

Public Inquiry Into Foreign Interference in Federal Electoral Processes and Democratic Institutions

Enquête publique sur l'ingérence étrangère dans les processus électoraux et les institutions démocratiques fédéraux

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner / Commissaire The Honourable / L'honorable Marie-Josée Hogue

VOLUME 9

Held at : Tenue à:

Library and Archives Canada Bambrick Room 395 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4

Wednesday, April 3, 2024

Bibliothèque et Archives Canada Salle Bambrick 395, rue Wellington Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4

Le mercredi 3 avril 2024

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

https://www.transcription.tc/ (800)899-0006

II Appearances / Comparutions

Commission Lead Counsel /

Shantona Chaudhury

Procureure en chef de la commission

Commission Counsel /

Avocat(e)s de la commission

Gordon Cameron

Erin Dann

Matthew Ferguson

Hubert Forget

Howard Krongold

Hannah Lazare

Jean-Philippe Mackay

Kate McGrann Lynda Morgan

Siobhan Morris

Annie-Claude Poirier

Gabriel Poliquin

Natalia Rodriguez

Guillaume Rondeau

Nicolas Saint-Amour

Daniel Sheppard

Maia Tsurumi

Leila Ghahhary

Emily McBain-Ashfield

Hamza Mohamadhossen

Commission Research Council /

Conseil de la recherche de la

commission

Geneviève Cartier

Nomi Claire Lazar

Lori Turnbull

Leah West

Commission Senior Policy Advisors /

Conseillers principaux en politiques de la

commission

Paul Cavalluzzo

Danielle Côté

III Appearances / Comparutions

Commission Staff / Annie Desgagné

Personnel de la commission Casper Donovan

Michael Tansey

Ukrainian Canadian Congress Donald Bayne

Jon Doody

Government of Canada Gregory Tzemenakis

Barney Brucker

Office of the Commissioner of Christina Maheux

Canada Elections Luc Boucher

Nancy Miles

Human Rights Coalition Hannah Taylor

Sarah Teich

Russian Canadian Democratic Mark Power

Alliance Guillaume Sirois

Michael Chan John Chapman

Andy Chan

Han Dong Mark Polley

Emily Young

Jeffrey Wang

Michael Chong Gib van Ert

Fraser Harland

Jenny Kwan Sujit Choudhry

Mani Kakkar

IV Appearances / Comparutions

Churchill Society Malliha Wilson

The Pillar Society Daniel Stanton

Democracy Watch Wade Poziomka

Nick Papageorge

Canada's NDP Lucy Watson

Conservative Party of Canada Nando de Luca

Chinese Canadian Concern Group on

The Chinese Communist Party's

Human Rights Violations

Neil Chantler

Erin O'Toole Thomas W. Jarmyn

Preston Lim

Senator Yuen Pau Woo Yuen Pau Woo

V Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
HON. ERIN O'TOOLE, Sworn/Assermenté	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Natalia Rodriguez	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gib van Ert	40
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Prabjot Singh	44
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Guillaume Sirois	52
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	63
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gregory Tzemenakis	65
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Thomas Jarmyn	73
Re-Examination by/Ré-interrogatoire par Ms. Natalia Rodriguez	74
MR. KENNY CHIU, Sworn/Assermenté	78
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Matthew Ferguson	78
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Nando de Luca	122
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	127
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gregory Tzemenakis	135
HON. JENNY KWAN, Affirmed/Sous affirmation solennelle	144
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Natalia Rodriguez	144
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	180
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Luc Boucher	184
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Barney Brucker	190
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Sujit Choudhry	196

VI Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
HON. MICHAEL CHONG, Sworn/Assermenté	207
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Natalia Rodriguez	207
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	238
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Barney Brucker	242
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Andy Chan	247

VII Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
WIT 24.EN	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Erin O'Toole	2
WIT 24.FR	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : Erin O'Toole	3
CAN.DOC 22	Summary information on briefing to Erin O'Toole	5
EOT 4	Annex B to Briefing Package - Election Interference Review	12
EOT 1_R	Briefing Package - Election Interference Review	14
EOT 12	Secure the Future Magazine - Summer 2021	20
CAN 124	RRM Canada Daily Brief - September 12, 2021	25
CAN 126	RRM Canada Daily Brief - September 13, 2021	27
CAN 130	RRM Canada Daily Brief - September 14, 2021	27
CAN 132	RRM Canada Daily Brief - September 15, 2021	27
CAN 6739	Email September 30, 2021 - Fwd: Follow up	37
CAN 125	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis - Report #3 Week of 2-8 September	53
CAN 134	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis - Report #4 Week of 9-15 September	55
CAN 141	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis - Report #5 Week of 16-23 September	58
WIT 14.EN	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Kenny Chiu	77
WIT 14.FR	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : Kenny Chiu	77
CAN.DOC 24	Summary information on briefing to Kenny Chiu	78
KCH 69	Bill C-282 An Act to establish a Foreign Intfluence Registry	90

VIII Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
KCH 1-27	Chat screenshots: Current Events Discussion. News Coverage. (24/7)	96
KCH 70	Translation of KCH 1-27	96
CCC 10	Article: Conservatives face ugly barrage over party's China policy [Vancouver Sun]	101
KCH 68	Article: Richmond candidate attacked on WeChat for proposed 'Foreign Influence' legislation	103
CCC 4	Article [Today Commercial News]	107
CAN 144	RRM Canada Open Data Analysis October 8, 2021	108
CAN 4821	SITE TF Analysis 25 October 2021	110
WIT 12.EN	Interview Summary: Jenny Kwan	145
WIT 12.FR	Résumé d'entrevue : Jenny Kwan	145
CAN.DOC 23	Summary information on briefing to Jenny Kwan	148
JKW 149	Photo Democracy Walk near Chinese Consulate	154
JKW 150	Photo Democracy Walk near Chinese Consulate	155
JKW 65_R	Press release February 18, 2022	160
JKW 70_R	Letter to CSIS	163
JKW 66_R	Press release July 10, 2023	164
CAN.SUM.3	Topical Summary: PRC Officials - Foreign Interference Activities in Greater Vancouver in the 2019 General Election	165
JKW 76	Letter to OCCE: Complaint re collusion and vote-buying in Vancouver East	171

IX Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
CCC 186	Press release from Chinese-Canadian Concerned Group on the Chinese Communist Party's Human Rights Violation	175
WIT 8_AF	Affidavit of Gabriel Yiu with Interview Summary	200
WIT 18.EN	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Michael Chong	206
WIT 18.FR	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : Michael Chong	208
MMC4	Threatening correspondence directed at MP Michael Chong	213
MMC5	Email Fwd: Barred from entering Chinese territory	215
MMC8	Email: RCMP conclusion to FAI investigation	223
MMC9	Letter from Michael Chong to Erin O'Toole - December 21, 2021	231

Ottawa, Ontario
Upon commencing on Wednesday, April 3, 2024 at 9:32 a.m.
L'audience débute le mercredi 3 avril, 2024 à 9 h 32
THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. Ordre s'il
vous plaît.
This sitting of the Foreign Interference
Commission is now in session. Commissioner Hogue is
presiding. Cette séance de la Commission sur l'ingérence
étrangère est maintenant en cours. La Commissaire Hogue
préside. The time is 9:32 a.m. Il est 9h32.
COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Good morning, everyone.
Welcome, Mr. O'Toole.
HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning.
COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So I understand it's
Me Rodriguez this morning.
MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Good morning,
Mr. O'Toole.
HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning.
MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: If we can have the
witness sworn, please.
THE REGISTRAR: Good morning, Mr. O'Toole.
May I please have your full name for the record, and spell
your last name, please.
HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Erin Michael O'Toole, O
apostrophe, T-O-O-L-E.
HON. ERIN O'TOOLE, Sworn/assermenté:

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ:

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Good morning again,
2	Mr. O'Toole. As you know, I'm Natalia Rodriguez, Commission
3	Counsel.
4	And we're going to start with some
5	preliminary matters. On February 23rd, 2024, you had an
6	interview with Commission Counsel, and a follow-up interview
7	on March 1st, 2024. Is that right?
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And an interview
10	summary was generated from that from those interviews; is
11	that right?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Correct.
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Ms. Rodriguez, can you
14	just speak a bit louder?
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Oh, sure.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Or closer to the mic.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: All right, just
20	checking.
21	Okay. So I will call up the witness summary
22	at WIT 24.EN.
23	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 24.EN:
24	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Erin
25	O'Toole
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And Mr. O'Toole, what
27	you're seeing there is the interview summary that was
28	generated from your interviews with Commission Counsel. Is

1	that right?
2	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you've reviewed
4	the summary for accuracy?
5	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I have.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you have any
7	corrections, additions, or deletions to make at this time?
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I do not.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you adopt the
10	contents of this witness summary as part of your evidence
11	before the Commission today?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, I do.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Great. So we'll have
14	that entered into evidence. And for the record, a French
15	translation of the summary is at WIT 24.FR.
16	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 24.FR:
17	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : Erin
18	O'Toole
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, just to go over
20	a little bit of your background. I understand you were a
21	Conservative Member of Parliament for Durham from 2012 to
22	2023. Is that right?
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you served as
25	party leader and leader of the official opposition from 2020
26	to 2022. Is that right?
27	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And under Prime

Minister Harper, you were Minister of Veterans Affairs. 1 2 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I was. 3 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were named Foreign Affairs Critic in the Conservative Shadow Cabinet of 4 party leader Andrew Scheer. 5 6 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I was, yes. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, can you tell us 7 a little bit about your background before politics, and how 8 9 that may have shaped your views as a politician? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, my time in the 10 military shaped my views on national security, on foreign 11 affairs, defence. These issues, as far back as the early 12 13 nineties, we were looking at the NATO and NORAD alliances 14 that Canada had, but also potential flashpoints in the future, including at the Taiwan Strait. Things like this I 15 was learning about as a young officer cadet at the Royal 16 Military College. And so security issues and the need to be 17 prudent and serious, with respect to influencing these sorts 18 19 of things, we're all cultivated during my time as an officer in the Canadian Armed Forces, and so that coloured my time in 20 politics. I'm a lawyer as well, so you know, that background 21 was also very important to me as an MP on these issues of 22 national security. 23 24 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. understand you received a briefing by CSIS concerning foreign 25 interference on May 26, 2023, in which you received 26 classified information. Is that right? 27

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, I'm going to
2	take you to a document, CAN.DOC.22. And this is entitled
3	Commission Request for Summary Information On Briefing To
4	Mr., or to Erin O'Toole, rather.
5	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN.DOC 22:
6	Summary information on briefing to
7	Erin O'Toole
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so you've you
9	understand that the Commission requested from CSIS an
10	unclassified summary of the briefing that you received in May
11	of 2023?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is a summary
14	that was generated. Have you had a chance to review this
15	summary?
16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I have.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And now, I would ask
18	that you not disclose any of the classified information you
19	received in this briefing, but in general terms, does this
20	summary accord with the general topics and information that
21	you were provided with in that briefing? Without getting
22	into specifics, obviously.
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It does. In a very
24	general way, it touches on themes. I also, in one of my
25	final speeches in the House of Commons, referenced my
26	briefing in a very careful way that I wasn't revealing any
27	intelligence information or information that would reveal
28	sources to ensure that on the public record we could have

1	some sharing with the public because I think transparency is
2	important.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And
4	again, without giving any details of the content of that
5	briefing, did you find the briefing to be useful in the sense
6	that you were given information that you could put into
7	action or you could do something with in order to better
8	protect yourself from foreign interference?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I would have appreciated
10	the briefing a few years earlier, but yes, it was very
11	helpful in understanding what was happening.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. So moving
13	now to the 2019 election. I understand that your primary
14	role in that election was one of candidate. Is that right?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, and in Ontario I did
16	help a range of other candidates as kind of a prominent
17	member of caucus, but I didn't have any national tour
18	responsibilities as I had had in 2015.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you weren't
20	part of the national campaign in 2019.
21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I was not.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And were you
23	made aware of concerns in your role as candidate and
24	assisting other candidates, as you said, regarding foreign
25	interference during that election in 2019?
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I wasn't aware of any
27	concerns in that election. As I've said in my statement, the
28	leadership that predated the 2019 election, I did see for the

1	first time the influence of platforms like WeChat and the
2	ability to organize cultural communities based on specific
3	social media channels like WeChat.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
5	And I'm being advised just for the sake of
6	the translators that both of us need to speak a little bit
7	more slowly in order to help them in their work.
8	Thank you.
9	And were you aware of any concerns regarding
10	foreign interference prior to the 2021 election any time
11	between 2019 and 2021?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, in limited fashion.
13	Certainly the Chinese Ambassador made negative comments
14	towards the Conservative opposition and myself, but in terms
15	of seeing any orchestrated foreign interference, that was not
16	really on our radar prior to the election.
17	We had taken pretty strong positions with
18	respect to the Uyghur genocide, with respect to the Two
19	Michaels, with respect to Belt Road initiative, a range of
20	policies that we thought were not in our national interest
21	that the Trudeau government was pursuing or supporting, but
22	we didn't see evidence of foreign interference. There was
23	just a sense that stronger positions with respect to China
24	was probably being tracked closely by China.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And just to take you
26	back to 2019 for a second, were you aware of the security and
27	intelligence threats to Elections Task Force, which we've
28	been calling the SITE Task Force, in 2019 and were you aware

1	of who the representative from the Conservative Party would
2	have been to that entity?
3	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I was not aware of who
4	our representative was and I only knew that a task force to
5	look at the issue was formed. I did not know its structure,
6	nor did we get any briefings or background information on it.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you knew of
8	the existence, but nothing more than that. Is that fair?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So I want to
11	take you now to the 2021 election, and maybe you can tell us
12	a little bit about the discourse that was happening in
13	Parliament before it ended for the summer in 2021, some of
14	the issues that dominated the debate that, in your view, may
15	be relevant to the issues that we're dealing with today.
16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Certainly.
17	I referenced the genocide towards the Uyghur,
18	Muslim and Turk populations in China. We had taken a very
19	careful and deliberate approach to bringing the issue and the
20	vote to declare it a genocide. I had waited until I saw
21	evidence through both U.S. sources and UK sources that made
22	me satisfied that we weren't being cavalier with the use of
23	the term "genocide", and I think discourse today is far too
24	cavalier with very, very important terms like that.
25	So that drew a lot of attention both in
26	Canada and internationally. We were the second the first
27	Parliament, the first assembly after the United States to
28	make such a declaration. And we knew that there was negative

Ţ	commentary in Unina as a result of that.
2	But right before the election was the
3	Winnipeg lab situation which we had very, very serious
4	concerns with and, quite frankly, the Trudeau government's
5	handling of that entire episode was quite troubling. A
6	senior civil servant was called to the bar of the House of
7	Commons, something not done in a century. They were taking
8	the Speaker of the House of Commons to Court in order to not
9	comply with the Speaker's direction. So very, very
10	concerning right on the eve of the election.
11	So the Winnipeg lab scandal, as we called it
12	at the time, was probably the most pressing issue in the
13	House of Commons before the summer break and, of course,
14	there was not supposed to be an election that summer.
15	Parliament had voted to say that the will of Parliament was
16	that there should be an election only after COVID, the crisis
17	was over.
18	So the Winnipeg lab controversy came just
19	before the election.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
21	And I understand some Conservative MPs in
22	Parliament raised issues, concerns related to China-related
23	issues. And can you tell us a bit about what the response
24	was when these issues were raised in Parliament?
25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. You know, I should
26	add, too, that the Private Members Bill from MP Kenny Chiu in
27	terms of a foreign agent registry was also generating some
28	headlines and some debate in the House of Commons.

1	The troubling thing we found was that and
2	I remember this is the case on several occasions in the House
3	in my questioning of the Prime Minister is that the spectre
4	of any questions on the Winnipeg lab or an issue like that
5	was somehow contributing to anti-Asian discrimination or
6	anti-Asian hate in the pandemic. I thought those responses
7	were unfair at the time.
8	And then in the runup to the election, those
9	responses inadvertently echoed propaganda from China with
10	respect to any questions about the origin of COVID-19 or any
11	questions about the our biological laboratory in Winnipeg
12	were somehow fomenting hate and discrimination. And that was
13	not only wrong and unfair, it set a bad tone heading into the
14	election.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So what effect, if
16	any, do you think that those comments in Parliament may have
17	had? What's the connection that you're making there between
18	those comments and then the subsequent messaging that was
19	being circulated during the election?
20	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly any time,
21	you know, the Prime Minister or Ministers of the government
22	use the term "racism" or "discrimination", there's an
23	intention to create chill so that we wouldn't ask questions.
24	We were being very, very careful about how we talked about
25	these issues during a public health emergency, COVID-19.
26	My concern now, particularly in retrospect,
27	is that approach the government was taking complemented

indirectly narratives that were being advanced by, you know,

1	China and its agents in Canada. And I think in the election,
2	we saw that explode into a campaign of misinformation on
3	social media, but also in in-person miscommunication to
4	intimidate voters, to mislead voters with respect to me, with
5	respect to certain candidates, and to our policies in
6	general. And I think it was an accidental connection, but it
7	was something that, looking back, set the tone before the
8	election. And it should have been something looked at a
9	little closer by SITE, in my view.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And by SITE,
11	meaning the SITE Task Force.
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So turning now to the
14	campaign, I understand that in 2021, you were obviously the
15	leader of the official opposition and so you were very much
16	involved in the national campaign. And I understand the
17	national campaign was using something called predictive
18	modelling instead of polling data for the projections.
19	Can you tell us a little bit about your
20	understanding of what the difference is between predictive
21	modelling and polling?
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: The predictive modelling
23	really identifies groups of individuals, groups of Canadians,
24	and then generally, if you really do the data and the
25	research to understand those groups, you can be predictive of
26	their reaction to certain questions or how they might vote or
27	behave on certain issues.

We used it very extensively to prepare for

1	the election and to see how to best communicate our policies.
2	The Conservative Party I wanted to
3	modernize and use the same tools that the Liberal Party under
4	Mr. Trudeau had adopted, and they had followed the lead of
5	what the Obama campaign had done on behavioural predictive
6	modelling. Once you created the model, it was, in my
7	experience in the runup to the election and during the
8	election, extremely accurate and much more accurate than
9	polling because you saw those behaviours and those attitudes
10	in those voting blocks over time.
11	So we were we were intensely focused on
12	four groups within this model of I think eight or nine groups
13	that we felt if we did well enough with those four that were
14	open to our message, we could win the election.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I want to take you
16	now to a document, EOT 4.
17	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. EOT 4:
18	Annex B to Briefing Package -
19	Election Interference Review
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if we can scroll
21	down to the second page? Okay. So this is a document that
22	you produced.
23	And if we go to page three of that? Right.
24	So it says it's dated August 16, 2021 and
25	it says "CPC model". Is this an example of the modeling
26	the output of what that modeling would have generated?
27	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. In terms of the

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
2	And who produced this chart or this information that we see
3	here?
4	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It would have been my
5	campaign team, which included Dan Robertson was one of our
6	leads on creating and working with the modelers. We went to
7	a firm based out of the United Kingdom for this type of
8	specialized work. Although now I see it's it has come to
9	Canada.
10	So Dan Robertson, Justin Bumstead, a number
11	of people that were kind of my modeling and my numbers
12	people.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: M'hm. And I
14	understand that you provided this modeling information to the
15	independent Special Rapporteur David Johnston. Is that
16	right?
17	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I did. I wanted to give
18	him some of the context for why, on election night, we were
19	very startled by a handful of riding that fell completely
20	outside of the ranges for the model.
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And in fact,
22	you did put a package together that included not just this
23	information, but some memoranda as well that had been
24	prepared for you and for the party related to what you say
25	were irregularities in certain ridings? Is that right?
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I gave Mr. Johnston
27	basically the same package that my team had given to the SITE
28	Taskforce after the election. We were very forthright in

1	sharing what limited information we had, because we didn't go
2	into the campaign thinking that we needed to create an
3	intelligence dossier on what we saw in the field. But as
4	instances of interference were being flagged by the
5	campaigns, my campaign team said, "Well, let's raise this
6	with the SITE panel, but near the end, let's try and keep
7	track of some of this information that our organizers are
8	seeing."
9	I wish now that we'd had a much more careful
10	approach and had documented everything, but we shared with
11	the SITE Taskforce after the election what we did assemble,
12	and I shared all of the information I still had and could
13	share, I shared with Mr. Johnston.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So we'll go to
15	that now. It's EOT1_R. If we go to the second page? Okay.
16	That's great right there.
17	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. EOT 1 R:
18	Briefing Package - Election
19	Interference Review
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the
21	briefing package that you prepared for the Special
22	Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office,
23	and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right?
24	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. And I gave Mr.
25	Johnston and Ms. Block copies when they arrived. And my deep
26	concern with the Special Rapporteur was I was giving him this
27	
27	package, and later in the meeting, he told me that his

1	how I did not feel the Special Rapporteur took the process
2	seriously when an evidentiary package that my office took
3	time to prepare was a supplement to what they had already
4	sent off as a report. I did not respond well to that.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So we'll go to page 4
6	of this document just to see some of the information that was
7	included in here.
8	So this is a memo. It's dated May 17, 2023.
9	It's prepared by Justin Bumstead, who you referenced earlier.
10	And if we go to page 10?
11	This is another memo. It's to Jim Ross. And
12	it's from Sadiq Valliani. And again, it's a memo, the
13	subject line "Foreign Interference in our Election" and it's
14	dated three days after the election, September $24^{\rm th}$, 2021.
15	Maybe four days.
16	And if we go to page 14?
17	This is the modeling that we saw earlier.
18	And we'll look at this a little more closely in a little bit.
19	So we can take that down now.
20	So what was your understanding then, based on
21	the modeling, on election day, of where the Conservatives
22	would end up in terms of seats that day?
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yeah, reliving those last
24	few days of the campaign. Our we went from winning the
25	seat count a week before the election, with a small modest
26	minority, to, on election day, losing the seat count and
27	still winning the popular vote. We saw the vote changing
28	largely on the vaccine and the vaccine mandate issue.

1	But on election night, when we knew we were
2	going to lose, my campaign manager had said, "Our models and
3	how the vote goes, you should end up with about $127/128$
4	seats, which will be a historic level for opposition." And
5	when you consider it was a pandemic election, we were largely
6	on the wrong side of public opinion on the vaccine mandate
7	issue, which is, in my view, why the Prime Minister called
8	the election. That was being briefed to me to say, "Calm
9	down. You did okay. You'll be able to live to fight another
10	day and stay on as leader."
11	So I went in that night feeling, "Okay.
12	We're going to end up with 127/128 seats." And as returns
13	were coming in, that night, not that I asked for any detail,
14	but that night, there was already clear indication that a
15	number of ridings were vastly outside of our modeling window
16	and the ridings were the same ridings that we had been
17	complaining about with respect to foreign interference.
18	We'd never connected the two, and even months
19	after when I spoke about ranges, I always used to say, you
20	know, between five and nine, six and nine, I would never be
21	precise. But
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sorry, in terms of
23	five and nine, in terms of what?
24	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Five to nine seats that I
25	think were possibly changed as a result of foreign
26	interference. Narrower margin seats where we had large
27	numbers of people not voting and suspicions about voter
28	suppression in those specific seats.

1	Certainly nowhere near enough to change the
2	results of the election, but for people in those seats, if
3	they were undergoing intimidation or suppression measures,
4	their democratic rights were being trampled on by foreign
5	actors.
6	So certainly it was serious. And that's how
7	I formulated that rough view in my mind, based on the
8	modeling and from our anecdotal reports from the ground in
9	the campaign about various forms of foreign interference.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And is that your view
11	today? That six to nine ridings were lost due to foreign
12	interference in 2021?
13	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I believe it's in that
14	range, yes.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
16	Now, you believe that the Conservative Party became a target
17	for the PRC in 2021 in the election? Is that right?
18	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And in your view,
20	what made the Conservative Party a target for the PRC?
21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I believe our policies
22	where were more in line with allies like the United States
23	that were starting to take more aggressive trade positions
24	with respect to the export of Chinese steel and aluminum,
25	things like that, our positions on human rights issues, the
26	Uyghurs in particular, but also Hong Kong and Tibet. Our
27	positions were much stronger, and I believe reflected our
28	values and interests as a country better than the policies of

1 Mr. Trudeau.

The Trudeau Government was viewed by our allies, and I would hear this regularly from diplomats, we were seen as being offside with respect to a very ambitious agenda with China at a time that particularly the Five Eyes Allies were being far more cautious with respect to China.

So I think this recognition that had the Conservatives won, and if I was Prime Minister, we would have had a much more wide-eyed view and realistic view from a foreign policy perspective on China, that drove the interest for Beijing, certainly that status quo, that the Liberal Government was probably preferrable in their view to a change in government to Conservatives, given the vast difference in our policy positions.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so just to clarify, the Uyghur Motion was brought by Mr. Chong, who is a member of the Conservative caucus, and the Foreign Agent Registry was also a Conservative Party member's -- member's bill; is that right?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, the Foreign Agent Registry, which other allies like the United States and Australia use such a registry in a similar way to a lobbying registry, that people have an obligation to register. That was Kenny Chiu's private member's bill. Michael Chong was the shadow minister for Foreign Affairs, so the issue on recognizing that the weaker genocide was under his mandate. And I would add that when I appointed Michael to become the shadow minister for Foreign Affairs, at the speech where I

unveiled the caucus positions, I talked about how proud I was 1 2 that someone whose father immigrated to Canada from Hong 3 Kong, Hong Kong having been defended by Canadian soldiers during World War II, it was truly the Canadian story that he 4 could then take strong positions in Canada's interest and 5 6 come from a diaspora community of China. I think that shows our country at its best and Michael continues to do a great 7 job. 8 9 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: The 2021 CPC platform, the Conservative Party platform for 2021 did 10 include numerous references to China. You accept that; 11 12 right? 13 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. 14 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And it did take quite a hard stance against China's, it could be argued some would 15 16 say? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I wouldn't say against 17 anything. It was an expression of our economic interest as a 18 19 country and of our values as a country on issues like the treatment of the weaker population on issues related to Hong 20 21 Kong or Tibet. As I said, I think -- and I think most of our 22 allies thought at the time, the current government was a little offside on these issues. We were trying to course 23 24 correct the country. It was not intended to be anti-25 anything. It was intended to be a reflection of what our 26 foreign policy should be. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you to 27 28 that now. It's EOT 12.

--- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. EOT 12: 1 2 Secure the Future Magazine - Summer 3 2021 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is the 2021 4 And so I'll just take you to page 54 of this 5 platform. 6 document. If we go down? Okay. So under number four there you can see "Standing Up to China's Aggression". And there's 7 a section there on China. And it's been reported, and I have 8 confirmed, that there's 31 mentions of China in this 9 platform. I'm sure you've heard this reported before. 10 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yeah, the -- how the 11 western world addresses the rise of China, the positives and 12 13 negatives from that is the foreign policy challenge of our 14 day, so it was quite comprehensive, but you do notice, right at the beginning we say our quarrel is not with the people of 15 China and we talked about the incredible contributions China 16 has made to the world. We always tried to make sure that 17 that was part of our narrative, so that people could see our 18 19 concerns were interest and values based, not anti anything. It was an expression of what we think we should be as a 20 21 country. 22 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said -when it says China's aggression there, what were you 23 What was the platform referencing? 24 referencing? 25 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly, treatment of minorities in China is a very concerning 26 aggression towards religious groups, and we list most of them 27 there, or groups like Hong Kongers, conduct in the South 28

China Sea as well, there's lots of tensions there, the Taiwan Strait. We thought that during the -- particularly during the pandemic when Taiwan was giving us N95 masks and other things, we have to have strong relations with Taiwan, recognizing their democratic rights and have a mature approach to that relationship, which is hard when China was

being quite aggressive with respect to Taiwan.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So given the emphasis that appears to be in the platform and the other issues that you mentioned with respect to the motion for the Uyghur genocide and the foreign registry, what is your response to the suggestion that, you know, perhaps the Conservatives lost in ridings with high concentration of Chinese Canadians not because of foreign interference but because of the platform and how that position with respect to China may have been viewed in those communities?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, many of these positions were positions that we previously had. You know, the support for Hong Kong, you know, Conservative MPs have been meeting and advocating for Falun Gong practitioners and Tibetans going back to my time in the Harper government. So some of these policies were almost a reassertion of our foreign policy from the Harper government era, and we won those impacted seats quite handily, even in 2015 when Alice Wong held onto her seat. So particularly waves of immigration from China that were Hong Kongers, or were folks that were seeking religious freedom and things like this, there was a recognition that it was positive to have concerns

1	with some of the positions of congress China. And that had
2	never been a problem until more recent years, and I think
3	there's been a bit more of an aggressive foreign policy
4	approach taken by China.

It's also why I think this inquiry is so important because it is hard to determine how much foreign interference will impact one person's voter intent. If one person is intimidated in this country with respect to exercising their democratic rights, that is concerning. The results of the election itself and how many seats are in the House of Commons, that's very important as well, but we shouldn't look past ridings that could be impacted. And I think in recent years there's been a ramping up of foreign interference operations. And that's why I think -- I don't think some of those seats were turned because we had a more traditional or a more aggressive foreign policy posture with respect to China. I think a lot of people did not vote because they were intimidated.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So you believe then, just to kind of summarize, that this was not -- that the ridings that were lost in those areas where the modelling was off was not due to some grassroots kind of Chinese community distaste perhaps for the platform. It was instances of foreign interference perpetrated by the PRC?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I think that's a fair summary. And my position prior to being leader was the shadow minister for Foreign Affairs, so I had been talking about many of these policies for many years, several years

1	before I became leader, and there had never been a ground
2	swell of concern. In fact, in many cases, the Chinese
3	Canadian community wanted to make sure that the plight of the
4	Uyghurs, Hong Kong, or other things were highlighted. And
5	Kenny Chiu, a very prominent voice on these issues, was
6	elected for the first time in 2019. So I think that's how I
7	form my opinion on this, that the foreign interference was
8	much more determinative than a policy platform that had 30
9	references to China in it.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you now
11	to some of the documents we now have on the government side
12	on some of these issues. CAN-5824. And so this is dated
13	September 13, 2021, so it would be a week before the
14	election. It's a SITE threat assessment. It's called "SITE
15	Task Force Update on Foreign Interference Threats to Canadian
16	Democratic Institutions 2021". So under the section
17	"Information Environment", it says there,
18	"RRM Canada has observed what may be
19	a Chinese communist party information
20	operation that aims to discourage
21	voters from voting for the
22	Conservative Party of Canada." (As
23	read)
24	And I understand you've now had a chance to
25	see this document. You did not see it at the time
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: is my
28	understanding?

1	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever were
3	you made aware at the time so this is again to situate us
4	in time, a week before the election that RRM Canada, which is
5	a Rapid Response Mechanism Canada had made this observation.
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, this was not raised
7	to our attention. And in fact, we were raising instances of
8	foreign interference that we witnessed, and the SITE
9	Committee tended to downplay them, and we were given the
10	impression that there were no concerns about foreign
11	interference, which is why these documents now are
12	contradict that.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so when you say,
14	"tended to downplay them", what do you mean by that?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, I appointed one of
16	my most trusted and accomplished friends to be my designate,
17	Mr. Soliman, and when he was briefed at the outset, after
18	having gotten security clearance to participate in the task
19	
	force work, he said it started off with a briefing that there
20	force work, he said it started off with a briefing that there were no real problems from 2019, and they didn't expect any
20 21	
	were no real problems from 2019, and they didn't expect any
21	were no real problems from 2019, and they didn't expect any serious problems in '21, was how that task force started.
21 22	were no real problems from 2019, and they didn't expect any serious problems in '21, was how that task force started. It we were kind of lulled into a sense of
212223	were no real problems from 2019, and they didn't expect any serious problems in '21, was how that task force started. It we were kind of lulled into a sense of complacency, that everything should be fine, there was no
21222324	were no real problems from 2019, and they didn't expect any serious problems in '21, was how that task force started. It we were kind of lulled into a sense of complacency, that everything should be fine, there was no there were no problems in 2019. We now know that not to be
2122232425	were no real problems from 2019, and they didn't expect any serious problems in '21, was how that task force started. It we were kind of lulled into a sense of complacency, that everything should be fine, there was no there were no problems in 2019. We now know that not to be the case. We now know from the Globe and Mail reporting, and

1	was not as complete, not as professional, and not as
2	transparent as it should have been when there were reports by
3	this and CSIS-generated intelligence warning of attempts to
4	interfere.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'm going to turn
6	now to one of the RRM Canada daily briefs for the period of
7	time during the campaign.
8	It's at CAN 124. And thank you, that's
9	good.
10	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 124:
11	RRM Canada Daily Brief - September
12	12, 2021
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if you look at
14	where it says, "Scan performed", or sorry, "Scan covers",
15	rather, it looks like it covers the time period between
16	September 10 and September 12, 2021, so about 10 days before
17	the election. And the last bullet point there:
18	"A Global Times WeChat article that
19	suggested [that] CPC 'almost wants to
20	break diplomatic relations with
21	China' is now being actively shared
22	among Canadian WeChat news accounts.
23	The Canadian versions resemble the
24	Global Times article in format and
25	tone, and question whether Canadians
26	of Chinese heritage should vote for
27	the CPC."
28	So again, this is talking about a Global

J
e article
ody bring
ı know,
n someone
gn, but
vernment
vernment
vernment
rernment e ground
e ground
e ground
e ground , we s going
e ground 7, we s going Chat
e ground 7, we s going Chat that we
e ground 7, we s going Chat that we or limit
e ground
e ground
e ground 7, we s going Chat that we or limit about e hated

Now, there are other daily RRMs. And just

1	for the record, I don't need them brought up, just in the
2	interest of time, CAN 126, CAN 130 and CAN 132.
3	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 126:
4	RRM Canada Daily Brief - September
5	13, 2021
6	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 130:
7	RRM Canada Daily Brief - September
8	14, 2021
9	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 132:
10	RRM Canada Daily Brief - September
11	15, 2021
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you have seen
13	these; correct?
14	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: They cover the period
16	from September 13 to September 15, 2021, and they reference
17	different articles from Chinese state media taking an
18	interest in the perceived China policies in the Conservative
19	Party, an embassy spokesperson quoting some CPC related
20	issues, saying that "The political party leaders have made
21	unwarranted attacks on China's human rights", and others.
22	So you've had a chance
23	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Ms. Rodriguez, can we go
24	back to the document, please? I would just to see the origin
25	of the document.
2526	of the document. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Oh, sure. I wasn't

that we looked to ---1 2 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: That we looked at; 124. So the last bullet point there. 3 4 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so the three 5 6 other dailies also talk about misinformation being circulated in Chinese state media, and in Canadian media, Chinese 7 language media. 8 9 And so my question to you is you've had a chance to review those. I've put the doc IDs on the record. 10 Was any of that information conveyed to you by any official 11 within the government? 12 13 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, it was not. 14 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did anybody let you know that RRM Canada was flagging or had flagged this 15 kind of online content? 16 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, we were not informed 17 of that. And around the same time, because these documents 18 19 are dated, our participant, Mr. Soliman, and my chief of staff, Tausha Michaud, were raising reports we were getting 20 21 from the field, so from various ridings in British Columbia 22 and in Ontario. These type of reports we were sharing and getting no communication the other way. Clearly, they knew 23 some of the instances we were reporting were actually 24 occurring, but that was never confirmed to us in the campaign 25 26 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okav. And ---27 28 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: --- or after.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so to be clear,
2	during the campaign some candidates and some ridings and some
3	campaign staff saw disinformation circulated on social media
4	regarding the Conservative Party, is that right, and you
5	specifically as leader as well?
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. Yes.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: And in some cases in
9	person. Social media, and in some cases of in-person
10	advertising and voters' intimidation.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so those
12	concerns were then raised during the campaign period, so
13	during the writ period, to SITE through Mr. Solomon and
14	Ms. Michaud; is that correct?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct, and as I
16	said, we weren't actively preparing a dossier during the
17	campaign, we did when it concluded because we wanted the
18	cases looked into, but we were reporting anecdotal instances
19	throughout the campaign.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand you
21	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that
22	they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us
23	a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and
24	what those concerns were?
25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The one I remember
26	the most is Kenny Chiu. The level and volume and tone of the
27	misinformation towards Mr. Chiu was horrendous, and he was
28	fearful for his own wellbeing and that of his family. And it

-- it was a personal attack, as I said, of a racially motivated nature, suggesting that he was a race traitor and that the simple thing of a foreign registry with some start of a plan to really hinder the ability for Chinese Canadians to visit family, to remain in family, it was.... So we heard, and I heard directly from him during the campaign, a little bit from the campaign of Mr. Bob Saroya in Ontario, and I think that wasn't through Bob but through one of his campaign workers. But we -- some of our organisers were on WeChat and also saw instances. So we knew it was happening, we just weren't

able to get a sense that the SITE and the government knew it was happening. And there was no — there was no desire to put out a public warning about misinformation, and that's what I think needed to happen. Because I was informed in the run up to the campaign that there are 600,000 WeChat account users in British Columbia alone. And these are Canadians that don't want the evening news, and don't read the Globe and Mail or the National Post. So they're getting almost all of their information from channels that cannot be trusted.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So you mentioned right now about wanting to give a warning. Can you expand on that notion?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: We wanted a caution, a public notice to voters to be wary of information that they were obtaining from social media, particularly foreign controlled, foreign language social media. The SITE process has a threshold and, you know, was the threshold met for

1	there to be some notice? We believe there was.
2	At times I thought about making some sort of
3	statement, but I was fearful of that being perceived, or
4	opponents in the campaign using that as some sort of wedge in
5	a narrative that we were being discriminatory.
6	So the in my view, the process failed in
7	this case, because a notice would have been helpful to
8	Canadians that were being targeted, because these sites, with
9	algorithms that are controlled by foreign governments, those
10	are the people that are vulnerable and that are at risk, and
11	that I think were failed by our system.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So to be clear, did
13	you request that a warning be issued or was it your
14	expectation that one would be issued?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It was our expectation
15 16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It was our expectation that one would be issued because it was in the sort of
16	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of
16 17	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself.
16 17 18	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself. It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg
16 17 18 19	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself. It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg Report that I saw a discussion that they did not feel the
16 17 18 19 20	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself. It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg Report that I saw a discussion that they did not feel the threshold was met. But of course, my views on the Rosenberg
16 17 18 19 20 21	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself. It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg Report that I saw a discussion that they did not feel the threshold was met. But of course, my views on the Rosenberg Report was that it was not a proper report. But it was
16 17 18 19 20 21	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself. It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg Report that I saw a discussion that they did not feel the threshold was met. But of course, my views on the Rosenberg Report was that it was not a proper report. But it was available to be used if there was evidence of misinformation.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself. It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg Report that I saw a discussion that they did not feel the threshold was met. But of course, my views on the Rosenberg Report was that it was not a proper report. But it was available to be used if there was evidence of misinformation. We now know there was evidence of misinformation, but there
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself. It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg Report that I saw a discussion that they did not feel the threshold was met. But of course, my views on the Rosenberg Report was that it was not a proper report. But it was available to be used if there was evidence of misinformation. We now know there was evidence of misinformation, but there was no caution or no notice, no warning given.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of originating documents for the taskforce itself. It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg Report that I saw a discussion that they did not feel the threshold was met. But of course, my views on the Rosenberg Report was that it was not a proper report. But it was available to be used if there was evidence of misinformation. We now know there was evidence of misinformation, but there was no caution or no notice, no warning given. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And you

about it, because I was hearing from some of our organizers saying, "We've got to do something about this. This is out of control. I heard from Kenny and his team just how targeted and how everyone was tense." Like, people were fearful with the amount of misinformation in the Richmond seats.

But our -- we were doing well at that point in the campaign, and so, you know, you're consciously -- the

But our -- we were doing well at that point in the campaign, and so, you know, you're consciously -- they say in politics, don't get off message. So I would have thought that that might have seemed a little bit off message. And it might have contributed, if I started talking about foreign interference from China, portrayals of me being obsessed with China and mentioning 31 times in the platform, these sort of narratives, I might have been accidently reinforcing that, which is why I think some of these safeguards for our system need to be out of the hands of politicians who are in the midst of a campaign. We need structural, and professional, and independent impartial organizations to determine safeguards to protect people's franchise.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you to a document, CAN3781. So this one's -- this is a Security and Intelligence Threats to Election Taskforce summary. It's dated September -- December 17, 2021. And so this is now some months after the election. And if we go to the "Summary of Key Observations" at the bottom there, the first bullet -- sorry, just up a bit more. Thank you. It says:

"The People's Republic of China [...]

1	sought to clandestinely and
2	deceptively influence Canada's 2021
3	federal election."
4	So just to stop you there, was this something
5	that after the election was conveyed to you?
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And the next bullet
8	point says:
9	"SITE [Taskforce] also observed
10	online/media activities aimed at
11	discouraging Canadians, particularly
12	of Chinese heritage, from supporting
13	the Conservative Party of Canada [],
L4	party leader Erin O'Toole, and
15	particularly former Stevenston-
16	Richmond East candidate Kenny Chiu
17	[in] GE44."
18	And then it goes on to say that they have:
19	"observed indicators of potential
20	coordination between various Canada-
21	based Chinese language news outlets
22	as well as PRC and CCP news outlets."
23	So again, was this ever confirmed to you,
24	relayed to you, at any time during your time as leader, which
25	was until February of 2022?
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No. And in fact, as I
27	mentioned earlier, we were always these issues were always
28	downplayed, essentially, when we raised them with the SITE

Taskforce. And you know, these conclusions so quickly after 1 the election show that some people within intelligence and 2 within government knew what we were raising were valid and 3 real issues and shows why we're here today. 4 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And so if I 5 6 understand your evidence earlier, you believe, or it's your understanding, that the party lost some seats in 2021 due to 7 8 foreign interference? Is that right? 9 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It's my view ---MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: 10 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: --- that a certain number 11 of seats were lost. 12 Yes. 13 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: But it is not your 14 view that the election was lost by the Conservative Party due to foreign interference? Is that fair? 15 That is fair. And I've HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: 16 17 always stated that as well. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so what 18 19 impact, if any, do you consider that foreign interference had with respect to your ouster as leader of the Conservative 20 21 Party? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well the small number of 22 seats would not have impacted the minority government that 23 Canada has right now. But the difference of two, three, five 24 seats, may have allowed me more of a moral justification to 25 remain as leader. There were other forces at play that may 26 not have done that. But when I fell two seats below the 27 28 threshold met in 2019, that became a very compelling

1	narrative. And in fact, a petition was started within a day
2	and a half of the election results, and the lower result than
3	2019 was raised, as was the turning away of Chinese Canadian
4	voters from me were raised as reasons for launching the
5	petition to force my removal as leader.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Is there anything you would have done differently in 2021, either as leader or as a candidate, if you knew then what you know now?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I wish I could have a do over. What I certainly would have done is made sure that we had a much more sophisticated approach to WeChat in particular, but Mandarin and Cantonese advertising publications and campaign workers to counter what was a deluge of misinformation against us. We were just not prepared on that platform period. You know, our social media strategies and our policy development was all still primarily focused at traditional media and the what you might call Silicone Valley platforms, like Facebook, and Twitter, and things like this. We did not have the capacity to really even understand what was going on on WeChat and some of the other, and I would have prepared in a very significant way had we known.

The other thing, I probably would have demanded a public warning of some sort. Now that I've seen both the reporting that, in many ways, led to this inquiry, but even some of the limited disclosures here, folks within the government knew that there was a level of foreign interference occurring. And I think we owe it to the tens of

thousands, hundreds of thousands of Canadians that it was targeted at, we owed it to them to warn them and to perhaps give them alternative sources for unbiased news or the ability to report instances of intimidation.

This is -- you know, one vote matters in our democracy, and I think we have to do a little bit more, particularly for the Chinese/Canadian population, but also some other diaspora organizations to make sure that they're not being intimidated to exercise their full rights here.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, you have said, and you've expressed the view that there was a failure of SITE to meaningfully consider the evidence of foreign interference that your party brought forward during the election and after the election. Can you expand on that?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. You know, the reporting, particularly from the Global Mail that revealed the financial and the problems in the 2019 election, in my view, that should have been the starting point for the 2021 SITE Task Force and our participation in it, to say there were some problems of foreign interference in the last election; therefore, we have to do even more to safeguard our parliamentary democracy this time. Actually, the opposite happened. Our SITE Task Force, and my participants in it, were given a sense that everything was fine, that there were no significant problems from 2019, and that the -- we were very confident in the fact that there would be minimal to no foreign interference in the 2021 election. And as I said, as we were raising issues, driving towards the need for public

1	notice and a caution of some, our concerns were always
2	downplayed by the task force. And, you know, Mr. Soliman has
3	been on the record with having that concern. I have that
4	concern. Even in the days after the election, we continued
5	to work with the SITE Task Force and with the Public Safety
6	and Intelligence officials on it, and we kept doing that
7	right up until Mr. Trudeau formed his cabinet and the
8	caretaker period ended, and then we were just cut off.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you to
10	CAN 6739.
11	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 6739:
12	Email September 30, 2021 - Fwd:
13	Follow up
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And just to pick up
15	on that notion that it was not just during the election but
16	afterwards. If we go to the bottom of the page, so it looks
17	like it's an email from Tausha Michaud and it's dated
18	September $30^{\rm th}$, 2021, so this would be about a week after the
19	election, or 10 days rather.
20	"As promised, I've attached a
21	document that outlines some key
22	concerns and includes a dropbox link
23	to screenshots from WeChat."
24	And it looks like she sends it there to
25	somebody with a PCO email address. And if we go up, so we
26	have some individuals there, I believe, members of SITE.
27	"Howdy SITE friends,
28	Just received the attached from CPC

1	claiming it shows FI [foreign
2	interference] by PRC in 9 ridings
3	during the election.
4	As you know, we have been engaged by
5	CPC in an ongoing dialogue around
6	these issues. If you could take a
7	look, and let [blank] and [me] know
8	if there is anything in here it would
9	be appreciated."
10	And so this is what you were referring to,
11	that after the election, more information was provided to
12	SITE. Did you receive a formal response to this submission
13	that was done by Ms. Michaud on September $30^{\rm th}$? Any a
14	letter, some sort of concluding report or anything of that
15	nature?
16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, we did not. It was
17	generally a one-way communication. And as I said, we
18	prepared this impromptu dossier the last few weeks of the
19	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process,
20	even after we lost, to make sure that we could look into
21	these things to safeguard our system.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I will conclude
23	with an opportunity to see if there's anything else that we
24	have not covered today that you would like to tell us about
25	the issues that we've been discussing, foreign interference
26	in the 2019 or 2021 elections.
27	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I think my witness
28	statement goes into a few of the other experiences and

1	perspectives I have from my time in public life. The one
2	thing I would just add at the end is we did not raise any of
3	this on election night or in the weeks after because our
4	democratic result was clear, and it was more important to me
5	to safeguard our institutions and our democracy rather than
6	to express disappointment in the loss of a few seats. But as
7	I said, I've seen enough to know that the process last time
8	failed and failed a lot of Canadians, and so I really hope
9	that we look to safeguard these institutions in a non-
10	partisan way, and I really hope this inquiry can do that
11	because I think this problem will only get worse, and so we
12	have to create the tools to really have a robust system that
13	values every single voter.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr.
15	O'Toole. Those are my questions for you.

Thank you, Commissioner. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I have one question for you Mr. O'Toole. Am I right in understanding that actually all the discussion that took place between any of your team members about foreign interference were with SITE and no direct -- no discussion took place with CSIS, or with the Panel of Five, or with any other agencies, or it was always with SITE and only with SITE?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I believe so, yes. My challenge here is I had designates, I had two designates for the SITE process, Mr. Soliman and Ms. Michaud, who would then report to me and I would share things I was hearing. I believe the SITE panel and task force did have a designate

T	from CSIS involved, and I do believe from time to time some
2	of the Group of Five may have been involved, but I don't know
3	how frequently. And I know that most of the calls and the
4	meetings were done with a group, and so you'd have to ask.
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. But it would have
6	been through SITE?
7	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Through SITE, yes.
8	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. And you were not
9	provided with any names, or phone numbers, or agency that you
10	may call upon if you were encountering any foreign
11	interference problems or nothing of that sort?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
14	So we'll start the cross-examinations. The
15	first one on the list today, let me see, is I think it's
16	counsel for Michael Chong. Am I right, yes?
17	MR. GIB VAN ERT: Thank you, Commissioner.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
19	MR. GIB VAN ERT:
20	MR. GIB VAN ERT: Good morning, Mr. O'Toole.
21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Morning.
22	MR. GIB VAN ERT: You expressed the view in
23	your testimony this morning that you fear a lot of people
24	didn't vote in the 2021 election because they felt
25	intimidated. In evidence yesterday, the National Director of
26	the Liberal Party, Mr. Ishmael, addressed that point in
27	response to a question from counsel for the Human Rights
28	Coalition, one of the parties to these proceedings. And her

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

question was specifically around coercion of international students. And Mr. Ishmael expressed the view, I think I'm being fair to him, that that was not an urgent concern because of the secrecy of the ballot. He emphasized ballot secrecy. And I take it from your evidence that you don't share Mr. Ishmael's confidence that ballot secrecy is enough to encourage people to go to the polls without fear of foreign coercion. Would you just tell the Commissioner what your thoughts are about it? To what extent does the secrecy of the ballot in this country give us some reason to feel confident that foreign coercion of diaspora communities isn't going to be a problem here?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It's a very good question and something that I think needs to be well understood by the inquiry. How someone votes is completely secret, and thank That's an essential element of our goodness for that. democratic right to vote. But whether you did vote in an election is publicly available, and so the political parties know whether a household has voted in all of the last four general elections federally or in none of them. So sometimes extra door knocking, extra literature can be directed towards people that they know vote. And so if you don't know if they're leaning your way or leaning to the other team's way, you may not spend the extra time to try and communicate with that voter. But if you know that they're a constant always voting, parties spend time on them, that fact that whether you've voted or not, I believe, is something that has caused some concerns within diaspora communities, particularly the

Chinese Canadian community because I heard anecdotal reports from some of our MPs that lost that voters said, "I didn't think you needed my vote. I thought you were fine. I'm sorry I didn't get out to vote." And in some cases, they were told that people didn't want to be seen on the voters'

list if that riding stayed Conservative.

- economic interests that depended on favourable treatment.

 You know, I'm not sure that factored into how many people's decision, but some voters, from what I've been told, thought it was best to just not vote. That way if the vote -- if the riding stayed conservative no one could suggest that they were a part of voting for the Conservative.
 - So there is -- this fact that it is well known if people vote or not, I don't think is well understood because the secrecy is what everyone knows about voting. The secrecy is just who you mark the X by, it's not whether you showed up or not.
 - MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you. Also, in your statement, and I think you repeated it in your oral evidence this morning, you expressed concerns that the SITE but also the Critical Election Interference Public Protocol Panel, sometimes known as the Panel of Five, failed in their duty to inform and warn Canadians.
 - I anticipate that later in these proceedings, the Commission will hear evidence of a meeting of the panel on the 9th of September 2019, where the panel decided that in its future deliberations it would assess potential

1	interference activities for their impact on a single national
2	election as opposed to potential impacts on 338 individual
3	elections across the county. There will be evidence before
4	this Commission that the panel decided to approach foreign
5	interference as a national matter, rather than as a riding by

riding basis.

Would you tell the Commissioner what you think of that approach to assessing whether there has been foreign interference in elections?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yeah. I think that was an improper decision to make because it doesn't recognise our first past the post system where a general election is 338 individual elections, and our system is structured to support that, and that the composition of the House of Commons is separate from the election itself.

And what I think their decision did was discounted some ridings in the Lower Mainland and in the GTA as rounding error ridings, and I think that's unfair to those voters. And to suggest that an election is free and fair from foreign interference is not accurate if some people are impacted. Whether or not it flipped the result in a riding or in a few ridings, each vote matters.

So I do think that was a decision that was incorrect to make. I also think it doesn't reflect our history as a parliamentary democracy first past the post system with its pros and cons. They should have taken the approach that if they knew several ridings were being heavily targeted, that that was sufficient under the protocol to have

a public notice and warning. You could have constrained to 1 media and the Lower Mainland of British Columbia or GTA 2 3 or... You know, there was a lot of ways to actually 4 address this in a way that wasn't making it seem like the 5 6 entire election in all ridings were at risk, but I think targeted warnings and messaging, particularly in Mandarin and 7 Cantonese, and in the case of 2021, was warranted, and it was 8 a mistake to not raise the alarm. 9 MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you, Mr. O'Toole. 10 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: 11 Thank you. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: 12 Thank you. 13 Next one is counsel for the Sikh Coalition. 14 Mr. Singh. 15 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Thank you, Commissioner. Again, for the record, it's Prabjot Singh as counsel for the 16 Sikh Coalition. 17 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 18 19 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Thank you, Mr. O'Toole. 20 21 I just have a few questions for you. 22 Based on the testimony you've given so far, 23 it sounds like your experience in terms of understanding foreign interference was mostly after the fact. After the 24 election is when the briefings happened and you were informed 25 about potential targeting of yourself and your party. 26 that correct? 27 28 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: About how the targeting

- was done? Yes. The fact that, you know, there was the risk 1 and potential of it, I was aware of for several years before 2 3 becoming leader. MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Okay. And it's your 4 understanding that you have been targeted specifically 5 6 because of the Conservative Party platform and positions taken around China and some of the messaging around that 7 position; correct? 8 9 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Correct. MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Do you recall the first 10 time that you first heard about the threat of Indian foreign 11 interference in Canadian elections? 12 13 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It has been a live issue. I'm trying to think of.... The first time was probably in 14 relation to the Prime Minister's state visit to India. 15 the Foreign Affairs shadow minister for the Conservatives at 16 the time. There was a lot of discussion on how we needed to 17 prosecute his trip in the House of Commons, and there was --18 19 there was debate on the issues where foreign interference and electoral, and even in the case of Mr. Atwal, who was on the 20 21 trip, and had been convicted in a -- in an attack on an 22 Indian politician in Canada. That was the first time I really heard of the subject in the case of the Indian 23
- MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And in that case, was it
 your understanding that members of the intelligence community
 believed that state or non state actors from India engaged in
 a disinformation campaign against the Prime Minister at the

diaspora.

1 time?

was the issue that I wanted to raise following the Prime
Minister's trip. The National Security Advisor, Daniel Jean,
did side briefings with the media with respect to the Prime
Minister's trip. And his suggestion to some of the members
of the media that the reason the trip had such terrible media
coverage was a result of interference by the Indian
Government.

And I took very serious issue with the fact that that sounded more like the job of a director of communications and not the job the national safety -- public safety and security advisor of the Prime Minister. I don't feel they should be spinning the media, and you know, I said that publicly with respect to Mr. Jean.

Mr. Scheer at the time, you know, took -wanted to bring another vote in the House of Commons on the
United India, and we didn't raise the Daniel Jean issue, and
I think that was a bit of a mistake because it upset many
diaspora groups in Canada, that vote. It was -- as Foreign
Affairs shadow minister, it was on my motion that was tabled.

Ultimately, the Daniel Jean issue came out and was prosecuted at the committee, but that whole issue I think was a bit misplayed and caused more tensions within diaspora communities rather than looking at the role of the national security advisor and how the Prime Minister approached that state visit from invitation to prominent Canadians to attend these sorts of things.

So you know, the intersection of potential foreign interference and diaspora politics in Canada is something that I think this Inquiry really needs to dive into to make sure that regardless of how you came to Canada and where you worship, where you work, you should be able to exercise your franchise free from interference from other states or from coercion in Canada by a group.

MR. PRABJOT SINGH: I don't want to dwell on this for too long. You earlier gave testimony that your suggestion that non partisan bodies should advise about potential foreign interference activity potentially while it's happening in order to actually warn communities, but it sounds like you take issue with a public servant at a senior level within the intelligence community providing that kind of live intervention at that time.

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, his -- Mr. Jean's intervention was based on stopping the bleeding from media stories that were very negative on the Prime Minister.

That's not the role of a National Security Advisor. That's the role of a Director of Communications.

I do think that the parties both in the House of Commons and their electoral parties that run nominations and that run general elections do need linkages directly with our security agencies so that issues can be flagged like the financing issue from 2019 and so that parties can be aware if there's a security concern of any type before a nomination is completed, before someone gets elected to Parliament, before — before that potential interference goes right into our

And so I think how these agencies have the

ability to properly, professionally and discretely brief the

House of Commons and the political parties is something I

hope the Inquiry provides some recommendations on.

MR. PRABJOT SINGH: So you provided some

evidence about how you believe that China or the PRC targetee

evidence about how you believe that China or the PRC targeted yourself because of policy positions your Party took and you didn't learn about these until after the fact.

Is it possible that yourself or your caucus may have been targeted for Indian foreign interference because of policy positions that may have aligned with Indian interests without your knowledge, of course? Is that something that's possible that could have happened?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It is possible. I will say that when we did table the motion to vote on a united India and this was -- as I said, I wanted to pursue the Daniel Jean aspect of the Prime Minister's trip. That motion and the mere tabling of it upset the Sikh community a great deal. Several hundred of them called my offices in Ottawa and Bowmanville.

And so I do know that these issues related to the potential for foreign interference really strike diaspora communities on an emotional level and so that the parties have to be professional and mature about how they address them because they can really make a community feel marginalized or unheard. They can be seen to be ignoring obvious attempts to interfere. So this is why I'm saying I

1	think the professionalization both for the House of Commons
2	and our Parliament is separate from the electoral machines of
3	the Party.
4	And I think there needs to be a responsible
5	way for both the electoral machines of the parties and the
6	House of Commons to get timely, discrete briefings on
7	intelligence issues in the hope that all parties will handle
8	it responsibly.
9	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: In the leadup to the 2021
10	election, were you ever made aware of a potential
11	disinformation campaign that targeted your Liberal and NDP
12	counterparts that was mutually amplified by Indian
13	disinformation networks as well as far right Canadian
14	platforms?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I don't know of any
15 16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I don't know of any specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that
16	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that
16 17	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in
16 17 18	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in
16 17 18 19	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in Canada. And I tried to, you know, raise food security and
16 17 18 19 20	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in Canada. And I tried to, you know, raise food security and the issues of farming families in a way that was responsible
16 17 18 19 20 21	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in Canada. And I tried to, you know, raise food security and the issues of farming families in a way that was responsible and wasn't trying to exacerbate tensions.
16 17 18 19 20 21	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in Canada. And I tried to, you know, raise food security and the issues of farming families in a way that was responsible and wasn't trying to exacerbate tensions. Each of the parties, I think, tried to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in Canada. And I tried to, you know, raise food security and the issues of farming families in a way that was responsible and wasn't trying to exacerbate tensions. Each of the parties, I think, tried to approach that issue responsibly, but obviously that was
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in Canada. And I tried to, you know, raise food security and the issues of farming families in a way that was responsible and wasn't trying to exacerbate tensions. Each of the parties, I think, tried to approach that issue responsibly, but obviously that was because of the farmers' protests were largely in Punjab, it
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in Canada. And I tried to, you know, raise food security and the issues of farming families in a way that was responsible and wasn't trying to exacerbate tensions. Each of the parties, I think, tried to approach that issue responsibly, but obviously that was because of the farmers' protests were largely in Punjab, it was a natural issue that brought tensions between Sikh and

1	tried to do that.
2	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And would it surprise you
3	if the some of these documents that you've mentioned from
4	the SITE Task Force that you weren't privy to prior, would it
5	surprise you if there was information that there was
6	coordinated disinformation emanating from India trying to
7	exacerbate potential tensions between communities in Canada?
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I don't want to speculate
9	on what may or may not be there, but when I was living at
10	Stornoway in the lockdown of COVID, we couldn't really go out
11	much, I did notice at one point in the farmers' protest
12	period of time the RCMP put close protection on the Higher
13	Commissioner from India, who was my next-door neighbour. And
14	I know that we only do that with diplomats if there's a
15	perceived threat and Canada has an obligation to safeguard
16	against threats. But I only saw that tensions were rising.
17	I don't have any knowledge of any information or and I
18	don't want to speculate.
19	But I am trying to share what I did see at
20	the time.
21	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And during the relevant
22	electoral periods, were you ever briefed by security and
23	intelligence on the potential Indian threat to foreign
24	interference?
25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
26	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Had you been adequately
27	briefed by security and intelligence, would that have
28	increased your situational awareness and your ability to more

carefully take precautions for yourself and understand the 1 possibilities of disinformation and the impacts on 2 3 communities? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I do think these 4 briefings should take place and I've said -- and I said this 5 6 to CSIS when I received my briefing. Particularly now that Parliament has a permanent hybrid quality to it, there -- I 7 think each MP should have more secure means of having virtual 8 9 offices, whether it's VPNs or -- I think we need a far more sophisticated approach to signals and communications 10 intelligence for Parliamentarians and more knowledge. 11 Electors trust them to go to Parliament to 12 13 represent their issues. We need to trust them to be able to 14 weigh information knowing that intelligence is not evidence, 15 but it's an indication that should help form smart decision-16 making. I think we need to trust our Parliamentarians 17 That's why structures to allow for more of an 18 19 interface with intelligence services where needed is, I think, prudent and important. 20 21 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And so ---COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Mr. Singh, you already 22 exhausted your time, so I will ask you to conclude. You may 23 ask the last question. 24 25 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: This is my final 26 question. 27 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.

MR. PRABJOT SINGH: So in terms of your

suggestion or your observation and based on your experience, 1 2 what you would like to see is more timely non-partisan 3 briefings and information from the security and intelligence community to be able to inform the decisions of Members of 4 Parliament. Is that fair? 5 6 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. 7 MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Thank you. Those are all 8 my questions. 9 Thank you, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: 10 Thank you. Mr. Sirois, counsel for the RCDA. 11 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 12 13 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: 14 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Good morning, Mr. O'Toole, madame la Commissaire. 15 16 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: I'm Guillaume Sirois, 17 counsel for the Russian Canadian Democratic Alliance. I'm 18 19 going to ask you some questions regarding Russian interference specifically. 20 21 In your witness summary, you say that in the 22 final days leading up to the 2021 election, the CPC saw its projected seat count drop and you attribute much of this drop 23 to the COVID-19 issue but also to the gains by the People's 24 25 Party of Canada. Is that right? 26 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And why would gains by 27

the PPC cause a drop in projected seats for CPC?

1	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Our experience in the
2	campaign and in our assessments afterwards was the seat
3	makeup of the House so we maintained a slight win in the
4	popular vote, but the seat makeup changed dramatically due
5	largely to the vaccine mandate issue. That issue caused
6	several repercussions for us.
7	In the final 10 days of the campaign, the
8	vaccine mandates provincially came into force in Ontario.
9	Premier Kenny in Alberta changed his view on vaccine mandates
10	when hospital ICUs were filling up. And what that did was
11	two things.
12	My position was I was very pro vaccine. I
13	was not in favour of a mandate that would lead to people
14	losing their jobs or their ability to travel. The splits
15	that emerged were the PPC vote went up for people that were I
16	might describe as vaccine hesitant or anti-vax. But the soft
17	suburban vote in Ontario, some of the vote that was going to
18	vote NDP went to the Government and to the Liberals in the
19	final days. So we lost in part because some of our vote bled
20	off to the right, and some of the centrist vote that we were
21	getting midway through the campaign was lost on the vaccine
22	issue in the final week.
23	It was a range of factors, but that's largely
24	why the dispersion of our votes was not sufficient to win the
25	seat count.
26	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. So you
27	attribute most of this loss of seat to the PPC due to the

covid -- your Covid-19 stance during the election. But in

- your summary also I saw that one in two or one in three 1 voters for the PPC would have voted for the CPC. Is it 2 something that you agree? Is there, like ---3 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The PPC vote was a 4 live issue. I never mentioned Mr. Bernier at all in the 5 6 campaign. You know, I am very disappointed in his conduct since leaving our party. 7 But in the final week, I did make an appeal, 8 9 in the last few days, to PPC voters that if they wanted to see the Liberal Government replaced, we were the vehicle, and 10 that a vote for the PPC was actually indirectly helping Mr. 11 Trudeau. That was as far as I would go to appeal to ardent 12 PPC supporters. 13 14 But why I say it was a real issue is most of the provinces, as I said, were the ones imposing 15 restrictions. And the restrictions and vaccine mandates 16 really caused that vote to go from two percent to six/seven 17 percent. In some parts of southwestern Ontario, the vote 18 19 went into double digits. In some cases, we still held on to those 20 21 seats in Leamington and places like that. But in a lot of 22 more suburban/ex-urban centers, that split was enough for us to lose. 23 24 So our modelling showed on Friday I was winning the seat count, and on Monday I lost the seat count. 25 26 It was a tough weekend.
- 27 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Understood. Thank 28 you. I'm going to -- I will now show you three reports from

1	the Rapid Response Mechanism of Canada, also known as the RRM
2	Canada. The first of which will be CAN000125.
3	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 125:
4	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis -
5	Report #3 Week of 2-8 September
6	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And for the benefit of
7	the public, while the document is being pulled, RRM Canada
8	was responsible for monitoring the digital information
9	environment for foreign state sponsored disinformation during
10	the 2021 election.
11	So this document is report number three, so
12	the RRM Canada weekly trend analysis for the week of
13	September 2 to 8.
14	I would like to go to the second page,
15	please. The first full paragraph there under the two bullet
16	points. I will read some excerpts from that paragraph.
17	"Yonder monitoring identified
18	accounts that may be associated with
19	Russian state-sponsored actors who on
20	a very small scale have amplified
21	content supportive of accounts of two
22	candidates of the Peoples' Party of
23	Canada. [] RRM notes that both PPC
24	posts involved anti-vaccine and anti-
25	lockdown content."
26	Is this the first time you are hearing about
27	this?
28	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.

1	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: More generally, have
2	you witnessed amplification of PPC related content by a
3	foreign state during the 2021 election before today?
4	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. There was always a
5	fear that some of the troll farms and some of the Russian
6	influence was boosting some of the messages from the PPC,
7	both on anti-LGBTQ type messaging, for example. But
8	certainly when covid happened, the restriction/vaccine issue
9	I believe was amplified by those channels. But I wasn't
10	aware of any of the tracking of it.
11	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. And so I
12	understand correctly your statement that it was not only just
13	PPC related content, but also anti-vaccine and anti-lockdown
14	that you heard before today that was being amplified by
15	Russia?
16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
17	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. I will go now
18	to CAN000134
19	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 134:
20	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis -
21	Report #4 Week of 9-15 September
22	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Which is the
23	subsequent weekly report from RRM Canada for the September 9
24	to 15 period. As you can see from the first page, this is
25	the report for the week of September 9 to 15. I would like
26	to go to the second page again, please.
27	It's the same kind of paragraph. Similar
28	information. I will read again some excerpts from that

1	paragraph. The firm, being the Yonder firm that we
2	discussed, which I assume is a firm that the Government
3	contracted to conduct those analyses:
4	"The firm has seen less engagement
5	from accounts that generally amplify
6	Chinese state sources, but more
7	engagement from accounts that
8	generally amplify Russian state
9	sources. [] In general, Yonder
10	reports that Chinese and China state-
11	aligned accounts amplify messages by,
12	or are supportive of, the LPC while
13	Russia-friendly accounts amplify
14	Peoples Part[y] of Canada-related
15	content."
16	So according to this report, it's a bit like
17	you said at the beginning of your testimony with me today.
18	you have votes are being dragged on both sides. So the
19	China is supporting the Liberals, and Russia is supporting
20	the PPC. None of this helps the Conservatives; right?
21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well from and this is
22	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our
23	impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine
24	issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you
25	know, I do think the Chinese FI has been more localized and
26	targeted, but it did affect some seats as well. This is
27	talking about a broader support of the Liberal Party.
28	The gain the party, the Liberal Party, had in

the final week, our tracking showed came a little bit at the
expense of the NDP and less us, but a little bit to us.

At the end of the day, it was close until the end, and these splits, you know, are why I'm in the private sector, largely now, and not in Parliament, but it was a tough campaign. That's why I still believe we shouldn't have had one in the confines of a vaccine over health-related and restrictions of things that were necessary, but were going to be challenging in a civil society.

MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And the report says that the amplification from Russia increased during the period of September 9th to September 15th. Could you clarify if this timeframe aligns with the period during which the Conservative Party experienced a decline in projected seats at the final stages of the election?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I can't say on a day-to-day basis where we were. We had a surge early in the campaign. Early in the campaign, covid was less of a concern. There was slight opening up. You know, there was the ability for the first time to publicly gather on limited bases. So people weren't worried as much about the measures and restrictions.

Later on in the campaign, it coincided with the early part of what was the Delta wave. There were pressures on the Alberta hospital system, a few provincial vaccine mandates came into force, like in Ontario and New Brunswick. So the second part of the campaign was much more covid-centric, is when we lost our momentum. I can't track

1	it on a daily basis, but that's generally how we saw it
2	happening.
3	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: I understand, but does
4	that generally align, September 9 to September 15, where
5	September 15 is one approximately one week before the
6	election?
7	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's when our momentum
8	stopped and we then well there were a range of other
9	issues that we had, the gun issue and some other issues that
10	were issues in the media, issues with some of our opponents.
11	But generally, it's the same timeframe.
12	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And so you said there
13	was a bunch of issues during that time period. But just I
14	want to make sure I understand properly. One of the
15	important issues was the anti-vaccine and anti-lockdown
16	content?
17	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That was the most
18	important issue.
19	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. Thank you. And
20	I want to, just for the record, pull the last report from the
21	RRM on that point. It's CAN000141.
22	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 141:
23	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis -
24	Report #5 Week of 16-23 September
25	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: This one was issued
26	after the election. It's for the period of September 16 to
27	23. Again, I want to go to the second page, the same
28	paragraph. That starts with Yonder again.

1	So and this paragraph reads in part:
2	"In general, Yonder reports that
3	Chinese and China state-aligned
4	accounts continued to amplify
5	messages by or supportive of the LPC,
6	while Russia-friendly accounts
7	continue to amplify Peoples Party of
8	Canadarelated content."
9	So I believe that means that since at least
10	September about September 2 to 8 period, until after the
11	election, this firm, Yonder, reported that there was a
12	foreign state, or at least Russia friendly accounts,
13	amplifying content related to the Peoples Party of Canada.
14	Is it information that would have been of interest to you had
4.5	
15	it been communicated?
16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the
16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the
16 17	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on
16 17 18	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the
16 17 18 19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the SITE Committee Task Force, but it was not.
16 17 18 19 20	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the SITE Committee Task Force, but it was not. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I want to go
16 17 18 19 20 21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the SITE Committee Task Force, but it was not. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I want to go briefly on your so the Peoples Party of Canada did not win
16 17 18 19 20 21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the SITE Committee Task Force, but it was not. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I want to go briefly on your so the Peoples Party of Canada did not win a seat but as you explained earlier, they did perform quite
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the SITE Committee Task Force, but it was not. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I want to go briefly on your so the Peoples Party of Canada did not win a seat but as you explained earlier, they did perform quite well during the election. They're they tripled their vote
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the SITE Committee Task Force, but it was not. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I want to go briefly on your so the Peoples Party of Canada did not win a seat but as you explained earlier, they did perform quite well during the election. They're they tripled their vote share from 1.6 percent to 4.9 percent. And I want to know
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the type of information that I thought was going to be shared on a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the SITE Committee Task Force, but it was not. MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I want to go briefly on your so the Peoples Party of Canada did not win a seat but as you explained earlier, they did perform quite well during the election. They're they tripled their vote share from 1.6 percent to 4.9 percent. And I want to know what, if you remember, what was the Conservative's position

know, I'm wearing my Ukraine pin today. You know, we were very, very strongly opposed to the Putin regime going back to Mr. Harper, who probably his most famous comment as prime minister was confronting Vladimir Putin, asking him to get out of Ukraine during the illegal occupation of Crimea. So we were very, very strongly opposed to the Putin regime, as was the Trudeau Government, I might add, despite early on there was a -- Minister Dion wanted to warm relations with Russia. Ms. Freeland and other members of that caucus pushed to adopt a very strong position countering Putin's aggression.

So this was one area where I think the Liberals and Conservatives were generally on the same page, but we were probably known as the slightly stronger tone against -- in Operation Unifier and some of the missions in Latvia and Crimea and Ukraine were all initiatives of the Conservative Government, so we opposed the Putin regime and Russia under his control.

MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I know -- do you remember the PPC's position regarding Russia or foreign policy generally?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the United States, particularly with respect to funding the war in Ukraine, being very influenced by Russian propaganda and social media manipulation. I can't speak to, you know, the degree of it during the 2021 campaign, but it's certainly an issue that I've read about.

I've watched it unfold in the United States, 1 where the Republican Party has virtually changed their 2 3 original position, in my view, because of some of this influence. I've seen it in Canada as well, and I've spoken 4 out against it. I do think Mr. Bernier tries to harness some 5 6 of these forces. Whether accidentally or whether it's coordinated I can't speak to. 7 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: M. Sirois, your time is 8 9 exhausted. So if you have a last question, I'm going to allow the last question, but after that, we'll take the 10 break. 11 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Maybe just one last 12 13 question. Thank you, Madam Commissioner. In your witness summary, you say that this 14 15 SITE Task Force and the CIEPP failed. After hearing this evidence today, which I understand is the first time you are 16 hearing about that, do you still hold that same belief? 17 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, absolutely. 18 19 Clearly, my focus during the campaign in 2021, and post, when we continued to work with the SITE Task Force, my concern 20 21 primarily focussed on foreign interference coming from 22 Beijing. I never really gave as much thought to the potential for Russian interference or other forms of 23 interference, but that's what I think is a good thing about 24 the mandate of this Inquiry is it can look broader than just 25 the issue of China. Some of what I'm seeing now in the RRM 26 reporting is equally concerning about Russia. 27 28 MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS:

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 1 So we'll take 20 minutes break. So we'll 2 3 come back at 11:35. THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre, 4 s'il vous plaît. The hearing is in recess until 11:45. La 5 6 séance est en pause jusqu'à 11h45. --- Upon recessing at 11:13 a.m./ 7 --- La séance est suspendue à 11h13 8 --- Upon resuming at 11:43 a.m. 9 --- L'audience est reprise à 11 h 43 10 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, 11 s'il vous plaît. 12 13 This sitting of the Foreign Interference 14 Commission is back in session. Cette séance du la Commission 15 sur l'ingérence étrangère est maintenant en cours. --- MR. ERIN O'TOOLE, Resumed 16 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So next one is counsel 17 for the Human Rights Coalition. 18 19 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: 20 21 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good morning, Mr. 22 O'Toole. 23 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning. MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: You mentioned this 24 morning that you had a sense that the Conservative Party's 25 26 stronger positions on China were probably being tracked closely by the Chinese government prior to the 2021 election. 27 You would agree that it's likely these stronger positions as 28

1	well as the Conservative Party's support of targeted diaspora
2	communities such as the Falun Gong, Uyghur and Hong Kong
3	communities in its 2021 platform, support of the Uyghur
4	genocide motion and advocacy for the Foreign Influence
5	Registry that likely made it a that likely made the Party
6	a target of the Chinese government.
7	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, that's correct.
8	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: From your perspective,
9	has your treatment by the Chinese government in the 2021
10	election as well as the experiences of other politicians and
11	leaders who've supported targeted communities created an
12	environment in which other politicians, leaders and parties
13	may feel they cannot take a stance against China without
14	facing consequences?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: There is a risk of that
16	chill, people not championing minority rights or human rights
17	because of fear of interference or, you know, electoral
18	challenge as a result. So I have more respect for people
19	that do despite some of those risks.
20	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: You mentioned this
21	FIG. IMMENT INTOX. TOU MENT TO THE STATE OF
	morning that the CSIS briefing you received in 2023 was
22	
22 23	morning that the CSIS briefing you received in 2023 was
	morning that the CSIS briefing you received in 2023 was helpful for understanding what was happening regarding
23	morning that the CSIS briefing you received in 2023 was helpful for understanding what was happening regarding foreign interference issues but that you would have
23 24	morning that the CSIS briefing you received in 2023 was helpful for understanding what was happening regarding foreign interference issues but that you would have appreciated getting the briefing a few years earlier.
232425	morning that the CSIS briefing you received in 2023 was helpful for understanding what was happening regarding foreign interference issues but that you would have appreciated getting the briefing a few years earlier. Based on your interview summary, it's my

1	the Party or candidate even if the threat posed by foreign
2	interference is minimal. Is that correct?
3	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. If they have some
4	sort of a risk towards them or their family as a result of
5	their public service and positions they're taking, I think if
6	an agency of the federal government is aware of that, that
7	Parliamentarian deserves to be notified and deserves support
8	to make sure that risks are minimized or mitigated.
9	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And would you agree that
10	members of targeted diaspora communities should be similarly
11	briefed in a timely manner when an intelligence agency
12	develops a credible belief that they or their family members
13	are a target of foreign interference?
14	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, I believe they
15	deserve that same right.
16	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thanks, Mr. O'Toole.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
18	So government?
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
20	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS:
21	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Good morning, sir.
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning.
23	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: My name is Gregory
24	Tzemenakis. I'm counsel for the Attorney General of Canada
25	and I just have some clarification questions.
26	So your evidence in your evidence this
27	morning, you stated that the Conservative Party of Canada did
28	not lose the general election in 2021 due to foreign

1	interference activities, but they may have lost somewhere
2	between six to nine seats as a result of foreign interference
3	activities; correct?
4	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
5	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And I also heard you
6	to say, sir, that those seats may have also been impacted by
7	other factors, such as the position taken by the party on
8	motions in the House of Commons; possibly an understanding in
9	relation to the party's platform, or a misunderstanding in
10	relation to the party's platform; and positions taken in
11	relation to vaccines and vaccine mandates. Is that a fair
12	summary?
13	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: All the issues that were
14	germane in the '21 election would have applied to all those
15	voters as well.
16	The modelling and the historic voting
17	patterns of the Chinese-Canadian diaspora, in particular, is
18	what helped formulate my opinion, but I've always said hat
19	seat range, up to nine, is my opinion, based on all these
20	considerations.
21	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you.
22	I want to move to just a couple of questions
23	on information flow and, in particular, from the party to the
24	SITE Task Force.
25	So this morning and I'm going to start off
26	with a general question, to make sure I understood exactly
27	what you said this morning. I understood you to say that the

foreign interference activities that the party was monitoring

1	were raised by your representatives to the SITE Task Force
2	during the writ period in the 2021 election, is that right?
3	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No. I wouldn't use the
4	term, "Monitoring"; we were not set up to monitor foreign
5	interference in the 2021 election. What I did say on a few
6	occasions was that we developed an informal dossier and
7	started sharing examples that our field organizers, our
8	candidates, and our party officials were seeing on an $ad\ hoc$
9	basis, but the monitoring we thought was being done and was
10	being done by RRM
11	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: What we were doing was
13	sharing kind of anecdotal reports to counter the sort of
14	impression being left by SITE that there were no issues of
15	concern. We were seeing issues of concern, and we were
16	flagging them.
17	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So I'm going to
18	rephrase my question. The point that I'm trying to make is
19	your evidence this morning was that there was sharing of
20	information b the party to a SITE Task Force
21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
22	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: during this
23	period. And would it surprise you to learn that this may not
24	actually have been done by the party during the writ period?
25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Some of the sharing would
26	have been verbal in meetings that we were seeing cases. Some
27	of the evidentiary record, like photographs of the Foodmart
28	and things like that, were shared afterwards, yes.

1	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: To be fair, I expect
2	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task
3	Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but
4	that they were only shared after the writ period and after
5	the election result. And so I want to give you the
6	opportunity to help us, if that accords with your
7	recollection or if your understanding you're still
8	confident in your position that some information was shared
9	during the writ period?
10	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Our party was raising
11	instances of what we believed was FI. In terms of
12	evidentiary sharing, that happened after the election.
13	I must specify that I was not directly
14	involved with the Task Force, my designates were, Mr. Soliman
15	and Ms. Michaud. From what I understand, in the tail end of
16	the campaign, we were raising reports from the ground that we
17	were hearing with respect to FI. We formalized those into
18	the dossier after.
19	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you. And I
20	heard you to just coming back to the point of formalizing
21	those activities into the dossier, and you were taken earlier
22	we don't need to pull this up to CAN 6739, which was an
23	email from Ms. Michaud forwarding information to the SITE
24	Task Force for consideration. Do you recall that discussion
25	you had
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
27	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: with Commission
28	counsel? And did I understand correctly, sir, you to say

1	that you did not receive a response to that inquiry? And I'm
2	just trying to understand, when you say, "You" is it you in
3	your personal capacity as leader, or are you suggesting that
4	the party did not receive a response to those inquiries?
5	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I did not receive a
6	response, nor was I offered an opportunity to meet with the
7	Task Force or the group of five. And I'm assuming that my
8	chief of staff did not either, but she may have. I can't be
9	certain of that.
10	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: To be fair, the
11	evidence that will come a little bit later is that there was
12	a verbal briefing given to both Mr. Soliman and Ms. Michaud
13	on October 22^{nd} , 2021 in response to the allegations. Does
14	that date ring a bell?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No. Because I think
15 16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No. Because I think we've our recollection is that on several occasions when
16	we've our recollection is that on several occasions when
16 17	we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime
16 17 18	<pre>we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had</pre>
16 17 18 19	we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had responded to Mr. Soliman, when she had not.
16 17 18 19 20	<pre>we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had responded to Mr. Soliman, when she had not.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had responded to Mr. Soliman, when she had not.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had responded to Mr. Soliman, when she had not.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had responded to Mr. Soliman, when she had not.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had responded to Mr. Soliman, when she had not.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>we've our recollection is that on several occasions when things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had responded to Mr. Soliman, when she had not.</pre>

time during the campaign, and I think that's a fundamental
failure of the system.

MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Let me just touch on that point. So your evidence today is that there was a failure in the system because the SITE Task Force or the panel of five should have made an announcement during the writ period, based on the alleged foreign interference activities that were being shared with them; is that fair?

think, warranted some sort of warning to diaspora communities relying on WeChat, for example, yes. But as I said in my earlier testimony, when my designates in the first meeting regarding the '21 election were told that there were no problems with the 2019 election, and a year after the election I read that there were instances of problems in the 2019 election; in my view, that should have been the starting point for the Task Force. We knew there were some problems in 2019. We're going to be more vigilant in '21 to make sure that the interference, whether it's significant or not, plays no role in any of the ridings in the country.

MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And did I understand correctly that as leader of the party you could have made a public announcement yourself, but after careful consideration you chose not to make an announcement, based on the foreign interference — alleged foreign interference activities that were being brought to your attention?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I did make that decision not to formally say anything because of what I perceived to

1	be negative ramifications of that. I also thought the system
2	was working and if there was knowledge of the reports we were
3	hearing that the protocol would indicate the need for some
4	sort of warning.
5	You know, looking back, I might have made a
6	different decision if I'd been seeing the RRM reports on a
7	daily basis.
8	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And the question
9	that I have, sir, is when you say there was an alleged
10	failure in the system, is that your when did you formulate
11	that view? Did you formulate that view during the writ
12	period, or did you formulate that view with the benefit of
13	retrospection and further consideration looking back?
14	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: In the final week of the
15	campaign, when some of our candidates and volunteers were
16	very worried about the level of foreign interference and some
17	of the narratives on WeChat, including narratives about me,
18	that's when I determined that we were not prepared as a
19	country for the level and methods of foreign interference.
20	And I'll use an example. I don't believe our
21	agencies have adequate line of sight into WeChat. There are
22	two different platforms of WeChat; one for mainland China,
23	one for the diaspora globally. If you monitor it as a
24	foreign account, you may not get the same algorithmic flow
25	that some other users get.
26	Does CSE, do our intelligence agencies even
27	know what's really happening here? I don't think they do.
28	And what I do know is a lot of Canadians, hundreds of

1	thousands of Canadians, now rely on these channels. TikTok
2	is now included in that. That wasn't as big of a concern in
3	2021.
4	So I think that we have to make sure that
5	we're giving our intelligence agencies the resources to
6	properly monitor this, and if we don't have the ability to
7	properly monitor, perhaps there should be some restrictions
8	as we've been seeing with TikTok.
9	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So I appreciate that
10	my time is coming to an end. Thank you for that answer.
11	I have just one more question and it's about
12	the public announcement. In hindsight, do you agree that an
13	announcement by yourself as leader might have afforded an
14	opportunity to address the concerns you discussed this
15	morning regarding misinformation, whether it be in the riding
16	of Mr. Chiu apologies, it's not my phone whether it be
17	in the riding of Mr. Chui or in the ridings in the lower
18	mainland or in the GTA?
19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I regret that I didn't
20	make a statement, because I do feel Mr. Chiu, Mr. Sirois, and
21	a few other candidates, were exposed to a level of
22	misinformation that I think was profoundly unfair.
23	I will say this. The Winnipeg lab
24	experience, which I talked about earlier, is how the House of
25	Commons wrapped up before the election. When we were
26	bringing up valid public policy questions about potential
27	espionage, potential partnerships with the Wuhan Virology
28	Institute, the Prime Minister and the Government would

1 respond by saying, "Make sure you're not perpetuating anti-Asian hate or discrimination." 2 3 It was that inadvertent chill around any conversation of this that led me not to say anything publicly 4 and why I'm in favour of an inquiry and mechanisms so that 5 6 these decisions are taken out of the crucible of electoral politics and are administered and delivered by trusted sort 7 of agencies and public officials so that the politics 8 9 doesn't, you know, stop or prevent a message from getting to voters. 10 But it is a regret I have, that now that I 11 see everything, I didn't err on the side of making the 12 13 message, even if it was going to be construed as, you know, 14 our attempts to save the campaign or whatever the political spin might have been. I regret not doing that for those 15 candidates that ended up losing, I feel because of the level 16 of foreign interference. 17 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you for your 18 19 time, Mr. O'Toole. 20 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 21 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Merci, Madam. 22 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It's now for Mr. O'Toole's counsel. Mr. Jarmyn. 23 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 24 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: 25 MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Mr. O'Toole, I've just 26 got one question. You finished off discussing with my friend 27

the impact -- or that the chill was one of the reasons you

1	didn't make a statement. Were there any other reasons that
2	factored into your choice not to make a statement with
3	respect to the potential foreign interference?
4	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: The strength of our
5	institutions and our democracy is something that's very
6	important to me, and the pandemic put a strain on a lot of
7	public confidence in institutions and I was very much worried
8	that if we were suggesting some seats were lost or that our
9	electoral fortunes were being impacted by a foreign actor,
10	that some people in the political realm would suggest I was
11	following the approach of the United States and that the
12	election was stolen and all these sorts of things. That's
13	why I never mentioned it period while I was leader of the
14	Party. We worked with the mechanisms, we worked with SITE,
15	worked with Mr. Johnston. And why I'm so hopeful that this
16	Inquiry can take a proper look at this, so that it doesn't
17	become politicized and that we can start restoring our trust
18	in some of these institutions. That's part of the reason I
19	was so cautious, but also part of the reason why I'm so
20	hopeful that this Inquiry really makes some strong
21	recommendations on how we can safeguard things going forward.
22	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you.
23	Thank you, Commissioner.
24	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
25	Any re-examination, Maître Rodriguez?
26	RE-EXAMINATION BY/RÉ-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ:

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Just very briefly.

1	Mr. O'Toole, I just want to clarify some of
2	the evidence that you gave in cross-examination in response
3	to my friend the counsel for the Attorney General's
4	questions.
5	I just wanted to understand your evidence.
6	Is it your evidence that you passed on concerns about what
7	you were seeing on the ground during the writ period to your
8	SITE designates? Is that accurate?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: There was as we were
10	seeing instances and hearing reports on the ground from the
11	campaign, kind of our central campaign team was talking about
12	it: myself, my chief of staff, the national campaign manager.
13	And the national campaign chair, Mr. Soliman, was also my
14	SITE designate, as was Ms. Michaud. So it was these
15	discussions that I believe Mr. Soliman took to the Taskforce
16	meetings.
17	I was very proud of the professionalism and
18	approach of our team, so I have full trust that they would
19	raise these issues as the SITE process went on.
20	The dossier we talked about was after the
21	campaign, we still had a window when the Government was still
22	in caretaker mode, where we were wanting them to look at
23	things that I don't think they looked at during the writ. We
24	never mentioned publicly that this was going on, but that was
25	still being conducted by largely those four people, with data
26	people and modeling people providing input as well.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Did you ask either
28	one of them to pass on those concerns to site? Or was it

simply your expectation that they would do so? 1 2 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It was my expectation from the commentary and what we were hearing and discussing 3 on the ground. 4 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you receive 5 6 any information from them that they had in fact passed information on to SITE during that time? 7 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It was only after the 8 9 fact, after I was leader, when some of the media reports were coming out in the Globe and Mail, that I said we were 10 flagging these issues and was told, yes, we had been. 11 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so to your 12 13 knowledge though, were those concerns in fact passed on to 14 SITE? 15 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I certainly know when we prepared the dossier, we provided evidence of the WeChat, 16 evidence of some of the other instances of foreign 17 interference. But I don't know which ones were communicated 18 19 verbally during the writ itself. That'd be a question for Mr. Soliman. 20 21 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you very 22 much. 23 **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** Just I have one question for you. Can you tell me if, at the best of your knowledge 24 25 for sure, the terms of the Critical Election Incident Public Protocol were discussed with the various political parties 26 before they'd be drafted and implemented? 27 28 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, not with me.

- 1 may have been in 2019, but I wasn't part of that election
- team. But there was no discussion of the protocol when I was
- 3 leader.
- 4 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And have you been
- 5 consulted, when I say you, I mean your Party, have been
- 6 consulted about the composition of the panel of five?
- 7 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, we were not.
- 8 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. Thank you
- 9 very much for your time.
- 10 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Thank you.
- 11 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And you're free to go,
- or to stay if you wish.
- 13 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Thank you very much.
- 14 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So do we have to break
- for a few minutes for the five minutes? Just the time to
- have the next witness with us.
- 17 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The sitting will take
- 18 a recess for five minutes. La séance est en pause pour cinq
- minutes.
- 20 --- Upon recessing at 12:05 p.m./
- 21 --- La séance est suspendue à 12 h 05
- 22 --- Upon resuming at 12:17 p.m./
- 23 --- La séance est reprise à 12h17
- THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre,
- 25 s'il vous plait.
- 26 This sitting of the Foreign Interference
- 27 Commission is back in session. Cette séance de la Commission
- sur l'ingérence étrangère a reprise.

1	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Good morning, Mr. Chiu.
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Madam Commissioner,
3	Matthew Ferguson for Commission Counsel. We call the next
4	witness, who is Kenny Chiu.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Mr. Chiu, would you like to
6	be affirmed for the record or would you like to be sworn?
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: (Off mic)
8	THE REGISTRAR: May I please have your full
9	name and state your spell your last name for the record.
10	MR. KENNY CHIU: My name, it's Kenny Chiu,
11	C-H-I-U.
12	MR. KENNY CHIU, Sworn/Assermenté:
13	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN CHEF
14	PAR MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON:
15	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Good day, Mr. Chiu.
16	MR. KENNY CHIU: Hello.
17	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You were interviewed
18	by Commission Counsel in person on February 26, 2024, and
19	again on March 5th, 2024, via video link. Do you remember
20	that?
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
22	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: A summary of that
23	interview was prepared.
24	And Mr. Court Operator, I'll call that
25	document. It is WIT 14.
26	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 14.EN:
27	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Kenny Chiu
28	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 14.FR:

1	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 :
2	Kenny Chiu
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Now, I've called the
4	document on the screen. Mr. Chiu, have you reviewed this
5	document, which is the interview summary, for accuracy?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have.
7	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. have you had an
8	opportunity to make corrections or changes to the summary?
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Are there any
11	amendments or changes you would like to make at this time?
12	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
13	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And do you adopt this
14	interview summary as part of your evidence here today?
15	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I'll now enter the
17	witness summary into evidence, as well as WIT 14.FR, which is
18	the French translation of the summary.
19	I'll now call another document on the screen,
20	which is CAN.DOC 24, C-A-N-D-O-C 2-4.
21	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN.DOC 24:
22	Summary information on briefing to
23	Kenny Chiu
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Now, Mr. Chiu, I
25	understand that you received a briefing from CSIS on
26	September 18, 2023?
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. At the request

1	of the Commission, the Government of Canada has produced a
2	summary of that briefing in a format suitable for public
3	disclosure. We will be reviewing parts of that summary
4	today. In accordance with the Commission's obligations to
5	prevent the disclosure of information protected by national
6	security confidentiality, I will ask I will not ask
7	questions intended to elicit information that remains
8	classified. I understand that you may not be able to answer
9	aspects of my questions. Please simply indicate that that's
10	the case where you cannot answer for this reason, and I will
11	move on.
12	In this particular case, have you reviewed
13	this document?
14	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have.
15	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Do you accept I
16	understand you also accepted that the document be
17	declassified and your witness your names your name be
18	mentioned in the summary.
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
20	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And without
21	getting into specifics of the briefing you received, does the
22	document provide an accurate general description of that
23	briefing?
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Then I will enter this
26	as well into evidence.
27	Now, Mr. Chiu, you are the former MP for
28	Steveston East Richmond?

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Steveston-Richmond East.
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I was going to ask you
3	to correct me if I got that wrong. So it's Steveston East
4	Richmond.
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: Steveston-Richmond East.
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Richmond East. Okay,
7	I'm going to make a note of that, not just a mental note.
8	Thank you.
9	From 2019 to 2021?
10	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
11	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You were born in Hong
12	Kong and raised there as well?
13	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
14	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You immigrated to
15	Canada in 1982 to complete Grade 12 as an international
16	students in Winnipeg?
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You are fluent in
19	Cantonese, English and Mandarin?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And you hold a
22	Bachelor of Science from the University of Saskatchewan.
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You then returned to
25	Hong Kong after university and after university, and you
26	came back to Canada with your parents in 1989.
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Can you briefly

- 1 summarise how, if we look at how you got into politics now.
- 2 I understand that at some point in time you became a
- 3 schoolboard trustee in Richmond.
- 4 MR. KENNY CHIU: June 4th Tiananmen Square
- 5 massacre in 1989 was the watershed moment for myself. That
- is when I have decided that I'm not going to be one of those
- 7 Canadians who take advantage of Canada, while, after becoming
- 8 a citizen, continue to make better income by staying behind.
- 9 I have decided at that moment, '89, before I became the --
- 10 landed immigrant, to be a full-fledged Canadian citizen. And
- 11 that's when I started my journey in Canada.
- So when I came to Canada, I pay very close
- attention to what happens, particularly to the national
- 14 political situation. I have had a very high regard of
- 15 Canada's political system, be it a democracy, and so I pay
- 16 very close attention to what's transpired, the discussion of
- 17 the Charlottetown Accord, Meech Lake Accord.
- 18 And then later on, when I -- on the day of
- 19 wedding, I was actually in Hong Kong doing the banquet
- 20 circuits, and -- but it was exactly the time when Quebecers
- 21 were deciding whether to leave Canada, the referendum. And
- so I was so concerned that I actually have my colleague back
- then in Richmond send me in an email, email was actually
- quite, you know, not as popular as then, to let me know
- whether I have a country to come back to. So that's the
- level of concern that I have for Canada.
- 27 And when my wife and I had a family and then
- 28 the -- they enter into the public school system, we decided

that rather than sitting on the side, be a spectator, we 1 decided to move forward and be a contributor. And so I put 2 3 my name forward to be a Richmond Schoolboard trustee, and in 4 2011, I was elected one. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you also, I 5 6 understand, have -- were a frequent commentator on Chinese language TV and radio throughout the 2000s, giving a 7 8 conservative perspective on Mandarin and Cantonese language -9 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. 10 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: --- TV and radio. 11 MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. And coming 12 13 from Saskatchewan, when I was living in Hong Kong, I became 14 very critical of the lack of welfare system. So I was a bit of a Hong Kong socialist. But then when I came to Canada, I 15 realised the tax burden, on the middle class especially, had 16 17 mandated me to be a more right of centre person. So I have decided then that I would support a right of centre political 18 19 thinking and party and philosophy, and at that time, I choose that path, yeah. 20 21 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you then --22 after your tenure as the -- as schoolboard trustee, you ran as a Conservative in Steveston-Richmond East ---23 24 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: --- in 2015, and at 25 that time you lost to the Liberal candidate. Is that 26 27 correct?

MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. I lost to

Mr. Joe Peschisolido. He was originally a Conservative MP 1 under Canadian Alliance. He changed to the Liberal caucus in 2 2002. I was the -- one -- I was one of them, the campaign 3 team that helped him get elected in year 2000. So it was a 4 yes to versus Peschisolido campaign in 2015. 5 6 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you had a rematch in 2019; is that correct? 7 MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. 8 9 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you ran again in Steveston-Richmond East, and this time you took the 10 seat. You were elected MP. 11 MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. 12 13 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Now, Steveston-14 Richmond East I understand is a riding with a large 15 concentration of Chinese Canadians which make up roughly 50 percent of the electoral district. Is that correct? 16 17 MR. KENNY CHIU: That's what I found, too, 18 yes. 19 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Now, if we just touch on the 2019 campaign, what I'd like to get to focus 20 21 more with you is the 2021 election campaign. But so we could 22 have maybe a point of reference or perspective of the differences with 2021, can you briefly describe how that 23 campaign was run in 2019? 24 25 MR. KENNY CHIU: It was a little bit different from 2015. In 2015, much like my 2011 school board 26 trustee campaign, around me I have many supporters and 27 28 friends who would come to help me campaign. I remember being

invited after I was elected as a school board trustee to a 1 Canadian Association or Alliance of Canadian Associations 2 3 gathering. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: 4 Is that the acronym CACA that we see in your witness statement? 5 6 MR. KENNY CHIU: I believe so, yes. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: 7 Okay. MR. KENNY CHIU: And in attendance are all 8 9 the ethnic Chinese elected officials, mostly our school boards and city councillors. And they presented me a plaque 10 which I'm still keeping. And that was 2011. 11 In 2015, I noticed that a few of them has 12 13 faded off, but by and large they're still very supporting. 14 Through their connection, I was able to rent a campaign office, for example. But that has dramatically changed in 15 2019. 16 I noticed that not too many of them had come 17 out in support of me any more. They would try not to be, you 18 19 know, seen as with me campaigning, going door knocking, volunteering services, et cetera. I attribute that to my 20 21 commentary with media such as Radio Free Asia in support of a 22 more open situation in Hong Kong, but I didn't pay too much attention to it. And that was 2019. 23 24 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And in 2019, were you talking about issues as related to the People's Republic of 25 26 China? I understand there was the Hong Kong ---27

MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: anti-extradition
2	law protest in 2019 as well.
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
4	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Were you addressing
5	those things on the campaign trail?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: No. It was intentional. We
7	are running a Canadian election in Canada in October 2019 and
8	it is not it's not the Chinese Communist Party, it's
9	not one of the parties that is running in Canadian election,
10	so I deliberately control myself to not to comment in
11	something that has happened in Hong Kong in 2019.
12	Even though there is a lot of pressure coming
13	from constituents of Chinese Hong Kong background advocating
14	and, you know, asking me to take a public stand, I decided
15	not to so, therefore, throughout the entire campaign, you
16	would not find any commentary that are proactively giving out
17	from me.
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And what about your
19	access to the local media in Richmond or the Greater
20	Vancouver Area during the 2019 election campaign?
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: Unless they ask me for my
22	position and comment, I would honestly provide them, but
23	otherwise, I would not proactively Canada has
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Sorry. Perhaps my
25	question wasn't clear.
26	I'm not just speaking about China-related
27	issues, but what was your access like what was your access
28	like to the local media in Greater Vancouver Area in the 2019

1 year just at large? 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: It's pretty good, especially I've, you know, developed a little bit of a rapport with the 3 local media, Richmond News, and also many of the Chinese 4 media, printed media, for example. They are still -- they 5 6 are aware of me and where I stand, so yes, I have a good 7 relationship with them. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So after -- as of 8 9 October 2019, you were elected to the House of Commons. you remember the first thing you did after you were elected 10 to the House of Commons? 11 MR. KENNY CHIU: I received an invitation --12 13 again, I'm -- Madam Commissioner, I'm a very opinionated 14 person and so I have my ---15 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: As a politician? 16 MR. KENNY CHIU: View on what happened and what is the problem with Hong Kong. From my perspective, 17 it's because the government there was trying to resolve a 18 19 political problem using police brute force and not a political solution. And that's why I had taken up the 20 21 invitation to join in international election observation 22 mission in Hong Kong because there is -- there was a municipal election. It's called a district council election 23 24 in Hong Kong. And in my view, the open and fair election 25 conducted there would have been a great testimony how they 26 can pacify, how can they resolve many of the political 27 28 problems.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And in Hong Kong at
2	the time, there was the protests, the demonstrations that
3	were occurring in relation to the anti-extradition laws. Is
4	that correct?
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. It's it entered
6	into a vicious cycle to the point that, you know, Molotov
7	cocktails were being used against police, you know, against
8	the police brutality, so yeah.
9	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And when you came back
10	to Canada after that trip, did you receive any feedback from
11	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong?
12	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have.
13	I have volunteers, you know, only a month,
14	month and a half ago in my campaign telling me that they will
15	not volunteer for me in the next campaign. They will not
16	even vote for me. Whether they will vote for me or not, they
17	will have to have a deep thought about that.
18	It was because they believe, in their view,
19	that I was in support of rioters in Hong Kong.
20	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: When you use the term
21	"rioters", is that your term or is it the term that someone
22	else is using to describe the people that were demonstrating
23	to
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: I do not agree with that
25	term. That term is used by those who are pro Hong Kong
26	government, pro China seeing what happened in Hong Kong,
27	especially toward the later part of the protest. Yes, that's
28	the term that they have used.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And moving on
2	to some of your work in the House of Commons during the 43rd
3	Parliament, obviously there was the COVID-19 pandemic that
4	struck in March of 2000 (sic) but when things got up and
5	running again, I understand that at some point you became
6	involved in the subcommittee on International Human Rights of
7	the Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs and International
8	Development.
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Can you speak
11	to your time on that subcommittee?
12	MR. KENNY CHIU: Mr. O'Toole, the then
13	Conservative leader, appointed me after he became a leader.
14	And when I joined the subcommittee of International Human
15	Rights, it had already completed a thorough study, and the
16	study lasted over a year and a half. And they were ready to
17	publish a report on how the Uyghur Muslim has been treated in
18	Xinjiang.
19	And so I joined them at that moment and they
20	then released the report to the House of Commons to, I think,
21	Foreign Affairs Committee and committee tabled that to the
22	House of Commons. Yeah. And that's the background of it,
23	yeah.
24	We also study many other human rights issues,
25	of course, as that's the committee's mandate.
26	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It wasn't just focused
27	on China.
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.

MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And on March 1 27, 2021, the People's Republic of China sanctioned Mr. 2 3 Michael Chong individually and the subcommittee generally. As a member of the subcommittee, what did you take that to 4 mean, that you were sanctioned as a member of the 5 6 subcommittee? MR. KENNY CHIU: I guess understandably that 7 8 is a very confusing signal because sanctions -- international 9 sanctions are very seldomly applied to a Parliamentary committee. And I remember there were committee members who 10 actually stepped down officially as a community member, only 11 to be replaced by somebody else, but in all future committee 12 13 meetings, he would actually attend as a substitute of that 14 substitute. My reading of that is this is how fearful people These are Canadian parliamentarian of the Chinese 15 sanction. And coming from Hong Kong and understanding the 16 Chinese Communist Parties, People Republic of China, I do 17 understand where they're coming from. 18 19 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And did you take that to mean as -- did you take that to mean that you could not 20 return or travel to China? 21 22 MR. KENNY CHIU: Only the Chinese state would be able to answer your question in black and white. 23 24 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: But did you take that to mean that you couldn't? 25 MR. KENNY CHIU: I -- yes. I -- that's how I 26 27 interpret it, yes.

MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I'd like to move on to

1	a piece of legislation that you tabled during the $43^{ m rd}$
2	Parliament. Bill C282, an Act to establish the Foreign
3	Influence Registry, that is at I'll call the doc ID KCH
4	finishing with 69.
5	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. KCH 69:
6	Bill C-282 An Act to establish a
7	Foreign Intfluence Registry
8	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And we could just
9	scroll through the document. So this is Bill C282 excuse
10	me, just go back to the first page. An Act to establish the
11	Foreign Influence Registry, the first reading on April 13,
12	2021. And we can go now to the next page. Okay, the next
13	page. Right. Thank you.
14	Now, Mr. Chiu, I understand that this was a
15	private member's bill that you tabled in the House of Commons
16	in April of 2021?
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Can you speak a
19	bit about this bill. What was it what was it designed to
20	do? What was the purpose of this particular bill?
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: This is actually the result
22	of a year-and-a-half study of my staff and myself. I believe
23	Canada needs transparency into foreign influencers
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: and to protect our
26	interest. So at first we were modelling a legislative
27	proposal under the U.S. FARA Scheme, but we noticed that, you
28	know, countries such as the Chinese Communist Party

controlled China, and also the general public in Canada has a 1 innnate anti-Americanism built in. So we decided not to do 2 3 that. Besides the FARA Scheme perhaps is too thorough as a lowest hanging fruit to deploy, so we decided to model, 4 switch ourselves and model after the Australian model 5 6 In Australian, it's called the Foreign Influence Transparency Scheme. And that's how we model what we propose 7 eventually after. 8 9 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And what was your particular goal or -- in bringing this bill? 10 MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, 11 having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there 12 13 have been a lot of shadowy activities happening under table. 14 And there has also been signs that I read that are increasing foreign influence in our country. I do not want to deny 15 people's rights to carry out those political activity, mostly 16 our political lobbying. So that's why I think, you know, the 17 best would be -- some light would be the best disinfectant, 18 19 so, you know, keep on doing what you do, just as long as you disclose it, then it's all fine. 20 21 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So your bill wasn't 22 designed to prohibit lobbying ---23 MR. KENNY CHIU: No. 24 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: --- on behalf of foreign governments ---25 26 MR. KENNY CHIU: Of course, I would not want 27 to do that.

MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And did your --

1	does the bill mention any specific countries?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, it was by design not to
3	mention any specific country, to remove politics from the
4	whole thing. I have to sign the bill to let the Privy
5	Counsel officers and also the bureaucrats, basically, to
6	define which countries that Canada are subjected to foreign
7	interference and the foreign influence; and, therefore,
8	mandate, you know, in that appendix the list of countries
9	that would they would add those name to it and it would
10	enforce the law against them.
11	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And does your
12	bill make any reference or employ the terms foreign
13	hostile foreign state?
14	MR. KENNY CHIU: I don't remember that we
15	have.
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And I
17	understand you brought this bill as a private member's bill?
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: Right.
19	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And I understand when
20	you brought this bill your party was not the governing party?
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
22	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Did you have
23	the support of your party's caucus?
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And what
26	happened with this bill? It was tabled in April of 2021, and
27	went to first reading. What happened after that?
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: The dissolution of the 43rd

Parliament pretty much puts an end to it. 1 2 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: With respect to this 3 particular bill, did you solicit the views of your constituents before or after you presented it? 4 MR. KENNY CHIU: It was the first reading, so 5 6 the initial proposal no, but I actually have a press conference, a virtual press conference called when I tabled 7 that, and there have been very few feedback coming from, you 8 9 know, constituents on that. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Now if I move 10 to the 2021 general election, the writs of election were 11 dropped on August 15, 2021. And can you talk to us about 12 13 getting your campaign up and running and the experiences that 14 you encountered during that campaign in 2021? MR. KENNY CHIU: Well, it was during the 15 16 pandemic. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: 17 M'hm. MR. KENNY CHIU: And I remember it was just 18 19 months before that, before the Parliament rises or arose, we started the discussion and the trying to find facts -- fact 20 21 finding on the Winnipeg National Microbiology Lab, what happened, under what circumstances the scientists were 22 dismissed, their security clearance removed. And there were 23 a lot of talk about anti-Asian racism even coming from the 24 government MPs and even the Prime Ministers in response to 25 our questioning. And as I explained to you, Madam 26 Commissioner, I have a very high regard of Canada's democracy 27

and it was a very disillusion moment when I see that the

1	Parliament of Canada had asked four times for documents for
2	the National Microbiology Lab situations and the government
3	keep on ignoring it and even took the Parliament represented
4	by the speaker to court. And then when that didn't work, the
5	government decided or the governing party decided to pull
6	the plug and call an election on that in the midst of a
7	COVID-19 pandemic that we were suffering. So it was a very
8	chaotic moment. It was also a very polarized moment. People
9	you know, there have been supportive of a mandate, you
10	know, against mandates, masking and all that.
11	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And when the
12	so if I go directly to the result and then we can maybe
13	MR. KENNY CHIU: Sure.
14	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: move back from
15	there, you ran for re-election in Steveston-Richmond East and
16	lost to the Liberal candidate by a margin of almost nine per
17	cent.
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
19	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Obviously, you lived
20	through that campaign and have had time to reflect and
21	conduct a postmortem on the campaign loss.
22	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
23	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Can you speak to what
24	you believe are the factors that led you or led you losing
25	your seat by a 9 per cent margin only 23 months after you
26	were elected?
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was surreal. But when we

looked at the voter turnout rate and also in light of some of

1	the misinformation that has been grounding many of the
2	constituents, we understand what happened a little bit
3	better. The voter turnout rate in Steveston-Richmond East in
4	the past three elections has been continuously dropping; from
5	2015's 60.25 percent to 2019's 56.94 percent, to 2021's 52.77
6	percent. In other words, over 3,000 eligible voters did not
7	vote in 2021 when they had voted just a mere 23 months ago.
8	And my opponent garnered the number of
9	votes that he garnered was actually lower than the vote that
10	I had in the 2015 election.
11	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: The 2015 election
12	which you lost?
13	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, that's right.
14	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Right.
15	MR. KENNY CHIU: But I had 16,630.
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. So what you're
17	saying is you had a higher vote count in 2015 during an
18	election loss than your opponent had in 2021 for an election
19	victory?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay.
22	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was very unusual and
23	surreal, but, you know, given the polarized opinions in
24	China, Hong Kong, the Covid-19 pandemic and all that, and
25	also with my experience, my observation of China getting
26	involved, I wasn't surprised.
27	My staff, however, they were. My English-
28	speaking staff,

CHIU

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: campaign staff. They
3	were shocked.
4	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And obviously we'll be
5	talking about the misinformation and disinformation, the
6	false allegations that were spreading on social media.
7	I will tender, at this time, I'll call up
8	documents well I'll tender at this time, KCH1 through 27.
9	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. KCH 1-27:
10	Chat screenshots: Current Events
11	Discussion. News Coverage. (24/7)
12	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And we'll go through
13	some of those. Those are essentially messages that you've
14	provided to or screenshots of messages you've provided to
15	the Commission.
16	And just for everyone's reference, there is a
17	document that translates much of these or all of these
18	Chinese language texts, and it's KCH 70.
19	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. KCH 70:
20	Translation of KCH 1-27
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So if we go to KCH1 to
22	start with? And can we just scroll maybe zoom out a
23	little bit so we can have a bigger okay.
24	And Mr. Chiu, obviously you've provided these
25	to the Commission. Can you I understand that these are
26	dated or there's no date on them, but you've indicated
27	that it was around September $3^{\rm rd}$ or September $5^{\rm th}$ that these
28	messages were captured?

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Now, if I look
3	at the first message and I won't impress anyone with my
4	Chinese reading skills. I'm referring to the translations at
5	KCH70. But that first message at top, it says it
6	translates to:
7	"It would be so ironic if the Chinese
8	elect an anti-Chinese political
9	party, wouldn't it?"
10	And then it goes on to say, the next message,
11	at by the individual Anthony no, just the next message:
12	"Conservative Party- anti-Chinese []
13	anti-China, a garbage political party
14	that discriminates against the
15	Chinese!!!"
16	When did you first start when did you or
17	your campaign staff first notice these messages circulating
18	on Chinese language social media?
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: At the beginning of
20	September. That's when I started receiving screenshots such
21	as this.
22	Understandably, of course, I would not be
23	privileged to be on the receiving end directly of these
24	messages. I'm not members of these chat rooms and groups.
25	So there's zero opportunity for me to counter, and disprove,
26	and argue.
27	I think that is by design, of course.
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: What do you mean by

1 you think it's by design? 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: Well I don't believe, you know, people who would like me to present them evidence why 3 we are not, and why I believe there has been a lot of 4 disinformation being used against my Party leader then, Mr. 5 6 O'Toole, like, the Conservative Party, and also myself. So, you know, if they give me a chance because I read the 7 language, I speak the language, I would be very effective in 8 9 countering this disinformation. 10 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. So you had no line of sight into these chat groups? 11 MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. And what I have 12 13 provided to the Commission, it's only a sample that has been 14 reported to me ---15 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm. 16 MR. KENNY CHIU: --- by my campaign volunteers, who happen to be also ethnic Chinese and were in 17 this group. 18 19 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Right. So it's beyond the messages that -- the one to 27? There's many more 20 21 messages and this is just ---22 MR. KENNY CHIU: That's right. 23 **MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON:** --- a sampling? 24 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. 25 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. If I continue 26 on to KCH4? 27 And at KCH4, the first message at the top, 28 and in the lighter text at 7:47 p.m. reads:

1	"What matters is [that] O'Toole
2	(vomiting) from Conservative Party is
3	just Trump's follower. Putting him
4	in power is detrimental to Chinese
5	Canadians and to the country's long-
6	term interests."
7	Is that correct?
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. That's approximately
9	correct for the translation.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And it goes
11	if I go on to KCH18? I'm sorry, KCH11. And this text if
12	we just scroll out a little bit?
13	And it indicates near the top, "It is too
14	ironic" And part of the text an excerpt of the text I'm
15	reading is:
16	"It is too ironic that in the federal
17	election, the Chinese vote for an
18	anti-Chinese Party (Conservative
19	Party), which is unacceptable to me
20	personally. China is the motherland
21	of the Chinese"
22	What do you take that to how do you
23	interpret that, Mr. Chiu, as a Chinese Canadian?
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: That fits very well of a
25	global narrative that the Chinese Communist Party has been
26	using around the world.
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: Not just in Canada, but also

1	in Australia, the U.S., U.K., New Zealand, that they are the
2	only legitimate representative of the welfare of ethnic
3	Chinese around the world, regardless whether you're from Hong
4	Kong, Taiwan, or China, or South East Asia, other places.
5	People such as myself, who has not lived one day under their
6	rule, they still believe they are the legitimate
7	spokesperson, advocate, for our benefit. So it fits very
8	well with that narrative.
9	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And then if I go to
10	KCH16? We'll start with KCH16. Just scroll out a little
11	bit. This message by a user named Patrick. And then through
12	17, 18, and 19. Go down to 18 17. And I understand here
13	yeah, so it's at 17 or 18, rather.
14	This is the last two text messages were
15	referring to matters of Parliament and beginning to discuss
16	your Foreign Influence Bill. Is that correct?
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And these
19	again, these are messages that are dated around September $3^{\rm rd}$
20	or September 5 th ?
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
22	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. What if
23	before I get into your attempts to counter or attempts to
24	counter the narrative, can you speak to your experience on
25	the ground? There obviously wasn't just an online campaign
26	against you. What was your experience like going door to
27	door, for example? Meeting with the constituents?
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was very confusing. Like

1	I said, the pandemic, COVID-19, masking mandates, all these
2	are polarising issues, but as an ethnic Chinese, I have no
3	problem wearing a mask myself. Even when go door knocking.
4	Doors that have been opened to me near 20-some months ago, as
5	soon as they heard my Chinese name, (speaking Chinese), they
6	would shut the door in my face.
7	And there have been families, a house I
8	vividly remember door knocking, and they were very supportive
9	in 2019, that they will vote for me, that they would actually
10	allow us to put a lawn sign on their property. This time,
11	when we knock on the door, the lady who opened the door, I
12	even remember her face, she had become emotional, with a deep
13	sense of hurt and hate, and she I mean, we didn't bother
14	asking for a lawn sign because she said she is not going to
15	vote for us "because we hate China, because we hate them."
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Now, if we could speak
17	a bit about how you attempted to counter the narrative and
17 18	a bit about how you attempted to counter the narrative and respond to these allegations that surrounded your private
18	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private
18 19	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private members bill and the maligning of you and your party as anti
18 19 20	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private members bill and the maligning of you and your party as anti China, I understand you reached out to media.
18 19 20 21	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private members bill and the maligning of you and your party as anti China, I understand you reached out to media. MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
18 19 20 21 22	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private members bill and the maligning of you and your party as anti China, I understand you reached out to media. MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And perhaps in
18 19 20 21 22 23	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private members bill and the maligning of you and your party as anti China, I understand you reached out to media. MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And perhaps in the interest of time, I won't call up the document on the
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private members bill and the maligning of you and your party as anti China, I understand you reached out to media. MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And perhaps in the interest of time, I won't call up the document on the screen, but I'll reference it as CCC ending in 10.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private members bill and the maligning of you and your party as anti China, I understand you reached out to media. MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And perhaps in the interest of time, I won't call up the document on the screen, but I'll reference it as CCC ending in 10. EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CCC 10:

CHIU

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It's a Richmond News
2	article titled Richmond Candidate Attacked on WeChat for
3	Proposed Foreign Influence Legislation, dated September 7,
4	2021.
5	And it cites one of the posts circulating on
6	WeChat as stating:
7	"'This bill is going to have
8	extremely negative consequences for
9	immigrants from mainland China. It
10	will also harm economic, cultural and
11	technological exchanges between
12	Canada and China"
13	And:
14	"'Although the bill [does not] list
15	which countries belong to the foreign
16	forces, considering the [sourced]
17	relationship between Canada and
18	China(and Chiu's) anti-China
19	background, undoubtedly this bill is
20	targeting mainland Chinese
21	associations and aims to control or
22	monitor mainland Chinese speech and
23	behaviours'"
24	Why did you reach out to the English language
25	media in regards to these social media posts on WeChat in
26	Chinese language?
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was a campaign
28	calculation because of lack of support, and also means to

1	counter foreign interference in our riding, particularly
2	targeting Mainland Chinese and also Hong Kong Chinese
3	Canadians, we have decided to appeal to the non Chinese
4	speaking population in Richmond. The more we exposed this,
5	we were hoping that we would be able to earn some votes that
6	would compensate what we have lost in the Chinese speaking
7	side that actually is subject to foreign interference.
8	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: In another article,
9	this time the Vancouver Sun, later on on September 14th, you
10	are reported as saying in relation to the social media
11	attacks that "The barrage and falsification, disinformation
12	and personal attacks, it's beyond" your expectation.
13	That's a document at I'm sorry, that was
14	CCCC010. I made a mistake in calling up the last document.
15	I'll just reference it. The Richmond News article was KCH
16	68.
17	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. KCH 68:
18	Article: Richmond candidate attacked
19	on WeChat for proposed 'Foreign
20	Influence' legislation
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So going back to the
22	Vancouver Sun article, you it's mentioned that you the
23	barrage and falsification, disinformation and personal
24	attacks was beyond your expectation. Why was it beyond your
25	expectation?
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: I my experience in the
27	House of Commons had led me to believe that the Government of
28	Canada has set up, you know, all these mechanisms to monitor

1	irregularities during the elections. I was a rookie MP, so
2	I'm not, you know, at an expert in SITE Task Force, in
3	CIEPP. You know, even the fact that I understand what NSICOP
4	means, NSIRA means, it's already, you know, top marks from my
5	perspective. So I have a very high expectation that I will
6	be protected. And when things come like a tsunami, to me, I
7	was caught by surprise that things like that would allow, and
8	the Government of Canada did not have any clarification to
9	clear my names.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to
11	get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with
12	this article for a second. Because it in the article, it
13	goes on to cite you as refusing to speculate whether the
14	Chinese Government or its agents are behind the campaign.
15	And you are quoted as saying:
16	"'By observation, the message is the
17	same[b]ut I have no evidence
18	They'" (As read)
19	Referring to China:
20	"'and its agents) are the
21	most'"
22	"But I have no evidence":
23	"'They (China and its agents) are the
24	most experienced infiltrators. They
25	have done it for so many years.
26	They're not going to get caught.'"
27	So I understand this, is that at this point
28	you're refusing to speculate whether there was a state-

1	sponsored campaign, but in your interview summary, and
2	elsewhere, you have stated that foreign interference by China
3	was a significant factor in your election loss.
4	Has your view as to whether there was PRC
5	Government-sponsored disinformation campaign against you, has
6	that evolved?
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: Well, as we go into election
8	more and longer, and when I start collecting a collection of
9	these screenshots and see how some of the argument, how
LO	ridiculous some of the argument is, how hurtful it is, yeah,
11	I understand that somebody is activating using emotion,
12	weaponizing emotions of our of my electorates. And my
13	understanding of the geopolitical situations that Canada is
L4	in, by elimination it's unlikely that other country
15	hostile country would be doing that, and it would likely be
16	China. And you know, the fact that I stopped having
17	volunteers coming from that background, it's also a sign to
18	me that somebody behind the scenes has actually warned them.
19	If I may actually put on one point about the
20	Richmond News article.
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Sure.
22	MR. KENNY CHIU: You notice Richmond News
23	article was written by a reporter, her name is Nono Shen.
24	She is actually a Mainland Chinese, and she has also been
25	privy to many of this information. She even receives some of
26	them. That's why when I speak to her and I ask for

clarification opportunity, I do not have to explain any of

the background, and right away she would start interviewing

27

28

me and asking me valid and relevant questions. 1 2 Daphne from Vancouver Sun, for example, there is a lot of background explanation that I have to go through. 3 And that highlights the difference of, and the powerfulness, 4 and effectiveness of segregated disinformation campaign. 5 6 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: In your witness summary, there was a mention that while this -- these false 7 allegations maligning your bill and as well as maligning the 8 9 Conservative Party were circulating, you referred to sort of a media shunning of you in the ---10 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. 11 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: --- Greater Vancouver 12 area. Can you speak to that? 13 14 MR. KENNY CHIU: The distancing of my friends and also former colleagues when I was a commentator at the --15 at these radio stations, newspapers, outlets, and also online 16 form TVs, did not start during the election, it was actually 17 started. You know, when the Uyghur votes was conducted, for 18 19 example, that had already happened. It -- you know, I was not given a chance to go live on air to explain myself. 20 21 during the election, when I -- when I call up on a press 22 conference, it was actually very poorly attended. Whoever attended didn't come. I don't even know if they actually 23 24 have reported what we have asked. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So you tried to reach 25 out to Chinese language media in Canada, and they did not 26 pick up the story or give you a platform? 27 28 MR. KENNY CHIU: In Greater Vancouver.

medias in Greater Vancouver. Ethnic news media in Greater 1 2 Vancouver. 3 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to refer you another -- now to a document, CCC 4. 4 --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CCC 4: 5 6 Article [Today Commercial News] MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Do you recognize this 7 document, Mr. Chiu? Have you seen this before? This is a 8 9 MR. KENNY CHIU: Can you slow down a little 10 bit, ---11 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Yeah. 12 MR. KENNY CHIU: --- so that I can see the 13 14 title? 15 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: This is an article in the Today Commercial News ---16 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah. 17 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: --- that appeared on 18 19 September 9, 2021 titled, "Conservative MP Chiu introduced the registration of foreign forces bill. The registration of 20 21 foreign forces bill to suppress the Chinese community." The 22 article states, as in the title, that you introduced this bill to suppress the Chinese community in Canada. 23 Did you see this article at the time? 24 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. It's hard not 25 26 to. Yeah, I have. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And can you tell us 27 28 what this bill gets wrong about -- sorry; what this article

1	gets wrong about your bill? Was your intent to suppress the
2	Chinese community?
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: Never. As a Chinese-
4	Canadian, I understand how difficult it is to be involved, or
5	to involve with Canadian political activities. And I also
6	understand the close relationship with your birthplace, your
7	place of origin. It would not be morally correct to prevent
8	people from engaging in activities such as, you know, keeping
9	in touch with the former schools and the alumni association
10	and all that. So it was never my intent.
11	In fact, by not having a transparency scheme
12	for those special interest people who've been conducting
13	their business under table, it actually hurts the diaspora
14	community's reputation, you know, as a whole. So my thought
15	was by having transparency, by putting everything under the
16	sunlight, you know, we would be able to safeguard our future
17	in Canada.
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: The article also
19	mentions refers to you as being anti-China. How do you
20	respond to being called anti-China?
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: I do not believe I am anti-
22	China. I do not support the Chinese Communist Party because
23	of the historical reasons since they got into power in 1949.
24	That is a matter of fact. But China, it's a country
25	representing many people. You know, billion over one
26	billion of them, 1.4, I believe. And you know, it's where my
27	cultural heritage is, and why would I be hating China?
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I'd like to walk you

1	now through some of the Government of Canada directions we
2	received in the last days and weeks that the Commission
3	has received. I'll turn now to CAN 00144.
4	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 144:
5	RRM Canada Open Data Analysis October
6	8, 2021
7	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: This is a document,
8	Mr. Chiu, that received a public release, and it relates to
9	the Rapid Response Mechanism of the Global Affairs Canada.
10	This is an Open Data Analysis report. It's titled, "Chinese
11	state media and Canadian WeChat News Accounts Spread False
12	Narrative about the Conservative Party and Former Candidate
13	Kenny Chiu." It states at page one, I think it's the second
14	bullet:
15	"We do not have clear evidence of a
16	PRC-directed operation, but we have
17	observed strong indicators of a
18	coordinated campaign as well as [the]
19	links between the campaign and the
20	PRC."
21	First of all, have you seen this report
22	before today?
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: The fourth bullet on
25	the first page refers to:
26	"Three of the Chinese-language news
27	accounts that first circulated a
28	false narrative about Chiu are

1	members of a media partnership with
2	the Chinese state-run media agency,
3	China News Service, which
4	[according to the RRM] may be under
5	the direct control of the United
6	Front Work Department, [which is]
7	China's main department for gathering
8	intelligence and influencing diaspora
9	Chinese communities overseas."
10	Can I just stop here, at the small
11	parentheses, and refer to the document CAN 4821?
12	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN 4821:
13	SITE TF Analysis 25 October 2021
14	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Scroll down a bit to
15	keep going, please. Right. Now stop here at "For
16	example".
17	So if you see the yes, that bullet there.
18	"At least three of these Chinese" Sorry; this is from the
19	SITE Task Force. It's the same month but from a different
20	entity:
21	"At least three of these Canadian
22	Chinese-language news sites that
23	first circulated a false narrative
24	about Chiu are very likely members of
25	a media partnership with the Chinese
26	state-run media agency, China News
27	Service"
28	And here's the distinction:

1	"which is under the direct control
2	of the CCP's United Front Work
3	Department"
4	Were you aware of this, Mr. Chiu?
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'll go back to
7	the last document, CAN 144.
8	We can scroll down to page I believe it's
9	page 2. And at page 2 keep going, please. Keep scrolling
10	down. Right.
11	Now it's a "Timeline of events," and I'll go
12	I'll jump, in the interests of time, to page 7. Here we
13	go. So on page 7 on $$ it is mentioned in this report by the
14	RRM:
15	"On September 6,105.9 Yes My Radio, a
16	Greater Toronto Area radio station
17	that broadcasts in Mandarin,
18	published an anonymous blog post on
19	the open web titled 'please pay
20	attention to Kenny Chiu's 'foreign
21	power registration act/'"
22	Were you aware of this article of blog post
23	on 105.9 Yes My Radio?
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Do you have any
26	idea why a radio station or a media in the Greater Toronto
27	Area would be interested in you as a candidate in the Greater
28	Vancouver Region?

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, I don't understand that,
2	why they would have a focus on a candidate on Steveston-
3	Richmond East in British Columbia.
4	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. The so you
5	were not aware of this at the time. It's mentioned in this
6	report if we keep going down the page, it's mentioned:
7	"The content of the 105.9 Yes My
8	Radio post bear some similarities to
9	the content of the third WeChat group
10	message reported by Glacier Media,
11	and they are likely one in the
12	same,"
13	It then mentions a series of articles that
14	were circulating in Chinese-language media in Canada on 105.9
15	Yes My Radio, then another news site, another Chinese news
16	site, Global Chinese Convergence Media CGC TV. Are you aware
17	of CGC TV?
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, never heard of it.
19	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And the last
20	one was the Today Commercial News, and you mentioned that
21	that you saw at the time.
22	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
23	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And so you
24	weren't aware of any of these reportings reports at the
25	time?
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It goes on to page 9,
28	that's page and it mentions at page 9 if you go down

1	there just a bit that there's also, "a WeChat account
2	called "CouponKing54.ca [sic]," which had 60,000 subscribers,
3	that:
4	"shared an anonymous article
5	titled 'Why should Chinese oppose
6	Conservative MP Kenny Chiu'?"
7	And it mentions that it contains:
8	"The content of the article is nearly
9	identical to the one published by
10	Today Commercial News,"
11	I understand that CouponKing51.ca that's
12	something that you saw; is that correct?
13	MR. KENNY CHIU: I'm not aware of their
14	existence.
15	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. It's not a site
16	that you visit?
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I understand
19	you've had a chance to review this report today?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Is there anything in
22	the report that is news to you, besides these last mentions
23	of the media reporting around that time?
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: There's an observation that
25	I would like to draw your attention to.
26	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: In the Today Commercial
28	News, the official publication of that attack piece, you'll

1	notice that they have a link to the private member bill C-
2	282.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Right.
4	MR. KENNY CHIU: But there was a typo. There
5	was a missing dot, "ww." and the dot was missing.
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So it was a broken
7	link.
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: It's broken link, so anybody
9	who's interested to find the truth, they would not find that.
10	Secondly, if you go back to some of the
11	screenshots that I provided you, similar lines of attack were
12	used with that same broken link that was embedded. Maybe
13	it's just a coincidence, but it's unlikely, from my
14	perspective.
15	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I'm aware of the time.
16	I'd just like to cover two more grounds, maybe one-and-a-
17	half. You also mentioned a meeting with seniors in your
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
19	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: electoral district
20	in an attempt to counter the narrative of the false
21	allegations being spread against you on social media. Can we
22	speak to that?
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: Seniors have a very special
24	position in a family hierarchy.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: Many Chinese family would
27	listen to and respect their opinion. And so we figured with
28	all these disinformation in around September $3^{\rm rd}$, we decided

1	that we when I say "we" this time is MP former MP Alice
2	Wong and I, she's the candidate for Richmond Centre and I was
3	the Conservative candidate for Steveson-Richmond East. We
4	-
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Mr. Chiu, can you just
6	speak a bit
7	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Oh.
8	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: more slowly
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: Oh.
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Someone reminded me.
11	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Sorry, it was
12	probably me
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Probably you.
14	MR. KENNY CHIU: Oh, pardon me. Sorry. So
15	the two of us have organized a meeting to meet up with these
16	seniors. They were very concerned. And in that two, three
17	hours meeting, they open up their heart. They express how
18	worried they is they are if Canada started persecuting
19	Chinese. And I totally agree. We I even shed some tears
20	with them because I identified a kind of fear that they are
21	subjected to. In the end, they were all testified, and they
22	all left relatively happy.
23	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And what happened
24	subsequent to that meeting?
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: Pictures started to
26	circulate to myself that this same group of seniors have
27	shown up at my opponent's campaign office and take picture
28	with him, and also started campaigning for him.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And that was how long
2	after you noticed that you had this meeting with the seniors?
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: One or two days.
4	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: We've seen the social
5	media posts throughout the government productions and the
6	documents that are available to the parties and will be
7	entered into evidence as well. There's the social media
8	post, the media articles that take issues with you as a
9	candidate and your bill and your party. What do you respond
10	to people that claim that, sure, there may have been some
11	false and spurious claims about you and your bill, but wasn't
12	it just legitimate debate among Chinese Canadians?
13	MR. KENNY CHIU: When I am not aware of that
14	disinformation, when I am not given a chance to dispute, when
15	there is no counterinformation being provided, when no offer
16	is for the audience to actually look at the bill themselves,
17	the link, it is not a debate at all. It's just one-sided
18	propaganda.
19	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And, obviously, wisdom
20	and great ideas come easy after a storm, but in light of the
21	information you have today and the information that you see
22	that was available to the government agencies at the time, is
23	there anything that you would have done or could have done
24	differently knowing could have done differently?
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: I may not have run for
26	office because as an immigrant, it's already very challenging
27	to build a life here in Canada. To go into public service,
28	it's even more challenging. I you know, when I became a

```
school board trustee, I intentionally severed my ties with my
1
        relatives in China, with the understanding that I will put
2
        them in danger. And, you know, in 2021, unfortunately, it
3
        seems like my worry has come true. But then I thought I
4
        would be protected by my country, and I was deeply troubled,
5
6
        disappointed that I was exposed, and the government doesn't
        seem to care. And now that through the Commission I've
7
        learned that they've known all about it, it's almost like I
8
        was drowning, and they are watching, and the best they could
9
        do, by the way, is to let me know that I'm drowning. I don't
10
        need their notification. I need their help. And so that's
11
        the overall disappointment mixing with a emotion of anger
12
13
        that I have. And, yes, I do not believe the way the Chinese
14
        Communist Party treating people in Hong Kong or even just
        general Chinese, let alone Uyghur Muslims are right and
15
        justifiable. But I -- by and large, I have focussed on how I
16
17
        can propose, my party can propose a view, a way of how Canada
        can be governed better. And for that, I've been betrayed.
18
19
        That's how I see it.
                        MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Just a final
20
21
        topic that I'd like to cover before ---
22
                        MR. KENNY CHIU: Just ---
                        MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: --- giving you the
23
24
        last word.
25
                        MR. KENNY CHIU: --- just one more ---
26
                        MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON:
                                               Sure.
                        MR. KENNY CHIU: --- one more minor point.
27
28
                        MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON:
                                              Yeah.
```

1	MR, KENNY CHIU: And as a visible minority,
2	as a racialized Chinese Canadians, for somebody who would
3	voice up to for the benefit of Canada in the House of
4	Commons when I heard hurtful remarks, not just from any MP,
5	but from the Prime Minister of Canada when we asked about the
6	Wuhan rioters, when we asked why are we not shutting down
7	flights from Wuhan in early 2020, because of our constituents
8	who are from China asking us why are we exposing Canada to
9	that, so we asked that question in House of Commons, the
10	answer has always been, you know, mindful of racism, you
11	know, don't be an anti-Asian. To me, as an Asian Canadian,
12	it's very insulting, and for that to come from the top leader
13	of our country, it's doubling insult with injury doubling
14	injury with insults.
15	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you
16	mentioned I think it was mentioned in your witness
17	statement that that's without attributing any intent, that
18	that sort of played into the CCP playbook.
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. That's what I said,
20	and I still believe in it. There is some coincidental
21	situations between the two.
22	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: The Prime Minister said, you
24	know, don't be anti-Asian. If you inquire about what
25	happened in, you know, Wuhan, what happened in Winnipeg
26	Microbiology Lab, don't be anti, you know, Chinese. I don't
27	know if the Chinese Communist government had learned of this
28	line of attack and copied it, or maybe it's the Prime

Minister who actually -- and the Liberal Party had actually 1 copied that attack, but the matter of fact is, this anti-2 3 Asian, you know, Chinese hate and racism line has been continuous and used in collab by the CCP in my riding against 4 me, but also as you have provided me with information, even 5 6 in Toronto against myself, against my party, against Erin 7 O'Toole. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And I understand that 8 9 you reported the -- you reported these -- this campaign, these false allegations circulating on -- in media and social 10 media. Did you report it to any -- so you reported that to 11 the party central. Did you report it to -- I understand you 12 13 also reported it to CSIS at the time? 14 MR. KENNY CHIU: I have instructed my staff to provide the information to party central, the Conservative 15 16 party central, but, yes, because before the election, CSIS had come to me and warned me about, you know, situations that 17 I am not at the liberty to disclose. But at the same time, 18 19 when -- during the election when I start seeing these -- this information, I remember the contact that I have at CSIS, so I 20 21 pick up the phone and asked to meet him. 22 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And what time -- when was that about? Just ---23 24 MR. KENNY CHIU: It would be the -- you know, maybe first -- after first week. It will be in second week 25 26 of September. Okav. So it was 27 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON:

28

during the campaign.

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, it was during the writ
2	period for sure.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Mr. Chiu, my
4	time is up, but I promised you a last word, so if you have
5	anything that we did not cover today that you'd like to
6	mention, I'd like to give you that opportunity.
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: Well, thank you. Thank you.
8	Madam Commissioner, I thank you for giving me
9	the opportunity and also, a few days ago, we have heard from
10	diaspora communities the deep hurt and danger situations that
11	we have put in many Canadians in Canada by inaction. And I
12	implore you to look, you know, deep into the situations and
13	consider suggestions and ways that would protect these
14	communities.
15	Like Mr. O'Toole had testified in front of
16	you, there are many communities around Canada that are in
17	relative isolation and we must, as a great country, endeavour
18	to reach out to them. The current situation, the current
19	system is not working.
20	As I said, as a drowning person, I don't need
21	to be notified that I am drowning even if it meets the
22	threshold. What we need, perhaps, as a country is a
23	mechanism that would clear the air using Government of Canada
24	brand, you know, for these diaspora community. That's what I
25	would table as a suggestion to you, Your Honour, and I would
26	appreciate if you can consider that.
27	Thank you.

MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Thank you.

28

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you very much. 1 We'll break for lunch. We'll come back at 2 2:40. 3 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, 4 s'il vous plaît. 5 6 The hearing is in recess until 2:40. La séance est en pause jusqu'à 2 h 40. 7 --- Upon recessing at 1:29 p.m./ 8 9 --- La séance est suspendue à 13 h 29 --- Upon resuming at 2:44 p.m./ 10 --- La séance est reprise à 14 h 44 11 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il 12 13 vous plait. 14 This sitting of the Foreign Interference Commission is back in session. Cette séance de la Commission 15 sur l'ingérence étrangère est maintenant repris. 16 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So we'll start with the 17 cross-examination. 18 19 The first one, you're already there, so acting for the Conservative Party, Me De Luca. 20 21 --- MR. KENNY CHIU, Resumed/Sous le même serment: 22 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. NANDO de LUCA: 23 24 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you, Commissioner. 25 26 Good afternoon, Mr. Chiu. MR. KENNY CHIU: Good afternoon. 27 28 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Mr. Chiu, towards the

end of your testimony this morning in reflecting upon your 1 experiences of foreign interference in connection with the 2 3 2021 federal election, you expressed the sentiment that particularly as an immigrant Canadian, you felt betrayed. Do 4 you recall that? 5 6 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. 7 Betrayed by whom? MR. NANDO de LUCA: 8 MR. KENNY CHIU: By those who are in power of 9 this country representing the best interests of the country. MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can you be more 10 specific? 11 MR. KENNY CHIU: In my view, not wanting to 12 13 be over partisan, it's the Prime Minister. The buck stops 14 there. He let me down. 15 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can I please get you 16 CANDOC 000024 called up, please? 17 Do you have that before you, Mr. Chiu? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. Yes. 18 19 MR. NANDO de LUCA: We heard earlier that this is a summary prepared by the Government of Canada of a 20 21 briefing that CSIS gave to you on September 18, 2023 22 regarding foreign interference in the 2021 General Election; correct? 23 24 MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. 25 MR. NANDO de LUCA: And that election took 26 place on September 20, 2021; correct? MR. KENNY CHIU: 27 That is correct. 28 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Did you get an

1	understanding on September 18, 2023 why CSIS was only giving
2	you a briefing then about foreign interference that took
3	place for an election two years earlier?
4	MR. KENNY CHIU: It wasn't explained to me,
5	no.
6	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Mr. Chiu, in connection
7	with the 2019 and 2021 General Election, can you please
8	comment on the usefulness or lack of usefulness of CSIS, the
9	RCMP and any other Canadian security apparatus efforts to
10	track and combat foreign interference?
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: In 2019, perhaps, it was
12	still at the time of being established and also running
13	through teething problems, et cetera, et cetera, but I
14	participated in the 43rd election, 2019 election, without
15	much anticipation of mechanisms that would counter foreign
16	interference. And then throughout my two years at the House
17	of Commons, I've learned about these what I've termed the
18	alphabet soup: GAC, that's Global Affairs Canada; CSIS of
19	course everybody knows; the SIWTE Taskforce; Security and
20	Intelligence Threats to Elections Taskforce; and then so on
21	and so forth. There's RM, P5, NSICOP, CSE, CCE, EFCC, and
22	then CIEPP. All these.
23	So the impression I have is it's a well-
24	resourced net of protective mechanisms. And so I gradually
25	developed what is now to me, it's a false sense of security.
26	And that was that's why I was deeply disappointed after
27	the 2021 election.
28	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. When you say false

T	sense of security, and you have higher expectations for all
2	of those groups or bodies?
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have expectations.
4	Perhaps it's undue. Perhaps it's, you know, unrealistic
5	expectations perhaps. But, you know, I've collected 15
6	acronyms that are involved with elections security and I
7	don't think it's expecting too much to get a call from one of
8	these organizations and offer their advice how to counter
9	interference such as the ones that I've encountered.
10	MR. NANDO de LUCA: So back to my question,
11	would you am I correct in assuming that you would
12	characterise the utility or usefulness of all of these
13	organizations in relation to foreign election interference,
14	especially for the 2021 election, as not being terribly
15	useful?
16	MR. KENNY CHIU: As of this moment, I would
17	have to agree with that.
18	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay.
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: After the election, in fact
20	the CSIS briefing that you cited in 2023, September, was the
21	very first one that any government agency had approached me
22	and contacted me. I was hoping that Special Rapporteur Mr.
23	Johnston would actually have been in touch with me, but he
24	did not.
25	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Mr. Chiu, based on your
26	experiences with the elections that you participated in,
27	2015, 2019, and 2021, the federal campaigns, can you please
28	tell the Commission how Chinese Canadians can be influenced

1	and coerced to support the PRC regime, including via the
2	Canadian election process?
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: Once the immigrants who have
4	settled in big cities, like Greater Toronto or Greater
5	Vancouver, they have a choice of relying more on ethnic media
6	from for their updates, and their news, and their
7	viewpoints. And that exactly is why for over 15 years I've
8	been involved with Chinese news media, because I want to
9	develop or provide different angles for our listeners, our
10	audience, our citizens to consider in terms of Canadian
11	politics.
12	And so imagine if for years they developed a
13	reliance and dependency on media sources that are somehow
14	somewhat controlled or influenced by a foreign power,
15	willingly or unwillingly. You pretty much will have control
16	of how these people get their source of information, how they
17	perceive an issue.
18	In a previous hearing, I believe how Ukraine
19	the war the invasion of Russia into Ukraine, that could
20	have been portrayed, in fact indeed it has, in some of the
21	Chinese media to align more with the Chinese Communist
22	Party's positions than the Canadian general public ones.
23	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And from your
24	answer, it sounds like there's at least a potential for
25	influence or control by the PRC, how prevalent a problem or
26	pervasive a problem do you think it is with the current
27	sources?
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: It's definitely a

1	vulnerability. It's an exposure. All we have power to look
2	at is what happens in Canada. Who is to say, for example, if
3	somehow they do business in mainland China, and all of a
4	sudden, certain owners of a radio station in Canada, the
5	relative gets a huge contract. What happens overseas, we
6	have no jurisdictions. We have no information about. And
7	that is the exposure, that is the vulnerability of ownership
8	and directing and influencing the media positioning and also
9	as well as the direction that they are going.
10	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you. Those are my
11	questions.
12	MR. KENNY CHIU: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
14	Counsel for Michael Chong?
15	MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you, Commissioner.
16	No questions.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No questions?
18	Counsel for the Human Rights Coalition?
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
20	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR:
21	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good morning, Mr. Chiu.
22	MR. KENNY CHIU: Good morning. Good
23	afternoon.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Oh, good afternoon.
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
26	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good afternoon, Mr. Chiu.
27	So you mentioned this morning that now that you've learned
28	that intelligence agencies knew what was happening to you and

1	what you were experiencing, it's as if you were drowning
2	while they watched and the best they could do is to let you
3	know you were drowning. And you can let me know if I've
4	misquoted you. You noted that you did not need their
5	notification, you needed their help.
6	What support and protections did you need as
7	you were drowning?
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: As the Prime Minister was
9	giving me all the alphabets, through my mind, I was replaying
10	September 20^{th} during the election, or at the end of the
11	election, or during the election, what would I do if I were
12	told officially by SITE Taskforce, or through the
13	Conservatives, whatever, that my riding has been under
14	foreign interference? I would have no answer to that because
15	we started detecting irregularities starting, like, early
16	September ourselves. And we have taken steps to protect
17	ourselves. We have taken steps to clear the air, but to no
18	effect that we found all the work that we've done.
19	So one big question I have in mind would be
20	instead of just notifying me, that's why I was suggesting to
21	Madam Commissioner that if we could develop an effective way
22	of communicating to the diaspora communities that are the
23	target of foreign powers exploitation, I think that would
24	help tremendously. And that's what I came up with when I
25	sort of go back in time and think about.
26	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
27	Chiu.
28	With your leave, Commissioner, I hope to put

1	a document to Mr. Chiu today. We didn't include it in our
2	cross-examination request, but at the evening of April $1^{\rm st}$,
3	we let Commission counsel know that we intended to ask for
4	your leave to put the document to the witness. It's the
5	interview summary of Benjamin Fung. It's at WIT 5.EN. If
6	granted leave, I would ask that it be entered as an exhibit.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Can you put the document
8	on the screen?
9	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: For the Court Reporter,
10	it's or Court Operator, it's WIT 5.EN.
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It's fine. You can ask
12	your question.
13	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you, Commissioner.
14	And Mr. Chiu, my focus with this document
15	will just be
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Excuse me, Madam
17	Commissioner. We didn't advise excuse me for
18	interrupting. We didn't advise the parties of the document
19	that's being put to the witness, so maybe if we just give the
20	parties five or 10 minutes to review the document? It's the
21	witness summary of Benjamin Fung, which is WIT 5.
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Surely. But what I
23	suggest is we'll just wait for the question,
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay.
25	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: and then we'll break
26	to let everyone take a look at the document.
27	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Sure.
28	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Do you have more than

1	one question
2	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: For my
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: from this document,
4	or?
5	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: It would be a couple
6	questions. I would the easiest might be to, like, go
7	through well, I can make it one, if it's easiest, I can
8	make it one.
9	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay.
10	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Yeah, okay. Sure, I'll
11	make it one.
12	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Is it going to be along
13	one?
14	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: No, no.
15	So Mr. Chiu, again, the question that I'll
16	have for you having to do with the summary, really what I'll
17	do is I'm just going to point to a couple of the social media
18	platforms Mr. Fung mentions in this summary, and then ask you
19	questions about those.
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Okay.
21	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: So if it's easiest, I can
22	just point them out and then we can take a break, perhaps?
23	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: It's up to you, of
25	course.
26	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes.
27	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
28	So Mr. Fung's interview summary notes that

```
beginning in the September 2021, this information about you
1
2
        and criticism of the Conservative party began being shared on
        several social media platforms, including WeChat, which we
3
        see at paragraph 6, if we could just scroll down. And then
4
        you'll notice WeChat's mentioned in there, and then also
5
6
        WhatsApp, which we see at paragraph 7 on the next page.
                        And you see that, Mr. Chiu?
7
                        MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
8
9
                        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And so from your
        perspective, are these primary social media platforms and
10
        messaging apps for Chinese-Canadians, including those who
11
        were your constituents?
12
13
                        MR. KENNY CHIU: Am I to answer right now,
14
        or...?
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE:
                                             No. I think, then,
15
        we'll break.
16
                        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okav.
17
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Because everyone will
18
19
        review the document, or at least the paragraphs that are
        useful. So I don't think -- is the document very long,
20
21
        or...? No? So we'll take just five minutes.
22
                        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay, thank you.
                        THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre,
23
24
        s'il vous plaît.
                        This hearing is now in recess for five
25
        minutes. La séance est maintenant pause pour cinq minutes.
26
         --- Upon recessing at 2:58 p.m./
27
28
        --- La séance est suspendue à 14 h 58
```

```
--- Upon resuming at 3:03 p.m./
1
        --- La séance est reprise à 15 h 03
2
                        THE REGISTRAR: This hearing of the
3
        Commission on Foreign Interference is back in session.
4
        séance de la Commission sur l'Ingérence Étrangère a repris.
5
6
        --- MR. KENNY CHIU, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: May I ask you just to
7
8
        warn the witness that the document has not been filed yet?
9
        The document that you're using, the summary, is not part of
        the evidence yet.
10
                        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Yeah, yes.
11
12
                        Mister...
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Just for the witness to
13
14
        know.
                        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR:
                                            Yeah.
15
        --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
16
        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR, (Cont'd/Suite):
17
                        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Mr. Chui, the document is
18
19
        not part of the evidence yet, as the person whose witness
        statement it is isn't...
20
21
                        MR. KENNY CHIU: Thank you. Appreciate that.
22
                        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: So going back to my
23
        actual question, we were looking at WeChat and WhatsApp.
                        MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
24
                        MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: From your perspective,
25
        are these primary social media platforms and messaging apps
26
        for Chinese-Canadians, including those who are your
27
28
        constituents?
```

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
2	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: But it's not an exclusive
4	list because in China technology is developing very rapidly.
5	Every couple of years you will start seeing new apps. For
6	example, one of them, it's Xiaohongshu, and this is becoming
7	more popular, even in Taiwan, and it's now coming to other
8	to the rest of the world, such as Canada.
9	And so, yes, TikTok, Douyin, WeChat, QQ, but
10	also the up and coming ones.
11	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Chiu.
12	And you mentioned QQ. Mr. Fung's interview
13	summary, and perhaps in the interest of time I won't bring
14	you to the paragraphs, but he also mentions that
15	disinformation about you and/or criticism about the
16	Conservative Party and Erin O'Toole was published by Chinese
17	language media outlets and news websites like Today
18	Commercial News, QQ, Chinesecanadianvoice.ca, the Global
19	Times, and Rise Magazine.
20	From your perspective, are these primary news
21	sources for Chinese-Canadians, including those who are your
22	constituents?
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And from your
25	perspective, was the spread of disinformation about you and
26	criticism of the Conservative Party through these particular
27	social media platforms and news outlets, as well as what you
28	have described as your effective shunning from ethnic news

1	media in the Greater Vancouver Area, in particular,
2	significant?
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. Although the list of
4	media is not exclusive, so there are other medias that are
5	engaging more into self-censorship, for example, that also
6	play into the whole game as well.
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And these are Chinese
8	language
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
10	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: outlets?
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
12	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
13	If we could turn to scroll down to
14	paragraph 10 in the witness summary?
15	At paragraph 10, Mr. Fung says:
16	"Reproducing the same text nearly
17	verbatim is very typical of CCP
18	propaganda. A small deviation from a
19	source text might prompt
20	repercussions against the author if
21	it's thought to convey the wrong
22	meaning. As a result, authors will
23	often hesitate to make even small
24	changes and will hew closely to the
25	original text." (As read)
26	In your review of the disinformation shared
27	about you, did you notice a pattern in similar messaging,
28	almost verbatim texts among a number of indirect

MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. 1 2 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Yes? 3 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. And they share similar attack arguments, and also even the insults are 4 identical. 5 6 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you. Based on your understanding of the Chinese 7 government's treatment of those who oppose its actions, would 8 9 you agree that your public stance on China issues and your support, or perceived support of Hong Kongers and Uyghurs, 10 was likely one of the reasons that you became a target of the 11 Chinese government? 12 13 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. 14 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Those are all my 15 questions. Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you, Mr. 16 Chiu. 17 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. 18 19 Attorney General. 20 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: 21 22 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Good afternoon, sir. My name is Gregory Tzemenakis; I'm counsel for the Attorney 23 General. 24 25 MR. KENNY CHIU: Good afternoon. 26 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: I have four quick areas I would like to touch upon to just clarify the 27 28 evidence. I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to pull up

1	your witness statement, which is 000014.
2	In your evidence this morning, sir, you said
3	and please correct me if I'm wrong at any point that
4	foreign interference activities was a factor in the loss of
5	in your riding in general election 2021; correct?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. More specifically,
7	foreign interference from China from the PRC.
8	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And did I also
9	understand you to say that foreign interference was not the
10	only factor that
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
12	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: might have
13	contributed to the loss?
14	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. Just like
15	any other elections, there are multiple actors at play.
16	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you.
17	I'm going to ask if we can turn to paragraph
18	41 of your witness summary, and I just want to clarify, sir,
19	that in addition to the evidence that you gave this morning,
20	does this paragraph is this paragraph still consistent
21	with your view? And the paragraph reads I'm not going to
22	read the whole paragraph or I will for the record:
23	"Although foreign interference by
24	China was a significant factor in his
25	election loss, Mr. Chiu acknowledges
26	it was not the only factor. Other
27	factors likely contributed to the
28	outcome. The election took place in

1	a very politically polarized climate
2	after"
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Excuse me.
4	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes.
5	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It's just sorry,
6	
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: You're going too fast.
8	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Yes.
9	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay.
10	I'm not going to read it, sir. Can you just
11	confirm for us that the context of this paragraph still
12	remains true, given your evidence this morning?
13	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
14	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Now, sir, I want to
15	talk a little bit on another topic about information flow,
16	and I heard you say this morning that some of the concerns
17	about misinformation you raised with the national campaign,
18	so with the campaign of the Conservative Party of Canada that
19	was managing the national campaign. Is that right?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: The Conservative Party of
21	Canada has provided a lot of leeway for local campaigns to
22	conduct itself. But we do have maintained a conduit to
23	provide information to communicate with the central campaign.
24	So my staff, for example, my campaign manager, he has
25	participated in that mechanism; he's the point man.
26	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay. Did you
27	understand do you know if the campaign asked any of the
28	concerns that your staff expressed to the SITE Task Force?

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Not directly for sure.
2	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Do you expect
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: However, we I have
4	instructed my staff to talk to the central campaign about our
5	situation that we're facing.
6	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay, I get that
7	part. I'm just asking if you understood did the campaign
8	ever tell you that they passed your concerns along
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: Oh.
10	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: to the SITE Task
11	Force?
12	MR. KENNY CHIU: The Conservative
13	headquarters, whether they have?
14	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Yes.
15	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, I don't think I have
16	heard from the central campaign whether they have or not.
17	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you.
18	I want to talk a little bit about and
19	appreciating that I do not want you to get into any
20	classified information whatsoever, am I correct that you met
21	with the Canadian Security Intelligence Service for a
22	security awareness briefing prior to GE 2021?
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
24	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And did you also
25	meet with them during the writ period to discuss other
26	matters?
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, per my request.
28	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Per your request.

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: I called them and I have
2	them come to my campaign office to talk to them.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Did you have any other
4	meetings with the service during the writ period, other than
5	that one occasion?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: No. I feel like I need to
7	clarify
8	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Please.
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: that the meeting that
10	CSIS contacted me while I was still an MP, prior to the
11	election, of course. They would not explain to me why they
12	want to talk to me. They sat down, they only asked me
13	questions and I provided answer, and that was it.
14	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay.
15	I'm not going to get into the specifics of it
16	
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah, yeah.
18	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: but I don't want
19	you to lie.
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: I would not be able to
21	answer you.
22	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: That's fine.
23	My last or second-last topic is we were
24	talking a little bit about the misinformation campaign on
25	WeChat and other Chinese language media. Do you recall that
26	discussion?
27	And my friend just took you to excerpts from
28	the testimony and identified certain media organizations and

social media platforms. 1 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: SITE Task Force, yeah. MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: No, no, the platform 3 where there was misinformation. 4 MR. KENNY CHIU: 5 Okav. 6 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So ---MR. KENNY CHIU: As observed by our end. 7 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: As observed by your 8 9 campaign. MR. KENNY CHIU: By my campaign, yes. Yes. 10 The screenshots. 11 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And I understood you 12 13 to say that you could not -- well, let me ask a different 14 question. As a candidate, do you agree that voters may 15 not understand a Party's position or a Party's platform and 16 that efforts need to be made to explain and clarify positions 17 and platforms? 18 19 MR. KENNY CHIU: I think so, yeah. It would be -- it would be the job of a candidate, for example, to 20 articulate that. Sure. 21 22 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And it's -- I'm going to suggest to you, and I think it's not contested, that 23 it's not only the job of the candidate, it's the job of the 24 candidate, it's the job of the Party, it's the job of the 25 national and local candidates. Is that fair? 26 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah, I think so. Just like 27 28 the Government of Canada is responsible for clarifying

untruth about legislative matter that in the House of 1 2 Commons, for example ---3 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Right. MR. KENNY CHIU: --- Bill C288. 4 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And isn't it also 5 6 true that people and individuals can express opinions that you may not agree with or that others may not agree with, but 7 8 that's part of the electoral process? 9 MR. KENNY CHIU: Oh, definitely. Sure. MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And it's part of the 10 democratic process. 11 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah. 12 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: You just have to say 13 14 "yes" or "no" for the record. 15 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: 16 And my question to you is, you become aware 17 of these communications that are taking place on WeChat and I 18 19 heard you say you didn't have an ability to engage in the debate because you weren't a user. Is that fair? 20 21 MR. KENNY CHIU: That's right. I don't use 22 WeChat. 23 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So how did you 24 become aware and could those who made you aware have ---MR. KENNY CHIU: Through my volunteers and my 25 They used WeChat and they have seen all these 26 supporters. disinformation being circulated. 27 28 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And could your

1	volunteers and we'll talk about volunteers in particular.
2	Could the volunteers on your campaign have
3	engaged or have put forward your correct position or
4	clarified your position or explained your position on these
5	platforms?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: I believe you are searching
7	a rational discussion in the heat of a very emotionally-
8	charged disinformation campaign. That would be nearly
9	impossible. The very fact that the group of seniors who came
10	to me came to us, myself and MP former MP Alice Wong,
11	we explained to them we thought we have, quote unquote,
12	disarmed them and we clearly articulated what exactly my
13	proposal, Bill C282, it's supposed to do. That did not help.
14	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: My last question is
15	this. You made a very conscious effort to do that with the
16	seniors in a very emotional meeting, but no similar effort
17	was made on the WeChat platforms. Am I right on if I have
18	it wrong, please tell me I'm wrong.
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, that you are correct.
20	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay.
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: You are correct.
22	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you very much,
23	sir.
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
25	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
26	Re-examination, Mr. Ferguson?
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: If you'd just allow me
28	a moment, Madam Commissioner.

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Madam Commissioner, I was
2	wondering if I could add just one sentence to that very last
3	answer.
4	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes, you can.
5	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It may save the re-
6	examination.
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: The Member of Parliament,
8	the House of Commons has prohibited us from using WeChat when
9	I was a Member of Parliament because of security concern. It
10	was just weeks ago I was an MP and then during the election,
11	I'm not. And knowing the danger that I'm submitting myself
12	to, we have made a conscious decision not to get a WeChat
13	account nor engage with people on WeChat.
14	Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: No further questions.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: I think we just need
19	sorry, Madam Commissioner. It's we just need five
20	minutes to switch to the next witness.
21	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: To switch to the next
22	witness?
23	Thank you very much, Mr. Chiu, and good luck.
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: Thank you.
25	THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre,
26	s'il vous plaît.
27	The hearing is in recess until five minutes.
28	La séance est en pause jusqu'à cinq minutes.

```
--- Upon recessing at 3:16 p.m./
1
2
        --- La séance est suspendue à 15 h 15
        --- Upon resuming at 3:21 p.m./
        --- La séance est reprise à 15 h 21
4
                       THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il
5
6
        vous plait.
                       This sitting of the Foreign Interference
7
        Commission is back in session. Cette séance de la Commission
8
9
        sur l'ingérence étrangère à repris.
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Good afternoon,
10
        Ms. Kwan.
11
                        HON. JENNY KWAN: Good afternoon.
12
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So Me Rodriguez, it's
13
14
        your turn.
15
                        MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much.
                        If we can have the witness affirmed, please.
16
                        THE REGISTRAR: Could you please state your
17
        name for the record, and spell your last name, please.
18
19
                        HON. JENNY KWAN: Jenny Kwan, K-W-A-N.
        --- HON. JENNY KWAN, Affirmed/sous affirmation solennelle:
20
        --- EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN CHEF PAR
21
22
        MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ:
23
                        MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon,
24
        Ms. Kwan.
25
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I just want to make sure
26
        that ---
                        MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
27
28
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- it's fine if they
```

1 are not ---2 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Oh. 3 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- present ---MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: I did not ---4 **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** --- your colleagues? 5 6 It's okay? I see that your two friends ---MR. ADDISON LEIGH: Yes, I think they're just 7 8 on their way back, Madam Commissioner. 9 **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** Pardon me? MR. ADDISON LEIGH: I think they're just on 10 their way back, Madam Commissioner. I can go take a look. 11 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay, but I think we'll 12 13 wait just to make sure that ---14 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- they are with us before we start. Sorry. Is there anybody else missing or 16 it's fine? Because I see many empty seats. It's okay? I 17 haven't noticed if all the seats were -- no, okay. There 18 19 is.... 20 Okay. So you can go on. 21 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you very 22 much. 23 Good afternoon, Ms. Kwan. Good to see you 24 again. As you know, my name is Natalia Rodriguez. I'm 25 Commission Counsel. On February 15, 2024, you had an interview 26 with Commission Counsel; is that right? 27 28 HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And an interview
2	summary was generated from that interview.
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I'm now going to
5	call up WIT 12.EN.
6	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 12.EN:
7	Interview Summary: Jenny Kwan
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And Ms. Kwan, is this
9	the interview summary that was generated from your interview
10	with Commission Counsel?
11	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it is.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you've had a
13	chance to review that summary for accuracy?
14	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you have any
16	corrections, additions, or deletions to make to that summary
17	at this time?
18	HON. JENNY KWAN: I do not.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you adopt the
20	contents of this summary as part of your evidence before the
21	Commission today?
22	HON. JENNY KWAN: I do.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Great. So we'll have
24	that entered as the next exhibit. And for the record, the
25	French translation is at WIT 12.FR.
26	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 12.FR:
27	Résumé d'entrevue : Jenny Kwan
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And also for the

KWAN
In-Ch(Rodriguez)

record, you have a copy of your witness summary, of your 1 interview summary, rather, with you today as you give 2 testimony. Is that right? 3 HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct. I have a 4 copy here. 5 6 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And that's just as an memory aid? 7 8 HON. JENNY KWAN: Just in case if I need to 9 refer to it. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. 10 So I understand that you are a Member of 11 Parliament for the NDP for the riding of Vancouver East. Is 12 13 that correct? 14 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. 15 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were first elected to parliament in 2015. Is that correct? 16 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right. 17 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were 18 19 re-elected in 2019. 20 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. 21 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were elected again in 2021? 22 HON. JENNY KWAN: 23 Yes. 24 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were 25 previously member of the British Columbia Legislative 26 Assembly from 1996 until 2015 when you ran for your current 27 position. Is that right? 28 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right. I was the

KWAN In-Ch(Rodriquez)

- 1 member of the Legislative Assembly for Vancouver Mount
- 2 Pleasant.
- 3 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And that was with the
- 4 provincial NDP.
- 5 HON. JENNY KWAN: That is right. That's
- 6 correct.
- 7 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And from 1998 until
- 8 2001, you were a provincial member of cabinet. Is that
- 9 right?
- 10 HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.
- 11 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand you
- entered politics in 1993 when you were elected to the
- 13 Vancouver City Council.
- 14 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
- 15 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you immigrated to
- 16 Canada with your family from Hong Kong at the age of 9. Is
- that right?
- 18 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right.
- 19 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand that
- 20 before politics you were a community legal advocate working
- 21 primarily in Vancouver's Downtown East side.
- 22 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right.
- 23 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, you received a
- 24 briefing by CSIS concerning foreign interference in May of
- 25 2023. Is that right?
- 26 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. In fact, I
- 27 got two briefings if you will. One was just prior to the
- 28 2021 election. It was kind of like a general briefing. And

1	then in May of 2023, I received a more specific briefing from
2	CSIS.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so I'll
4	take you now to CAN.DOC.23. Now, it's a document entitled
5	Commission Request for Summary Information On Briefing To
6	Jenny Kwan.
7	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN.DOC 23:
8	Summary information on briefing to
9	Jenny Kwan
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: At the request of the
11	Commission, the government has produced a summary of the
12	briefing that you received in May of 2023 in a format that is
13	suitable for public disclosure. Now, you may be asked
14	questions about this summary today. In accordance with the
15	Commission's obligations to prevent the disclosure of
16	information protected by national security confidentiality, I
17	will not be asking you any questions intended to elicit any
18	information that remains classified.
19	Now, I understand you may not be able to
20	answer aspects of my question as a result, so simply you can
21	indicate, where you cannot provide some information in the
22	answer, you can just say that you cannot answer for this
23	reason, and I will move on to something else.
24	And you've had a chance, I understand, to
25	review this summary. Is that right?
26	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you've agreed
28	that the summary could be made public and your name attached

1	to it. Is that right?
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And now, speaking
4	in general terms, without getting into specifics, does the
5	summary generally accord with your recollection of the type
6	of information that you were provided with in that briefing?
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And again, without
9	getting into the details of the content of the briefing or
10	any specifics, did you find that briefing, and again we're
11	speaking about the May 2023 briefing, did you find it to be
12	helpful?
13	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was helpful, and
14	particularly, I thought it was important for me to know and
15	to be informed that I am a target by the CCP, and that I
16	would likely be a what was termed as a "evergreen target".
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And did
18	the briefing provide you with any tools that you could
19	implement to better protect yourself against foreign
20	interference or attempts of foreign interference?
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: The briefing explained to
22	me the structure of what foreign interference would look like
23	coming from China and how the United Front work department
24	would be set up, and how possibly that work would be
25	undertaken. There were no I'm not at liberty to disclose
26	details around some of that work. So from that perspective
27	it was good to know.
28	The other piece that would be really good to

know, of course, is just to know that you're being a target. 1 It brings to the forefront, I think, the reality of what is 2 3 and potentially could be out there. And so from that perspective I thought it was useful and helpful. 4 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Now, you 5 6 would agree that you follow events in Hong Kong and Mainland China fairly closely? 7 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. 8 9 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And why is that? HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, Hong Kong is my 10 birthplace, so I have obviously a special connection to it, 11 and my ancestors, they come from China. My parents were born 12 13 in China, my grandparents were born in China, and so there is 14 that connection from that perspective. So with news that emerged in the international community is something that I do 15 follow and to watch and see what is going on. 16 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you have 17 family ties currently to Hong Kong? 18 19 HON. JENNY KWAN: I do not, and especially in light of the fact to know that I am being targeted, and I'm 20 21 particularly grateful for that, I don't have to worry about 22 family members or relatives that are there, unlike some of the other people who might as a result of being targeted. 23 24 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Is that because you don't have family in Hong Kong or Mainland China or is it 25 because you don't have -- you haven't maintained any 26 connection with them? 27 28 HON. JENNY KWAN: It's because we don't have

any family members that are left in Hong Kong or China. We -1 - when we immigrated to Canada, my family unit, we were the 2 3 last group to leave Hong Kong, and so consequently we don't have any close relatives. We may have some loose relatives, 4 if you will, or distant relatives in China that I don't 5 6 really know. 7 Some years ago, when I travelled back to China on my own, as somebody who was trying to find my roots, 8 9 I met people there living in my mom's house or my mom's family's home that I have no idea who they are, but they're 10 all there in that village living in the home, taking care of 11 the home, but they are not -- I wouldn't classify it as 12 13 family members. 14 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay, thank you. 15 some -- what are some of the issues related to Hong Kong and 16 the PRC that you have spoken up about and been vocal on. HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, on the issue around 17 Hong Kong and, of course, the national security law is a 18 19 critical issue for the people of Hong Kong. I watch Hong Kong very closely, and one of the reasons why my family 20 immigrated to Canada was because of the handover. My family 21 22 were particularly worried about what is the aftermath of that. And, of course, in addition to that, they wanted to 23 give opportunities to the children. There are six of us, 24 25 together a family of eight, and my mom only has a Grade six level of education. My dad has a Grade 10 level of 26 education, and they wanted their children to have 27

opportunities. So we immigrated here, and I'm watching very

carefully in terms of what's developing. 1 So with the national security law and what 2 was clear to me is that the policy on one country, two system 3 has been completely dismantled. I also watch on the news the 4 devastating police brutality against the protesters and the 5 6 peaceful protesters. So many of them are young people. 7 that's something that is close and near and dear to my heart. And, of course, prior to that, I was also 8 made aware, I wasn't involved in the electoral system at that 9 time, but, of course, was made aware and watch on the news 10 like many people the Tiananmen massacre, and that whole news 11 was just -- and again, another devastating piece of news 12 13 that's surfacing in the community. The issue around the 14 Uyghur, Uyghur genocide is another issue that I follow very 15 closely as well and advocate for. The situation with Taiwan, for example, as well, so there are a number of different 16 issues to which I watch very closely to see what is evolving 17 in the community. 18 19 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And, Ms. Kwan, I would just ask that for the sake of the translators, and I 20 21 also have to remind myself at times, just to be aware that 22 they are translating in real time and to try and slow down a little bit for them. 23 24 HON. JENNY KWAN: Apologies. 25 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. No, no need to 26 apologize. Was there a time when you started to become 27

more vocal about these issues, and if so, when would that

have been? 1 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, particularly at the 2 3 time when, you know, the news was surfacing about Hong Kongers taking to the streets and peaceful protests, and, 4 literally, we're talking about, you know, millions of people 5 6 taking to the streets and to raise the issues worried about 7 the extradition law and so on, I started to sort of tune in even more so. And then you started to hear the news of the 8 9 police brutality emerge. And then that's really at a point where I felt like I have to speak up and you have to stand 10 with Hong Kongers as someone who was born in Hong Kong. So 11 those were the issues that really brought it to the 12 13 forefront, but, you know, all throughout my political career, 14 I've always spoken up for human rights, and raised the issues around human rights concerns related to China. So such as, 15 16 for example, with the Tiananmen massacre on certain anniversaries of those days, I would issue statements, for 17 example, to highlight that situation and to remember the 18 19 victims and their families, as an example. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so when you said 20 21 around the national security law, around that time and the 22 protests in Hong Kong, I take it that would be around 2019; is that right? 23 24 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. 25 when this first emerged. 26 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So is it fair to say that for the last five years you have been more vocal 27

about some of these China related issues that you've

1	mentioned?
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And I
4	understand that you've also spoken at and attended rallies in
5	relation to PRC issues. Can you maybe tell us a little bit
6	about those?
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yeah, there were a number
8	of different community rallies held. One was held actually
9	just outside of the Vancouver Public Library, and it was the
10	earlier on period with respect to the national security law,
11	and so I spoke at that rally there. There were other rallies
12	to which I spoke in front of the consulates building, or
13	residence, if you will, and
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And, sorry, when
15	would that have been, the one in front of the consulate?
16	HON. JENNY KWAN: I did it a number of
17	different times when I stood in front of that building. And
18	the first time to which I did that, let me just pass back my
19	memory, I believe that would have been in 2020 and 2021, to
20	be sure, I think.
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so maybe to
22	refresh your memory, I would call up JKW 149.
23	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. JKW 149:
24	Photo Democracy Walk near Chinese
25	Consulate
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So I don't
27	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an
28	image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and

1	white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind
2	of see. Okay. So do you know whether this would have been
3	one of the instances in which you were speaking in front of
4	the Chinese consulate?
5	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was. So I'm
6	standing in this image on a flatbed truck that the organizers
7	used as a platform, and that's in front of the Chinese
8	consulate.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if we can go to
10	JKW 150, and zoom out as well? Is that building that we're
11	seeing on the right, is that the Chinese Consulate?
12	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. JKW 150:
13	Photo Democracy Walk near Chinese
14	Consulate
15	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So we can
17	appreciate a little bit the distance, where you are relative
18	to the consulate. And so this would be the same event as the
19	last photo that we saw; is that right?
20	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right.
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And can you
22	tell us a bit more about the experience of protesting
23	well, speaking at an event in front of the Chinese Consulate?
24	HON. JENNY KWAN: So at this event, the
25	organizers brought us there. We sort of began, I think, with
26	a walk, and then sort of ended up at this location, and
27	speakers were invited up. I was I believe I was the only
28	elected official to appear and speak at this event. And at

this event, as I was speaking, I was noticing as well that 1 there is a camera, actually, right by the tree there. 2 3 Anyway, just right by the fence there. And you can see the camera kind of turn, and then it alerted me to the fact that 4 what was happening was they were actually recording images 5 6 possibly, or at least being able to see who was out there and 7 participating. And I know that for some of the community members they're particularly concerned about being 8 identified. So as a result, some people wore masks. 9 people wore hoodies and the like to sort of try and sort of 10 protect their identity, or at least not to be so easily 11 identifiable in this event. And so we were speaking this --12 13 and I think I had a megaphone of some sort or a mic of some 14 sort to speak. There were people also across the street, by the way, so that they're not right within the vicinity of 15 this area, perhaps to evade the cameras possibly, but that's 16 sort of the nature of the event. 17 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I noticed that 18 19 you did not seem to have your face covered or you're not wearing a hoodie or not -- you're not hiding your identity in 20 21 any way. Was that a concern for you or what was the reason 22 for not wanting to conceal yourself? 23 HON. JENNY KWAN: I was not masking. not trying to hide my identity. I speak publicly about these 24 25 issues. I am an elected official. I support human rights everywhere. Not just here in Canada, but abroad as well, and 26 I fight for these things. And so as an elected official, I 27

feel very much that I have this privilege, this honour, this

platform that's before me, and I have to use it. I feel like 1 that's part of my job. And so in this instance, when we're 2 talking about, you know, the Tiananmen massacre that 3 occurred, the situation with Hong Kong, where people are 4 losing their basic human rights, where the rule of law that 5 6 once supplied to them is no more, I feel like that it is my job to speak up and I have a platform to do so. It would be 7 a disservice if I were to hide my identity to the position 8 that I hold to which I honour, I think, in my role as a 9 Member of Parliament. And I'm also cognizant as well that 10 there are many members of the diaspora community who are not 11 at liberty to do that because they are afraid because they 12 13 have family members that are there, that are in Hong Kong or 14 in China that might have repercussions for them. And in some ways, I also feel like it's my responsibility to speak up and 15 16 to be that voice. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Now, I 17 want to move on -- we can take the document down. I want to 18 19

want to move on -- we can take the document down. I want to speak a little bit about your experience with Chinese community associations. And in your witness summary, you refer to some of these as "The Big Five". And I wanted you to explain what you meant by that. Who are The Big Five and why are they considered important?

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

HON. JENNY KWAN: So in our community, there are a number of community events that would be hosted by various organizations. The biggest ones would be, for example, the Lunar New Year celebration, the big parade that takes place in Chinatown. The organizations that come

1	together to organize this would be what I call "The Big
2	Five". Sometimes it's six, but generally, it's this frame.
3	The Chinese Benevolent Association is one of them. The
4	Chinese Freemasons is also another one. The Chinese Cultural
5	Centre is the third one. The Vancouver Chinatown Merchants
6	Association is another organization. And finally, an
7	organization called S.U.C.C.E.S.S., which in full, the name
8	is the United Chinese Community Enhancement Services Society.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand
10	while these five may have some, or potentially six, have some
11	significance, there are many, many, many different community
12	associations within the Canadian Chinese community. Can you
13	tell us briefly about that?
14	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, there are many
15	community organizations within the Chinese community to be
16	sure. Some of them are service oriented, non-profit
16 17	sure. Some of them are service oriented, non-profit orientated, but there are also many what we call clan
17	orientated, but there are also many what we call clan
17 18	orientated, but there are also many what we call clan associations. These associations were really established
17 18 19	orientated, but there are also many what we call clan associations. These associations were really established long ago, back in the day when Chinese people came to Canada,
17 18 19 20	orientated, but there are also many what we call clan associations. These associations were really established long ago, back in the day when Chinese people came to Canada, they were really being discriminated against in many ways,
17 18 19 20 21	orientated, but there are also many what we call clan associations. These associations were really established long ago, back in the day when Chinese people came to Canada, they were really being discriminated against in many ways, and they clan associations are grouped together usually by
17 18 19 20 21 22	orientated, but there are also many what we call clan associations. These associations were really established long ago, back in the day when Chinese people came to Canada, they were really being discriminated against in many ways, and they clan associations are grouped together usually by people's last names or districts, or region, if you will, and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	orientated, but there are also many what we call clan associations. These associations were really established long ago, back in the day when Chinese people came to Canada, they were really being discriminated against in many ways, and they clan associations are grouped together usually by people's last names or districts, or region, if you will, and it really became an association for people to network, to
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	orientated, but there are also many what we call clan associations. These associations were really established long ago, back in the day when Chinese people came to Canada, they were really being discriminated against in many ways, and they clan associations are grouped together usually by people's last names or districts, or region, if you will, and it really became an association for people to network, to find support and kinship with each other, and back in the day

restrictions and discriminatory practices towards Chinese

people. 1 So these clan associations exist in my 2 riding. A lot of them were first established in -- around 3 Chinatown. And so there are literally hundreds of those in 4 my riding. 5 6 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And in terms of The 7 Big Five that you mentioned, are they headquartered within your riding? 8 9 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, they are. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And can you describe 10 your relationship with these five organizations historically 11 and how it is now? And if there's been any change, to note 12 13 that as well. 14 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yeah, I will say that historically, I've had a good relationship with all these 15 organizations. We would work together, collaborate on 16 different issues at different times, and to support the 17 community and to advocate for policy change or whatever the 18 19 case may be. 20 But I will say that over time, as I became 21 more and more vocal on the issues that profile China's human 22 rights violations, as an example, especially for sure during the Hong Kong -- with the Hong Kong National Security Law 23 situation, I sensed that there was a shift in attitude from 24 25 these organizations towards me. 26 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what do you mean by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? 27 28 HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was

1	noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know,
2	the invitations that would, for example, would come to my
3	office, and we would not receive some invitations to our
4	office, as an example. Some of the community leaders would
5	shy away from engaging with me, as an example. And so you
6	sort of just see those kinds of changes. It became in the
7	beginning it was a little more subtle, and then it became
8	more and more obvious over time.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And when did you
10	begin to perceive that change?
11	HON. JENNY KWAN: I would say in and around
12	2019 I started to notice there's some shift and some change.
13	But for sure as you sort of move towards 2020, 2021, you
14	know, you really noticed a significant departure from that
15	behaviour.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so I'll take you
17	now to JKW 65_R.
18	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. JKW 65 R:
19	Press release February 18, 2022
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is a press
21	release dated February 18, 2022. It's titled CCP suspected
22	of manipulating the political ecology of Chinese Canadians
23	through Lunar New Year celebration events. And my
24	understanding is that this is a press release by the Chinese
25	Concerned Group, who is an intervenor in this Commission, and
26	it's about your exclusion from a Lunar New Year celebration
27	in 2022.
28	I assume you have seen this press release

1 before? Is that right? 2 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I have. 3 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And can you tell us about that particular event and how you were excluded 4 from that event? 5 6 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yeah, so this was an event that was coming in to celebrate the Lunar New Year. So we 7 were just kind of coming out of covid. And so over the covid 8 9 period, the big celebration that took place in my riding did not happen. There was no big parade and so on because of 10 covid. So this would have been the first time since that 11 period where a Lunar New Year event celebration is being held 12 13 in the community. While it's not a parade, but still it's 14 deemed to be a significant celebratory moment. 15 At this event, it was -- there were two 16 organizations that organized this event, and one of them is the Chinese Benevolent Association. 17 But for this event, we saw in the newspaper 18 19 afterwards -- not only did my office not receive an invite for it, but we saw in the newspaper coverage of the event 20 21 that there were people invited, elected officials invited, 22 from outside of Vancouver. There were definitely Members of Parliament from Vancouver too from outside of the district 23 24 where the event was being hosted at, like people from Richmond, for example, were invited to it, the Mayor of 25

Richmond, for example, was invited to it, provincial elected

there was, I think, councillors from Burnaby invited to it.

officials were invited to Richmond -- or invited to it.

26

27

1	What's glaringly obvious is that I, myself,
2	as a Chinese Canadian who is in that riding, in that area
3	there, was not invited. The Mayor of Vancouver at the time
4	was also not invited as well. So that became quite obvious,
5	at least from my perspective, that there's a distinction on
6	who they've chosen to be invited to this event.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if we can go to
8	the bottom of that page? And the press release says, in the
9	last paragraph:
10	"As Chinatown falls under the
11	electoral district of Jenny Kwan and
12	she is the longest serving Chinese-
13	Canadian politician, a celebration of
14	Lunar New Year in Vancouver's
15	Chinatown excluding Jenny Kwan and
16	Vancouver's current mayor Kennedy
17	Stewart makes no sense."
18	And if we keep going:
19	"The Concerned Group feels that the
20	only plausible reason is that Jenny
21	Kwan had, in Parliament, brought up
22	the Hong Kong situation as well as
23	voting in support of the motion
24	naming China's Uyghur persecution as
25	genocide"
26	And it goes on from there. Do you agree with
27	that assessment?
28	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I do.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: I want to take you
2	now to JKW 70_R. And this is a letter from you, and it is
3	addressed to the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.
4	That's CSIS.
5	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. JKW 70 R:
6	Letter to CSIS
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if we go down
8	just a little bit? Okay. Thank you.
9	It is a letter speaking to CSIS about your
10	exclusion from that Lunar New Years celebration. You recall
11	sending this letter?
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I do.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And why did you write
14	to CSIS about this?
15	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well from the briefings,
16	from the first briefing from CSIS, one of the things that
17	they said to me was that, please, keep us informed. Stay
18	alert. These are some of the you know, certain things
19	that you might be suspicious about, or you wondered about,
20	please, keep us informed. So, to that end, I thought it was
21	important for CSIS to know that this has occurred, and so I
22	wrote that letter and brought it to their attention.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So if we go to the
24	last paragraph of the letter, and then it says,
25	"I hope CSIS could look into this to
26	determine whether or not, or to what
27	extent foreign influence or agents of
28	a foreign government is or are

1	affecting how community events are
2	organized and reports its findings to
3	various authorities including the
4	federal government." (As read)
5	Did you receive a response to this letter?
6	HON. JENNY KWAN: I did not.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: If I can turn now to
8	JKW-66_R?
9	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. JKW 66 R:
10	Press release July 10, 2023
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this one is dated
12	July 10, 2023, and it looks to also be a press release. And
13	this one's entitled "Canadian Chinese Concern Group on CCP's
14	Human Rights Violations, Expresses Concerns Over Possible
15	Infiltration of Chinese Communist Party in Chinese Canadian
16	Museum". And it is a press release that speaks about your
17	exclusion from a Chinese museum event. Can you I assume
18	you saw this press release at the time since it is about you?
19	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I have seen this.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And can you tell us a
21	little bit about that event and what led to this press
22	release?
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: So in Chinatown, we had a
24	very big event, actually, and that is the opening of the
25	Chinese Canadian Museum, the first in Canada. And so this
26	was a big event in terms of the opening, and there were many
27	community members and elected officials there. What happened
28	at this event was, as the local representative where the

KWAN In-Ch(Rodriguez)

1	Canadian Chinese Museum is located, as someone who's
2	represented this riding, and some I say who's broken barriers
3	for Chinese Canadian communities over the last 30 years in my
4	role as an elected official at one level or another, it was a
5	curious thing where at this event I was while I was
6	invited to it, I did I was not acknowledged of my presence
7	for the event. I did not receive a designated seat where
8	many of the prominent electoral officials were. And even
9	when during the program, elected officials, federal
10	representatives were invited up on stage to unveil a plaque
11	from the federal government. I was not invited to
12	participate in that. It was just a very curious thing and I
13	suppose in some ways, on a personal level, a little bit of a
14	hurtful thing that occurred. But in the face of that, and I
15	think the community groups and people who attended events saw
16	it as well, some came up to me afterwards and said, "Why
17	didn't they acknowledge you? Why didn't you go up on stage?
18	Why didn't you speak?" And said these things to me. And I
19	said, "Well, you know, I don't know. I'm not the organizer,
20	but here you are."
21	And so the concerned group, of course, who's
22	an active group in our community watching all of these things
23	all the time, and they also picked it up, and, consequently,
24	I think they wrote this press release.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And was that event
26	held in your riding?
27	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was. And the
28	Chinese Canadian Museum is in my riding, the first of its

1	kind in Canada.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I want to take
3	you now to CAN.SUM.3.
4	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CAN.SUM.3:
5	Topical Summary: PRC Officials -
6	Foreign Interference Activities in
7	Greater Vancouver in the 2019 General
8	Election
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: This is a topical
10	summary that was just recently made available. So I
11	understand, Ms. Kwan, you have not seen this before. It's
12	entitled "People's Republic of China Officials, Foreign
13	Interference Activities in Greater Vancouver in the 2019
14	General Election". And it comes with a page of caveats,
15	which my co-Commissioner counsel, Ms. Dann, read into the
16	record yesterday. Taking those caveats into account, I want
17	to take you to paragraph 3 of that summary, which is on the
18	second page. And it says,
19	"Intelligence reports indicate that
20	these officials coordinated the
21	exclusion" (As read)
22	And by "these officials", they're speaking
23	about officials for the People's Republic of China.
24	"coordinate the exclusion of
25	particular political candidates
26	perceived as anti-China from
27	attending local community events
28	related to the election." (As read)

1	So this is, again, the 2019 election.
2	"This was accomplished via PRC proxy
3	agents hiding the direct involvement
4	of these PRC officials." (As read)
5	Now, taking into account that this is
6	referring to events leading up to the 2019 election and you,
7	having given your evidence that you started noticing these
8	exclusions from events starting in and around 2019, does this
9	paragraph generally accord with your understanding of why and
10	how you may have been excluded from local community events
11	such as the ones that you described for us today?
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So is it fair to say
14	that, in your view, there is a relationship between your
15	advocacy around China-related issues and your exclusion from
16	local community events?
17	HON. JENNY KWAN: I think it is quite
18	plausible that is the case. What we also know is that a
19	former colleague of mine, Richard Lee, a former MLA, who was
20	shunted, I guess, from the community, and the word is that if
21	community groups invited him to events, then representatives
22	from the consulate would not attend, as an example. So
23	consequently, Richard did not get a lot of invitations. So
24	this kind of practice is something that we know does occur in
25	the community.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now I want to move on
27	to your experience with your constituents. What has your
28	experience with your constituents been like in the last 5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

years, so since 2019, and have you noticed a change in your constituents' demeanour, or in their interactions with you, and what can you tell us about that?

HON. JENNY KWAN: My constituents, many of them, of course, have watched me grow up, as they say, over all these years. But in the latter part, in the last five years or so particularly, with my outspokenness around human rights violations from China and particularly with the Hong Kong situation and so on, I'm noticing nervousness and fear from some of my constituents, and particularly, the elderly Chinese Canadian members. I've had people come up to me, to whisper to me, worried about their support for me and that it might be found out by the CCP, and that there might be repercussions for themselves or that of their family members. They have this fear in their heart, as an example. would often just come up to me, hold onto me, grab my arm, and sort of whisper these things to me. I would reassure them to say that no one will know who you voted for because our ballots are secret. Nobody can tell. Only you know, as you mark the ballot, as an example. There are instances where people would come up and ask me to say, "Did you really protest the consulate and stood in front of the consulate to speak out against them", as an example. Others would ask me to pay attention and focus on domestic issues, as an example. And then in that reference, I think to mean not to speak out against China. There are others who have outright said to me that they weren't going to be donating to me or supporting me because of that. So there is definitely some change in

1	behaviour.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so with respect
3	to the 2021 election, did you experience any of that those
4	concerns conveyed to you as you went door knocking and
5	canvassing for votes in 2021?
6	HON. JENNY KWAN: There were some people that
7	I door knocked on where they asked me "What is your position
8	on certain policies?", for example, on the Uyghur issue, as
9	an example. And you know, so those kinds of things would
10	surface. What is my position on Hong Kong? Those kinds of
11	things. But you can never know for sure was that a
12	determining factor for them in terms of that behaviour. But
13	from time to time, yes, those issues did come up.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did they express
15	concern about supporting you in 2021 for fear of reprisal?
16	HON. JENNY KWAN: A lot of these
17	conversations, when people say these things to me, they so
18	when I am talking about the seniors who raised those concerns
19	to me, some of them during the campaign would say that
20	they're worried, but a lot of them after the campaign would
21	continue to raise these issues up with me.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So it wasn't
23	limited to the 2021 campaign is what you're saying.
24	HON. JENNY KWAN: No. I think these kinds of
25	sentiments sometimes it precedes the campaign, sometimes it's
26	after the campaign. It kind of just continues, and it kind
27	of just doesn't stop.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so with respect

1	to the 2021 election, I understand there was an includent in
2	2021, in August, that led to the NDP filing a complaint with
3	the Commissioner of Canada Elections. Can you tell us a
4	little bit about that?
5	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. During the campaign,
6	we were alerted by someone that there was a WeChat post from
7	Fred Kwok inviting members of the Chinese community to attend
8	a free lunch. And when we looked at this post, a few things
9	jumped out at me. One was, is this even legal? Because
10	they're offering a free lunch in the middle of a campaign in
11	support of my opponent. Could that be construed as vote
12	buying, a bribe if you will? So that was my first thought.
13	And second, of course, is who's hosting this?
14	You know, who's the host of this event? Is it Fred Kwok as
15	an individual? If he was doing that, is he a third-party?
16	Is he a registered third-party? Because we have rules and
17	regulations related to that. If not, is it the Liberal Party
18	he's doing that? And so that question around the host and
19	potential violations of the <i>Election Act</i> also came up.
20	Then, of course, because it's a free lunch,
21	and the way in which the language was posed in this WeChat
22	message basically said, "Come and bring your friends.
23	Doesn't matter about the number. No need to pay." Then
24	you're imagining lots of people will go to this event, so
25	then the cost issue also becomes a factor. And in an
26	election, election financing, contribution limits, and all of
27	those matter a lot. So then my thought was would they be in
28	violation of that as well?

KWAN

1	So our the lawyer for the NDP, we
2	contacted central and advised them of the situation. So the
3	lawyer for the NDP filed a complaint with the OCCE.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And just for the
5	record, that document is at JKW 76.
6	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. JKW 76:
7	Letter to OCCE: Complaint re
8	collusion and vote-buying in
9	Vancouver East
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And in the interest
11	of time, I will move to a different area. Well, actually,
12	staying in this topic for one second, if I can take you to
13	CAN 1075.
14	So this is a SITE Task Force SITREP. It's
15	dated September 7, 2021. And my understanding is the lunch
16	took place at the end of August. Is that right?
17	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct. I believe
18	it was the 29th.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So this would
20	have been about almost 10 days after. And if we go down, the
21	report says on the last bullet point:
22	"The Facebook page for the Vancouver
23	Hong Kong Forum Societypublished
24	screengrabs from a WeChat group with
25	what they claim are members of the
26	Chinese Benevolent Associationof
27	Vancouver, a group that many open
28	source reports claim are linked to

KWAN

1	China's United Front Work Department.
2	The chat that shows what is purported
3	to be an offer of a free lunch to
4	support the LPC Vancouver East
5	candidate as someone who 'cares about
6	the issues of the Chinese people."
7	And this Hong Kong Foreign Society:
8	"further claims that the message
9	encourages solidarity among Chinese
10	voters in a manner similar to South
11	Asian voter solidarity."
12	Can I take it that as a candidate you were
13	aware of the SITE Task Force in 2021?
14	HON. JENNY KWAN: I was not.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Did you know
16	that there was a SITE Task Force and it was and that it
17	was called that?
18	HON. JENNY KWAN: No.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
20	HON. JENNY KWAN: I only learned of this from
21	this hearing.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So is it fair
23	to say, then, that SITE did not inform you that they had
24	flagged this issue with the lunch shortly after it took
25	place?
26	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Did any
28	official from any government department or agency tell you at

1	the time that this had been picked up by the SITE Task Force?
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: No.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
4	HON. JENNY KWAN: In fact, it was our
5	campaign after the election, we contacted CSIS to let them
6	know that this had occurred.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And to be
8	fair, it's RRM Canada and GAC that picked this up, and it's
9	in a SITE Task Force report, but the sourcing is from the
10	Rapid Response Mechanism. Just wanted to make that clear.
11	So in terms of sources of information related
12	to foreign interference in the 2019 and 2021 elections, it
13	sounds like it wasn't SITE. That wasn't your go-to for
14	information on foreign interference in the elections. Is
15	that right?
16	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so who did
18	you understand you were to report any suspicious activities
19	to during those two elections?
20	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, the first place, of
21	course, is to the OCCE, and then, of course, related to
22	matters on foreign interference it would be CSIS.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you
24	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about
25	suspected or possible foreign interference during those
26	elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is
27	that right?
28	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, CSIS had asked me to

keep them informed, and so that's what we did, to keep them informed after the election. At the time when this free lunch event was being promoted from Fred Kwok, our first thought was Elections Canada, the OCCE, and those violations within it, that's why we lodged our complaint to them

directly.

- It wasn't until after when other items surfaced, for example, the Vancouver Sun wrote an article about it, and in that article they talked a lot about foreign interference, and then it started to make me think, "Hmm, is it this foreign interference related?" And then of course, after I was briefed by CSIS in May of 2023, it became even more apparent to me that this might be a foreign interference activity. And so to that end, I did follow up with CSIS, as well as OCCE on it.
 - MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you have a sense for what constituted suspicious activity that should be reported? Did you understand what that threshold was for you to report something to CSIS?
- never advised exactly what would be the threshold and what are the clear signs, it's just to alert you to be more aware. And so then, you know, you're being more aware. And during the election campaign period, the things that jumped out at us with respect to this event were the potential violations of the *Election Act*. And then after the fact, when you put all the different pieces together, all the different information that's surfacing, then you start to wonder, "Is

1	this a foreign interference activity that took place?"
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And unfortunately, I
3	am out of time, but I would like to ask you one final
4	question, and that is, is there anything else you would like
5	to tell us about any of the issues within Stage 1 of our
6	mandate, which is the 2019 and 2021 elections that we have
7	not had a chance to discuss?
8	HON. JENNY KWAN: I think that's really
9	it's really important to actually look at the WeChat post
10	that Fred Kwok put up because there's some very specific
11	language within it that in the context of foreign
12	interference that we should be paying attention to. So I
13	wonder if we can actually pull up that post
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sure.
15	HON. JENNY KWAN: so that we can go
16	through it.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely.
18	So we can see it at CCC 186.
19	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. CCC 186:
20	Press release from Chinese-Canadian
21	Concerned Group on the Chinese
22	Communist Party's Human Rights
23	Violation
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I pull this one
25	up because it has the original post and it has the if you
26	can go down, please. And it has the translation as well.
27	So that's if we can zoom in on the side-
28	by-side there.

1	And maybe just briefly tell us who Fred Kwok
2	is or who he was at that time.
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yeah. Fred Kwok at the
4	time was the chair of the Chinese Benevolent Association.
5	He's also the chairperson for the Chinese Cultural Centre.
6	He is very involved with the Chinese Freemasons and I believe
7	he holds the title of being an honorary leader, national
8	leader, of the Chinese Freemasons.
9	So that's the background a little bit about
10	Fred.
11	But if you look at the post and even the
12	translation here did not pick it up and reflected it. But in
13	three places, the post reference Chinese people using the
14	word "Chinese people" in three different places, talking
15	about, if I can put it on the record here, the post says:
16	"Just over two weeks left in the
17	federal election. Throughout these
18	years, the Chinese community and the
19	Chinese people have long been
20	neglected." (As read)
21	So here they use "Chinese people".
22	"The Chinese people must show their
23	voting power in this election [again
24	they use Chinese people]. Now that
25	this Liberal candidate is running for
26	the first time in Vancouver East, we,
27	the Chinese people must show their
28	voting power to the politicians." (As

KWAN

1	read)
2	So the third time they're saying "Chinese
3	people". Then it goes on to say, "The South Asian people
4	already have a huge influence."
5	Then here it says, "The Chinese should also
6	show solidarity."
7	So here I'm going to sort of pause for a
8	minute and just go to the Chinese version of the post. Where
9	they use the word "the Chinese", they actually the literal
10	translation in Chinese is (other language) meaning China
11	person or China people, so that's one distinction there.
12	And when you say and use the word China
13	person or China people, I take that to mean a Chinese
14	national, not a Chinese person or Chinese people.
15	They again use this term (other language),
16	Chinese nationals, later on in the message where they go on
17	to say:
18	"Tomorrow morning at 11:30 a.m. lunch
19	at Flota restaurant. No matter how
20	many we'll need to pay. You all may
21	bring some friends here to show that
22	you care. When the future victory
23	comes, at least there will be a few
24	more MPs who will care about the
25	issues of the Chinese people." (As
26	read)
27	That should actually say, "at least there
28	will be a few more MPs who will care about the issues of

In-Ch (Rodriguez)

- 1 Chinese nationals" because in the Chinese version of the
- post, it once again says (other language) meaning China
- 3 person or China people, and that in reference to Chinese
- 4 nationals.
- So this is -- to me, is deliberate. The --
- 6 using that term, they're talking about wanting to elect
- 7 someone who will prioritize the issues that are of concern to
- 8 Chinese nationals, to the Chinese government or to China, if
- 9 you will.
- 10 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for that
- 11 clarification.
- Those are all of my questions. The
- participants may have some other questions for you.
- 14 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
- But before that, we will take a short break,
- 16 15 minutes. So we'll be back at 4:30.
- 17 THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre,
- s'il vous plaît.
- The hearing is in recess until 4:30. La séance
- est maintenant en pause jusqu'à 16 h 30.
- 21 --- Upon recessing at 4:16 p.m./
- 22 --- La séance est suspendue à 16 h 16
- 23 --- Upon resuming at 4:34 p.m./
- 24 --- La séance est reprise à 16 h 34
- THE REGISTRAR: Order please. À l'ordre, s'il
- vous plait.
- This sitting of the Foreign Interference
- 28 Commission is back in session. Cette séance de la Commission

1	sur l'ingérence étrangère à repris.
2	HON. JENNY KWAN, Resumed/Sous la même affirmation :
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: The first one this time
4	is counsel for Human Rights Coalition.
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
6	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR:
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good afternoon, Ms. Kwan.
8	HON. JENNY KWAN: Good afternoon.
9	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: You spoke a bit about
10	this with Commission counsel this morning. You mentioned in
11	your interview summary that you noticed more fear and
12	apprehension from your constituents beginning in 2019, and
13	it's also mentioned in your interview summary that during the
14	2021 election, constituents, donors, and supporters told you
15	that because you protested in front of the Consulate, they
16	were fearful about voting for you.
17	Your summary mentioned that they were worried
18	that the Chinese Government would find out that they had
19	voted for you or supported you, and that this would
20	compromise the safety of their families in China.
21	Do you know why they were afraid for the
22	safety of their families in China?
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: I would suspect that if
24	they were supporting someone who would be critical of China's
25	human rights record, that they fear that there could be
26	repercussions for them, and part of those repercussions
27	potentially could be the safety of their family members in
28	China.

KWAN Cr-Ex(Taylor)

1	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And without providing any
2	identifying information, would you be able to share who
3	expressed these kinds of fears to you during the 2021
4	election? You mentioned Chinese Canadian seniors in
5	particular expressed these fears, but were there other groups
6	in particular that came to you with apprehension?
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well they're just mostly
8	individuals. They don't tend to come to me as a group. It
9	will be individuals who will see me, you know, in the
10	community somewhere, and they'll come up and whisper these
11	things at me. The age, of course, ranged.
12	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
13	HON. JENNY KWAN: It's not necessarily just
14	seniors. But seniors, for me, are particularly vulnerable, -
15	
16	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
17	HON. JENNY KWAN: just because they're
18	elderly and many of them, you know, may not have a lot of the
19	resources to even figure out where to get help. And many of
20	them are alone. And it just breaks my heart that they would
21	have this fear, you know, with them.
22	I also remember when I first entered into the
23	political arena, and first as sort of, like, a volunteer, I
24	worked really hard to get people who have never registered
25	before to vote, and there were points in time where I said,
26	"I don't even care who you vote for." I mean, I was working
27	on you know, volunteering for a campaign. But it didn't
28	matter for me who they ultimately voted for. I just wanted

them to vote, to exercise their democratic right.

And for these seniors to express fear in voting, you know, to participate full -- participating fully in our Canadian democratic system was something that they're now fearful of, it was just something that struck me to be particularly challenging. And I worry for the seniors.

MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. And so what I'm hearing is that particularly among Chinese Canadian seniors, although the folks who came to you, the Chinese Canadian members of your constituency that came to you with these fears ranged when it comes to range, but particularly with seniors, the fear was not only voting for you, although that was a particular fear, but engaging in voting more generally? That was also a fear?

that someone would know how they voted, or if they -- someone would know they supported an individual who China was seeing to be -- who deemed that individual to be anti-China, then there might be repercussions for them. That's my insinuation of what that means when they, I think, whisper these things to me, and I did my best to assure them that their vote is private, is secret, no one would know, to reassure them that it is our democratic right as Canadians to exercise that right.

MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And did they say specifically what they were afraid might happen to them or their families? Like, what these repercussions were that they were afraid of if they voted for you or if it was found

KWAN Cr-Ex(Taylor)

1	out who they voted for more generally? These more general
2	fears?
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: They weren't specific about
4	exactly what they think might happen or may not happen to
5	their family members, but other than just to express a fear,
6	and then a fear for their safety.
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: To the extent that you
8	know, were your constituents afraid because they had received
9	direct threats from the Chinese Government or proxies, or did
10	they understand there to be an implicit threat to their
11	safety and the safety of their families?
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: No one has expressed to me
13	that there was a direct threat. What people just said to me
14	was that they have this fear and they just expressed their
15	thoughts.
16	Some of them actually feared for me. Some of
17	them have said, you know, "You should be careful too." And
18	so some of them had expressed that sentiment for my safety.
19	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you very much, Ms.
20	Kwan.
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Counsel for Micheal
23	Chong?
24	MR. GIB van ERT: No questions. Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No questions?
26	The AG? Oh, there's oh, I don't have
27	no, I think there's the OCC
28	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Yes.

Cr-Ex(Taylor)

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- before. Sorry. 1 MR. LUC BOUCHER: Yes. 2 3 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It's not on my list. Sorry. Désolé, Me Boucher. 4 MR. LUC BOUCHER: Je prendrais quelques 5 6 minutes seulement. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: C'est correct. Mais 7 quelqu'un peut m'indiquer par ailleurs, en principe, le temps 8 alloué est de combien? 9 MR. LUC BOUCHER: C'est 5 minutes. 10 **COMMISSIONER HOGUE:** Ok. 11 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 12 13 MR. LUC BOUCHER: 14 MR. LUC BOUCHER: Good afternoon. 15 HON. JENNY KWAN: Good afternoon. 16 MR. LUC BOUCHER: I'll direct you -- we'll go directly to the luncheon for Mr. Vander Vies that was paid by 17 Mr. Kwok. Okay. I understand that you've been elected for -18 19 - since 2015 to the Parliament and you were an elected 20 official before that for 10 years? Twelve (12) years? 21 HON. JENNY KWAN: I was elected federally in 22 2015. Prior to that, I was elected provincially from 1996 23 until 2015. And then prior to that, I was elected municipally from 1993 to 1996. 24 25 MR. LUC BOUCHER: So you understand that 26 every time you have to get elected to continue your positions? You understand that? And you understand that 27 28 getting elected is about the business of getting votes;

1	right?
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well I understand that with
3	each election cycle, I have to earn the trust of the
4	electorate and support from them to get elected. And yes,
5	ultimately it is getting votes into the ballot box.
6	But for me, it's a little bit more than that.
7	It is about standing up for what you believe in and then
8	fighting for those beliefs.
9	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. And to get votes,
10	you need to communicate with the communities? Is that
11	HON. JENNY KWAN: Of course. Part of the
12	electoral process is communications and being able to
13	communicate with the electorate would absolutely be paramount
14	in the electoral process.
15	MR. LUC BOUCHER: And using the pillars of
16	the communities to get in contact with them is part of the
17	job?
18	HON. JENNY KWAN: Using communication tools?
19	MR. LUC BOUCHER: No, the
20	HON. JENNY KWAN: Is that what you mean?
21	MR. LUC BOUCHER: important persons of
22	community. The pillars of the communities.
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: Oh, I see. So getting
24	support from community leaders
25	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Yes.
26	HON. JENNY KWAN: to show support for
27	your candidacy? Yes. Of course. I think every elected
28	official would aim to try and get that. Or every candidate.

1	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. Being a Member of
2	Parliament since 2015, you must be familiar with the Canada
3	Elections Act?
4	HON. JENNY KWAN: I am.
5	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. And when the lunch
6	occurred, one of your worries was that Mr. Kwok did not
7	register as a third party?
8	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well when the when we
9	learned of the event and saw the WeChat post, a number of
10	things jumped out at me. One of course is who is organizing
11	this. And if Mr. Kwok was organizing this, is he a
12	registered third party? Is he doing this as an individual?
13	Is he abiding by the contribution limits as they are set out
14	in the Elections Act?
15	The other element, of course, related to
16	those concerns, is the fact that the post, the WeChat post,
17	spoke about a free lunch, and it seemed unlimited, urging
18	people to bring as many people as they can, and using clear
19	language to say, "Don't worry. No need to pay." Then you
20	start to wonder, is this a violation of the Elections Act
21	pertaining to buying votes? So that's another element in
22	relation to that. And then, of course, contribution limits,
23	because all campaigns have limitations related to that, and
24	would there be violations of contribution limits?
25	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. And have you been
26	following the Commission's testimonies prior to yours?
27	HON. JENNY KWAN: I have, for the most part.
28	There were some moments where I wasn't able to catch element

of it, but for the most part, yes. 1 2 MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. Did you have a chance to listen to the Commissioner Simard, Commissioner 3 Côté, and Mylène Gigou testify? 4 HON. JENNY KWAN: I did, yes. 5 6 MR. LUC BOUCHER: And in fact, you learned from that testimony that the lunch was at the request of 7 Mr. Vander Vies? 8 9 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I had heard that testimony. What was of course interesting is that in the 10 WeChat post there was no indication that this is a free lunch 11 at the behest of the candidate. 12 13 MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. 14 HON. JENNY KWAN: As well, in the media reporting that came afterwards, in the Vancouver Sun, there 15 was a prominent article from a reporter, a columnist I should 16 say, and there was no indication in that report that this was 17 a free lunch event organised at the behest of the candidate. 18 19 Mr. Fred Kwok was interviewed, and in fact he said in that article that he violated the Election Act for he 20 21 did not register as a third-party and he also said in that 22 article that he paid \$1,500 for the lunch. 23 MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. But in fact, in that article, and we may disagree, but in that article what 24 Mr. Kwok worries was that he also contributed to the 25 Conservative Party \$1,200, and he may have over contributed 26 at that time. 27 28 HON. JENNY KWAN: In that article, he

T	mentioned that he also contributed to another candidate, but
2	in terms I guess in terms of the contribution limit, there
3	are two elements to it, in terms of the personal contribution
4	and those limits and to two different candidates, but there
5	is also a contribution limit for the free lunch to which he
6	was contributing to. So that's the way I read that article.
7	Contribution limits could apply in both instances.
8	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Now, if we come back to the
9	purpose of this Commission, the occurrence of the lunch, the
10	issue might be where the money comes from. Exact? And
11	during your witness statement, at paragraph 41, I won't ask
12	the people, but you stated at paragraph 41 that:
13	"In light of a media report about
14	transfer of funds from the Chinese
15	Consulate in Toronto to campaigns"
16	You wonder:
17	"whether the Chinese Consulate in
18	Vancouver may be operating at petty
19	cash slush fund to finance events,
20	such as the lunch hosted by
21	Mr. Kwok."
22	My question is do you have any evidence, any
23	evidence besides that media article targeting the consulate
24	in Toronto, do you have any evidence of that slush fund
25	existing in the Vancouver area?
26	HON. JENNY KWAN: So first off, on the issue
27	around the cost of the lunch, there is a big question in my
28	mind that is still outstanding, and that is whether or not

1	the actual cost of the lunch is the same amount as the
2	reported amount.
3	MR. LUC BOUCHER: But Ms just because
4	the Commission is concerned with foreign interference.
5	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
6	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Now, foreign interference
7	in the lunch would be where the money comes from.
8	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Your Honour,
9	Commissioner, excuse me, I think Ms. Kwan is well aware of
10	the question, and I think she's getting to an answer. So I'd
11	ask my friend to desist from interrupting her so that she can
12	complete her answer.
13	MR. LUC BOUCHER: That's fine.
14	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Complete your answer.
15	HON. JENNY KWAN: Thank you so much. Yes, if
16	you could indulge me, and I apologise if I'm taking time to
17	explain this, so that I can connect the dots with respect to
18	the question.
19	So from the market rate to which my office
20	was able to attain for a event such as this, it seemed to
21	indicate that the cost of the lunch is far exceeds the
22	amount that's been reported, at least by a third, maybe even
23	half or more than what was reported. So then the outstanding
2324	
	half or more than what was reported. So then the outstanding
24	half or more than what was reported. So then the outstanding question is, who paid for that amount? Where did the source
24 25	half or more than what was reported. So then the outstanding question is, who paid for that amount? Where did the source of that money come from?

what is the actual amount of the cost. 1 2 So when the news media and reports was coming forward to indicate that allegedly that there is a slush fund 3 that was set up for elections, I -- in Toronto, I can't help 4 but wonder whether or not the same thing is happening here in 5 6 Vancouver as well. So this is how it relates, and that's why 7 chasing that money is so critical. Finding out where that 8 9 source of funds might have come from is so critical. MR. LUC BOUCHER: Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: 11 Thank you. MR. LUC BOUCHER: C'tait mes questions. 12 13 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Now, it's your turn. 14 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: 15 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Good afternoon, 16 Ms. Kwan. My name's Barney Brucker. I'm here for the 17 Attorney General. Thank you for assisting us with the 18 19 Inquiry by being here today. I'm not going to ask what was on the menu for 20 21 lunch, so we can at least get that out of the way. HON. JENNY KWAN: I was told it was pretty 22 lavish, but I wasn't invited. 23 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I just wanted to ask 24 about your riding. Vancouver East, was it? 25 26 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it is. MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And what is the ethnic 27 28 makeup of that riding?

1	HON. JENNY KWAN: I'm very proud to be
2	representing Vancouver East. It's one of the most diverse
3	communities or ridings if you will across the country. At
4	one point, reports indicate that we have some 76 different
5	spoken languages in the district. And pertaining to the
6	ethnic diaspora community, we have a wide-ranging cultures
7	and representation in the riding. The Chinese Canadian
8	community, according to census, seem to indicate that our
9	population is about a little under 20 percent or so of the
10	overall population.
11	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. You had mentioned
12	that prior to the election you had had a briefing by CSIS.
13	And I take it that did not involve any classified
14	information. That it was a, I think you called it, a general
15	briefing?
16	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was a general
17	briefing. I think that the purpose, at least I was told the
18	purpose of that was to alert members of parliament about
19	foreign interference, and to bring that to our attention.
20	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And do you know if other
21	MPs of various party stripes were also given a similar type
22	of briefing prior to the election?
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: To my knowledge, some other
24	MPs were, although who exactly, that I don't know.
25	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And do you know that
26	either before or during or even after the election the NDP
27	National Campaign, or Committee, or whatever their correct
28	terminology is, did they also receive a briefing?

KWAN Cr-Ex(Brucker)

1	HON. JENNY KWAN: You mean during the
2	campaign if they received or
3	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Yes. Before the
4	leading into the campaign or during the campaign did the
5	national party receive a briefing, do you know?
6	HON. JENNY KWAN: From CSIS? I was not
7	advised.
8	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. So let me suggest
9	to you that among the general concepts put forward during
10	this general briefing, CSIS may have explained their mandate,
11	that they were not a law enforcement agency. There may have
12	been the discussion about what is foreign interference and
13	what isn't foreign interference, and also, a discussion about
14	perhaps how would you try how could you recognise it or
15	what is the what are the methods employed, in a general
16	way. And also, some contact information for you or members
17	of your staff to contact government organisations if you saw
18	something of concern during the elections. Is that fair?
19	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's fair, and CSIS was
20	definitely clear to say that they do not have investigative
21	powers.
22	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. And you mentioned
23	a follow-up after shortly after the election. And I can't
24	recall because my notes aren't very good, but what was that
25	follow up in about?
26	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. So after the
27	election, and in light of this free lunch that was that
28	Fred Kwok had put on the WeChat platform after the election,

1	and my office contacted CSIS to inform them of this event
2	that took place. There was also another sorry. I'll just
3	leave it there.
4	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. I thought we
5	might away from the lunch, but apparently not.
6	Can you tell me, did it occur to you when you
7	contacted CSIS about the free lunch that was the reason
8	for that that it was possible foreign interference? Is that
9	why you contacted?
10	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.
11	After the event when we started to think
12	about all the different things that were occurring and
13	putting these different pieces together, you start to wonder,
14	could this be a foreign interference activity. And so CSIS
15	had said to me that to please keep them informed and to let
16	them know that if we think there were any suspicious
17	activities to let them know. And so as you think about these
18	things, I thought it would be best to keep them informed, and
19	that's exactly what I did.
20	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And did it occur to you
21	when you contacted the Commissioner of Canada Elections about
22	a possible campaign violation that also may be foreign
23	interference?
24	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, at the time when the
25	matter was happening, the first thing that jumped out at me
26	was, was this legal. They're offering a free lunch to people
27	in the middle of a campaign. And my head space went right to

the *Elections Act* and those kinds of violations, so that's

28

1	why the lawyer for the NDP, after we contacted them, filed
2	that letter as such.
3	Subsequent to that, there was a media
4	reporting also in the Vancouver Sun that talked about that
5	event and then also raised a whole number of other foreign
6	interference related issues.
7	And so when you sort of start to think about
8	that and this really came to hit me hard, I guess, was
9	when after my briefing in May of 2023. And I started to
10	think maybe that really was potentially a foreign
11	interference activity.
12	And when you started to hear all the
13	different news that were surfacing about the slush fund and
14	all of those kinds of things, and so that's why I followed up
15	in writing a letter to the OCCE raising these issues again
16	and also bringing that up to the attention of CSIS.
17	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I think I just have one
18	more question.
19	Back to the briefing that you had prior to
20	the 2021 election, did you adjust your campaign strategy or
21	your campaign approach in any way as a result of that
22	briefing?
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: No. What they were telling
24	us was to sensitize us to this possibility, and so I hadn't
25	thought much of it other than to say, okay, I will be alert
26	and pay attention. But I wasn't thinking that necessarily
27	this would be happening or may happen, so we did not really

adjust our strategy in that way. But as the campaign sort of

28

evolved, as things happened and then later on, as I said, in 1 May of 2023 when all these other pieces of information were 2 3 coming forward, I started to wonder about it and perhaps connect the dots. 4 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Right. 5 Was vour campaign monitoring WeChat? 6 HON. JENNY KWAN: No, we didn't. And the 7 reason why is that some years ago, we did -- my office, we 8 9 did use WeChat as a platform to get information out, putting forward statements and government announcements and different 10 things like that through that platform. And then partway 11 through, we had discovered that I was being censored. 12 13 What happened was one of the statements, and 14 I believe it was a statement about the anniversary of the 15 Tiananmen massacre that we had put up, and it was censored 16 and was taken down. And someone alerted us to that. And I thought, wow, I'm being censored by 17 Chinese authorities as a Canadian elected official. 18 19 cannot be allowed to happen. So I decided that I would not use the WeChat 20 21 platform. 22 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And when you discovered that, did you report that to Commissioner of Canada Elections 23 24 or CSIS or to anybody? 25 HON. JENNY KWAN: No, that happened way before with all of this, and this is before I even thought 26 about potential foreign interference issues. 27

MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. Thank you, Ms.

28

1	Kwan.
2	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
3	Mr. Choudhry?
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
5	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY:
6	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just
7	would like to begin with a couple of kind of background
8	issues about your political career just for the record.
9	So is it true that you're the only Chinese
10	Canadian to have been elected to all three levels of office -
11	- all three levels of government?
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: I believe so.
13	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Yes. And you were the
14	first Chinese Canadian to serve in the B.C. Cabinet.
15	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
16	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Okay. So as you know,
17	the focus of this phase of the public inquiry is on the 43rd
18	and 44th General Elections and foreign interference and the
19	related issue of information flow. And so I'd like to kind
20	of use your experience as a window into that issue because
21	that's really what's of most interest to the Commissioner.
22	And so what I'd like to first take you to, if
23	I may, is the WeChat message because I think getting the
24	language right is important. So I'd like to take you to the
25	version of the WeChat message translation that you provided,
26	and that is in your witness statement. It's Witness
27	Statement 12, paragraph 41.
28	And I know there have been a couple of

1	different versions of this witness statement, so forgive me
2	if I've not got the right paragraph.
3	Okay. So this is the different version.
4	Could we if I could ask for assistance
5	from Commission counsel. This I had it at paragraph 41 of
6	my version.
7	Actually, if you just scroll up, please.
8	Yes, there you go, 38.
9	And so just to clarify for the record, here
10	in your translation, is it true that you've drawn the
11	distinction between the references to the terms "Chinese
12	community" and "Chinese people" and Chinese nationals"?
13	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, that's correct.
14	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Right. And the term
15	by the term "Chinese national", your evidence is that that
16	term refers to persons whose main political concern or
17	allegiance is China.
18	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.
19	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: As opposed to Chinese
20	people of an ethnicity or Chinese community.
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.
22	So for example, I'm a Chinese person, Chinese
23	Canadian individual born in Hong Kong, so some people would
24	say I'm a Hong Konger and a Chinese person. Others, for
25	example, might be born in Malaysia and whose heritage is
26	Chinese as well. And so that's also another Chinese person.
27	But when we say "Chinese nationals", then
28	that is a very specific language which affiliates you to

1	China, and so in this context I believe the language refers
2	to someone whose allegiance is first and foremost to China.
3	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so Commission
4	counsel took you to the SITREP reports that are
5	contemporaneous with the 2021 elections. And it's true that
6	those SITREP reports flagged this event, and they flagged
7	and they linked this event to groups that were allied with
8	China. They even linked that event to the United Front.
9	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
10	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So certainly to the
11	federal government or entities within the federal government,
12	at the time the event took place, in the intelligence
13	community this event raised red flags.
14	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, that's correct.
15	In looking at that document, the SITE report
16	that came out, I believe the knowledge of that information
17	was in and around September the 7th, I believe. And as it
18	happens, that was also the date in which the NDP lawyer filed
19	a complaint to OCCE.
20	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: but of course, they
21	haven't been told about that the intelligence community
22	had flagged the very same event that you were raising a
23	complaint about.
24	HON. JENNY KWAN: No. In from the
25	hearing, they had advised the Commission that they were not
26	informed of this and, of course, neither was I as the
27	candidate.
28	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So could we call up

1	CAN.DOC 23? This is the summary of the CSIS briefing.
2	And I'd like you to scroll down. There's a
3	phrase that begins, "With a better understanding".
4	Yes. Could we just stop there?
5	So I'd like to read this phase to you, Ms.
6	Kwan. So this is again the public document that summarizes,
7	on a non-confidential basis, the CSIS briefing you received
8	in May 2023, and it says the following:
9	"With a better understanding of PRC
10	foreign interference methodology and
11	tradecraft, Ms. Kwan would be better
12	able to reduce the effectiveness of
13	such threats in the future, enabling
14	her to respond and resist foreign
15	interference efforts by reporting
16	them to CSIS and other authorities."
17	So reporting them to CSIS. So would you
18	would a person reading this reasonably conclude that you were
19	advised to report foreign interference potential foreign
20	interference to CSIS or other authorities?
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. That's
22	what I understood from the briefing that I was to do, and so
23	that is what we did. We reported the matter to CSIS, and
24	then other authorities as well.
25	And so of course during the election campaign
26	it was to the OCCE, and then also not just during the
27	election campaign but afterwards as well, in August, when the
28	OCC reached out to me and talked to me about another

1	potential foreign interference matter, and I raised with them
2	at that time this incident and I was advised by them that
3	they were of less interest on this matter.
4	Mr. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Right.
5	HON. JENNY KWAN: The other authorities that
6	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP.
7	And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected
8	with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was
9	in September 2022.
10	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So just to kind of
11	collect all this, so you brought this event to the event
12	$[\operatorname{\it sic}]$ of OCCE in September 2021 at the very same time that a
13	SITREP report had flagged this event as being a possible
14	incident of foreign interference. You then raised it again
15	with CSIS in October 2021. You raised it with the RCMP in
16	September 2022, and you raised it again with OCCE in July and
17	August of 2023. So you raised it four times with CSIS and
18	other authorities; correct?
19	HON. JENNY KWAN: Correct.
20	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And then what happened?
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: Nothing happened. I have
22	not received any information about the complaint from OCCE.
23	I learned later on that most recently a decision has been
24	made, and that was communicated to the party lawyer in March.
25	It seemed to me from all these entities to
26	which we brought this information to, there just simply
27	wasn't that much interest in regards to it and nor was there
28	any ability for these government entities to collaborate or

1	to work together to address the issue. They all seemed to me
2	working in isolation of one another.
3	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so just a couple
4	more points on this and I'm on the issue of the lunch and
5	your complaints about it.
6	So there's I just want to put in to
7	mark as an exhibit an affidavit from Gabriel Yiu, who was our
8	campaign manager. And that document is Witness 8_AF.
9	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 8 AF:
10	Affidavit of Gabriel Yiu with
11	Interview Summary
12	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: That, just for the
13	record, Commissioner, just provides some evidence regarding
14	the costing of this event and raises the question it's the
15	factual basis for the question as to where the missing funds
16	might have come from. I won't take you to it.
17	And so I'd like to, though, take you to the
18	answer that was given by the OCC witnesses on Thursday
19	regarding their conclusion that the event could not have
20	possibly been an instance of foreign interference because, of
21	course, it was host according to them, it was requested by
22	the Liberal candidate.
23	But let's assume that to be true, right? Is
24	it still nonetheless possible that there might have been
25	foreign interference in relation to this campaign event, even
26	if it were requested by your political opponent?
27	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, yes, I think both
28	could be true. It could very well be that the candidate

1	requested a free lunch to be hosted on his behalf to support
2	him, absolutely. But it also could be true that foreign
3	interference actors might have observed this and seized on
4	that opportunity to and used that opportunity as a foreign
5	interference activity. And so both could be true. And
6	especially in light of the fact that the reported cost of the
7	event has not been verified with the actual cost. And based
8	on the commercial market rate that we were able to obtain
9	from the restaurant in and around that time, to host a
10	similar event, the reported amount at best is a third of the
11	actual market cost and quite possibly, you know, the rest of
12	the sorry; is two-thirds of the market cost, and quite
13	possibly a third of the cost for the event, or a half or even
14	more of the cost of the event was paid by someone else.
	1 2
15	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a
15	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a
15 16	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions.
15 16 17	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to
15 16 17 18	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative
15 16 17 18 19	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative authority to do their job and to use their investigative
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative authority to do their job and to use their investigative tools.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative authority to do their job and to use their investigative tools. HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, that's exactly it.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative authority to do their job and to use their investigative tools. HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, that's exactly it. I'm not investigating this matter; I am providing as much
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative authority to do their job and to use their investigative tools. HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, that's exactly it. I'm not investigating this matter; I am providing as much information as we can garner to them and for the people whose
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative authority to do their job and to use their investigative tools. HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, that's exactly it. I'm not investigating this matter; I am providing as much information as we can garner to them and for the people whose task to investigate this.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a as someone making complaints is to raise questions. You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative authority to do their job and to use their investigative tools. HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, that's exactly it. I'm not investigating this matter; I am providing as much information as we can garner to them and for the people whose task to investigate this. So the OCCE in part is tasked to investigate

1	there are other actors involved and whether or not foreign
2	interference is part of that.
3	Of course, in context putting in context of
4	all of this to look at the person who posts the WeChat
5	message out, which was Fred Kwok, whose affiliation is the
6	Chair of the Chinese Benevolent Association, whose
7	affiliation is an honorary national leader of the Chinese
8	Freemasons and so on.
9	So put that in on one side. Then you also
10	have to think about all the other activities that are going
11	on as well. The Chinese Benevolent Association, along with
12	the Chinese Freemasons, have also undertaken quite actively
13	in various ad campaigns that they put out in support of the
14	message and narrative of the CCP. And so therefore, putting
15	all these together would be important to for it to be
16	considered in an investigation.
17	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so should the SITREP
18	report have been provided to OCCE when you lodged your
19	complaint with them about this event?
20	HON. JENNY KWAN: From the testimony, from
21	what we understood, from what I understand is that it had
22	not.
23	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: But should it have been,
24	in your view?
25	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, absolutely it should
26	have been.
27	You know, when you collect this information
28	and you have multiple departments collecting this

1	information, wouldn't you want to use that information in
2	real time, and so that you can ensure that the investigation
3	is actually done properly?
4	We had filed a complaint, but that
5	information was not shared with the OCCE, and to which, from
6	my perspective, is really problematic. What is the point of
7	gathering this information if you can't use it in real time?
8	Especially when there's an active complaint.
9	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so I guess I have
10	one last question which is about kind of looking forward.
11	And so the Commissioner is going to be making
12	recommendations regarding different institutional mechanisms
13	to detect, deter, and counter foreign interference, and
14	she'll be looking at different institutions as they currently
15	exist; she'll be thinking, perhaps, or proposing new
16	institutions be created. Once such institution is OCCE.
17	So based on your experience of how the OCCE
18	addressed alleged foreign interference in one event, do you
19	have any thoughts to share about the role of the OCC going
20	forward an whether they would be able to detect foreign
21	interference in relation to issues in their mandate?
22	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, from my perspective,
23	when you look at the investigative process that I'm aware of
24	from the OCCE, it's clear to me that it was a failed process,
25	and not only did they not get the necessary evidence to
26	verify the actual cost of the event, they did not use the
27	authority or the tool that they have to compel the
28	restaurant, who refused to provide a receipt, to get that

1	information. And so consequently, they don't have all the
2	evidence before them to determine whether or not foreign
3	interference had occurred, or some other act whoever that
4	other actor might have been, to pay for the difference
5	between the actual reported amount versus the reported
6	amount.

And so you know, I kind of look at it like this. You have multiple government agencies who have a bit of the ingredients, let's put an analogy that I can understand, of baking a cake. Everybody has a little bit of the ingredients here and there and multiple agencies, the RCMP, CSIS, OCCE, this Taskforce, for example. And they all have it. And then when you want to bake the cake, you want to make sure all the ingredients are mixed well together in the order in which it should be to produce the product.

Well that didn't happen here. Instead, what happened is that you have a half-baked product, because everybody owned their own ingredients and filled it in whenever they felt like it. And that doesn't make any sense at all. And so that's a failure of the system. And then when you have all the tools to follow to try and get the ingredients, or sorry, to get the product, you think that you'd used all the tools, but it seemed to me that OCCE did not use all the tools, because they did not compel the restaurant to produce the receipt and to follow the cost to verify the amount of that free lunch event.

So it's a failure of a system to me, and I'm deeply disappointed about it.

1	The worst thing for me about all of this is,
2	aside from my complaint itself, set that aside for a minute,
3	is what message is being sent to public? We look at
4	government agencies and they are supposed to instil
5	confidence, and trust, and faith in the hearts and minds of
6	the public. We rely on them to do the very work to
7	investigate and to verify, and to ensure the law is being
8	followed. But I think that in this instance, the
9	investigation failed in that.
10	And in relation to foreign interference, the
11	message here is that for the if in fact there was foreign
12	interference that took place in this free lunch event, the
13	message sent to them is, "You can get away with it." That is
14	the message, and that is not helpful.
15	And so that's what I'm worried about. That's
16	why it's so important to do this work. And so I do hope that
17	the Commission you know, I advocated for this Commission,
18	I'm so happy that it is here, and I do look forward to the
19	recommendations from the Commission, and I do hope that the
20	Commission will look at all these elements and make
21	recommendations so that we can effectively combat foreign
22	interference, and yes, in real time as well.
23	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Thank you, Ms. Kwan.
24	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
25	Re-examination, Ms. Rodriguez?
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: No. None for this
27	witness. Thank you.
28	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you very much.

KWAN Cr-Ex(Choudhry)

1	You're free to go, or you may stay if you prefer.
2	We have another witness. I see that actually
3	he is already in the room, so instead of taking a break, I
4	suggest that we continue right away.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: We are running a bit
7	late.
8	THE REGISTRAR: Mr. Chong, do you wish to be
9	affirmed or sworn?
10	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I'll swear in, please.
11	THE REGISTRAR: Okay. Thank you. Can you
12	please state your name and spell your last name for the
13	record, please?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: My name is Michael
15	Chong. C-H-O-N-G.
16	HON. MICHAEL CHONG, Sworn/Assertmenté:
17	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you very much.
18	Counsel, you may proceed.
19	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ:
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
22	Good afternoon, Mr. Chong. As you know, my
23	name is Natalia Rodriguez. I'm Commission counsel.
24	On February 15, 2024, you had an interview
25	with Commission counsel. Is that right?
26	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And an interview
28	summary was generated from that interview; correct?

1	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so I will now
3	turn up WIT 18.EN.
4	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 18.EN:
5	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Michael
6	Chong
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is the
8	summary that was generated from your interview? Is that
9	correct?
10	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That is correct, but I
11	have one clarification in paragraph 20. It's a minor one,
12	but I think it's an important one.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sure. Let's go there
14	now.
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: In paragraph 20, in the
16	second bracketed portion of the paragraph, I just want to
17	clarify that the Conservative Party requires a payment of a
18	minimal membership fee, but the Liberal Party of Canada does
19	not.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. So
21	what I understand you to be saying is that while it says
22	there that you observed that both the CPC and the Liberal
23	Party have minimal criteria and you ascribe the payment of
24	the minimal membership fee to both, it is actually only true
25	for the Conservative Party and not for the Liberal Party of
26	Canada? Am I understanding that correctly?
27	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That is correct.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. Do

CHONG

you have any other modifications, additions, or deletions to 1 make to this summary? 2 3 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do not. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you otherwise, 4 given the caveats that we just discussed, adopt the contents 5 6 of this witness summary as you just modified as part of your evidence before this Commission? 7 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do. 8 9 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. So we'll have that entered in as the next exhibit. 10 And for the record, the French translation of 11 that summary can be found at WIT 18.FR. And that will also 12 13 go in as an exhibit. --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. WIT 18.FR: 14 15 Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : 16 Michael Chong 17 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Do you have a copy of your interview summary with you? 18 19 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: T do not. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I wasn't sure. 20 21 Do you wish to have one with you? 22 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That would be helpful. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so you can 23 refer to it if you wish, just to recall parts of your 24 25 evidence. 26 And just a little bit in terms of your background, I understand you are a Conservative Member of 27 28 Parliament for Wellington-Halton. Is that right?

HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Wellington-Halton Hills. 1 2 That's correct. 3 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were first elected as an MP in 2004? Is that right? 4 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. 5 6 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you entered the Federal Cabinet of Prime Minister Harper in 2006? Is that 7 right? 8 9 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's right. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And currently, you're 10 the Shadow Minister of Foreign Affairs for the Official 11 Opposition? Is that right? 12 13 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's right. 14 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you received a briefing from CSIS concerning foreign interference in May of 15 16 2023? Is that right? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That is correct. 17 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so the 18 19 subject matter of that briefing will be dealt with in Stage 2 of the Commission's process, so I won't be asking you any 20 21 questions about that, but I just wanted to clarify that you 22 did in fact receive a briefing in May of 2023? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Correct. 23 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so would 24 you agree that you follow events in Hong Kong and Mainland 25 26 China fairly closely? Or policy issues that relate to Hong Kong and Mainland China? 27

28

HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, I would agree with

1	that.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And why is that?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well it's for the reason
4	that I'm the spokesperson for the Conservative Party on
5	foreign policy. And so for that reason, I follow foreign
6	affairs quite closely. And as is known, developments in the
7	People's Republic of China, including in the Special
8	Administrative Region of Hong Kong, in a particular note,
9	inform policy, not just here in Canada, but in other western
10	democracies.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you have a
12	personal family connection to Hong Kong as well?
13	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do. My father
14	immigrated from Hong Kong to Canada in 1952 as a Chinese
15	immigrant. He came here alone. And so as a result, the rest
16	of his family, my extended family, remained behind in Hong
17	Kong. And so I have a lot of family over there presently in
18	Hong Kong.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And is the family
20	connections part of the reason why you have been and continue
21	to be vocal on issues related to the PRC?
22	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No, actually. My
23	primary reason for speaking up is that I speak for the
24	Conservative Party of Canada with respect to foreign policy.
25	That's the reason why I have been speaking on matters
26	concerning foreign policy, particularly on matters related to
27	the People's Republic of China and Hong Kong.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you speak

1	to matters of foreign that have a foreign element, so to
2	speak, foreign affairs element, and that includes issues
3	related to the PRC, but it also includes issues relating to
4	other countries? Is that what you're saying?
5	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, that's right. And
6	look, I obviously follow things closely in Hong Kong out of
7	personal interest as well, but when I speak, I'm speaking on
8	behalf of the Party and because I've been given this role by
9	the Party Leader, you know, I have an obligation to speak up
10	on the Party's position on foreign policy including with
11	respect to the PRC.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what are some of
13	the issues that you have spoken up about in relation to the
14	PRC in your role as a shadow minister?
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Both domestic and
	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Both domestic and foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about
15	
15 16	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about
15 16 17	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on
15 16 17 18	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens.
15 16 17 18 19	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens. Spoke up about espionage activities that have been directed
15 16 17 18 19 20	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens. Spoke up about espionage activities that have been directed toward Canadian universities, private sector companies. Both
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens. Spoke up about espionage activities that have been directed toward Canadian universities, private sector companies. Both the espionage and the foreign interference activities are
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens. Spoke up about espionage activities that have been directed toward Canadian universities, private sector companies. Both the espionage and the foreign interference activities are activities that have been at the direction of the People's
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens. Spoke up about espionage activities that have been directed toward Canadian universities, private sector companies. Both the espionage and the foreign interference activities are activities that have been at the direction of the People's Republic of China.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens. Spoke up about espionage activities that have been directed toward Canadian universities, private sector companies. Both the espionage and the foreign interference activities are activities that have been at the direction of the People's Republic of China. I've spoken up on international issues as it
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about foreign interference threat activities taking place here on Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens. Spoke up about espionage activities that have been directed toward Canadian universities, private sector companies. Both the espionage and the foreign interference activities are activities that have been at the direction of the People's Republic of China. I've spoken up on international issues as it relates to China's violations of international law as it

CHONG

vessels in that area. I've spoken up on its violation of the 1 1997 Joint Declaration, the Sino-British Joint Declaration, 2 which is an international treaty which quarantees Hong Kong's 3 liberties and civil rights for 50 years from 1997. 4 Spoken up on issues concerning its violations 5 6 of international law as it relates to the Genocide Convention of 1948, as it relates to the Uyghurs in Xinjiang Province, 7 its persecution of other minority groups in the PRC, 8 9 Christians, Tibetans, and other minorities. So it's -- it is a broad file, as the 10 relationship between our two countries, it's broad, wide, and 11 12 deep. 13 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And with respect to 14 the 2019 election, I understand that your primary role in 2019 was as a candidate. Is that right? 15 16 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: 17 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Did you have any role in the national campaign informally? 18 19 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did not. 20 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Or informally either? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: 21 No. 22 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And speaking about the 2019 election, I understand that about two months ahead 23 24 of that election you received an email that you reported as suspicious. Is that right? 25 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. 26 received a -- what was obviously a spoof email, an email 27 purportedly from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the 28

People's Republic of China, that contained a veiled threat 1 that suggested that I was banned, not only from entering the 2 3 PRC, but that other Asian countries had been notified of such ban, implying that I could be extradited from those other 4 Asian countries to the PRC. I took the step at the time of 5 6 notifying the Minister of Foreign Affairs, in particular, her staff person, her exempt staff person, her political staff 7 person, and forwarded that communication to that staff 8 9 person. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you to 10 the email. 11 It's at MMC 4. You can scroll down a little 12 13 bit. Okay, thank you. --- EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. MMC 4: 14 Threatening correspondence directed 15 16 at MP Michael Chong 17 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So is this the email that you received? 18 19 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: It is. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And it's dated 20 August 23rd, 2019? 21 22 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. 23 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And it purports to be from someone named Zhong Shan. Do you know this individual? 24 25 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do not. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And we can see 26 it's coming from an -- a Gmail account. 27 28 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Who did you
2	understand the email to be from?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Frojm an individual who
4	sent it from a Gmail account, but who put the prefix on the
5	account to suggest that it was from the Ministry of Foreign
6	Affairs of the People's Republic of China.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so the
8	language that you were paraphrasing is there. We can read it
9	into the record:
10	"This is to inform you that you've
11	been barred from entering Chinese
12	territory. Including Hong Kong. A
13	note will be sent to other Asian
14	countries and cities. Do not attempt
15	to enter Beijing, Shanghai, or Hong
16	Kong as you will be ejected from
17	entry. Thank you."
18	So what did you understand that to be
19	communicating?
20	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: My understanding was
21	that an individual had decided to email me a veiled threat.
22	What I wasn't certain about was whether or not this was a
23	proxy agent acting on behalf of the Government of the PRC or
24	whether this was simply somebody freelancing.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what did you do
26	when you received this? What were what steps did you
27	take, if any?
28	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: So I contacted the

1	Minister of Foreign Affairs' exempt staffer, whose name
2	escapes me, and I told that person that I had received this
3	email. I forwarded the email on to that individual, and that
4	was the last I heard of it.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So we'll go to that
6	email.
7	It's at MMC 5.
8	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. MMC 5:
9	Email Fwd: Barred from entering
10	Chinese territory
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Prior to that, I have
12	just one question on the email received on August 23rd. Can
13	we go back?
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Can we go back to
15	there we go.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: There is a reference to
17	the https://petitions.ourcommons.ca.
18	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Oh, yes.
19	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Can you tell me what
20	it's all about?
21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah. So
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: If you know.
23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: if
24	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: If you know.
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I don't know the
26	specifics of this petition, I can't recall, but it would be
27	available to the Commission on the ourcommons.ca website.
28	ourcommons.ca website is a URL of the Parliament of Canada.

It's -- several years ago, approximately 10 years ago, 1 Parliament allowed for established e-petitions, electronic 2 3 petitions, which is a way that individuals can start an online electronic petition. I can't recall the exact nature 4 of this petition, but it probably had something to do with --5 6 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. It's a reference 7 8 to ---9 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: --- the People's Republic of China. Yeah. 10 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you. 11 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So if you can go 12 13 MMC 5. If we go to the last page. 14 Okay, so that's the email that you received. And then it looks like you forward it on to someone, and you 15 say, "As discussed. Regards, Michael." That's you. 16 And if we go up. 17 We can see that the person says: 18 "Got it! Thanks, Michael. We'll be 19 20 in touch." 21 And that's person's... 22 If we just go down a bit. Sorry. That person's title is Advisor, Planning and 23 Regional Affairs (Ontario), Office of the Minister of Foreign 24 25 Affairs. So this is the person that you sent this 26 27 correspondence to; right? 28 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And the person says:
2	"Got it! Thanks, Michael. We'll be
3	in touch."
4	Did you ever receive a response to this?
5	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I no, I don't believe
6	I did.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And did you
8	report this to the leadership of the party, the fact that you
9	had received this email and you were forwarding it on?
10	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And are you aware of
12	any investigation that was done and any outcome as a result
13	based on the fact that this that you reported this up?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I am not aware of any
15	well, I okay. So I am not aware of any investigation that
16	was done as a result of me forwarding the email to the
17	Minister of Foreign Affairs. The RCMP, as you know,
18	subsequently did do an investigation of this particular
19	email, but that was sometime later.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And that's
21	because you forwarded it on to the RCMP as well?
22	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No, that's because the
23	RCMP contacted me in May or June of last year asking me to
24	sit down with them to outline any potential foreign
25	interference threat activities that may have been directed at
26	me
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right.
28	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: over the last

1	several years, one of which pieces of information I gave them
2	this.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. But at this
4	time, then, you reported this to the Minister of Foreign
5	Affairs and nothing came out of it from your perspective?
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No. That's correct, I
7	never heard anything else about it. And the reason why I
8	reported it to the Minister of Foreign Affairs because I
9	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want
10	to let her Chinese counterpart know that somebody is spoofing
11	their Ministry of Foreign Affairs Communications account
12	purporting to act on their behalf.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And so prior
14	to this incident, did you have a sense of who you should be
15	reporting such incidences to?
16	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So but as
18	you've said, this was reported to the Minister of Foreign
19	Affairs because you thought she could then advise her
20	counterpart?
21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah. And I think
22	that's yes, that's correct. And my thinking was, you
23	know, she is a member of parliament, she is the Minister of
24	Foreign Affairs, that's the appropriate level for me to deal
25	with, rather than trying to figure out some person deep in
26	the bowels of the Canadian bureaucracy.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. So this is a
28	few weeks before the writ period that you received this

1	email. At that time, who did you understand or what did you
2	understand to be the process for candidates to report
3	suspicious activities to throughout the campaign?
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I wasn't aware of any
5	process.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Were you ever
7	briefed in 2019, either prior to during the election, as to
8	who you should be reporting instances of suspected or
9	possible foreign interference as a candidate, either during
10	the campaign or preceding the campaign?
11	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And were you ever
13	informed as to what type of activity should be reported as
14	suspicious or possible foreign interference?
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Sorry, was I?
15 16	<pre>HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Sorry, was I? MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever</pre>
	- '
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever
16 17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something
16 17 18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something that you should report as suspected or possible foreign
16 17 18 19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something that you should report as suspected or possible foreign interference?
16 17 18 19 20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something that you should report as suspected or possible foreign interference? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No one ever informed me
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something that you should report as suspected or possible foreign interference? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No one ever informed me before or during the 2019 election.
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something that you should report as suspected or possible foreign interference? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No one ever informed me before or during the 2019 election. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something that you should report as suspected or possible foreign interference? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No one ever informed me before or during the 2019 election. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And during the 2019 election, were you ever made aware of any
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something that you should report as suspected or possible foreign interference? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No one ever informed me before or during the 2019 election. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And during the 2019 election, were you ever made aware of any concerns regarding irregularities due to foreign
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever informed as to what kind of activity constituted something that you should report as suspected or possible foreign interference? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No one ever informed me before or during the 2019 election. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And during the 2019 election, were you ever made aware of any concerns regarding irregularities due to foreign interference?

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: election, during
2	the election,
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: M'hm.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: either during or
5	after,
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: were you ever
8	told, either by fellow candidates, or by other MPs, or people
9	on the campaign, staffers, about incidences of suspected or
10	possible foreign interference or issues related to that in
11	2019? Was that something that you were ever made aware of?
12	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And in 2019,
14	were you aware of the SITE Taskforce?
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, I was aware of it.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what was your
17	understanding of their role?
18	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: It had been stood up to
19	protect the integrity of the General Election. It was an
20	intra-government of intragovernmental taskforce within the
21	Government of Canada to ensure protection of the election
22	from foreign threats and foreign interference.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you
24	understand that they were an entity that you were to report
25	instances of suspected foreign interference to?
26	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No .
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So turning now
28	to the 2021 election, I understand that in September of 2021,

1	you participated in an all-candidates meeting in the Township
2	of Puslinch. Can you tell us a little bit about that and
3	what happened?
1	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: So Puslinch Township is

a rural township in my riding of Wellington-Halton Hills. It's a small rural township consisting of roughly I think six or 7,000 people. That is the municipality of the corporation of the Township of Puslinch, to give you an idea of its scale. Most everyone knows each other.

This all-candidates debate has been run by the local service club there for many decades. They do the same thing during federal and provincial elections.

During this particular all candidates debate, which took place entirely over Zoom as a result of the restrictions of the pandemic, there was an individual who appeared in the audience who asked two very loaded questions that suddenly piqued my interest. This individual spoke in Mandarin-accented English and asked me two questions that were really in the form of two accusations. The first was that I was responsible in criticizing the Government of the People's Republic of China for the rise in anti-Chinese racism and discrimination in Canada. In that same question, the individual referenced that Han Dong had made similar statements and that Han Dong had said that those who are criticizing the Government of the People's Republic of China are responsible for the rise in anti-Asian discrimination and hate in Canada.

The second question focused on essentially

1	accusing me of aping or mimicking American foreign policy
2	and, you know, the made that assertion that in the pre-
3	amble to the question and then formed the question along the
4	lines of, you know, shouldn't Canada have an independent
5	foreign policy when it comes to the People's Republic of
6	China?
7	So that took place during the Puslinch all-
8	candidates debate. I was taken by surprise when it happened.
9	After the debate, we asked around if anybody
10	had ever seen or heard of this individual, and to this date,
11	no one has a clue who this individual or person is, which is
12	particularly surprising because Puslinch Township is a very
13	small, tight-knit rural community. And so to this day we
14	don't know who this individual is.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this may be
16	obvious, but is there a large Chinese community, Chinese
17	Canadian community, in Puslinch?
18	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No, there's not. You
19	know, I you know, the riding I represent is largely a
20	rural agricultural riding with smaller towns in it, and you
21	know, frankly, you know, my father and my family are one of
22	the few Chinese Canadians who live in the riding.
23	And you know, I remember when I went to, you
24	know, went to school in the riding, that I often was the only
25	minority in the school or in the classroom.
26	So there is not a significant Chinese
27	community anywhere in the riding, and including Puslinch
28	Township.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So fair to say that,
2	given what you've just told us, you deemed this incident as
3	suspicious?
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did. I have not
5	concluded that it's foreign interference threat activities
6	directed at me during an election because I don't have any
7	evidence it was directed by the PRC Consulate in Toronto, but
8	it's consistent with those kinds of activities.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you report
10	this incident?
11	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, several months
12	later, to CSIS.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: To CSIS. Okay. And
14	so I want to pull up MMC 8. And just go down a little bit.
15	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. MMC 8:
16	Email: RCMP conclusion to FAI
17	investigation
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is dated
19	December 14, 2023 and it's from the RCMP to you. And it
20	says:
21	"This email is to provide an update
22	on the RCMP investigation into the
23	potential FAI emails received and an
24	encounter Mr. Chong experienced."
25	So when they say the encounter that you
26	experienced, is that relating to this all-candidates debate?
27	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

1	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: And the emails that I
2	had received.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Is that in relation
4	to the spoof email that you received?
5	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That is correct.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And in the
7	second paragraph, it says that:
8	"Although the emails are
9	antagonistic, they do not satisfy the
10	elements of an uttering threats
11	offence."
12	So did you understand that this was kind of
13	the conclusion of that of the investigation into the spoof
14	email?
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, I concluded that
16	that was the end of the investigation.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And then it says:
18	"The individual from the virtual all-
19	candidates meeting cannot be
20	identified as the list of registered
21	participants was not retained by the
22	organizers."
23	And so you took this to be the end of the
24	investigation into that incident?
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And then it says:
27	"INSET-GTA investigators have
28	determined that the information

1	provided, although compelling, is not
2	actionable. This is to notify you of
3	the conclusion of this file."
4	So this was the response to the reported
5	incidences that you the complaints that you made with
6	respect to those incidences?
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well, to be clear, I did
8	not initiate this RCMP investigation.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right.
10	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: This was initiated by
11	the RCMP as a result of reporting by the Globe and Mail and
12	other publications earlier last year. As a result of that
13	public reporting, the INSET-GTA group of the RCMP contacted
14	my office, asked to sit down with me, and to talk to me about
15	any potential foreign interference threat activities that I
16	may have experienced. And I provided them with the emails
17	that I had received several years ago, I provided them with
18	the details of the Puslinch all-candidates debate that took
19	place in the last election. And they took some time to
20	investigate, and they concluded their investigation with me
21	in this email.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. So when I
23	said that they that you reported to them, meaning they
24	asked you
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's right.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: to report
27	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I did not initiate
28	

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: to report to
2	them?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I did not initiate
4	
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right.
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the contact with the
7	RCMP.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right.
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: They contacted
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: But these are the
11	incidences that you felt were responsive to their request for
12	any incidences that you deemed suspicious?
13	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. And
14	what I took of note there was that the two emails that I had
15	received from outside of Canada were likely authored by the
16	same person. So I thought that was new information to me
17	that I had not previously realized.
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. We can
19	take that document down.
20	Are you aware of any irregularities in the
21	2021 election as it pertains to foreign interference? Or any
22	possible irregularities?
23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I am.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And can you
25	tell us about that?
26	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well I'm aware of what
27	happened to former MP Kenny Chiu during that election.
28	He indicated to me in conversation that he

- 1 had been subject to large volumes of misinformation on online
- 2 platforms, particularly Chinese language online platforms.
- 3 Initially, he was uncertain as to the origin of that
- 4 misinformation. And so that is one incident that I was aware
- 5 of. The second -- so that's one incident that I was aware
- 6 of.
- 7 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so was he
- 8 reporting this to you during the election campaign, the writ
- 9 period, or was this sometime afterwards that he told you
- 10 about this?
- 11 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: My recollection is that
- it was -- if not during the writ period, it was immediately
- 13 thereafter, in the day or two after the election.
- 14 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you see any
- examples of this misinformation that he was bringing up with
- 16 you, or was he just telling you about it, or did you see
- examples of this yourself?
- 18 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: He was telling me about
- it. He was telling me about it. I did not -- I don't -- I'm
- not on Chinese language social media platforms, so I did not
- see that, but he was telling me about it. He was telling me
- that the misinformation was telling voters that all Chinese
- 23 Canadians would have to register under a bill that he had
- proposed in the previous parliament. He told me that, you
- 25 know, many people were afraid to talk to him during the
- 26 campaign. And after the election was finished, in the days
- 27 after the election, it was clear in reviewing some of the
- 28 preliminary data that had come in that there had been a drop

1	in votes, significant drop in votes from the previous 2
2	elections in the 2021 election in certain ridings with
3	significant Chinese communities.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And did
5	anybody else speak to you about concerns during the 2021
6	election, or about the 2021 election, any other candidates
7	other than Mr. Chiu?
8	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Just Mr. Chiu, during
9	and just after the election. Many other people spoke to me
10	in the weeks and months after that.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Like, who?
12	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I held a number of round
13	tables with the Chinese community in the Greater Toronto Area
14	and in the Greater Vancouver in the lower mainland of
15	Vancouver to talk about policy generally, but also, to do a
16	review of what had happened in the previous election. So it
17	was a broad based set of round tables, with the Chinese
18	community in particular, to talk about what had happened.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so before we get
20	to that, which I have some questions on that, I just wanted
21	to confirm if any other candidates were raising concerns
22	about issues within their riding other than Mr. Chiu.
23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, there were other
24	candidates who raised concerns.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Like, who?
26	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I heard from I heard
27	from I'm drawing a blank now. I heard from a former
28	colleague from Markham.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Mr.?
2	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I am drawing a blank now
3	on the name. It's
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Could it be
5	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: it's
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Saroya?
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, Mr. Bob Saroya,
8	yes, sorry. Bob Saroya contacted me during that campaign and
9	before, during the campaign and afterwards as well to talk
10	about what happened to him and suggested that there was some
11	funny business going on in his riding. So that's another
12	person that I did talk to.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Anybody else?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I can't recall, but I
15	believe I spoke I can't recall exactly, but I believe I
16	also spoke with a number of other people, former colleagues
17	about this as well, but I just
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
19	MR MICHAEL CHONG: I can't recall.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. No problem.
21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: But I did definitely
22	speak to Bob Saroya.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
24	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Sorry, I forgot the
25	name.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: No, that's fine.
27	So you were telling us about a postmortem
28	that happened after the election in which you solicited the

T	views of Uninese Canadians about the Conservative Party and
2	its policies; is that right?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And who organized
5	these round tables?
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs
8	involved?
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. I cohosted the
10	round tables with I believe it was former MP Bob Saroya, with
11	former MP Kenny Chiu, former MP Alice Wong. I believe those
12	were the three people that I cohosted the round tables with.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And these took place
14	in the Greater Toronto Area and the Greater Vancouver Area;
15	is that right?
16	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And what kind
18	of feedback did you hear from Chinese Canadians?
19	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I heard a wide range of
20	feedback from these Chinese Canadians. First, I heard that
21	many of them were subject to vast volumes of disinformation.
22	They highlighted how Conservative policies had been
23	misrepresented in Chinese language media, on Chinese language
24	media social platforms. They also talked about how regulated
25	broadcasters in Canada, regulated under the CRTC, in
26	particular, radio broadcasters were getting editorial
27	direction from owners not to speak to or even utter Kenny
28	Chiu's name on air. I heard that one radio station in

1	particular, I believe it was AM 1320 I believe is the call
2	sign, in Vancouver, instructed 2 of their on-air broadcasters
3	not to either interview or say Kenny Chiu's name on air. One
4	of them interviewed Kenny Chiu and was terminated, I was
5	told, from his show, and the other person mentioned Kenny
6	Chiu's name on air and had his hours significantly reduced.
7	We also heard during the round tables about how the PRC often
8	has a stronger presence in many Chinese communities in Canada
9	than does the Government of Canada, and that this is in part
10	why the community is often parts of the community are so
11	fearful because they don't see a presence from the Government
12	of Canada, but they do see a large presence from PRC
13	officials, including diplomatic and consular officials from
14	the missions of the PRC here in Canada.
15	We also heard that's what I can recall
16	right now.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sure, and I'll take
18	you to
19	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I don't have the letter
20	in front of me, but it's somewhere
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Well, I'll take you
22	to the letter.
23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Okay.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: I probably should
25	have done that first. It's MMC 9.
26	EXHIBIT No./PIÈCE No. MMC 9:
27	Letter from Michael Chong to Erin
28	O'Toole - December 21, 2021

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is a letter
2	that you wrote addressed to Mr. O'Toole, who was the party
3	leader at that time, and it's dated December $21^{\rm st}$, 2021.
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: M'hm.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And my understanding
6	is that this letter summarizes some of the feedback you heard
7	from these round tables, and laid out some of the what you
8	were hearing from Chinese Canadians in these round tables; is
9	that right?
10	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And if we go
12	down a little bit, I would just note that there are some
13	redactions there. Can you explain the basis for those
14	redactions?
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, some of that is
16	information related to staffing in the office of the leader
17	of the opposition, which for those reasons I asked that my
18	staff redact that portion of the document.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So fair to say it's
20	not relevant to the terms of reference of this Commission; is
21	that correct?
22	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
24	And does it fairly reflect some of the issues or feedback
25	that you were hearing from Chinese Canadians in these round
26	tables?
27	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, it does.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. We

1 can take that down. Is it your understanding that the 2 3 Conservative Party lost seats in the 2021 election due to foreign interference? 4 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I would say that the 5 6 Conservative Party was affected in about half a dozen ridings by the foreign interference threat activities directed by the 7 Government of the People's Republic of China. 8 9 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what do you base 10 that understanding on? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I base that on a number 11 of analyses that have been done subsequent to the 2021 12 13 election, 3 analyses in particular. An -- the analysis done by the Rapid Response Mechanism of Global Affairs Canada 14 15 during the writ period that indicated that the Chinese 16 Communist Party was likely behind the disinformation operations conducted against MP Kenny Chiu during that 17 campaign. I based it on the digital lab forensic analysis 18 19 done by the Atlantic Council in November of 2021 that went through the various social media posts that had been posted 20 during the election, and came to a similar conclusion. And 21 22 thirdly, I based it on the analysis of the research done by Canada Research Chair at McGill University, Dr. Benjamin 23 Fung, and his associate. 24 25 I also based it on my own analysis of the 26 drop in turnout for Conservative candidates in these half a dozen ridings during the 2021 period, which was a marked 27

change from previous elections.

1	I based it on the firsthand accounts of
2	former colleagues, like MP Kenny Chiu, MP Bob Saroya,
3	MP Alice Wong, and others, and I based it on some of the
4	accounts of what happened in the roundtables that I hosted
5	subsequent to the 2021 election. So that's what I based it
6	on.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And would you have
8	done anything different as a candidate, either in 2019 or in
9	2021, had the information that is now come out, or that you
10	now know, had you known that then?
11	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Absolutely.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: What would you have
13	done different?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well, when I became
15	known when I became aware last May that the PRC was
16	directly targeting me, the first thing that crossed my mind
17	is had I known that in the previous election I would have hit
18	record on the Zoom all candidates debate and press lunch.
19	You know, I had been aware of foreign interference threat
20	activities for years now. CSIS provided me with a briefing
21	on foreign interference threat activities in the summer of
22	2021, but it was a briefing of general application. And a
23	lot of what I had been briefed on was I had already known
24	and was widely known in the public realm.
25	I never knew at that juncture, nor did I
26	during the last election, that I was actually being
27	clandestinely, coercively, and corruptly targeted by the
28	Government of the PRC here on Canadian soil. And had I known

1	that, I would have gone on high alert during that election,
2	and when that you know, things like that loaded question
3	was asked of me during the press lunch all candidates debate
4	I most certainly would have pressed record on that all
5	candidates debate.
6	I slowly came to the realisation many months
7	afterwards that it may have that that event may have been
8	a foreign interference threat activity, but you know, it
9	wasn't really until I became aware last year that I was
10	directly being targeted that, you know, I realised that, you
11	know, I should have hit record.
12	And so yes, I would have done things
13	differently had I known that.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so I understand
15	that there is probably other things you would like to tell us
16	that relate to Stage 2 of our mandate, but my question is, is
17	there anything else that we haven't covered related to
18	Stage 1, which specifically involves the 2019 and the 2021
19	federal elections, that you have not had a chance to tell us?
20	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I think I'll
21	finish by saying this. I think the government's the
22	Government of Canada's lack of transmission of information to
23	individuals who are being directly targeted by foreign
24	individuals who are being directly targeted by roleigh
24	interference threat activities is actually leading to an
25	
	interference threat activities is actually leading to an
25	interference threat activities is actually leading to an increase in racial profiling in Canada.

1	candidates and candidates for nomination and candidates
2	for election with funds for their and with other
3	assistance for their election, I asked my campaign manager to
4	pull the lists of all the people with Chinese last names who
5	had donated to my 2021 campaign and my 2019 campaign, to
6	ensure that just to check whether or not, you know, they
7	may be people under suspicion. We concluded that they
8	weren't because we knew each and every one of them, but the
9	fact that I did that is an example of racial profiling or
10	ethnic profiling that is a result of the Government of
11	Canada's lack of transparency about the intelligence they
12	have concerning agents and proxy agents here in Canada that
13	may be targeting our democratic institutions.
14	And this is what elected officials, like
15	myself, and candidates in an election have had to resort to
16	because we are not given the sort of intelligence.
17	And so you know, the infuriating thing about
18	what has happened over the last couple of years is the
19	intimation that people raising legitimate concerns about
20	threats to the security of Canada, threats to the security of
21	Canadian citizens, threats to the rules based international
22	order are responsible for fomenting anti Asian racism and
23	discrimination, when in fact, you know, it's the Government
24	of Canada and its lack of transparency that is actually
25	creating a lot of distrust and a lot of innuendo in the
26	Chinese community because they haven't been forthright about
27	the intelligence that they have.

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{MS}}$. $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{NATALIA}}$ $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{RODRIGUEZ}}\colon$ Thank you, Mr. Chong.

Those are my questions. 1 Thank you, Commissioner. 2 3 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. So there is going to be some cross-4 The first one on the list was the Conservative 5 examination. 6 Partv. MS. ERIN DANN: Commissioner? Sorry, I --7 Mr. De Luca unfortunately had to leave, but he let me know 8 9 that the Conservative Party does not have any questions. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Perfect. 10 Thank you. Then, counsel for the Human Rights Coalition. 11 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR 12 13 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: 14 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Hello, Mr. Chong. Based on your understanding of how the Chinese Government engages 15 16 in foreign interference and transnational oppression against those who oppose their policies abroad, do you think you were 17 targeted because of your public advocacy regarding the 18 19 Chinese Government and its policies, including as they relate to Hong Kong and targeted groups, like Uyghurs and Tibetans, 20 21 for example? 22 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Absolutely. You know, the bizarre situation that I found myself in was that I had 23 introduced two motions in the House of Commons, one in the 24 fall of 2020, and the second in early 2021. The first 25 related to the threat that Huawei presented to Canada's 26 national telecommunications network, and called on the 27 government to ban Huawei from our national telecommunications 28

CHONG Cr-Ex(Taylor)

1	network and to bring forward a plan to combat foreign
2	interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That
3	first motion was adopted by Parliament in late 2020.
4	The second motion concerned the evidence that
5	had accumulated by that point in early 2021, that the
6	Government of the PRC was committing a genocide against the
7	Uyghur Muslim minority population in Xinjiang Province. That
8	motion too was adopted by Parliament.
9	What was so you know, what resulted from
10	that second motion being adopted was the Government of
11	Canada, even though it had abstained from voting on the
12	motion, took action based on the motion in concert with
13	western democratic governments, in countries like the United
14	Kingdom, to impose sanctions on officials and entities in
15	Xinjiang for their gross human rights abuses against the
16	Uyghur Muslim minority.
17	I subsequently was sanctioned for the
18	Government of Canada's sanctions on individuals and an entity
19	in Xinjiang. I'm not the Government of Canada. I am part of
20	the official opposition, and so that's how I ended up being
21	sanctioned by the PRC for the government's actions in respect
22	of human rights abuses in Xinjiang.
23	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And based on your
24	experience as a target, what information do you think targets
25	or potential targets of foreign interference or transnational
26	oppression, as it relates to elections, need from
27	intelligence agencies in order to take appropriate
28	precautions?

HON. MICHAEL CHONG: They need information. 1 And this is advice that CSIS has provided to the ministry. 2 3 In what little information we have received from heavily redacted documents presented to the Procedure and House 4 Affairs Committee of the House of Commons, it is clear that 5 6 the Director of CSIS has advised the government that sunlight and transparency is one tool in a suite of tools that are 7 necessary to combat foreign interference threat activities 8 here on Canadian soil. 9 CSIS has advised the government that by going 10 public about details concerning foreign interference threat 11 activities on part of authoritarian states that they are able 12 13 to inform the public, build resilience in the public, so the 14 public is armed with this information and protect themselves from these foreign interference threat activities, because 15 CSIS has advised many foreign interference threat activities 16 do not rise to the level of a criminal prosecution. And it 17 is often difficult to translate intelligence into evidence. 18 19 And so using the Criminal Code, using criminal law to prosecute these threat activities isn't always the most 20 21 effective way to combat them. So one way to combat them that 22 -- in the advice that has been given by experts to the 23 government is to use sunlight and transparency to arm the public with information so that they can protect themselves. 24 And we're seeing that increasingly in the Five Eyes Alliance, 25 but we're -- we've not seen it as much here in Canada. 26 MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: It's my understanding 27 28 that you would have taken preventative actions if you had

T	been warned that you raced specific threats. In addition to
2	recording the all-candidates meeting on Zoom, what other
3	precautions would you have taken?
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well, I'm I am an MP
5	who represents a riding that is partly in the Greater Toronto
6	Area. As a result, you know, I'm often in downtown Toronto.
7	You know, I'm often at the University of Toronto, talking to
8	experts in a range of policy areas at the Munk International
9	the Munk School of International Affairs, which happens to
10	be located at St. George and Bloor Street. And just up the
11	street, a mere matter of blocks, is the PRC Consulate, where
12	this particular diplomat, Mr. Wade Joe worked. So it would
13	have been nice to know that this individual was targeting me,
14	so that I could have at least been aware of my surroundings
15	and to ensure that nothing nefarious was going on. So those
16	are the kinds of things that I think elected officials and
17	Canadians more broadly need to be made aware of. If we don't
18	if we're not aware of these things, we go about our
19	business, you know, not thinking about these things.
20	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And considering your
21	experience
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It's going to be your
23	last question because your time is already exhausted.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you. Considering
25	your experience, you would agree that in addition to
26	parliamentarians and their families it's important that
27	members of targeted diaspora communities in Canada are
28	provided warnings in a timely manner when they face specific

1	threats of foreign interference and transnational oppression?
2	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Absolutely. And that is
3	currently the case in other western democracies. Back when
4	my case when the case of Mr. Wei Zhao, the diplomat the
5	consular official who was declared persona non grata last
6	year after a Global Mail report was made public, I had many -
7	- I had a number of foreign missions here in Ottawa express
8	surprise to me privately that I had not been previously
9	informed of this individual targeting me, that the protocol
10	in place in these other western democracies is that elected
11	officials, even elected officials in opposition, elected
12	officials who are not in government are regularly informed of
13	threat activities directed toward them and their families by
14	intelligence agencies.
15	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Chong.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
17	The AG?
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
19	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER:
20	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Good afternoon, Mr.
21	Chong. Just a couple of questions. The round tables that
22	you organized, how many of those did you approximately how
23	many of those did you hold?
24	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Approximately three.
25	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And how many people
26	attended?
27	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: It was in the dozens.
28	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And were these all

Chinese Canadians or a mix of people? 1 2 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: They were all Chinese Canadians. 3 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Right. You mentioned 4 that you had been aware of foreign interference for some 5 6 time, and I think you talked about some meetings with CSIS. Now we apparently are going to hear in the next stage of the 7 inquiry about -- or more about a briefing that you had, a 8 9 classified briefing in or about June of 2023, May, June of 10 2023. HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did not have a 11 classified briefing. 12 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: You've never had a 13 14 classified briefing? 15 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No, in May of 2023 ---16 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Yeah. HON. MICHAEL CHONG: --- Director David 17 Vigneault declassified under threat reduction measures a 18 19 paragraph of the intelligence assessment of July of 2020 on. MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: You had -- let me put it 20 21 another way. You had a briefing in which you had received 22 some information that was formerly classified information? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. One 23 24 paragraph that ---25 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Whereas, in the previous briefings, that did not occur? 26 That's correct. 27 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: 28 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Right. And my

understanding is you had maybe four or five of those 1 2 briefings, those other briefings prior to the May or June of 2023 briefings; is that fair? 3 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: 4 No. MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: No ---5 6 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about 7 8 foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. 9 That briefing took place in Toronto. That briefing was of general application. My understanding I was the first Member 10 of Parliament to be briefed in this way. And that briefing 11 did not contain any information about any threats directed 12 13 toward me. 14 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I just want to be clear 15 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah. 16 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: --- because we may hear 17 more about this later, but your evidence is that you had only 18 19 one briefing prior to the briefing in May or ---HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. 20 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: --- June of 2023? 21 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: 22 Yes. 23 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: All right. Thank you. 24 And you used the word "targeted". What do you mean by that? You said you were targeted. You became aware that you were 25 targeted. What do you ---26 27 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah. 28 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: --- mean by "targeted"?

1	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: So what I mean by that
2	is a consular official by the name of Mr. Wei Zhao, who was
3	accredited by the Government of Canada and accorded rights
4	that ordinary Canadian citizens do not have, diplomatic
5	rights under the Vienna Convention, that it shield them from
6	certain types of prosecutions that Canadians are not afforded
7	to, was gathering information about me and my family to pass
8	along to the Ministry of State Security, which is the
9	Ministry in Beijing responsible for Beijing's secret police,
10	an order to potentially further target me and my extended
11	family. That's what I mean by targeting.
12	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I'm just wondering if I
13	might do you understand that you're coming back for the
14	next phase of this inquiry? Because
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do not know I've been
16	called as a witness for the next part of the inquiry, but I
17	understand I'm getting standing for the next part of the
18	inquiry.
19	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: There's some nodding of
20	heads over here, so I think maybe I'll take that as a sign
21	that we may have another opportunity to explore this
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sorry, excuse me,
23	Commissioner. The nodding of heads is to agree with Mr.
24	Chong's assessment that we have not determined witnesses for
25	stage two, but he does indeed have standing for the entire
26	phase one, phase two, stage one, stage two of the Commissions
27	proceedings.
28	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I'm not sure how helpful

that is, but thank you. 1 2 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And, sorry, just to clarify, the issues that are being brought up will be dealt 3 with in stage two of the Commission's fact-finding phase. 4 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Again, not sure how 5 6 helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only 7 direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is 8 9 potential foreign interference in your campaign in 2021 was this incident on Zoom in the Puslinch Township meeting; is 10 that fair? 11 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I don't know that 12 13 that was a foreign interference threat activity. I don't 14 have the evidence to make that conclusion. It's not inconsistent with a foreign interference threat activity of 15 the People's Republic of China, but I don't know, or I 16 haven't concluded, I haven't assessed that it is indeed a 17 foreign interference threat activity. 18 19 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. But that's the only thing that I heard with respect to your own campaign. 20 21 You have talked to others, and you told us who. Is that 22 correct? In the 2021 ---23 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: 24 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Yes. HON. MICHAEL CHONG: 25 --- campaign, yes, that's correct. 26 27 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Right. Thank you. 28 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.

1	It's your colleague. So he's counsel to
2	Michael Chan, for those that do not know.
3	MR. ANDY CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
5	MR. ANDY CHAN:
6	MR. ANDY CHAN: Mr. Chong, just a couple of
7	questions. At paragraph 20 of your interview summary, we
8	don't need to go there, but you recall that you said that
9	nomination rules are vulnerable to foreign interference; is
10	that right?
11	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
12	MR. ANDY CHAN: And the Commission has heard
13	evidence that rules for nomination contests are similar to
14	those for leadership contests, because if you're a registered
15	member, you can vote in a leadership contest. And I wondered
16	if you the concerns that you have about nomination
17	contests would also apply to leadership contests?
18	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. The concerns I
19	have about nomination contests apply to leadership contests.
20	In fact, my concern about leadership contests are even
21	greater than my concern about nomination contests, and here's
22	why. The nomination contest is not the end of the matter.
23	When a person is elected or selected as the candidate for a
24	registered political party, there is then a subsequent very
25	important step, which is the election or byelection to elect
26	that individual to the House of Commons.
27	No such second step exists for the election
28	of a party leader. And so with the vulnerabilities that have

been exposed in testimony today and yesterday are in place for the election of a leader of a party, particularly of a party that has the majority of seats or commands the majority of support in the House of Commons, we are effectively opening up the appointment of heads of government in this country, including prime ministers and premiers, to this foreign interference.

I think of my late colleague, the Honourable Jim Prentice. When Jim Prentice was appointed Premier of the Province of Alberta, he was a private citizen. He was no different than anyone walking down Wellington Street today. He was appointed by the Lieutenant Governor of the Province of Alberta to be full premier of that province. He did not have a seat in the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Alberta. He had simply been elected as leader of the Alberta PC Party.

And what has happened in recent decades, both at the provincial and the federal level, is that party caucuses have deferred the election, the selection, of party leaders to the registered political parties.

And so we could have a situation here in Canada where a Prime Minister resigns from office. The registered political party conducts an election for the leader. That election, if subject to the same kind of rules that some of the parties have outlined here today and yesterday at the Commission, would result in the appointment of a Prime Minister who does not have a seat in the House of Commons and who was elected through a process that was

- significantly compromised by non-citizens and by foreign
 state actors.
- And so that's why I think -- that's why I'm

 very concerned not just about some of the evidence that we've

 heard here in front of the Commission with respect to party

 nominations, but also how it relates to the appointment of
- 7 heads of government, particularly the appointment of a Prime
- 8 Minister by the Governor General.
- 9 MR. ANDY CHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chong. In 10 your testimony to Commission counsel, Ms. Rodriguez, you 11 discussed disinformation campaigns in Kenny Chiu's campaign.
- Do you recall that?

19

13 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, I do.

opportunity to comment on that.

- MR. ANDY CHAN: And at times in these
 hearings today, there have been some suggestions that
 opinions, views, and articles in these campaigns or in these
 disinformation campaigns may just form part of legitimate
 debate in a free election. And I wanted to provide you an
- HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, free debates,
 misinformed debates, even misinformed opinions are the right
 of any Canadian citizen during an election, and in between
 elections. People are right to express their points of view,
 however informed or misinformed they are. So there's nothing
 wrong about doing that.
- What is wrong is if a foreign state actor,
 directly or indirectly, clandestinely, corruptly, or
 coercively, is spreading disinformation and misinformation

```
during or even before elections in this country. That is not
1
        acceptable. That -- you know, using clandestine, coercive,
2
        and corrupt methods is foreign interference and any state
3
        actor that participates in the dissemination of that kind of
4
        information in those -- in that way is committing foreign
5
6
        interference, a violation of foreign interference law in
        Canada, and needs to be held accountable. And if that
7
        violation of our laws here in Canada doesn't rise to the
8
        level of criminal prosecution, then the Government of Canada
9
        has a range of other tools in the tool kit to go after that
10
        foreign interference.
11
                        MR. ANDY CHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chong. That's
12
13
        very helpful.
14
                        Those are my questions, Commissioner.
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
15
16
                        Thank you very much. You're free to go.
                        HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Merci, Madam.
17
                        COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And to come back
18
19
        whenever you want.
                        So thank you all. We'll be in the same room
20
21
        tomorrow morning at 9:30.
22
                        THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre,
        s'il vous plaît.
23
                       This sitting of the Foreign Interference
24
        Commission has adjourned until tomorrow at 9:30. C'est séance
25
        de la Commission sur l'ingérence étrangère est levée jusqu'à
26
        demain à 9h30.
27
```

--- Upon adjourning at 6:19 p.m./

1	L'audience est suspendue à 17 h 19
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, a certified court reporter,
6	hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an accurate
7	transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and
8	ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, une sténographe officielle,
11	certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une transcription
12	conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes
13	capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	Jeff upo
16	Sandrine Marineau-Lupien
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	