Mr. Chiu and Mr. O'Toole. Thank you. 22 These observations in that bullet that start "We also observed ... " and then ending, "... directed by the PRC", 23 those are prepared by your staff and they represent -- those 24 words are chosen with intention? Is that correct? 25 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes, Madam 26 Commissioner. These words, you know, are -- have been 27 28 carefully selected. And as I testified to earlier, they

represent our understanding of the situation. 1 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you, Commissioner. 2 3 Thank you, Mr. Vigneault. MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So next one is Me De 5 6 Luca for the Conservative Party. --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NANDO de LUCA: 7 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you, Commissioner. 8 9 Can you hear me okay? COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes, I do. 10 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you, Commissioner. 11 I have two areas of questioning in my four minutes, and I 12 13 anticipate that with respect to the first, given recent 14 comments, it may be subject to an objection, so what I'd 15 propose to do is state my questions, if there is an objection, hear them, then have your ruling, and then if the 16 witness is permitted to answer, then fine, if not, they will 17 have been noted for the record. Is that okay? 18 19 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Director, a Globe and 20 21 Mail article published earlier this week on April 10 reported 22 that a member of the Liberal Party tipped off Han Dong that CSIS was keeping tabs or watching him shortly after CSIS 23 briefed the Liberals during the 2019 Election. 24 25 My questions are, are you aware of this 26 reporting? Has CSIS taken any steps to investigate the allegation that a member of the Liberal Party tipped off Han 27 Dong that CSIS was watching him? Has the matter been 28

referred to the RCMP for investigation? Do you believe that the allegation is true? And finally, can you tell us who in the Liberal Party, other than Azam Ishmael, would have had security clearance to receive any information to the effect that CSIS was keeping tabs or watching Han Dong in connection with the 43rd General Election?

7 As to relevance and propriety, Madam Commission, I appreciate this isn't one of the three 8 documents or pieces of information that were dealt with in 9 the application, I quess it was two days ago. That having 10 been said, this is new information that has come to light 11 only on April 10. It does relate to a matter that received 12 13 great attention and prominence in this hearing, and I think 14 that the questions are proper, to the extent that they can be 15 answered.

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Mr. Brucker?

MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Yes, well my friend is 17 unusually appreciating. We do object to the question on a 18 19 number of grounds. As he apparently acknowledges, outside the scope of the Director's reattendance today. CSIS does 20 21 not comment on investigations, whether there are or there 22 aren't investigations. This is a media article. My friend is asking the Director to comment on a media article. 23 There are no documents that I am aware of that have been provided 24 to the Commission and/or produced to the public dealing on 25 this matter. And it is nothing whatsoever to do with the 26 Terms of Reference that you are here to make a determination 27 28 on.

35

So those are my submissions. 1 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I maintain the 2 3 objection. Clearly these questions are outside the scope of what I've been authorized for Mr. Vigneault's testimony this 4 morning, as well as outside the scope of A and B contained in 5 6 the Terms of Reference. 7 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you, Commissioner. Can I have CAN4079 R01 pulled up on the 8 9 screen? Director, you'll recall that Ms. Chaudhury 10 took you through these briefing notes? 11 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes, I do. 12 MR. NANDO de LUCA: And you differentiated 13 14 these briefing notes from the first briefing notes in CAN15482 that Ms. Chaudhury took you through? Isn't that 15 16 correct? MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: I believe that I said 17 that this briefing note was about a specific issue, as 18 19 opposed to more generic information on foreign interference. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you. And for 20 clarity, am I correct that your evidence here today is that 21 22 with respect to the information that is contained in this briefing note, i.e., 4079 R01, both what we see and we cannot 23 see because of the redaction was the information -- was this 24 information that you did specifically communicate to the 25 Prime Minister? 26 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I 27

used the briefing material to help me form what I wanted to

36

share with the Prime Minister. I can tell you that some of
 that information was absolutely used to brief on a very
 specific topic.

4 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And did I
5 understand your evidence correctly that you used the example
6 of the PRC efforts in relation to Kenny Chiu to set the
7 context for your comments to the Prime Minister? Is that
8 correct?

9 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I 10 do not believe I have said that. I noted when Ms. Chaudhury 11 brought me to that specific reference in the document that 12 this would have been the kind of example, contextual example, 13 to situate a specific issue of foreign interference. But I 14 believe that I testified that I did not recall if I used 15 those words or that specific example to -- in my briefing.

MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Under the heading
"Current Situation" there's a reference to the use of
fundraising and material support. I have two final
questions, and they're these.

20 Prior to each of the 2019 and 2021 Elections, 21 did CSIS have any evidence of the PRC directly or indirectly 22 channeling funds to specific federal candidates or their 23 campaigns?

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I would refer back to the summary of the Government's holding that have been provided to the Commission and to the counsel and Canadians to speak to that issue.

MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. Last question. At

17

37

any point prior to the 2021 Election, did CSIS brief either the Prime Minister, the PMO, the NSIA, Cabinet, or the PCO regarding any other such evidence of specific channeling of funds for either election?

5 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, I 6 have testified at length about a number of these issues this 7 morning looking at the purpose of the three documents. I'm 8 not sure about how the question refers to these documents.

9 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Well this document does
10 refer, under the heading "Current Situation", to what appears
11 to be fundraising in connection with Kenny Chiu's election.

MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Well I submit,
Commissioner, that the Director's answer is fulsome and he
has spoken what he can speak about about this.

15MR. NANDO de LUCA:Is that correct,16Director?

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So ---

18 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: The question doesn't19 flow from the document, in my submission.

20 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: But on top of it, I
 21 understand that you cannot, in any event, talk about -- more
 22 deeply about that? Am I right?

23 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: That would be correct,
24 Madam Commissioner.

25 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. So thank you. So
 26 the question will be written down.

27 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you, Madam
28 Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 1 2 Next one is the Human Rights Coalition. --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SARAH TEICH: 3 MS. SARAH TEICH: Good morning. 4 Good morning, Director. 5 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Good morning. 6 MS. SARAH TEICH: My first question is about 7 notes you may have taken. You mentioned in direct 8 9 examination this morning that in the process of briefing the PM, you read this material ahead of time and you take your 10 own notes, you refine what you expect to be asked, you refine 11 key messages. 12 13 Did you take -- do you recall if you took 14 such notes in the lead up to the two briefings that relate to the three documents that we are talking about today? 15 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, the 16 way this process would have unfolded is whatever notes that I 17 would have had at that point would have been produced to the 18 19 Commission. I don't remember specifically if I have -- if such notes have been produced or not. But if I had those 20 21 notes, they would indeed have been produced. 22 MS. SARAH TEICH: All right. Thank you. So we, as the parties, I don't believe we received these notes. 23 Maybe this is a question for Commission counsel. 24 Are we expected to receive these notes in the 25 future or is this something that will be -- is this something 26 that has to be dealt with *in-camera*? 27 28 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner,

maybe if I can just clarify? I did not say there were notes. 1 I said if there were notes, they would have been produced. 2 3 So I just don't want to leave the impression to counsel or the Commission that I believe there were such notes. 4 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Ms. Teich, this 5 6 is an issue that should be addressed, if there's any need to address it, outside this hearing. 7 MS. SARAH TEICH: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 If we can please pull up again 4079? And if we can go to page 2? 10 You speak about leveraging family: 11 "The PRC is known to target and/or 12 13 leverage family ... " 14 Can you elaborate on this, Director? 15 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So Madam Commissioner, in my -- I believe in my previous testimony, I spoke about 16 the fact that foreign interference is, yes, indeed affecting 17 democratic processes, but also very importantly and somewhat 18 19 dramatically engages and involves people, affects the Canadians here in Canada. And one of the way that we know 20 that has been documented publicly but also has been 21 22 documented through intelligence is that family members here in Canada, but also back in the country of origin, are being 23 leveraged -- leveraged might be a question here of being 24 pressured, or being induced to -- or provided, you know, 25 incitement to try to pressure their relatives back here in 26 Canada, or pressured in a way to put -- give threats and use 27 28 coercive measures against them to increase the pressure on

the Canadian individuals. 1 2 MS. SARAH TEICH: Thank you. And to the extent you can answer this, is this something the 3 intelligence revealed that happened in the lead up to the 4 2019 or 2021 general elections? 5 6 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Again, Mme Commissioner, I think that's out of the scope of the inquiry, and in any 7 event, Commissioner, the Government has in its summary said 8 what it can -- what can be said about the collective 9 intelligence in this matter. 10 MS. SARAH TEICH: That's fine. I'm content 11 to move on. 12 13 As a general point, is this leveraging of 14 families, is this something you brief the Prime Minister or the Prime Minister's Office about either in this briefing or 15 other briefings? 16 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Again, we're talking 17 about a specific three documents. If the question I quess 18 19 about this briefing makes sense, then -- or is appropriate, then the Director could answer it, in my submission. 20 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Is it about this 21 22 briefing, this document? MS. SARAH TEICH: Yes, this is the one that 23 says the PRC is known to target and/or leverage families. 24 I'm wondering, the question is if the Director briefed the 25 Prime Minister or the Prime Minister's Office about this 26 point, and if not in this briefing and other briefings, this 27 28 is a question that's been asked about other lines in other

VIGNEAULT Cr-Ex(Teich)

1 documents?

MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So, Mme Commissioner, 2 3 maybe what I can say is that this specific briefing was about very specific topics, but I have, I believe, testified 4 exactly on that point, where I talked about the way foreign 5 6 interference is used against Canadians. And I also spoke 7 about this quite at length publicly and in our annual reports, which is why one of the very pernicious impact of 8 foreign interference is on Canadian diaspora communities and 9 why it's so important to work with them to increase their 10 resilience and protect all Canadians. 11 MS. SARAH TEICH: All right. Thank you. If 12 13 we can go to the last bullet point in the background section, 14 I believe that's up a page, page 1. Yes ---15 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It will be your last line of questioning, Me Teich, because your time is already 16 17 over. MS. SARAH TEICH: I figured as much. That's 18 19 all right. Thank you, Commissioner. This point about -- that this, 20 "...will foster a bond of obligation to the PRC that will pay 21 22 dividends for the promotion of CCP interest if elected." Same question, is this a line that you 23 briefed the Prime Minister or the Minister's Office about, 24 25 and if not in this briefing, in another briefing? 26 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Again, we can talk about this briefing or any other briefings that the three documents 27 28 refer to.

1	MS. SARAH TEICH: My question was the same
2	-
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yeah, you understand
4	it's about this briefing?
5	MS. SARAH TEICH: Yes, it is about this
6	briefing.
7	MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Mme Commissioner, my
8	testimony is that this was information that has been prepared
9	for me. I spoke to a very specific aspect of this case that
10	I cannot go into details because of the sensitivity. And so
11	this is the type of information, either in that briefing, but
12	for sure in our assessment, in our briefings, general
13	briefings, in our public briefings that we refer to when I
14	testified in earlier I spoke about the work of the United
15	Front Work Department and the entire organizations that the
16	PRC leverages, and this statement directly refers to this
17	these types of activities.
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
19	MS. SARAH TEICH: All right. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
21	So next one is counsel for RCDA?
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS:
23	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Good morning, Madam
24	Commissioner, and Director Vigneault
25	MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: [No interpretation].
26	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: If you can bring up
27	CAN 15842, please.
28	And in this document we talk about FI, and

43

I'd like to know if you're talking about FI generally 1 speaking is this also connected to Russia? 2 3 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, in this briefing note in question there, the material that you 4 have here, yes, it does cover different aspects of foreign 5 6 interference. Many of the elements are specific to the foreign interference of the Chinese government but there are 7 also other elements that are there with respect to the modus 8 operandi of other countries. And, yes, it does include 9 Russia. 10 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Maybe we could use the 11 last point on page 3 as an example. Here you can see: 12 13 "The government's ability to respond 14 to this threat is currently hampered 15 by the lack of legislation, including criminal law and intelligence to 16 evidence framework in a true whole of 17 government approach." 18 19 [No interpretation]. MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, as 20 21 I mentioned, some of the elements, as I was saying, have a 22 common nature and this can apply to other countries than China, and Russia would be amongst those countries. 23 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Well, does that 24 25 explain why they talk about China and India but not Russia in 26 this document? MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, in 27 28 my testimony I believe I said that my testimony does say that

44

the material available to us covered generic material for
foreign interference, but the specific briefing here for the
Prime Minister was on very precise aspects.

4 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: So if your Service had
5 evidence and the Prime Minister were briefed, if there had
6 been more evidence on Russia, it would have been included in
7 this briefing note, is that not true?

8 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Madam Commissioner, the 9 fact -- the reality is that when we do briefing sessions, we 10 give a context, a framework, so there's elements to the 11 information that we have and we calibrate our intelligence. 12 We provide the right context of the information, the level of 13 certainty we have, so we try to convey that in our briefings.

INO interpretation] ...part of the question,
as I mentioned, this document here in question mentions a
portion of the material that was being used to brief the
Prime Minister that day. So there were specific details that
I discussed with the Prime Minister, unfortunately, I can't
say more about.

MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: So on that point, I 20 21 know that we're talking about intelligence through evidence, 22 and here I would like to an earlier stage when we're talking about a candidate, a politician, or during an election, 23 during the write period. In your testimony, you talk about 24 how there is use of -- suspicious use of social media. So is 25 this also another stage, maybe, which is a challenge to be 26 able to come up with concrete evidence that can be used, that 27 28 can be acted on?

MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I think we're getting
 away from the two documents and the three documents, Madam
 Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Mr. Sirois? 4 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Well, my answer is 5 6 that if CSIS think that there's a challenge to go from 7 intelligence to evidence, my submission would be that there's a challenge that predates the moment that we do get 8 intelligence, and you may have information from candidates or 9 from -- like, this could be -- how does this connect to this 10 stage here within the document? That's the question I'm 11 asking. 12

13 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I think you should keep 14 your question for the next phase of the Commission's work. I 15 think this morning, given the authorized scope of the 16 questioning, I think that we really have gone above and 17 beyond what has been authorized. But keep your question, I 18 think the question may be relevant and useful in the next 19 phase of this Commission.

20 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: [No interpretation].
21 This is all my questions. Thank you.
22 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: [No interpretation].
23 Next one is counsel for Han Dong?

24 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JEFFREY WANG:

MR. JEFFREY WANG: Good morning, Director.
 In one of the briefing notes we just looked at, it discussed
 unauthorized releases of classified information from the
 media, and the briefing note says that these leaks present a

46

direct threat to the integrity of CSIS's operations. Can you 1 tell us more about how media leaks present this threat? 2 3 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Mme Commissioner, could counsel call up the document, please, just to make sure that 4 I know what exactly he's referring to? 5 6 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes, for sure. MR. JEFFREY WANG: Sure. Sure, no problem. 7 It's 4495. It's just the second bullet there. 8 9 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So could counsel please repeat his guestion? 10 MR. JEFFREY WANG: Sure. So I just wanted to 11 know if you could tell us more about how media links present 12 13 this direct threat to the integrity of CSIS's operations. 14 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So, Mme Commissioner, the -- again, I testified to that in my previous appearance 15 in front of the Commission. Having information that is 16 released, very sensitive information that is released to 17 people who may make use of it, interpret the information, 18 19 sometimes I would use my word "overinterpret" some of the information, draw different conclusions is an issue, 20 absolutely. It also, that any information that is released 21 22 in this type of way presented a danger to our operations. It affects the way we are able to continue to collect the 23 intelligence that is critical to help protect Canadians, and, 24 therefore, we take this extremely seriously. The last thing 25 I would say is that as an intelligence service using both 26 technical but also human sources, we are very, very concerned 27 28 about the impact that unauthorized disclosure may have on the

47

people who take risk to protect -- to provide information to 1 CSIS in order to protect Canadians. So we do take that 2 extremely seriously. 3 MR. JEFFREY WANG: Sure. And if we could 4 scroll down to the next bullet? It says here that, 5 6 "We are working closely with PCO and departmental security officials to 7 identify the source of these leaks." 8 9 Can you tell us about the activities that CSIS had undertaken to identify the leakers? 10 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I think we're -- this is 11 outside the scope of the three documents, although it is 12 mentioned in the documents, but the -- to discuss 13 14 investigations in this forum just can't be done. 15 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Mr. Wang, do you have 16 anything to answer? MR. JEFFREY WANG: I'm not sure if it's 17 outside of the scope of the document because it says right 18 19 there. I'm just wondering if the Director can clarify this particular bullet. 20 21 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And what about the 22 second part of the ---MR. JEFFREY WANG: If the Director can't 23 24 discuss national security information, I'm happy to accept 25 that. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And is the case, Mr. 26 Vigneault? 27 28 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yes, Mme Commissioner,

this would be -- I have -- it would be very detrimental. I 1 have -- I cannot speak about ongoing investigations or 2 operations. 3 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. So the ---4 MR. JEFFREY WANG: Understood. 5 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- question is written 6 7 down. MR. JEFFREY WANG: But, Director, can you 8 9 confirm that CSIS is taking action to identify the leakers? MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Again, I think the 10 Director's previous answer applies. Can't comment on 11 investigations. 12 13 MR. JEFFREY WANG: Sure. Director, will the 14 public be informed if CSIS or any other agency identifies the 15 leakers? 16 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Same objection. MR. JEFFREY WANG: And my last question is 17 what has CSIS done to prevent media leaks going forward? 18 19 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Seems we're on the same track again. 20 21 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So it's written down. 22 MR. JEFFREY WANG: Thanks. Those are all my questions. 23 24 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. AG? 25 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: No questions, 26 Commissioner. 27 28 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Any ---

1	MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Mme Commissioner, would
2	you allow me to say one thing?
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes, you can.
4	MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Yeah, I just would like
5	to take the opportunity to just say that there's been a lot
6	of comment made about the intelligence in the media, in the
7	Commission and so on, and I just would like to say that as a
8	Director of CSIS, I think it's important that we understand
9	that intelligence is a little bit like a puzzle. Sometime we
10	have a very clear picture of the puzzle. Sometimes, you
11	know, through use of raw intelligence and assessed
12	intelligence we are building that picture. But I think what
13	is important to remember is that this is done by
14	professional, trained intelligence analysts and professionals
15	that are bringing this together. And I just would like to
16	leave you, Mme Commissioner, and through you the Canadians
17	with the message that, you know, intelligence is a very
18	sophisticated approach. We are learning organization, so we
19	are all trying to get better, but also, would like to say
20	that I'm extremely proud of the work that the intelligence
21	professional of CSIS do every day in Canada and around the
22	world in very dangerous situations to protect the Canadians
23	and make sure that we are safe, secure in a prosperous
24	country. Thank you very much, Mme Commissioner.
25	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you, Mr.
26	Vigneault.

27 Me Chaudhury, do you want to conduct a re-28 examination?

MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: I do have one 1 2 question in re-examination. 3 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Go ahead. --- RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. SHONTANA CHAUDHURY: 4 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Director Vigneault, 5 6 it's just a clarification question to help the Commission 7 understand the documents that have been produced to it. So you've mentioned, I believe, that for the October 26, 2022 8 briefing, there was a long heads up and the service had time 9 to prepare and the briefing notes that we see were approved 10 at a very high level. Can you tell us whether that would 11 hold true for all briefing notes, speaking points, talking 12 13 points? 14 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: So, Mme Commissioner, 15 again, depending on the nature, the amount of time, it would vary. If we have very, very little time to prepare for a 16 briefing, our staff would then, you know, take material that 17 has already been prepared for other briefings, other purpose 18 19 and collate that together and provide that to me or to whomever else who would be involved in providing the 20 21 briefing. And so the material would be approved. If we 22 cannot do an approval on that day, it will be material that

has been, you know, reviewed before. So I would not have material that is provided to me that has not been somehow vetted. But again, it depends on the specific nature and circumstances of the briefing.

27 MS. SHANTONA CHAUDHURY: Thank you. Those28 are my questions.

28

51

1 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: That's all?
2 So thank you, Mr. Vigneault. I know it's -3 you're a very busy director, and I really appreciate that you
4 made yourself available on a very short notice actually. And
5 I think your comments will be very useful to all those that
6 are involved in the work we are conducting. So from now you
7 are free to go.

8 MR. DAVID VIGNEAULT: Thank you very much,
9 Commissioner. [No interpretation].

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: [No interpretation].

And before we all leave for the weekend, at 11 least I hope you will leave for the weekend, I want to thank 12 13 all the counsels. Honestly, you know, when I started these 14 hearings, I was not too sure what will happen because, as you 15 know, we were working in a delicate and difficult environment, given all the classified information that we 16 have to deal with, and I really, really appreciate the way 17 counsels have acted throughout the hearings, although you all 18 represented your client very, very well, and you did what you 19 and said what you had to do and to say. I think the way you 20 have done that has been very professional and I really 21 22 appreciate. It permits the -- it has permitted the Commission to work efficiently and, to a certain extent, 23 24 serenely.

25 So again, thank you. I will look carefully 26 and read carefully the submissions that you will provide us 27 with.

And, you know, I always look at submissions

as being a way of -- for me to make better -- usually I would say judgement, but in this case, it's not going to be a judgement, but at least to make better findings, and eventually better recommendations. So I'm sure I do not have to tell you that, but I invite you, really, to work hard for your submissions, doing the best you can, because I really look forward to reading these submissions and see them as a very useful tool for the work we have to do from now. So we'll see -- we'll see -- we'll be together, actually, again sometime probably in the fall. But in the meantime, I wish you all a good summer, if we have a summer this year. Thank you all. Have a good weekend. THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. This sitting of the Foreign Interference Commission is adjourned. Thank you. --- Upon adjourning at 11:26 a.m.

CERTIFICATION I, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, a certified court reporter, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and ability, and I so swear. Je, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, une sténographe officielle, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure. un Sandrine Marineau-Lupien