

Public Inquiry Into Foreign Interference in Federal Electoral Processes and Democratic Institutions

Enquête publique sur l'ingérence étrangère dans les processus électoraux et les institutions démocratiques fédéraux

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner / Commissaire The Honourable / L'honorable Marie-Josée Hogue

VOLUME 9 ENGLISH INTERPRETATION

Held at : Tenue à:

Library and Archives Canada Bambrick Room 395 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4

Wednesday, April 3, 2024

Bibliothèque et Archives Canada Salle Bambrick 395, rue Wellington Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4

Le mercredi 3 avril 2024

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

https://www.transcription.tc/ (800)899-0006

II Appearances / Comparutions

Commission Lead Counsel /

Shantona Chaudhury

Procureure en chef de la commission

Commission Counsel /

Avocat(e)s de la commission Erin Dann

Matthew Ferguson

Gordon Cameron

Hubert Forget

Howard Krongold

Hannah Lazare

Jean-Philippe Mackay

Kate McGrann Lynda Morgan Siobhan Morris

Annie-Claude Poirier

Gabriel Poliquin

Natalia Rodriguez
Guillaume Rondeau

Nicolas Saint-Amour

Daniel Sheppard

Maia Tsurumi Leila Ghahhary

Emily McBain-Ashfield

Hamza Mohamadhossen

Commission Research Council /

Conseil de la recherche de la

commission

Geneviève Cartier

Nomi Claire Lazar

Lori Turnbull

Leah West

Commission Senior Policy Advisors /

Conseillers principaux en politiques de la

commission

Paul Cavalluzzo

Danielle Côté

III Appearances / Comparutions

Commission Staff / Annie Desgagné
Personnel de la commission Casper Donovan

Michael Tansey

Ukrainian Canadian Congress Donald Bayne

Jon Doody

Government of Canada Gregory Tzemenakis

Barney Brucker

Office of the Commissioner of Christina Maheux

Canada Elections Luc Boucher

Nancy Miles

Human Rights Coalition Hannah Taylor

Sarah Teich

Russian Canadian Democratic Mark Power

Alliance Guillaume Sirois

Michael Chan John Chapman

Andy Chan

Han Dong Mark Polley

Emily Young

Jeffrey Wang

Michael Chong Gib van Ert

Fraser Harland

Jenny Kwan Sujit Choudhry

Mani Kakkar

IV Appearances / Comparutions

Churchill Society Malliha Wilson

The Pillar Society Daniel Stanton

Democracy Watch Wade Poziomka

Nick Papageorge

Canada's NDP Lucy Watson

Conservative Party of Canada Nando de Luca

Chinese Canadian Concern Group on

The Chinese Communist Party's

Human Rights Violations

Neil Chantler

Erin O'Toole Thomas W. Jarmyn

Preston Lim

Senator Yuen Pau Woo Yuen Pau Woo

V Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
HON. ERIN O'TOOLE, Sworn/Assermenté	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Natalia Rodriguez	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gib van Ert	40
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Prabjot Singh	44
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Guillaume Sirois	52
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	63
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gregory Tzemenakis	65
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Thomas Jarmyn	73
Re-Examination by/Ré-interrogatoire par Ms. Natalia Rodriguez	74
MR. KENNY CHIU, Sworn/Assermenté	77
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Matthew Ferguson	77
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Nando de Luca	121
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	126
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Gregory Tzemenakis	134
HON. JENNY KWAN, Affirmed/Sous affirmation solennelle	143
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Natalia Rodriguez	143
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	178
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Luc Boucher	182
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Barney Brucker	188
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Sujit Choudhry	194

VI Table of Content / Table des matières

	PAGE
HON. MICHAEL CHONG, Sworn/Assermenté	205
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Natalia Rodriguez	206
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Hannah Taylor	236
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Barney Brucker	241
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Andy Chan	245

VII Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
WIT 24.EN	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Erin O'Toole	2
WIT 24.FR	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : Erin O'Toole	3
CAN.DOC 22	Commission request for summary information on briefing to Erin O'Toole	4
EOT 4	EOT0000004	12
EOT 1_R	EOT0000001_R	14
EOT 12	EOT0000012	19
CAN 5824	SITE TF Update On Foreign Interference Threats To Canadian Democratic Institutions – 2021	23
CAN 124	RRM Canada Daily Brief	25
CAN 126	RRM Canada Daily Brief	27
CAN 130	RRM Canada Daily Brief	27
CAN 132	RRM Canada Daily Brief	27
CAN 3781	Threats to Canadian Federal Election 2021	32
CAN 6739	Fwd: Follow up	37
CAN 125	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis	54
CAN 134	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis	56
CAN 141	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis	59
WIT 14.EN	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Kenny Chiu	78
WIT 14.FR	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : Kenny Chiu	78

VIII Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
CAN.DOC 24	Summary information on briefing to Kenny Chiu	78
KCH 69	Bill C-282 An Act to establish a Foreign Intfluence Registry	90
KCH 1-27	Chat screenshots: Current Events Discussion. News Coverage. (24/7)	96
KCH 70	Translation of KCH 1-27	96
CCC 10	Article: Conservatives face ugly barrage over party's China policy [Vancouver Sun]	102
KCH 68	Article: Richmond candidate attacked on WeChat for proposed 'Foreign Influence' legislation	103
CCC 4	Article [Today Commercial News]	107
CAN 144	RRM Canada Open Data Analysis October 8, 2021	109
CAN 4821	SITE TF Analysis 25 October 2021	110
WIT 5_EN	Interview Summary: Benjamin Fung	128
WIT 12.EN	Interview Summary: Jenny Kwan	144
WIT 12.FR	Résumé d'entrevue : Jenny Kwan	145
CAN.DOC 23	Summary information on briefing to Jenny Kwan	147
JKW 149	Photo Democracy Walk near Chinese Consulate	154
JKW 150	Photo Democracy Walk near Chinese Consulate	155
JKW 65_R	Press release February 18, 2022	160
JKW 70_R	Letter to CSIS	162
JKW 66_R	Press release July 10, 2023	163

IX Exhibit List / Liste des pièces

No.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
CAN.SUM.3	Topical Summary: PRC Officials - Foreign Interference Activities in Greater Vancouver in the 2019 General Election	165
JKW 76	Letter to OCCE: Complaint re collusion and vote-buying in Vancouver East	170
CAN 1075	SITE TF SITREP: 07 September 2021	171
CCC 186	Press release from Chinese-Canadian Concerned Group on the Chinese Communist Party's Human Rights Violation	175
WIT 8_AF	Affidavit of Gabriel Yiu with Interview Summary	199
WIT 18.EN	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Michael Chong	206
WIT 18.FR	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : Michael Chong	207
MMC4	Threatening correspondence directed at MP Michael Chong	212
MMC5	Email Fwd: Barred from entering Chinese territory	214
MMC8	Email: RCMP conclusion to FAI investigation	222
MMC9	Letter from Michael Chong to Erin O'Toole - December 21, 2021	231

1	Ottawa, Ontario
2	Upon commencing on Wednesday, April 3, 2024 at 9:32 a.m.
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order, please.
4	This sitting of the Foreign Interference
5	Commission is now in session. Commissioner Hogue is
6	presiding.
7	The time is 9:32 a.m.
8	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Good morning, everyone.
9	Welcome, Mr. O'Toole.
10	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning.
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So I understand it's
12	Me Rodriguez this morning.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Good morning,
14	Mr. O'Toole.
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: If we can have the
17	witness sworn, please.
18	THE REGISTRAR: Good morning, Mr. O'Toole.
19	May I please have your full name for the record, and spell
20	your last name, please.
21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Erin Michael O'Toole, O
22	apostrophe, T-O-O-L-E.
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE, Sworn:
24	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ:
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Good morning again,
26	Mr. O'Toole. As you know, I'm Natalia Rodriguez, Commission
27	Counsel.
28	And we're going to start with some

1	preliminary matters. On February 23rd, 2024, you had an
2	interview with Commission Counsel, and a follow-up interview
3	on March 1st, 2024. Is that right?
4	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And an interview
6	summary was generated from that from those interviews; is
7	that right?
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Correct.
9	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Ms. Rodriguez, can you
10	just speak a bit louder?
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Oh, sure.
12	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Or closer to the mic.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: All right, just
16	checking.
17	Okay. So I will call up the witness summary
18	at WIT 24.EN.
19	EXHIBIT No. WIT 24.EN:
20	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Erin
21	O'Toole
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And Mr. O'Toole, what
23	you're seeing there is the interview summary that was
24	generated from your interviews with Commission Counsel. Is
25	that right?
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you've reviewed
28	the summary for accuracy?

1	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I have.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you have any
3	corrections, additions, or deletions to make at this time?
4	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I do not.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you adopt the
6	contents of this witness summary as part of your evidence
7	before the Commission today?
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, I do.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Great. So we'll have
10	that entered into evidence. And for the record, a French
11	translation of the summary is at WIT 24.FR.
12	EXHIBIT No. WIT 24.FR:
13	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 : Erin
14	O'Toole
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, just to go over
16	a little bit of your background. I understand you were a
17	Conservative Member of Parliament for Durham from 2012 to
18	2023. Is that right?
19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you served as
21	party leader and leader of the official opposition from 2020
22	to 2022. Is that right?
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And under Prime
25	Minister Harper, you were Minister of Veterans Affairs.
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I was.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were named
28	Foreign Affairs Critic in the Conservative Shadow Cabinet of

1	party leader Andrew Scheer.
2	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I was, yes.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, can you tell us
4	a little bit about your background before politics, and how
5	that may have shaped your views as a politician?
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, my time in the
7	military shaped my views on national security, on foreign
8	affairs, defence. These issues, as far back as the early
9	nineties, we were looking at the NATO and NORAD alliances
10	that Canada had, but also potential flashpoints in the
11	future, including at the Taiwan Strait. Things like this I
12	was learning about as a young officer cadet at the Royal
13	Military College. And so security issues and the need to be
14	prudent and serious, with respect to influencing these sorts
15	of things, we're all cultivated during my time as an officer
16	in the Canadian Armed Forces, and so that coloured my time in
17	politics. I'm a lawyer as well, so you know, that background
18	was also very important to me as an MP on these issues of
19	national security.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Now, I
21	understand you received a briefing by CSIS concerning foreign
22	interference on May 26, 2023, in which you received
23	classified information. Is that right?
24	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, I'm going to
26	take you to a document, CAN.DOC 22.
27	EXHIBIT No. CAN.DOC 22:
28	Commission request for summary

5

1	information on briefing to Erin
2	O'Toole
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is entitled
4	Commission Request for Summary Information On Briefing To
5	Mr., or to Erin O'Toole, rather.
6	And so you've you understand that the
7	Commission requested from CSIS an unclassified summary of the
8	briefing that you received in May of 2023?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is a summary
11	that was generated. Have you had a chance to review this
12	summary?
13	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I have.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And now, I would ask
15	that you not disclose any of the classified information you
16	received in this briefing, but in general terms, does this
17	summary accord with the general topics and information that
18	you were provided with in that briefing? Without getting
19	into specifics, obviously.
20	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It does. In a very
21	general way, it touches on themes. I also, in one of my
22	final speeches in the House of Commons, referenced my
23	briefing in a very careful way that I wasn't revealing any
24	intelligence information or information that would reveal
25	sources to ensure that on the public record we could have
26	some sharing with the public because I think transparency is
27	important.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And

1	again, without giving any details of the content of that
2	briefing, did you find the briefing to be useful in the sense
3	that you were given information that you could put into
4	action or you could do something with in order to better
5	protect yourself from foreign interference?
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I would have appreciated
7	the briefing a few years earlier, but yes, it was very
8	helpful in understanding what was happening.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. So moving
10	now to the 2019 election. I understand that your primary
11	role in that election was one of candidate. Is that right?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, and in Ontario I did
13	help a range of other candidates as kind of a prominent
14	member of caucus, but I didn't have any national tour
15	responsibilities as I had had in 2015.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you weren't
17	part of the national campaign in 2019.
18	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I was not.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And were you
20	made aware of concerns in your role as candidate and
21	assisting other candidates, as you said, regarding foreign
22	interference during that election in 2019?
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I wasn't aware of any
24	concerns in that election. As I've said in my statement, the
25	leadership that predated the 2019 election, I did see for the
26	first time the influence of platforms like WeChat and the
27	ability to organize cultural communities based on specific
28	social media channels like WeChat.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
2	And I'm being advised just for the sake of
3	the translators that both of us need to speak a little bit
4	more slowly in order to help them in their work.
5	Thank you.
6	And were you aware of any concerns regarding
7	foreign interference prior to the 2021 election any time
8	between 2019 and 2021?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, in limited fashion.
10	Certainly the Chinese Ambassador made negative comments
11	towards the Conservative opposition and myself, but in terms
12	of seeing any orchestrated foreign interference, that was not
13	really on our radar prior to the election.
14	We had taken pretty strong positions with
15	respect to the Uyghur genocide, with respect to the Two
16	Michaels, with respect to Belt Road initiative, a range of
17	policies that we thought were not in our national interest
18	that the Trudeau government was pursuing or supporting, but
19	we didn't see evidence of foreign interference. There was
20	just a sense that stronger positions with respect to China
21	was probably being tracked closely by China.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And just to take you
23	back to 2019 for a second, were you aware of the security and
24	intelligence threats to Elections Task Force, which we've
25	been calling the SITE Task Force, in 2019 and were you aware
26	of who the representative from the Conservative Party would
27	have been to that entity?
28	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I was not aware of who

1	our representative was and I only knew that a task force to
2	look at the issue was formed. I did not know its structure,
3	nor did we get any briefings or background information on it.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you knew of
5	the existence, but nothing more than that. Is that fair?
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So I want to
8	take you now to the 2021 election, and maybe you can tell us
9	a little bit about the discourse that was happening in
10	Parliament before it ended for the summer in 2021, some of
11	the issues that dominated the debate that, in your view, may
12	be relevant to the issues that we're dealing with today.
13	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Certainly.
14	I referenced the genocide towards the Uyghur,
15	Muslim and Turk populations in China. We had taken a very
16	careful and deliberate approach to bringing the issue and the
17	vote to declare it a genocide. I had waited until I saw
18	evidence through both U.S. sources and UK sources that made
19	me satisfied that we weren't being cavalier with the use of
20	the term "genocide", and I think discourse today is far too
21	cavalier with very, very important terms like that.
22	So that drew a lot of attention both in
23	Canada and internationally. We were the second the first
24	Parliament, the first assembly after the United States to
25	make such a declaration. And we knew that there was negative
26	commentary in China as a result of that.
27	But right before the election was the
28	Winnipeg lab situation which we had very, very serious

1	concerns with and, quite frankly, the Trudeau government's
2	handling of that entire episode was quite troubling. A
3	senior civil servant was called to the bar of the House of
4	Commons, something not done in a century. They were taking
5	the Speaker of the House of Commons to Court in order to not
6	comply with the Speaker's direction. So very, very
7	concerning right on the eve of the election.
8	So the Winnipeg lab scandal, as we called it
9	at the time, was probably the most pressing issue in the
10	House of Commons before the summer break and, of course,
11	there was not supposed to be an election that summer.
12	Parliament had voted to say that the will of Parliament was
13	that there should be an election only after COVID, the crisis
14	was over.
15	So the Winnipeg lab controversy came just
15 16	So the Winnipeg lab controversy came just before the election.
16	before the election.
16 17	before the election. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
16 17 18	before the election. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I understand some Conservative MPs in
16 17 18 19	before the election. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I understand some Conservative MPs in Parliament raised issues, concerns related to China-related
16 17 18 19 20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I understand some Conservative MPs in Parliament raised issues, concerns related to China-related issues. And can you tell us a bit about what the response
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I understand some Conservative MPs in Parliament raised issues, concerns related to China-related issues. And can you tell us a bit about what the response was when these issues were raised in Parliament?
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I understand some Conservative MPs in Parliament raised issues, concerns related to China-related issues. And can you tell us a bit about what the response was when these issues were raised in Parliament? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. You know, I should
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I understand some Conservative MPs in Parliament raised issues, concerns related to China-related issues. And can you tell us a bit about what the response was when these issues were raised in Parliament? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. You know, I should add, too, that the Private Members Bill from MP Kenny Chiu in
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I understand some Conservative MPs in Parliament raised issues, concerns related to China-related issues. And can you tell us a bit about what the response was when these issues were raised in Parliament? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. You know, I should add, too, that the Private Members Bill from MP Kenny Chiu in terms of a foreign agent registry was also generating some
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. And I understand some Conservative MPs in Parliament raised issues, concerns related to China-related issues. And can you tell us a bit about what the response was when these issues were raised in Parliament? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. You know, I should add, too, that the Private Members Bill from MP Kenny Chiu in terms of a foreign agent registry was also generating some headlines and some debate in the House of Commons.

24

25

26

27

28

1	of any questions on the Winnipeg lab or an issue like that
2	was somehow contributing to anti-Asian discrimination or
3	anti-Asian hate in the pandemic. I thought those responses
4	were unfair at the time.
5	And then in the runup to the election, those
6	responses inadvertently echoed propaganda from China with
7	respect to any questions about the origin of COVID-19 or any
8	questions about the our biological laboratory in Winnipeg
9	were somehow fomenting hate and discrimination. And that was
10	not only wrong and unfair, it set a bad tone heading into the
11	election.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So what effect, if
13	any, do you think that those comments in Parliament may have
14	had? What's the connection that you're making there between
15	those comments and then the subsequent messaging that was
16	being circulated during the election?
17	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly any time,
18	you know, the Prime Minister or Ministers of the government
19	use the term "racism" or "discrimination", there's an
20	intention to create chill so that we wouldn't ask questions.
21	We were being very, very careful about how we talked about
22	these issues during a public health emergency, COVID-19.
23	My concern now, particularly in retrospect,

My concern now, particularly in retrospect, is that approach the government was taking complemented indirectly narratives that were being advanced by, you know, China and its agents in Canada. And I think in the election, we saw that explode into a campaign of misinformation on social media, but also in in-person miscommunication to

1	intimidate voters, to mislead voters with respect to me, with
2	respect to certain candidates, and to our policies in
3	general. And I think it was an accidental connection, but it
4	was something that, looking back, set the tone before the
5	election. And it should have been something looked at a
6	little closer by SITE, in my view.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And by SITE,
8	meaning the SITE Task Force.
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So turning now to the
11	campaign, I understand that in 2021, you were obviously the
12	leader of the official opposition and so you were very much
13	involved in the national campaign. And I understand the
14	national campaign was using something called predictive
15	modelling instead of polling data for the projections.
16	Can you tell us a little bit about your
17	understanding of what the difference is between predictive
18	modelling and polling?
19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: The predictive modelling
20	really identifies groups of individuals, groups of Canadians,
21	and then generally, if you really do the data and the
22	research to understand those groups, you can be predictive of
23	their reaction to certain questions or how they might vote or
24	behave on certain issues.
25	We used it very extensively to prepare for
26	the election and to see how to best communicate our policies.
27	The Conservative Party I wanted to
28	modernize and use the same tools that the Liberal Party under

1	Mr. Trudeau had adopted, and they had followed the lead of
2	what the Obama campaign had done on behavioural predictive
3	modelling. Once you created the model, it was, in my
4	experience in the runup to the election and during the
5	election, extremely accurate and much more accurate than
6	polling because you saw those behaviours and those attitudes
7	in those voting blocks over time.
8	So we were we were intensely focused on
9	four groups within this model of I think eight or nine groups
10	that we felt if we did well enough with those four that were
11	open to our message, we could win the election.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I want to take you
13	now to a document, EOT 4.
14	EXHIBIT No. EOT 4:
15	EOT000004
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if we can scroll
17	down to the second page? Okay. So this is a document that
18	you produced.
19	And if we go to page three of that? Right.
20	So it says it's dated August 16, 2021 and
21	it says "CPC model". Is this an example of the modeling
22	the output of what that modeling would have generated?
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. In terms of the
24	results aggregate, yes.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
26	And who produced this chart or this information that we see
27	here?
28	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It would have been my

1	campaign team, which included Dan Robertson was one of our
2	leads on creating and working with the modelers. We went to
3	a firm based out of the United Kingdom for this type of
4	specialized work. Although now I see it's it has come to
5	Canada.
6	So Dan Robertson, Justin Bumstead, a number
7	of people that were kind of my modeling and my numbers
8	people.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: M'hm. And I
10	understand that you provided this modeling information to the
11	independent Special Rapporteur David Johnston. Is that
12	right?
13	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I did. I wanted to give
14	him some of the context for why, on election night, we were
15	very startled by a handful of riding that fell completely
16	outside of the ranges for the model.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And in fact,
18	you did put a package together that included not just this
19	information, but some memoranda as well that had been
20	prepared for you and for the party related to what you say
21	were irregularities in certain ridings? Is that right?
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I gave Mr. Johnston
23	basically the same package that my team had given to the SITE
24	Taskforce after the election. We were very forthright in
25	sharing what limited information we had, because we didn't go
26	into the campaign thinking that we needed to create an
27	intelligence dossier on what we saw in the field. But as
28	instances of interference were being flagged by the

1	campaigns, my campaign team said, "Well, let's raise this
2	with the SITE panel, but near the end, let's try and keep
3	track of some of this information that our organizers are
4	seeing."
5	I wish now that we'd had a much more careful
6	approach and had documented everything, but we shared with
7	the SITE Taskforce after the election what we did assemble,
8	and I shared all of the information I still had and could
9	share, I shared with Mr. Johnston.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So we'll go to
11	that now. It's EOT 1_R. If we go to the second page? Okay.
12	That's great right there.
13	EXHIBIT No. EOT 1 R:
14	EOT0000001 R
14	201000001_1
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the
15 16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special
15 16 17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office,
15 16 17 18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office, and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right?
15 16 17 18 19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office, and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. And I gave Mr.
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office, and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. And I gave Mr. Johnston and Ms. Block copies when they arrived. And my deep
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office, and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. And I gave Mr. Johnston and Ms. Block copies when they arrived. And my deep concern with the Special Rapporteur was I was giving him this
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office, and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. And I gave Mr. Johnston and Ms. Block copies when they arrived. And my deep concern with the Special Rapporteur was I was giving him this package, and later in the meeting, he told me that his
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office, and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. And I gave Mr. Johnston and Ms. Block copies when they arrived. And my deep concern with the Special Rapporteur was I was giving him this package, and later in the meeting, he told me that his interim report was already in translation. So you could see
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office, and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. And I gave Mr. Johnston and Ms. Block copies when they arrived. And my deep concern with the Special Rapporteur was I was giving him this package, and later in the meeting, he told me that his interim report was already in translation. So you could see how I did not feel the Special Rapporteur took the process
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is the briefing package that you prepared for the Special Rapporteur, and it looks like it was prepared by your office, and it's dated May 17, 2023. Is that right? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. And I gave Mr. Johnston and Ms. Block copies when they arrived. And my deep concern with the Special Rapporteur was I was giving him this package, and later in the meeting, he told me that his interim report was already in translation. So you could see how I did not feel the Special Rapporteur took the process seriously when an evidentiary package that my office took

1	of this document just to see some of the information that was
2	included in here.
3	So this is a memo. It's dated May 17, 2023.
4	It's prepared by Justin Bumstead, who you referenced earlier.
5	And if we go to page 10?
6	This is another memo. It's to Jim Ross. And
7	it's from Sadiq Valliani. And again, it's a memo, the
8	subject line "Foreign Interference in our Election" and it's
9	dated three days after the election, September $24^{\rm th}$, 2021.
10	Maybe four days.
11	And if we go to page 14?
12	This is the modeling that we saw earlier.
13	And we'll look at this a little more closely in a little bit.
14	So we can take that down now.
15	So what was your understanding then, based on
16	the modeling, on election day, of where the Conservatives
17	would end up in terms of seats that day?
18	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yeah, reliving those last
19	few days of the campaign. Our we went from winning the
20	seat count a week before the election, with a small modest
21	minority, to, on election day, losing the seat count and
22	still winning the popular vote. We saw the vote changing
23	largely on the vaccine and the vaccine mandate issue.
24	But on election night, when we knew we were
25	going to lose, my campaign manager had said, "Our models and
26	how the vote goes, you should end up with about 127/128
27	seats, which will be a historic level for opposition." And
28	when you consider it was a pandemic election, we were largely

1	on the wrong side of public opinion on the vaccine mandate
2	issue, which is, in my view, why the Prime Minister called
3	the election. That was being briefed to me to say, "Calm
4	down. You did okay. You'll be able to live to fight another
5	day and stay on as leader."
6	So I went in that night feeling, "Okay.
7	We're going to end up with 127/128 seats." And as returns
8	were coming in, that night, not that I asked for any detail,
9	but that night, there was already clear indication that a
10	number of ridings were vastly outside of our modeling window
11	and the ridings were the same ridings that we had been
12	complaining about with respect to foreign interference.
13	We'd never connected the two, and even months
14	after when I spoke about ranges, I always used to say, you
15	know, between five and nine, six and nine, I would never be
16	precise. But
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sorry, in terms of
18	five and nine, in terms of what?
19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Five to nine seats that I
20	think were possibly changed as a result of foreign
21	interference. Narrower margin seats where we had large
22	numbers of people not voting and suspicions about voter
23	suppression in those specific seats.
24	Certainly nowhere near enough to change the
25	results of the election, but for people in those seats, if
26	they were undergoing intimidation or suppression measures,
27	their democratic rights were being trampled on by foreign
28	actors.

1	So certainly it was serious. And that's how
2	I formulated that rough view in my mind, based on the
3	modeling and from our anecdotal reports from the ground in
4	the campaign about various forms of foreign interference.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And is that your view
6	today? That six to nine ridings were lost due to foreign
7	interference in 2021?
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I believe it's in that
9	range, yes.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
11	Now, you believe that the Conservative Party became a target
12	for the PRC in 2021 in the election? Is that right?
13	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And in your view,
15	what made the Conservative Party a target for the PRC?
16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I believe our policies
17	where were more in line with allies like the United States
18	that were starting to take more aggressive trade positions
19	with respect to the export of Chinese steel and aluminum,
20	things like that, our positions on human rights issues, the
21	Uyghurs in particular, but also Hong Kong and Tibet. Our
22	positions were much stronger, and I believe reflected our
23	values and interests as a country better than the policies of
24	Mr. Trudeau.
25	The Trudeau Government was viewed by our
26	allies, and I would hear this regularly from diplomats, we
27	were seen as being offside with respect to a very ambitious
28	agenda with China at a time that particularly the Five Eyes

Allies were being far more cautious with respect to China. 1 2 So I think this recognition that had the Conservatives won, and if I was Prime Minister, we would have 3 had a much more wide-eyed view and realistic view from a 4 foreign policy perspective on China, that drove the interest 5 6 for Beijing, certainly that status quo, that the Liberal Government was probably preferrable in their view to a change 7 in government to Conservatives, given the vast difference in 8 our policy positions. 9 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so just to 10 clarify, the Uyghur Motion was brought by Mr. Chong, who is a 11 member of the Conservative caucus, and the Foreign Agent 12 13 Registry was also a Conservative Party member's -- member's 14 bill; is that right? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, the Foreign Agent 15 Registry, which other allies like the United States and 16 Australia use such a registry in a similar way to a lobbying 17 registry, that people have an obligation to register. 18 19 was Kenny Chiu's private member's bill. Michael Chong was the shadow minister for Foreign Affairs, so the issue on 20 recognizing that the weaker genocide was under his mandate. 21 22 And I would add that when I appointed Michael to become the shadow minister for Foreign Affairs, at the speech where I 23 unveiled the caucus positions, I talked about how proud I was 24 that someone whose father immigrated to Canada from Hong 25 Kong, Hong Kong having been defended by Canadian soldiers 26 during World War II, it was truly the Canadian story that he 27 28 could then take strong positions in Canada's interest and

1	come from a diaspora community of China. I think that shows
2	our country at its best and Michael continues to do a great
3	job.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: The 2021 CPC
5	platform, the Conservative Party platform for 2021 did
6	include numerous references to China. You accept that;
7	right?
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And it did take quite
10	a hard stance against China's, it could be argued some would
11	say?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I wouldn't say against
13	anything. It was an expression of our economic interest as a
14	country and of our values as a country on issues like the
15	treatment of the weaker population on issues related to Hong
16	Kong or Tibet. As I said, I think and I think most of our
17	allies thought at the time, the current government was a
18	little offside on these issues. We were trying to course
19	correct the country. It was not intended to be anti
20	anything. It was intended to be a reflection of what our
21	foreign policy should be.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you to
23	that now. It's EOT 12.
24	EXHIBIT No. EOT 12:
25	EOT000012
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is the 2021
27	platform. And so I'll just take you to page 54 of this
28	document. If we go down? Okay. So under number four there

1	you can see "Standing Up to China's Aggression". And there's
2	a section there on China. And it's been reported, and I have
3	confirmed, that there's 31 mentions of China in this
4	platform. I'm sure you've heard this reported before.
5	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yeah, the how the
6	western world addresses the rise of China, the positives and
7	negatives from that is the foreign policy challenge of our
8	day, so it was quite comprehensive, but you do notice, right
9	at the beginning we say our quarrel is not with the people of
10	China and we talked about the incredible contributions China
11	has made to the world. We always tried to make sure that
12	that was part of our narrative, so that people could see our
13	concerns were interest and values based, not anti anything.
14	It was an expression of what we think we should be as a
15	country.
15 16	country. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said
16 17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you
16 17 18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you referencing? What was the platform referencing?
16 17 18 19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you referencing? What was the platform referencing? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly,
16 17 18 19 20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you referencing? What was the platform referencing? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly, treatment of minorities in China is a very concerning
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you referencing? What was the platform referencing? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly, treatment of minorities in China is a very concerning aggression towards religious groups, and we list most of them
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you referencing? What was the platform referencing? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly, treatment of minorities in China is a very concerning aggression towards religious groups, and we list most of them there, or groups like Hong Kongers, conduct in the South
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you referencing? What was the platform referencing? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly, treatment of minorities in China is a very concerning aggression towards religious groups, and we list most of them there, or groups like Hong Kongers, conduct in the South China Sea as well, there's lots of tensions there, the Taiwan
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you referencing? What was the platform referencing? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly, treatment of minorities in China is a very concerning aggression towards religious groups, and we list most of them there, or groups like Hong Kongers, conduct in the South China Sea as well, there's lots of tensions there, the Taiwan Strait. We thought that during the particularly during
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So when you said when it says China's aggression there, what were you referencing? What was the platform referencing? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, certainly, treatment of minorities in China is a very concerning aggression towards religious groups, and we list most of them there, or groups like Hong Kongers, conduct in the South China Sea as well, there's lots of tensions there, the Taiwan Strait. We thought that during the particularly during the pandemic when Taiwan was giving us N95 masks and other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 being quite aggressive with respect to Taiwan.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So given the emphasis that appears to be in the platform and the other issues that you mentioned with respect to the motion for the Uyghur genocide and the foreign registry, what is your response to the suggestion that, you know, perhaps the Conservatives lost in ridings with high concentration of Chinese Canadians not because of foreign interference but because of the platform and how that position with respect to China may have been viewed in those communities?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, many of these positions were positions that we previously had. You know, the support for Hong Kong, you know, Conservative MPs have been meeting and advocating for Falun Gong practitioners and Tibetans going back to my time in the Harper government. some of these policies were almost a reassertion of our foreign policy from the Harper government era, and we won those impacted seats quite handily, even in 2015 when Alice Wong held onto her seat. So particularly waves of immigration from China that were Hong Kongers, or were folks that were seeking religious freedom and things like this, there was a recognition that it was positive to have concerns with some of the positions of congress China. And that had never been a problem until more recent years, and I think there's been a bit more of an aggressive foreign policy approach taken by China.

It's also why I think this inquiry is so important because it is hard to determine how much foreign

interference will impact one person's voter intent. If one 1 person is intimidated in this country with respect to 2 exercising their democratic rights, that is concerning. 3 results of the election itself and how many seats are in the 4 House of Commons, that's very important as well, but we 5 6 shouldn't look past ridings that could be impacted. And I think in recent years there's been a ramping up of foreign 7 interference operations. And that's why I think -- I don't 8 think some of those seats were turned because we had a more 9 traditional or a more aggressive foreign policy posture with 10 respect to China. I think a lot of people did not vote 11 because they were intimidated. 12 13 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So you believe then, 14 just to kind of summarize, that this was not -- that the ridings that were lost in those areas where the modelling was 15 off was not due to some grassroots kind of Chinese community 16 distaste perhaps for the platform. It was instances of 17 foreign interference perpetrated by the PRC? 18 19 HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I think that's a fair summary. And my position prior to being leader was the 20 21 shadow minister for Foreign Affairs, so I had been talking 22 about many of these policies for many years, several years before I became leader, and there had never been a ground 23 swell of concern. In fact, in many cases, the Chinese 24 Canadian community wanted to make sure that the plight of the 25 Uyghurs, Hong Kong, or other things were highlighted. And 26 Kenny Chiu, a very prominent voice on these issues, was 27 elected for the first time in 2019. So I think that's how I 28

1	form my opinion on this, that the foreign interference was
2	much more determinative than a policy platform that had 30
3	references to China in it.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you now
5	to some of the documents we now have on the government side
6	on some of these issues. CAN-5824.
7	EXHIBIT No. CAN 5824:
8	SITE TF Update On Foreign
9	Interference Threats To Canadian
10	Democratic Institutions - 2021
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so this is dated
12	September 13, 2021, so it would be a week before the
13	election. It's a SITE threat assessment. It's called "SITE
14	Task Force Update on Foreign Interference Threats to Canadian
15	Democratic Institutions 2021". So under the section
16	"Information Environment", it says there,
17	"RRM Canada has observed what may be
18	a Chinese communist party information
19	operation that aims to discourage
20	voters from voting for the
21	Conservative Party of Canada." (As
22	read)
23	And I understand you've now had a chance to
24	see this document. You did not see it at the time
25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: is my
27	understanding?
28	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever were
2	you made aware at the time so this is again to situate us
3	in time, a week before the election that RRM Canada, which is
4	a Rapid Response Mechanism Canada had made this observation.
5	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, this was not raised
6	to our attention. And in fact, we were raising instances of
7	foreign interference that we witnessed, and the SITE
8	Committee tended to downplay them, and we were given the
9	impression that there were no concerns about foreign
10	interference, which is why these documents now are
11	contradict that.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so when you say,
13	"tended to downplay them", what do you mean by that?
14	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, I appointed one of
15	my most trusted and accomplished friends to be my designate,
16	Mr. Soliman, and when he was briefed at the outset, after
17	having gotten security clearance to participate in the task
18	force work, he said it started off with a briefing that there
19	were no real problems from 2019, and they didn't expect any
20	serious problems in '21, was how that task force started.
21	It we were kind of lulled into a sense of
22	complacency, that everything should be fine, there was no
23	there were no problems in 2019. We now know that not to be
24	the case. We now know from the Globe and Mail reporting, and
25	Sam Cooper, that there were issues in 2019 of financing and
26	other things.
27	So our impression is that the SITE process
28	was not as complete, not as professional, and not as

1	transparent as it should have been when there were reports by
2	this and CSIS-generated intelligence warning of attempts to
3	interfere.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'm going to turn
5	now to one of the RRM Canada daily briefs for the period of
6	time during the campaign.
7	It's at CAN 124. And thank you, that's
8	good.
9	EXHIBIT No. CAN 124:
10	RRM Canada Daily Brief
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if you look at
12	where it says, "Scan performed", or sorry, "Scan covers",
13	rather, it looks like it covers the time period between
14	September 10 and September 12, 2021, so about 10 days before
15	the election. And the last bullet point there:
16	"A Global Times WeChat article that
17	suggested [that] CPC 'almost wants to
18	break diplomatic relations with
19	China' is now being actively shared
20	among Canadian WeChat news accounts.
21	The Canadian versions resemble the
22	Global Times article in format and
23	tone, and question whether Canadians
24	of Chinese heritage should vote for
25	the CPC."
26	So again, this is talking about a Global
27	Times article that seems to be spreading some misinformation
28	about the CPC campaign.

1	Did you see this article that's being
2	referenced here at the time?
3	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I did not see the article
4	at the time, no.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did anybody bring
6	this article to your attention? Did anybody say, you know,
7	"This is what we're" and when I say anyone, I mean someone
8	in an official capacity, not from within your campaign, but
9	from within a government agency or department?
10	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No one from a government
11	agency or department, no.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And was this
13	emblematic of the things that you were hearing on the ground
14	in terms of misinformation in certain areas?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. Anecdotally, we
15 16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. Anecdotally, we heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going
	- '
16	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going
16 17	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat
16 17 18	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat immediately if we formed government. There was one that we
16 17 18 19	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat immediately if we formed government. There was one that we were going to require Chinese Canadians to register or limit
16 17 18 19 20	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat immediately if we formed government. There was one that we were going to require Chinese Canadians to register or limit their travel. There were also many horrific reports about
16 17 18 19 20 21	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat immediately if we formed government. There was one that we were going to require Chinese Canadians to register or limit their travel. There were also many horrific reports about Mr. Chiu, in particular, on a personal level, that he hated
16 17 18 19 20 21	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat immediately if we formed government. There was one that we were going to require Chinese Canadians to register or limit their travel. There were also many horrific reports about Mr. Chiu, in particular, on a personal level, that he hated his race, and just horrific claims, were some of the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat immediately if we formed government. There was one that we were going to require Chinese Canadians to register or limit their travel. There were also many horrific reports about Mr. Chiu, in particular, on a personal level, that he hated his race, and just horrific claims, were some of the anecdotal reports we heard both during the campaign and in
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat immediately if we formed government. There was one that we were going to require Chinese Canadians to register or limit their travel. There were also many horrific reports about Mr. Chiu, in particular, on a personal level, that he hated his race, and just horrific claims, were some of the anecdotal reports we heard both during the campaign and in our assessment afterwards.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	heard things on three or four themes. One that I was going to sever ties; we were going to cancel the use of WeChat immediately if we formed government. There was one that we were going to require Chinese Canadians to register or limit their travel. There were also many horrific reports about Mr. Chiu, in particular, on a personal level, that he hated his race, and just horrific claims, were some of the anecdotal reports we heard both during the campaign and in our assessment afterwards. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

1	EXHIBIT No. CAN 126:
2	RRM Canada Daily Brief
3	EXHIBIT No. CAN 130:
4	RRM Canada Daily Brief
5	EXHIBIT No. CAN 132:
6	RRM Canada Daily Brief
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you have seen
8	these; correct?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: They cover the period
11	from September 13 to September 15, 2021, and they reference
12	different articles from Chinese state media taking an
13	interest in the perceived China policies in the Conservative
14	Party, an embassy spokesperson quoting some CPC related
15	issues, saying that "The political party leaders have made
16	unwarranted attacks on China's human rights", and others.
17	So you've had a chance
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Ms. Rodriguez, can we go
19	back to the document, please? I would just to see the origin
20	of the document.
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Oh, sure. I wasn't
22	going to put those up in the interest of time, but I can.
23	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No, but just the one
24	that we looked to
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: That we looked at;
26	124. So the last bullet point there.
27	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so the three

1	other dailies also talk about misinformation being circulated
2	in Chinese state media, and in Canadian media, Chinese
3	language media.
4	And so my question to you is you've had a
5	chance to review those. I've put the doc IDs on the record.
6	Was any of that information conveyed to you by any official
7	within the government?
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, it was not.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did anybody let
10	you know that RRM Canada was flagging or had flagged this
11	kind of online content?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, we were not informed
13	of that. And around the same time, because these documents
14	are dated, our participant, Mr. Soliman, and my chief of
15	staff, Tausha Michaud, were raising reports we were getting
16	from the field, so from various ridings in British Columbia
17	and in Ontario. These type of reports we were sharing and
18	getting no communication the other way. Clearly, they knew
19	some of the instances we were reporting were actually
20	occurring, but that was never confirmed to us in the campaign
21	
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: or after.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so to be clear,
25	during the campaign some candidates and some ridings and some
26	campaign staff saw disinformation circulated on social media
27	regarding the Conservative Party, is that right, and you
28	specifically as leader as well?

1	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. Yes.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And
3	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: And in some cases in
4	person. Social media, and in some cases of in-person
5	advertising and voters' intimidation.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so those
7	concerns were then raised during the campaign period, so
8	during the writ period, to SITE through Mr. Solomon and
9	Ms. Michaud; is that correct?
10	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct, and as I
11	said, we weren't actively preparing a dossier during the
12	campaign, we did when it concluded because we wanted the
13	cases looked into, but we were reporting anecdotal instances
14	throughout the campaign.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand you
15 16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand you were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that
	-
16	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that
16 17	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us
16 17 18	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and
16 17 18 19	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and what those concerns were?
16 17 18 19 20	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and what those concerns were? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The one I remember
16 17 18 19 20 21	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and what those concerns were? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The one I remember the most is Kenny Chiu. The level and volume and tone of the
16 17 18 19 20 21	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and what those concerns were? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The one I remember the most is Kenny Chiu. The level and volume and tone of the misinformation towards Mr. Chiu was horrendous, and he was
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and what those concerns were? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The one I remember the most is Kenny Chiu. The level and volume and tone of the misinformation towards Mr. Chiu was horrendous, and he was fearful for his own wellbeing and that of his family. And it
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and what those concerns were? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The one I remember the most is Kenny Chiu. The level and volume and tone of the misinformation towards Mr. Chiu was horrendous, and he was fearful for his own wellbeing and that of his family. And it — it was a personal attack, as I said, of a racially
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	were also hearing from certain candidates about issues that they were receiving during their campaigns. Can you tell us a little bit about who you were sharing concerns from and what those concerns were? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The one I remember the most is Kenny Chiu. The level and volume and tone of the misinformation towards Mr. Chiu was horrendous, and he was fearful for his own wellbeing and that of his family. And it — it was a personal attack, as I said, of a racially motivated nature, suggesting that he was a race traitor and

1	heard, and I heard directly from him during the campaign, a
2	little bit from the campaign of Mr. Bob Saroya in Ontario,
3	and I think that wasn't through Bob but through one of his
4	campaign workers. But we some of our organisers were on
5	WeChat and also saw instances.
6	So we knew it was happening, we just weren't
7	able to get a sense that the SITE and the government knew it
8	was happening. And there was no there was no desire to
9	put out a public warning about misinformation, and that's
10	what I think needed to happen. Because I was informed in the
11	run up to the campaign that there are 600,000 WeChat account
12	users in British Columbia alone. And these are Canadians
13	that don't want the evening news, and don't read the Globe
14	and Mail or the National Post. So they're getting almost all
15	of their information from channels that cannot be trusted.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So you mentioned
17	right now about wanting to give a warning. Can you expand on
18	that notion?
19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: We wanted a caution, a
20	public notice to voters to be wary of information that they
21	were obtaining from social media, particularly foreign
22	controlled, foreign language social media. The SITE process
23	has a threshold and, you know, was the threshold met for
24	there to be some notice? We believe there was.
25	At times I thought about making some sort of
26	statement, but I was fearful of that being perceived, or
27	opponents in the campaign using that as some sort of wedge in

1	So the in my view, the process failed in
2	this case, because a notice would have been helpful to
3	Canadians that were being targeted, because these sites, with
4	algorithms that are controlled by foreign governments, those
5	are the people that are vulnerable and that are at risk, and
6	that I think were failed by our system.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So to be clear, did
8	you request that a warning be issued or was it your
9	expectation that one would be issued?
10	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It was our expectation
11	that one would be issued because it was in the sort of
12	originating documents for the taskforce itself.
13	It was only afterwards, in the Rosenberg
14	Report that I saw a discussion that they did not feel the
15	threshold was met. But of course, my views on the Rosenberg
16	Report was that it was not a proper report. But it was
17	available to be used if there was evidence of misinformation.
18	We now know there was evidence of misinformation, but there
19	was no caution or no notice, no warning given.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And you
21	considered doing that yourself. Why didn't you, in the end?
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I remember the last time
23	I was in British Columbia during the campaign, I thought
24	about it, because I was hearing from some of our organizers
25	saying, "We've got to do something about this. This is out
26	of control. I heard from Kenny and his team just how
27	targeted and how everyone was tense." Like, people were
28	fearful with the amount of misinformation in the Richmond

1 seats. But our -- we were doing well at that point 2 in the campaign, and so, you know, you're consciously -- they 3 say in politics, don't get off message. So I would have 4 thought that that might have seemed a little bit off message. 5 6 And it might have contributed, if I started talking about foreign interference from China, portrayals of me being 7 obsessed with China and mentioning 31 times in the platform, 8 these sort of narratives, I might have been accidently 9 reinforcing that, which is why I think some of these 10 safeguards for our system need to be out of the hands of 11 politicians who are in the midst of a campaign. We need 12 13 structural, and professional, and independent impartial 14 organizations to determine safeguards to protect people's franchise. 15 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you to a 16 document, CAN3781. 17 --- EXHIBIT No. CAN 3781: 18 19 Threats to Canadian Federal Election 2021 20 21 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this one's -- this 22 is a Security and Intelligence Threats to Election Taskforce 23 summary. It's dated September -- December 17, 2021. And so this is now some months after the election. And if we go to 24 the "Summary of Key Observations" at the bottom there, the 25 first bullet -- sorry, just up a bit more. Thank you. 26 27 says: 28 "The People's Republic of China [...]

1	sought to clandestinely and
2	deceptively influence Canada's 2021
3	federal election."
4	So just to stop you there, was this something
5	that after the election was conveyed to you?
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And the next bullet
8	point says:
9	"SITE [Taskforce] also observed
10	online/media activities aimed at
11	discouraging Canadians, particularly
12	of Chinese heritage, from supporting
13	the Conservative Party of Canada [],
14	party leader Erin O'Toole, and
15	particularly former Stevenston-
16	Richmond East candidate Kenny Chiu
17	[in] GE44."
18	And then it goes on to say that they have:
19	"observed indicators of potential
20	coordination between various Canada-
21	based Chinese language news outlets
22	as well as PRC and CCP news outlets."
23	So again, was this ever confirmed to you,
24	relayed to you, at any time during your time as leader, which
25	was until February of 2022?
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No. And in fact, as I
27	mentioned earlier, we were always these issues were always
28	downplayed, essentially, when we raised them with the SITE

1	Taskforce. And you know, these conclusions so quickly after
2	the election show that some people within intelligence and
3	within government knew what we were raising were valid and
4	real issues and shows why we're here today.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And so if I
6	understand your evidence earlier, you believe, or it's your
7	understanding, that the party lost some seats in 2021 due to
8	foreign interference? Is that right?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It's my view
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
11	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: that a certain number
12	of seats were lost. Yes.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: But it is not your
14	view that the election was lost by the Conservative Party due
15	to foreign interference? Is that fair?
16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That is fair. And I've
17	always stated that as well.
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so what
19	impact, if any, do you consider that foreign interference had
20	with respect to your ouster as leader of the Conservative
21	Party?
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well the small number of
23	seats would not have impacted the minority government that
24	Canada has right now. But the difference of two, three, five
25	seats, may have allowed me more of a moral justification to
26	remain as leader. There were other forces at play that may
27	not have done that. But when I fell two seats below the
28	threshold met in 2019, that became a very compelling

1	narrative. And in fact, a petition was started within a day
2	and a half of the election results, and the lower result than
3	2019 was raised, as was the turning away of Chinese Canadian
4	voters from me were raised as reasons for launching the
5	petition to force my removal as leader.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Is there anything you
7	would have done differently in 2021, either as leader or as a
8	candidate, if you knew then what you know now?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I wish I could have a do
10	over. What I certainly would have done is made sure that we
11	had a much more sophisticated approach to WeChat in
12	particular, but Mandarin and Cantonese advertising
13	publications and campaign workers to counter what was a
14	deluge of misinformation against us. We were just not
15	prepared on that platform period. You know, our social media
16	strategies and our policy development was all still primarily
17	focused at traditional media and the what you might call
18	Silicone Valley platforms, like Facebook, and Twitter, and
19	things like this. We did not have the capacity to really
20	even understand what was going on on WeChat and some of the
21	other, and I would have prepared in a very significant way
22	had we known.
23	The other thing, I probably would have
24	demanded a public warning of some sort. Now that I've seen
25	both the reporting that, in many ways, led to this inquiry,
26	but even some of the limited disclosures here, folks within
27	the government knew that there was a level of foreign
28	interference occurring. And I think we owe it to the tens of

thousands, hundreds of thousands of Canadians that it was targeted at, we owed it to them to warn them and to perhaps give them alternative sources for unbiased news or the ability to report instances of intimidation.

This is -- you know, one vote matters in our democracy, and I think we have to do a little bit more, particularly for the Chinese/Canadian population, but also some other diaspora organizations to make sure that they're not being intimidated to exercise their full rights here.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, you have said, and you've expressed the view that there was a failure of SITE to meaningfully consider the evidence of foreign interference that your party brought forward during the election and after the election. Can you expand on that?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. You know, the reporting, particularly from the Global Mail that revealed the financial and the problems in the 2019 election, in my view, that should have been the starting point for the 2021 SITE Task Force and our participation in it, to say there were some problems of foreign interference in the last election; therefore, we have to do even more to safeguard our parliamentary democracy this time. Actually, the opposite happened. Our SITE Task Force, and my participants in it, were given a sense that everything was fine, that there were no significant problems from 2019, and that the -- we were very confident in the fact that there would be minimal to no foreign interference in the 2021 election. And as I said, as we were raising issues, driving towards the need for public

1	notice and a caution of some, our concerns were always
2	downplayed by the task force. And, you know, Mr. Soliman has
3	been on the record with having that concern. I have that
4	concern. Even in the days after the election, we continued
5	to work with the SITE Task Force and with the Public Safety
6	and Intelligence officials on it, and we kept doing that
7	right up until Mr. Trudeau formed his cabinet and the
8	caretaker period ended, and then we were just cut off.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you to
10	CAN 6739.
11	EXHIBIT No. CAN 6739:
12	Fwd: Follow up
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And just to pick up
14	on that notion that it was not just during the election but
15	afterwards. If we go to the bottom of the page, so it looks
16	like it's an email from Tausha Michaud and it's dated
17	September $30^{\rm th}$, 2021, so this would be about a week after the
18	election, or 10 days rather.
19	"As promised, I've attached a
20	document that outlines some key
21	concerns and includes a dropbox link
22	to screenshots from WeChat."
23	And it looks like she sends it there to
24	somebody with a PCO email address. And if we go up, so we
25	have some individuals there, I believe, members of SITE.
26	"Howdy SITE friends,
27	Just received the attached from CPC
28	claiming it shows FI [foreign

1	interference] by PRC in 9 ridings
2	during the election.
3	As you know, we have been engaged by
4	CPC in an ongoing dialogue around
5	these issues. If you could take a
6	look, and let [blank] and [me] know
7	if there is anything in here it would
8	be appreciated."
9	And so this is what you were referring to,
10	that after the election, more information was provided to
11	SITE. Did you receive a formal response to this submission
12	that was done by Ms. Michaud on September $30^{\rm th}$? Any a
13	letter, some sort of concluding report or anything of that
14	nature?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, we did not. It was
16	generally a one-way communication. And as I said, we
17	prepared this impromptu dossier the last few weeks of the
	prepared this imprompte dobbter the rabe rew weeks of the
18	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process,
18 19	
	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process,
19	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process, even after we lost, to make sure that we could look into
19 20	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process, even after we lost, to make sure that we could look into these things to safeguard our system.
19 20 21	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process, even after we lost, to make sure that we could look into these things to safeguard our system. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I will conclude
19 20 21 22	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process, even after we lost, to make sure that we could look into these things to safeguard our system. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I will conclude with an opportunity to see if there's anything else that we
19 20 21 22 23	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process, even after we lost, to make sure that we could look into these things to safeguard our system. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I will conclude with an opportunity to see if there's anything else that we have not covered today that you would like to tell us about
19 20 21 22 23 24	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process, even after we lost, to make sure that we could look into these things to safeguard our system. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I will conclude with an opportunity to see if there's anything else that we have not covered today that you would like to tell us about the issues that we've been discussing, foreign interference
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	campaign. I asked that we share that with the SITE process, even after we lost, to make sure that we could look into these things to safeguard our system. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I will conclude with an opportunity to see if there's anything else that we have not covered today that you would like to tell us about the issues that we've been discussing, foreign interference in the 2019 or 2021 elections.

1	thing I would just add at the end is we did not raise any of
2	this on election night or in the weeks after because our
3	democratic result was clear, and it was more important to me
4	to safeguard our institutions and our democracy rather than
5	to express disappointment in the loss of a few seats. But as
6	I said, I've seen enough to know that the process last time
7	failed and failed a lot of Canadians, and so I really hope
8	that we look to safeguard these institutions in a non-
9	partisan way, and I really hope this inquiry can do that
10	because I think this problem will only get worse, and so we
11	have to create the tools to really have a robust system that
12	values every single voter.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr.
14	O'Toole. Those are my questions for you.
15	Thank you, Commissioner.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I have one question for
17	you Mr. O'Toole. Am I right in understanding that actually
18	all the discussion that took place between any of your team
19	members about foreign interference were with SITE and no
20	direct no discussion took place with CSIS, or with the
21	Panel of Five, or with any other agencies, or it was always
22	with SITE and only with SITE?
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I believe so, yes. My
24	challenge here is I had designates, I had two designates for
25	the SITE process, Mr. Soliman and Ms. Michaud, who would then
26	report to me and I would share things I was hearing. I
27	believe the SITE panel and task force did have a designate
28	from CSIS involved, and I do believe from time to time some

1	of the Group of Five may have been involved, but I don't know
2	how frequently. And I know that most of the calls and the
3	meetings were done with a group, and so you'd have to ask.
4	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. But it would have
5	been through SITE?
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Through SITE, yes.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. And you were not
8	provided with any names, or phone numbers, or agency that you
9	may call upon if you were encountering any foreign
10	interference problems or nothing of that sort?
11	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
12	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
13	So we'll start the cross-examinations. The
14	first one on the list today, let me see, is I think it's
15	counsel for Michael Chong. Am I right, yes?
16	MR. GIB VAN ERT: Thank you, Commissioner.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GIB VAN ERT:
18	MR. GIB VAN ERT: Good morning, Mr. O'Toole.
19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Morning.
20	MR. GIB VAN ERT: You expressed the view in
21	your testimony this morning that you fear a lot of people
22	didn't vote in the 2021 election because they felt
23	intimidated. In evidence yesterday, the National Director of
24	the Liberal Party, Mr. Ishmael, addressed that point in
25	response to a question from counsel for the Human Rights
26	Coalition, one of the parties to these proceedings. And her
27	question was specifically around coercion of international
28	students. And Mr. Ishmael expressed the view, I think I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

being fair to him, that that was not an urgent concern because of the secrecy of the ballot. He emphasized ballot secrecy. And I take it from your evidence that you don't share Mr. Ishmael's confidence that ballot secrecy is enough to encourage people to go to the polls without fear of foreign coercion. Would you just tell the Commissioner what your thoughts are about it? To what extent does the secrecy of the ballot in this country give us some reason to feel confident that foreign coercion of diaspora communities isn't going to be a problem here?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It's a very good question and something that I think needs to be well understood by the inquiry. How someone votes is completely secret, and thank goodness for that. That's an essential element of our democratic right to vote. But whether you did vote in an election is publicly available, and so the political parties know whether a household has voted in all of the last four general elections federally or in none of them. So sometimes extra door knocking, extra literature can be directed towards people that they know vote. And so if you don't know if they're leaning your way or leaning to the other team's way, you may not spend the extra time to try and communicate with that voter. But if you know that they're a constant always voting, parties spend time on them, that fact that whether you've voted or not, I believe, is something that has caused some concerns within diaspora communities, particularly the Chinese Canadian community because I heard anecdotal reports from some of our MPs that lost that voters said, "I didn't

25

26

27

28

1	think you needed my vote. I thought you were fine. I'm
2	sorry I didn't get out to vote." And in some cases, they
3	were told that people didn't want to be seen on the voters'
4	list if that riding stayed Conservative.
5	If people had family in China, business or
6	economic interests that depended on favourable treatment.
7	You know, I'm not sure that factored into how many people's
8	decision, but some voters, from what I've been told, thought
9	it was best to just not vote. That way if the vote if the
LO	riding stayed conservative no one could suggest that they
11	were a part of voting for the Conservative.
12	So there is this fact that it is well
13	known if people vote or not, I don't think is well understood
L4	because the secrecy is what everyone knows about voting. The
L5	secrecy is just who you mark the X by, it's not whether you
16	showed up or not.
17	MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you. Also, in your
18	statement, and I think you repeated it in your oral evidence
19	this morning, you expressed concerns that the SITE but also
20	the Critical Election Interference Public Protocol Panel,
21	sometimes known as the Panel of Five, failed in their duty to
22	inform and warn Canadians.
23	I anticipate that later in these proceedings,

the Commission will hear evidence of a meeting of the panel on the 9th of September 2019, where the panel decided that in its future deliberations it would assess potential interference activities for their impact on a single national election as opposed to potential impacts on 338 individual

1	elections across the county. There will be evidence before
2	this Commission that the panel decided to approach foreign
3	interference as a national matter, rather than as a riding by
4	riding basis.

Would you tell the Commissioner what you think of that approach to assessing whether there has been foreign interference in elections?

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yeah. I think that was an improper decision to make because it doesn't recognise our first past the post system where a general election is 338 individual elections, and our system is structured to support that, and that the composition of the House of Commons is separate from the election itself.

And what I think their decision did was discounted some ridings in the Lower Mainland and in the GTA as rounding error ridings, and I think that's unfair to those voters. And to suggest that an election is free and fair from foreign interference is not accurate if some people are impacted. Whether or not it flipped the result in a riding or in a few ridings, each vote matters.

So I do think that was a decision that was incorrect to make. I also think it doesn't reflect our history as a parliamentary democracy first past the post system with its pros and cons. They should have taken the approach that if they knew several ridings were being heavily targeted, that that was sufficient under the protocol to have a public notice and warning. You could have constrained to media and the Lower Mainland of British Columbia or GTA

1	or
2	You know, there was a lot of ways to actually
3	address this in a way that wasn't making it seem like the
4	entire election in all ridings were at risk, but I think
5	targeted warnings and messaging, particularly in Mandarin and
6	Cantonese, and in the case of 2021, was warranted, and it was
7	a mistake to not raise the alarm.
8	MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you, Mr. O'Toole.
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
11	Next one is counsel for the Sikh Coalition.
12	Mr. Singh.
13	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Thank you, Commissioner.
14	Again, for the record, it's Prabjot Singh as counsel for the
15	Sikh Coalition.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PRABJOT SINGH:
17	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Thank you, Mr. O'Toole.
18	I just have a few questions for you.
19	Based on the testimony you've given so far,
20	it sounds like your experience in terms of understanding
21	foreign interference was mostly after the fact. After the
22	election is when the briefings happened and you were informed
23	about potential targeting of yourself and your party. Is
24	that correct?
25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: About how the targeting
26	was done? Yes. The fact that, you know, there was the risk
27	and potential of it, I was aware of for several years before
28	becoming leader.

1	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Okay. And it's your
2	understanding that you have been targeted specifically
3	because of the Conservative Party platform and positions
4	taken around China and some of the messaging around that
5	position; correct?
6	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Correct.
7	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Do you recall the first
8	time that you first heard about the threat of Indian foreign
9	interference in Canadian elections?
10	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It has been a live issue.
11	I'm trying to think of The first time was probably in
12	relation to the Prime Minister's state visit to India. I was
13	the Foreign Affairs shadow minister for the Conservatives at
14	the time. There was a lot of discussion on how we needed to
15	prosecute his trip in the House of Commons, and there was
16	there was debate on the issues where foreign interference and
17	electoral, and even in the case of Mr. Atwal, who was on the
18	trip, and had been convicted in a in an attack on an
19	Indian politician in Canada. That was the first time I
20	really heard of the subject in the case of the Indian
21	diaspora.
22	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And in that case, was it
23	your understanding that members of the intelligence community
24	believed that state or non state actors from India engaged in
25	a disinformation campaign against the Prime Minister at the
26	time?
27	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. That was that
28	was the issue that I wanted to raise following the Prime

Government.

1	Minister's trip. The National Security Advisor, Daniel Jean,
2	did side briefings with the media with respect to the Prime
3	Minister's trip. And his suggestion to some of the members
4	of the media that the reason the trip had such terrible media
5	coverage was a result of interference by the Indian

And I took very serious issue with the fact that that sounded more like the job of a director of communications and not the job the national safety -- public safety and security advisor of the Prime Minister. I don't feel they should be spinning the media, and you know, I said that publicly with respect to Mr. Jean.

Mr. Scheer at the time, you know, took -wanted to bring another vote in the House of Commons on the
United India, and we didn't raise the Daniel Jean issue, and
I think that was a bit of a mistake because it upset many
diaspora groups in Canada, that vote. It was -- as Foreign
Affairs shadow minister, it was on my motion that was tabled.

Ultimately, the Daniel Jean issue came out and was prosecuted at the committee, but that whole issue I think was a bit misplayed and caused more tensions within diaspora communities rather than looking at the role of the national security advisor and how the Prime Minister approached that state visit from invitation to prominent Canadians to attend these sorts of things.

So you know, the intersection of potential foreign interference and diaspora politics in Canada is something that I think this Inquiry really needs to dive into

1	to make sure that regardless of how you came to Canada and
2	where you worship, where you work, you should be able to
3	exercise your franchise free from interference from other
4	states or from coercion in Canada by a group.

MR. PRABJOT SINGH: I don't want to dwell on this for too long. You earlier gave testimony that your suggestion that non partisan bodies should advise about potential foreign interference activity potentially while it's happening in order to actually warn communities, but it sounds like you take issue with a public servant at a senior level within the intelligence community providing that kind of live intervention at that time.

HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, his -- Mr. Jean's intervention was based on stopping the bleeding from media stories that were very negative on the Prime Minister.

That's not the role of a National Security Advisor. That's the role of a Director of Communications.

I do think that the parties both in the House of Commons and their electoral parties that run nominations and that run general elections do need linkages directly with our security agencies so that issues can be flagged like the financing issue from 2019 and so that parties can be aware if there's a security concern of any type before a nomination is completed, before someone gets elected to Parliament, before — before that potential interference goes right into our Parliament.

And so I think how these agencies have the ability to properly, professionally and discretely brief the

1	House of Commons and the political parties is something I
2	hope the Inquiry provides some recommendations on.
3	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: So you provided some
4	evidence about how you believe that China or the PRC targeted
5	yourself because of policy positions your Party took and you
6	didn't learn about these until after the fact.
7	Is it possible that yourself or your caucus
8	may have been targeted for Indian foreign interference
9	because of policy positions that may have aligned with Indian
10	interests without your knowledge, of course? Is that
11	something that's possible that could have happened?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It is possible. I will
13	say that when we did table the motion to vote on a united
14	India and this was as I said, I wanted to pursue the
15	Daniel Jean aspect of the Prime Minister's trip. That motion
16	and the mere tabling of it upset the Sikh community a great
17	deal. Several hundred of them called my offices in Ottawa
18	and Bowmanville.
19	And so I do know that these issues related to
20	the potential for foreign interference really strike diaspora
21	communities on an emotional level and so that the parties
22	have to be professional and mature about how they address
23	them because they can really make a community feel
24	marginalized or unheard. They can be seen to be ignoring
25	obvious attempts to interfere. So this is why I'm saying I
26	think the professionalization both for the House of Commons
27	and our Parliament is separate from the electoral machines of
28	the Party.

1	And I think there needs to be a responsible
2	way for both the electoral machines of the parties and the
3	House of Commons to get timely, discrete briefings on
4	intelligence issues in the hope that all parties will handle
5	it responsibly.
6	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: In the leadup to the 2021
7	election, were you ever made aware of a potential
8	disinformation campaign that targeted your Liberal and NDP
9	counterparts that was mutually amplified by Indian
10	disinformation networks as well as far right Canadian
11	platforms?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I don't know of any
13	specific campaign or any specific evidence. I do know that
14	the farmers' protests in India that occurred, I think, in
15	around 2020, 2021 drew quite a response politically in
16	Canada. And I tried to, you know, raise food security and
17	the issues of farming families in a way that was responsible
18	and wasn't trying to exacerbate tensions.
19	Each of the parties, I think, tried to
20	approach that issue responsibly, but obviously that was
21	because of the farmers' protests were largely in Punjab, it
22	was a natural issue that brought tensions between Sikh and
23	Hindu communities in Canada and so had no information on any
24	possible foreign interference, but certainly there was
25	diaspora concerns to approach the issue responsibly, and I
26	tried to do that.
27	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And would it surprise you
28	if the some of these documents that you've mentioned from

1	the SITE Task Force that you weren't privy to prior, would it
2	surprise you if there was information that there was
3	coordinated disinformation emanating from India trying to
4	exacerbate potential tensions between communities in Canada?
5	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I don't want to speculate
6	on what may or may not be there, but when I was living at
7	Stornoway in the lockdown of COVID, we couldn't really go out
8	much, I did notice at one point in the farmers' protest
9	period of time the RCMP put close protection on the Higher
10	Commissioner from India, who was my next-door neighbour. And
11	I know that we only do that with diplomats if there's a
12	perceived threat and Canada has an obligation to safeguard
13	against threats. But I only saw that tensions were rising.
14	I don't have any knowledge of any information or and I
15	don't want to speculate.
16	But I am trying to share what I did see at
17	the time.
18	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And during the relevant
19	electoral periods, were you ever briefed by security and
20	intelligence on the potential Indian threat to foreign
21	interference?
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No.
23	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Had you been adequately
24	briefed by security and intelligence, would that have
25	increased your situational awareness and your ability to more
26	carefully take precautions for yourself and understand the
27	possibilities of disinformation and the impacts on
28	communities?

1	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I do think these
2	briefings should take place and I've said and I said this
3	to CSIS when I received my briefing. Particularly now that
4	Parliament has a permanent hybrid quality to it, there I
5	think each MP should have more secure means of having virtual
6	offices, whether it's VPNs or I think we need a far more
7	sophisticated approach to signals and communications
8	intelligence for Parliamentarians and more knowledge.
9	Electors trust them to go to Parliament to
10	represent their issues. We need to trust them to be able to
11	weigh information knowing that intelligence is not evidence,
12	but it's an indication that should help form smart decision-
13	making.
14	I think we need to trust our Parliamentarians
15	more. That's why structures to allow for more of an
16	interface with intelligence services where needed is, I
17	think, prudent and important.
18	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: And so
19	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Mr. Singh, you already
20	exhausted your time, so I will ask you to conclude. You may
21	ask the last question.
22	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: This is my final
23	question.
24	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
25	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: So in terms of your
26	suggestion or your observation and based on your experience,
27	what you would like to see is more timely non-partisan
28	briefings and information from the security and intelligence

1	community to be able to inform the decisions of Members of
2	Parliament. Is that fair?
3	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
4	MR. PRABJOT SINGH: Thank you. Those are all
5	my questions.
6	Thank you, Commissioner.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
8	Mr. Sirois, counsel for the RCDA.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS:
10	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Good morning, Mr.
11	O'Toole, madame la Commissaire.
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning.
13	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: I'm Guillaume Sirois,
14	counsel for the Russian Canadian Democratic Alliance. I'm
15	going to ask you some questions regarding Russian
16	interference specifically.
17	In your witness summary, you say that in the
18	final days leading up to the 2021 election, the CPC saw its
19	projected seat count drop and you attribute much of this drop
20	to the COVID-19 issue but also to the gains by the People's
21	Party of Canada. Is that right?
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
23	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And why would gains by
24	the PPC cause a drop in projected seats for CPC?
25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Our experience in the
26	campaign and in our assessments afterwards was the seat
27	makeup of the House so we maintained a slight win in the
28	popular vote, but the seat makeup changed dramatically due

1	largely to the vaccine mandate issue. That issue caused
2	several repercussions for us.
3	In the final 10 days of the campaign, the
4	vaccine mandates provincially came into force in Ontario.
5	Premier Kenny in Alberta changed his view on vaccine mandates
6	when hospital ICUs were filling up. And what that did was
7	two things.
8	My position was I was very pro vaccine. I
9	was not in favour of a mandate that would lead to people
10	losing their jobs or their ability to travel. The splits
11	that emerged were the PPC vote went up for people that were I
12	might describe as vaccine hesitant or anti-vax. But the soft
13	suburban vote in Ontario, some of the vote that was going to
14	vote NDP went to the Government and to the Liberals in the
15	final days. So we lost in part because some of our vote bled
16	off to the right, and some of the centrist vote that we were
17	getting midway through the campaign was lost on the vaccine
18	issue in the final week.
19	It was a range of factors, but that's largely
20	why the dispersion of our votes was not sufficient to win the
21	seat count.
22	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. So you
23	attribute most of this loss of seat to the PPC due to the
24	covid your Covid-19 stance during the election. But in
25	your summary also I saw that one in two or one in three
26	voters for the PPC would have voted for the CPC. Is it
27	something that you agree? Is there, like
28	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. The PPC vote was a

1	live issue. I never mentioned Mr. Bernier at all in the
2	campaign. You know, I am very disappointed in his conduct
3	since leaving our party.
4	But in the final week, I did make an appeal,
5	in the last few days, to PPC voters that if they wanted to
6	see the Liberal Government replaced, we were the vehicle, and
7	that a vote for the PPC was actually indirectly helping Mr.
8	Trudeau. That was as far as I would go to appeal to ardent
9	PPC supporters.
10	But why I say it was a real issue is most of
11	the provinces, as I said, were the ones imposing
12	restrictions. And the restrictions and vaccine mandates
13	really caused that vote to go from two percent to six/seven
14	percent. In some parts of southwestern Ontario, the vote
15	went into double digits.
16	In some cases, we still held on to those
17	seats in Leamington and places like that. But in a lot of
18	more suburban/ex-urban centers, that split was enough for us
19	to lose.
20	So our modelling showed on Friday I was
21	winning the seat count, and on Monday I lost the seat count.
22	It was a tough weekend.
23	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Understood. Thank
24	you. I'm going to I will now show you three reports from
25	the Rapid Response Mechanism of Canada, also known as the RRM
26	Canada. The first of which will be CAN000125.
27	EXHIBIT No. CAN 125:

RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis

1	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And for the benefit of
2	the public, while the document is being pulled, RRM Canada
3	was responsible for monitoring the digital information
4	environment for foreign state sponsored disinformation during
5	the 2021 election.
6	So this document is report number three, so
7	the RRM Canada weekly trend analysis for the week of
8	September 2 to 8.
9	I would like to go to the second page,
10	please. The first full paragraph there under the two bullet
11	points. I will read some excerpts from that paragraph.
12	"Yonder monitoring identified
13	accounts that may be associated with
14	Russian state-sponsored actors who on
15	a very small scale have amplified
16	content supportive of accounts of two
17	candidates of the Peoples' Party of
18	Canada. [] RRM notes that both PPC
19	posts involved anti-vaccine and anti-
20	lockdown content."
21	Is this the first time you are hearing about
22	this?
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
24	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: More generally, have
25	you witnessed amplification of PPC related content by a
26	foreign state during the 2021 election before today?
27	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. There was always a
28	fear that some of the troll farms and some of the Russian

1	influence was boosting some of the messages from the PPC,
2	both on anti-LGBTQ type messaging, for example. But
3	certainly when covid happened, the restriction/vaccine issue
4	I believe was amplified by those channels. But I wasn't
5	aware of any of the tracking of it.
6	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. And so I
7	understand correctly your statement that it was not only just
8	PPC related content, but also anti-vaccine and anti-lockdown
9	that you heard before today that was being amplified by
10	Russia?
11	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
12	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. I will go now
13	to CAN000134,
14	EXHIBIT No. CAN 134:
15	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis
16	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Which is the
17	subsequent weekly report from RRM Canada for the September 9
18	to 15 period. As you can see from the first page, this is
19	the report for the week of September 9 to 15. I would like
20	to go to the second page again, please.
21	It's the same kind of paragraph. Similar
22	information. I will read again some excerpts from that
23	paragraph. The firm, being the Yonder firm that we
24	discussed, which I assume is a firm that the Government
25	contracted to conduct those analyses:
26	"The firm has seen less engagement
27	from accounts that generally amplify
28	Chinese state sources, but more

1	engagement from accounts that
2	generally amplify Russian state
3	sources. [] In general, Yonder
4	reports that Chinese and China state-
5	aligned accounts amplify messages by,
6	or are supportive of, the LPC while
7	Russia-friendly accounts amplify
8	Peoples Part[y] of Canada-related
9	content."
10	So according to this report, it's a bit like
11	you said at the beginning of your testimony with me today.
12	you have votes are being dragged on both sides. So the
13	China is supporting the Liberals, and Russia is supporting
14	the PPC. None of this helps the Conservatives; right?
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well from and this is
15 16	$\mbox{\sc HON. ERIN O'TOOLE:} \mbox{\sc Well from and this is}$ the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our
16	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our
16 17	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine
16 17 18	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you
16 17 18 19	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you know, I do think the Chinese FI has been more localized and
16 17 18 19 20	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you know, I do think the Chinese FI has been more localized and targeted, but it did affect some seats as well. This is
16 17 18 19 20 21	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you know, I do think the Chinese FI has been more localized and targeted, but it did affect some seats as well. This is talking about a broader support of the Liberal Party.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you know, I do think the Chinese FI has been more localized and targeted, but it did affect some seats as well. This is talking about a broader support of the Liberal Party. The gain the party, the Liberal Party, had in
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you know, I do think the Chinese FI has been more localized and targeted, but it did affect some seats as well. This is talking about a broader support of the Liberal Party. The gain the party, the Liberal Party, had in the final week, our tracking showed came a little bit at the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you know, I do think the Chinese FI has been more localized and targeted, but it did affect some seats as well. This is talking about a broader support of the Liberal Party. The gain the party, the Liberal Party, had in the final week, our tracking showed came a little bit at the expense of the NDP and less us, but a little bit to us.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	the first time that I've seen this document, but that was our impression from the field, that the lockdown and the vaccine issue had a support bleeding center-right and right, and you know, I do think the Chinese FI has been more localized and targeted, but it did affect some seats as well. This is talking about a broader support of the Liberal Party. The gain the party, the Liberal Party, had in the final week, our tracking showed came a little bit at the expense of the NDP and less us, but a little bit to us. At the end of the day, it was close until the

1	had one in the confines of a vaccine over health-related and
2	restrictions of things that were necessary, but were going to
3	be challenging in a civil society.
4	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And the report says
5	that the amplification from Russia increased during the
6	period of September 9^{th} to September 15^{th} . Could you clarify
7	if this timeframe aligns with the period during which the
8	Conservative Party experienced a decline in projected seats
9	at the final stages of the election?
10	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I can't say on a day-to-
11	day basis where we were. We had a surge early in the
12	campaign. Early in the campaign, covid was less of a
13	concern. There was slight opening up. You know, there was
14	the ability for the first time to publicly gather on limited
15	bases. So people weren't worried as much about the measures
16	and restrictions.
17	Later on in the campaign, it coincided with
18	the early part of what was the Delta wave. There were
19	pressures on the Alberta hospital system, a few provincial
20	vaccine mandates came into force, like in Ontario and New
21	Brunswick. So the second part of the campaign was much more
22	covid-centric, is when we lost our momentum. I can't track
23	it on a daily basis, but that's generally how we saw it
24	happening.
25	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: I understand, but does
26	that generally align, September 9 to September 15, where
27	September 15 is one approximately one week before the
28	election?

1	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's when our momentum
2	stopped and we then well there were a range of other
3	issues that we had, the gun issue and some other issues that
4	were issues in the media, issues with some of our opponents.
5	But generally, it's the same timeframe.
6	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And so you said there
7	was a bunch of issues during that time period. But just I
8	want to make sure I understand properly. One of the
9	important issues was the anti-vaccine and anti-lockdown
10	content?
11	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That was the most
12	important issue.
13	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Okay. Thank you. And
14	I want to, just for the record, pull the last report from the
15	RRM on that point. It's CAN000141.
16	EXHIBIT No. CAN 141:
17	RRM Canada Weekly Trend Analysis
18	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: This one was issued
19	after the election. It's for the period of September 16 to
20	23. Again, I want to go to the second page, the same
21	paragraph. That starts with Yonder again.
22	So and this paragraph reads in part:
23	"In general, Yonder reports that
24	Chinese and China state-aligned
25	accounts continued to amplify
26	messages by or supportive of the LPC,
27	while Russia-friendly accounts
28	continue to amplify Peoples Party of

1	Canadarelated content."
2	So I believe that means that since at least
3	September about September 2 to 8 period, until after the
4	election, this firm, Yonder, reported that there was a
5	foreign state, or at least Russia friendly accounts,
6	amplifying content related to the Peoples Party of Canada.
7	Is it information that would have been of interest to you had
8	it been communicated?
9	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. I think this is the
10	type of information that I thought was going to be shared on
11	a kind of a live rolling basis with my designate to the
12	SITE Committee Task Force, but it was not.
13	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I want to go
14	briefly on your so the Peoples Party of Canada did not win
15	a seat but as you explained earlier, they did perform quite
16	well during the election. They're they tripled their vote
17	share from 1.6 percent to 4.9 percent. And I want to know
18	what, if you remember, what was the Conservative's position
19	regarding Russia during the 2021 election?
20	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Well, I was banned to go
21	to Russia, as was the previous leader, Andrew Scheer. You
22	know, I'm wearing my Ukraine pin today. You know, we were
23	very, very strongly opposed to the Putin regime going back to
24	Mr. Harper, who probably his most famous comment as prime
25	minister was confronting Vladimir Putin, asking him to get
26	out of Ukraine during the illegal occupation of Crimea. So
27	we were very, very strongly opposed to the Putin regime, as
28	was the Trudeau Government, I might add, despite early on

28

1	there was a Minister Dion wanted to warm relations with
2	Russia. Ms. Freeland and other members of that caucus pushed
3	to adopt a very strong position countering Putin's
4	aggression.
5	So this was one area where I think the
6	Liberals and Conservatives were generally on the same page,
7	but we were probably known as the slightly stronger tone
8	against in Operation Unifier and some of the missions in
9	Latvia and Crimea and Ukraine were all initiatives of the
10	Conservative Government, so we opposed the Putin regime and
11	Russia under his control.
12	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: And I know do you
13	remember the PPC's position regarding Russia or foreign
14	policy generally?
14 15	<pre>policy generally? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of</pre>
15	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of
15 16	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the
15 16 17	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the United States, particularly with respect to funding the war
15 16 17 18	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the United States, particularly with respect to funding the war in Ukraine, being very influenced by Russian propaganda and
15 16 17 18 19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the United States, particularly with respect to funding the war in Ukraine, being very influenced by Russian propaganda and social media manipulation. I can't speak to, you know, the
15 16 17 18 19 20	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the United States, particularly with respect to funding the war in Ukraine, being very influenced by Russian propaganda and social media manipulation. I can't speak to, you know, the degree of it during the 2021 campaign, but it's certainly an
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the United States, particularly with respect to funding the war in Ukraine, being very influenced by Russian propaganda and social media manipulation. I can't speak to, you know, the degree of it during the 2021 campaign, but it's certainly an issue that I've read about.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the United States, particularly with respect to funding the war in Ukraine, being very influenced by Russian propaganda and social media manipulation. I can't speak to, you know, the degree of it during the 2021 campaign, but it's certainly an issue that I've read about. I've watched it unfold in the United States,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: You know, I have a lot of concerns of PPC and some of the far right narratives in the United States, particularly with respect to funding the war in Ukraine, being very influenced by Russian propaganda and social media manipulation. I can't speak to, you know, the degree of it during the 2021 campaign, but it's certainly an issue that I've read about. I've watched it unfold in the United States, where the Republican Party has virtually changed their

of these forces. Whether accidentally or whether it's

coordinated I can't speak to.

1	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: M. Sirois, your time is
2	exhausted. So if you have a last question, I'm going to
3	allow the last question, but after that, we'll take the
4	break.
5	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Maybe just one last
6	question. Thank you, Madam Commissioner.
7	In your witness summary, you say that this
8	SITE Task Force and the CIEPP failed. After hearing this
9	evidence today, which I understand is the first time you are
10	hearing about that, do you still hold that same belief?
11	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, absolutely.
12	Clearly, my focus during the campaign in 2021, and post, when
13	we continued to work with the SITE Task Force, my concern
14	primarily focussed on foreign interference coming from
15	Beijing. I never really gave as much thought to the
16	potential for Russian interference or other forms of
17	interference, but that's what I think is a good thing about
18	the mandate of this Inquiry is it can look broader than just
19	the issue of China. Some of what I'm seeing now in the RRM
20	reporting is equally concerning about Russia.
21	MR. GUILLAUME SIROIS: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
23	So we'll take 20 minutes break. So we'll
24	come back at 11:35.
25	THE REGISTRAR: Order, please.
26	The hearing is in recess until 11:45.
27	Upon recessing at 11:13 a.m./
28	Upon resuming at 11:43 a.m.

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order please.
2	This sitting of the Foreign Interference
3	Commission is back in session.
4	MR. ERIN O'TOOLE, Resumed
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So next one is counsel
6	for the Human Rights Coalition.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HANNAH TAYLOR:
8	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good morning, Mr.
9	O'Toole.
10	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning.
11	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: You mentioned this
12	morning that you had a sense that the Conservative Party's
13	stronger positions on China were probably being tracked
14	closely by the Chinese government prior to the 2021 election.
15	You would agree that it's likely these stronger positions as
16	well as the Conservative Party's support of targeted diaspora
17	communities such as the Falun Gong, Uyghur and Hong Kong
18	communities in its 2021 platform, support of the Uyghur
19	genocide motion and advocacy for the Foreign Influence
20	Registry that likely made it a that likely made the Party
21	a target of the Chinese government.
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, that's correct.
23	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: From your perspective,
24	has your treatment by the Chinese government in the 2021
25	election as well as the experiences of other politicians and
26	leaders who've supported targeted communities created an
27	environment in which other politicians, leaders and parties
28	may feel they cannot take a stance against China without

1	facing consequences?
2	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: There is a risk of that
3	chill, people not championing minority rights or human rights
4	because of fear of interference or, you know, electoral
5	challenge as a result. So I have more respect for people
6	that do despite some of those risks.
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: You mentioned this
8	morning that the CSIS briefing you received in 2023 was
9	helpful for understanding what was happening regarding
10	foreign interference issues but that you would have
11	appreciated getting the briefing a few years earlier.
12	Based on your interview summary, it's my
13	understanding that you believe that if an intelligence agency
14	develops a credible belief that a Party or candidate is the
15	target of foreign interference then the agency should brief
16	the Party or candidate even if the threat posed by foreign
17	interference is minimal. Is that correct?
18	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes. If they have some
19	sort of a risk towards them or their family as a result of
20	their public service and positions they're taking, I think if
21	an agency of the federal government is aware of that, that
22	Parliamentarian deserves to be notified and deserves support
23	to make sure that risks are minimized or mitigated.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And would you agree that
25	members of targeted diaspora communities should be similarly
26	briefed in a timely manner when an intelligence agency
27	develops a credible belief that they or their family members

are a target of foreign interference?

28

1	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes, I believe they
2	deserve that same right.
3	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thanks, Mr. O'Toole.
4	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
5	So government?
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS:
7	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Good morning, sir.
8	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Good morning.
9	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: My name is Gregory
10	Tzemenakis. I'm counsel for the Attorney General of Canada
11	and I just have some clarification questions.
12	So your evidence in your evidence this
13	morning, you stated that the Conservative Party of Canada did
14	not lose the general election in 2021 due to foreign
15	interference activities, but they may have lost somewhere
16	between six to nine seats as a result of foreign interference
17	activities; correct?
18	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's correct.
19	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And I also heard you
20	to say, sir, that those seats may have also been impacted by
21	other factors, such as the position taken by the party on
22	motions in the House of Commons; possibly an understanding in
23	relation to the party's platform, or a misunderstanding in
24	relation to the party's platform; and positions taken in
25	relation to vaccines and vaccine mandates. Is that a fair
26	summary?
27	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: All the issues that were
28	germane in the '21 election would have applied to all those

1	voters as well.
2	The modelling and the historic voting
3	patterns of the Chinese-Canadian diaspora, in particular, is
4	what helped formulate my opinion, but I've always said hat
5	seat range, up to nine, is my opinion, based on all these
6	considerations.
7	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you.
8	I want to move to just a couple of questions
9	on information flow and, in particular, from the party to the
10	SITE Task Force.
11	So this morning and I'm going to start off
12	with a general question, to make sure I understood exactly
13	what you said this morning. I understood you to say that the
14	foreign interference activities that the party was monitoring
15	were raised by your representatives to the SITE Task Force
16	during the writ period in the 2021 election, is that right?
17	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No. I wouldn't use the
18	term, "Monitoring"; we were not set up to monitor foreign
19	interference in the 2021 election. What I did say on a few
20	occasions was that we developed an informal dossier and
21	started sharing examples that our field organizers, our
22	candidates, and our party officials were seeing on an ad hoc
23	basis, but the monitoring we thought was being done and was
24	being done by RRM
25	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So
26	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: What we were doing was
27	sharing kind of anecdotal reports to counter the sort of
28	impression being left by SITE that there were no issues of

O'TOOLE

1	concern. We were seeing issues of concern, and we were
2	flagging them.
3	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So I'm going to
4	rephrase my question. The point that I'm trying to make is
5	your evidence this morning was that there was sharing of
6	information b the party to a SITE Task Force
7	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
8	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: during this
9	period. And would it surprise you to learn that this may not
10	actually have been done by the party during the writ period?
11	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Some of the sharing would
12	have been verbal in meetings that we were seeing cases. Some
13	of the evidentiary record, like photographs of the Foodmart
14	and things like that, were shared afterwards, yes.
15	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: To be fair, I expect
15 16	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: To be fair, I expect that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task
16	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task
16 17	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but
16 17 18	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but that they were only shared after the writ period and after
16 17 18 19	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but that they were only shared after the writ period and after the election result. And so I want to give you the
16 17 18 19 20	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but that they were only shared after the writ period and after the election result. And so I want to give you the opportunity to help us, if that accords with your
16 17 18 19 20 21	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but that they were only shared after the writ period and after the election result. And so I want to give you the opportunity to help us, if that accords with your recollection or if your understanding you're still
16 17 18 19 20 21	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but that they were only shared after the writ period and after the election result. And so I want to give you the opportunity to help us, if that accords with your recollection or if your understanding you're still confident in your position that some information was shared
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but that they were only shared after the writ period and after the election result. And so I want to give you the opportunity to help us, if that accords with your recollection or if your understanding you're still confident in your position that some information was shared during the writ period?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but that they were only shared after the writ period and after the election result. And so I want to give you the opportunity to help us, if that accords with your recollection or if your understanding you're still confident in your position that some information was shared during the writ period? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Our party was raising
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that the evidence that will come on Friday by the SITE Task Force in 2021 will be that there were concerns shared, but that they were only shared after the writ period and after the election result. And so I want to give you the opportunity to help us, if that accords with your recollection or if your understanding you're still confident in your position that some information was shared during the writ period? HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Our party was raising instances of what we believed was FI. In terms of

that date ring a bell?

28

1	and Ms. Michaud. From what I understand, in the tail end of
2	the campaign, we were raising reports from the ground that we
3	were hearing with respect to FI. We formalized those into
4	the dossier after.
5	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you. And I
6	heard you to just coming back to the point of formalizing
7	those activities into the dossier, and you were taken earlier
8	we don't need to pull this up to CAN 6739, which was an
9	email from Ms. Michaud forwarding information to the SITE
10	Task Force for consideration. Do you recall that discussion
11	you had
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Yes.
13	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: with Commission
14	counsel? And did I understand correctly, sir, you to say
15	that you did not receive a response to that inquiry? And I'm
16	just trying to understand, when you say, "You" is it you in
17	your personal capacity as leader, or are you suggesting that
18	the party did not receive a response to those inquiries?
19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I did not receive a
20	response, nor was I offered an opportunity to meet with the
21	Task Force or the group of five. And I'm assuming that my
22	chief of staff did not either, but she may have. I can't be
23	certain of that.
	certain or that.
24	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: To be fair, the
2425	
	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: To be fair, the

1	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No. Because I think
2	we've our recollection is that on several occasions when
3	things were said, the National Security Advisor to the Prime
4	Minister told a Parliamentary Committee that she had
5	responded to Mr. Soliman, when she had not.
6	So I would love to see the evidentiary
7	record, but my own experience was that our communication with
8	the SITE Task Force was generally a one-way street.
9	And my concern when you use the term,
10	"Monitoring" our monitoring from the election, we weren't set
11	up to monitor the election for foreign interference, but the
12	SITE Task Force was. And the sharing we did with the Task
13	Force was, again, one way. We didn't get the rapid response
14	mechanism reports; we didn't get what I'm seeing now in real
15	time during the campaign, and I think that's a fundamental
16	failure of the system.
17	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Let me just touch on
18	that point. So your evidence today is that there was a
19	failure in the system because the SITE Task Force or the
20	panel of five should have made an announcement during the
21	writ period, based on the alleged foreign interference
22	activities that were being shared with them; is that fair?
23	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: That's the protocol, I
24	think, warranted some sort of warning to diaspora communities
25	relying on WeChat, for example, yes. But as I said in my
26	earlier testimony, when my designates in the first meeting
27	regarding the '21 election were told that there were no
28	problems with the 2019 election, and a year after the

1	election I read that there were instances of problems in the
2	2019 election; in my view, that should have been the starting
3	point for the Task Force. We knew there were some problems
4	in 2019. We're going to be more vigilant in '21 to make sure
5	that the interference, whether it's significant or not, plays
6	no role in any of the ridings in the country.
7	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And did I understand
8	correctly that as leader of the party you could have made a
9	public announcement yourself, but after careful consideration
10	you chose not to make an announcement, based on the foreign
11	interference alleged foreign interference activities that
12	were being brought to your attention?
13	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I did make that decision
14	not to formally say anything because of what I perceived to
15	be negative ramifications of that. I also thought the system
16	was working and if there was knowledge of the reports we were
17	hearing that the protocol would indicate the need for some
18	sort of warning.
19	You know, looking back, I might have made a
20	different decision if I'd been seeing the RRM reports on a
21	daily basis.
22	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And the question
23	that I have, sir, is when you say there was an alleged
24	failure in the system, is that your when did you formulate
25	that view? Did you formulate that view during the writ
26	period, or did you formulate that view with the benefit of
27	retrospection and further consideration looking back?
28	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: In the final week of the

1	campaign, when some of our candidates and volunteers were
2	very worried about the level of foreign interference and some
3	of the narratives on WeChat, including narratives about me,
4	that's when I determined that we were not prepared as a
5	country for the level and methods of foreign interference.
6	And I'll use an example. I don't believe our
7	agencies have adequate line of sight into WeChat. There are
8	two different platforms of WeChat; one for mainland China,
9	one for the diaspora globally. If you monitor it as a
10	foreign account, you may not get the same algorithmic flow
11	that some other users get.
12	Does CSE, do our intelligence agencies even
13	know what's really happening here? I don't think they do.
14	And what I do know is a lot of Canadians, hundreds of
15	thousands of Canadians, now rely on these channels. TikTok
16	is now included in that. That wasn't as big of a concern in
17	2021.
18	So I think that we have to make sure that
19	we're giving our intelligence agencies the resources to
20	properly monitor this, and if we don't have the ability to
21	properly monitor, perhaps there should be some restrictions
22	as we've been seeing with TikTok.
23	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So I appreciate that
24	my time is coming to an end. Thank you for that answer.
25	I have just one more question and it's about
26	the public announcement. In hindsight, do you agree that an
27	announcement by yourself as leader might have afforded an
28	opportunity to address the concerns you discussed this

1	morning regarding misinformation, whether it be in the riding
2	of Mr. Chiu apologies, it's not my phone whether it be
3	in the riding of Mr. Chui or in the ridings in the lower
4	mainland or in the GTA?
5	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I regret that I didn't
6	make a statement, because I do feel Mr. Chiu, Mr. Sirois, and
7	a few other candidates, were exposed to a level of
8	misinformation that I think was profoundly unfair.
9	I will say this. The Winnipeg lab
10	experience, which I talked about earlier, is how the House of
11	Commons wrapped up before the election. When we were
12	bringing up valid public policy questions about potential
13	espionage, potential partnerships with the Wuhan Virology
14	Institute, the Prime Minister and the Government would
15	respond by saying, "Make sure you're not perpetuating anti-
16	Asian hate or discrimination."
17	It was that inadvertent chill around any
18	conversation of this that led me not to say anything publicly
19	and why I'm in favour of an inquiry and mechanisms so that
20	these decisions are taken out of the crucible of electoral
21	politics and are administered and delivered by trusted sort
22	of agencies and public officials so that the politics
23	doesn't, you know, stop or prevent a message from getting to
24	voters.
25	But it is a regret I have, that now that I
26	see everything, I didn't err on the side of making the
27	message, even if it was going to be construed as, you know,
28	our attempts to save the campaign or whatever the political

- spin might have been. I regret not doing that for those candidates that ended up losing, I feel because of the level of foreign interference.
- 4 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you for your 5 time, Mr. O'Toole.
- 6 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
- 7 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Merci, Madam.
- 8 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It's now for Mr.
- 9 O'Toole's counsel. Mr. Jarmyn.

10 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMAS JARMYN:

MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Mr. O'Toole, I've just got one question. You finished off discussing with my friend the impact -- or that the chill was one of the reasons you didn't make a statement. Were there any other reasons that factored into your choice not to make a statement with respect to the potential foreign interference?

institutions and our democracy is something that's very important to me, and the pandemic put a strain on a lot of public confidence in institutions and I was very much worried that if we were suggesting some seats were lost or that our electoral fortunes were being impacted by a foreign actor, that some people in the political realm would suggest I was following the approach of the United States and that the election was stolen and all these sorts of things. That's why I never mentioned it period while I was leader of the Party. We worked with the mechanisms, we worked with SITE, worked with Mr. Johnston. And why I'm so hopeful that this

1	Inquiry can take a proper look at this, so that it doesn't
2	become politicized and that we can start restoring our trust
3	in some of these institutions. That's part of the reason I
4	was so cautious, but also part of the reason why I'm so
5	hopeful that this Inquiry really makes some strong
6	recommendations on how we can safeguard things going forward.
7	MR. THOMAS JARMYN: Thank you.
8	Thank you, Commissioner.
9	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
10	Any re-examination, Maître Rodriguez?
11	RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ:
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Just very briefly.
13	Mr. O'Toole, I just want to clarify some of
14	the evidence that you gave in cross-examination in response
15	to my friend the counsel for the Attorney General's
16	questions.
17	I just wanted to understand your evidence.
18	Is it your evidence that you passed on concerns about what
19	you were seeing on the ground during the writ period to your
20	SITE designates? Is that accurate?
21	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: There was as we were
22	seeing instances and hearing reports on the ground from the
23	campaign, kind of our central campaign team was talking about
24	it: myself, my chief of staff, the national campaign manager.
25	And the national campaign chair, Mr. Soliman, was also my
26	SITE designate, as was Ms. Michaud. So it was these
27	discussions that I believe Mr. Soliman took to the Taskforce
28	meetings.

1	I was very proud of the professionalism and
2	approach of our team, so I have full trust that they would
3	raise these issues as the SITE process went on.
4	The dossier we talked about was after the
5	campaign, we still had a window when the Government was still
6	in caretaker mode, where we were wanting them to look at
7	things that I don't think they looked at during the writ. We
8	never mentioned publicly that this was going on, but that was
9	still being conducted by largely those four people, with data
10	people and modeling people providing input as well.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Did you ask either
12	one of them to pass on those concerns to site? Or was it
13	simply your expectation that they would do so?
14	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It was my expectation
15	from the commentary and what we were hearing and discussing
16	on the ground.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you receive
18	any information from them that they had in fact passed
19	information on to SITE during that time?
20	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: It was only after the
21	fact, after I was leader, when some of the media reports were
22	coming out in the Globe and Mail, that I said we were
23	flagging these issues and was told, yes, we had been.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so to your
25	knowledge though, were those concerns in fact passed on to
26	SITE?
27	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: I certainly know when we
28	prepared the dossier, we provided evidence of the WeChat,

1	evidence of some of the other instances of foreign
2	interference. But I don't know which ones were communicated
3	verbally during the writ itself. That'd be a question for
4	Mr. Soliman.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you very
6	much.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Just I have one question
8	for you. Can you tell me if, at the best of your knowledge
9	for sure, the terms of the Critical Election Incident Public
10	Protocol were discussed with the various political parties
11	before they'd be drafted and implemented?
12	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, not with me. They
13	may have been in 2019, but I wasn't part of that election
14	team. But there was no discussion of the protocol when I was
15	leader.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And have you been
17	consulted, when I say you, I mean your Party, have been
18	consulted about the composition of the panel of five?
19	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: No, we were not.
20	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you. Thank you
21	very much for your time.
22	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And you're free to go,
24	or to stay if you wish.
25	HON. ERIN O'TOOLE: Thank you very much.
26	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So do we have to break
27	for a few minutes for the five minutes? Just the time to
28	have the next witness with us.

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order. The sitting will take
2	a recess for five minutes.
3	Upon recessing at 12:05 p.m./
4	Upon resuming at 12:17 p.m./
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order please.
6	This sitting of the Foreign Interference
7	Commission is back in session.
8	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Good morning, Mr. Chiu.
9	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Madam Commissioner,
10	Matthew Ferguson for Commission Counsel. We call the next
11	witness, who is Kenny Chiu.
12	THE REGISTRAR: Mr. Chiu, would you like to
13	be affirmed for the record or would you like to be sworn?
14	MR. KENNY CHIU: (Off mic)
15	THE REGISTRAR: May I please have your full
16	name and state your spell your last name for the record.
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: My name, it's Kenny Chiu,
18	C-H-I-U.
19	MR. KENNY CHIU, Sworn:
20	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON:
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Good day, Mr. Chiu.
22	MR. KENNY CHIU: Hello.
23	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You were interviewed
24	by Commission Counsel in person on February 26, 2024, and
25	again on March 5th, 2024, via video link. Do you remember
26	that?
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: A summary of that

1	interview was prepared.
2	And Mr. Court Operator, I'll call that
3	document. It is WIT 14.
4	EXHIBIT No. WIT 14.EN:
5	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Kenny Chiu
6	EXHIBIT No. WIT 14.FR:
7	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 :
8	Kenny Chiu
9	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Now, I've called the
10	document on the screen. Mr. Chiu, have you reviewed this
11	document, which is the interview summary, for accuracy?
12	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have.
13	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. have you had an
14	opportunity to make corrections or changes to the summary?
15	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have.
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Are there any
17	amendments or changes you would like to make at this time?
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
19	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And do you adopt this
20	interview summary as part of your evidence here today?
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
22	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I'll now enter the
23	witness summary into evidence, as well as WIT 14.FR, which is
24	the French translation of the summary.
25	I'll now call another document on the screen,
26	which is CAN.DOC 24, C-A-N-D-O-C 2-4.
27	EXHIBIT No. CAN.DOC 24:
28	Summary information on briefing to

1	Kenny Chiu
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Now, Mr. Chiu, I
3	understand that you received a briefing from CSIS on
4	September 18, 2023?
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. At the request
7	of the Commission, the Government of Canada has produced a
8	summary of that briefing in a format suitable for public
9	disclosure. We will be reviewing parts of that summary
10	today. In accordance with the Commission's obligations to
11	prevent the disclosure of information protected by national
12	security confidentiality, I will ask I will not ask
13	questions intended to elicit information that remains
14	classified. I understand that you may not be able to answer
15	aspects of my questions. Please simply indicate that that's
16	the case where you cannot answer for this reason, and I will
17	move on.
18	In this particular case, have you reviewed
19	this document?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have.
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Do you accept I
22	understand you also accepted that the document be
23	declassified and your witness your names your name be
24	mentioned in the summary.
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
26	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And without
27	getting into specifics of the briefing you received, does the
28	document provide an accurate general description of that

1	briefing?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Then I will enter this
4	as well into evidence.
5	Now, Mr. Chiu, you are the former MP for
6	Steveston East Richmond?
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: Steveston-Richmond East.
8	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I was going to ask you
9	to correct me if I got that wrong. So it's Steveston East
10	Richmond.
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: Steveston-Richmond East.
12	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Richmond East. Okay,
13	I'm going to make a note of that, not just a mental note.
14	Thank you.
15	From 2019 to 2021?
16	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
17	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You were born in Hong
18	Kong and raised there as well?
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
20	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You immigrated to
21	Canada in 1982 to complete Grade 12 as an international
22	students in Winnipeg?
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You are fluent in
25	Cantonese, English and Mandarin?
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And you hold a
28	Bachelor of Science from the University of Saskatchewan.

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: You then returned to
3	Hong Kong after university and after university, and you
4	came back to Canada with your parents in 1989.
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Can you briefly
7	summarise how, if we look at how you got into politics now.
8	I understand that at some point in time you became a
9	schoolboard trustee in Richmond.
10	MR. KENNY CHIU: June 4th Tiananmen Square
11	massacre in 1989 was the watershed moment for myself. That
12	is when I have decided that I'm not going to be one of those
13	Canadians who take advantage of Canada, while, after becoming
14	a citizen, continue to make better income by staying behind.
15	I have decided at that moment, '89, before I became the
16	landed immigrant, to be a full-fledged Canadian citizen. And
17	that's when I started my journey in Canada.
18	So when I came to Canada, I pay very close
19	attention to what happens, particularly to the national
20	political situation. I have had a very high regard of
21	Canada's political system, be it a democracy, and so I pay
22	very close attention to what's transpired, the discussion of
23	the Charlottetown Accord, Meech Lake Accord.
24	And then later on, when I on the day of
25	wedding, I was actually in Hong Kong doing the banquet
26	circuits, and but it was exactly the time when Quebecers
27	were deciding whether to leave Canada, the referendum. And
28	so I was so concerned that I actually have my colleague back

28

CHIU

1	then in Richmond send me in an email, email was actually
2	quite, you know, not as popular as then, to let me know
3	whether I have a country to come back to. So that's the
4	level of concern that I have for Canada.
5	And when my wife and I had a family and then
6	the they enter into the public school system, we decided
7	that rather than sitting on the side, be a spectator, we
8	decided to move forward and be a contributor. And so I put
9	my name forward to be a Richmond Schoolboard trustee, and in
10	2011, I was elected one.
11	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you also, I
12	understand, have were a frequent commentator on Chinese
13	language TV and radio throughout the 2000s, giving a
14	conservative perspective on Mandarin and Cantonese language -
15	
16	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
17	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: TV and radio.
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. And coming
19	from Saskatchewan, when I was living in Hong Kong, I became
20	very critical of the lack of welfare system. So I was a bit
21	of a Hong Kong socialist. But then when I came to Canada, I
22	realised the tax burden, on the middle class especially, had
23	mandated me to be a more right of centre person. So I have
24	decided then that I would support a right of centre political
25	thinking and party and philosophy, and at that time, I choose
26	that path, yeah.
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you then

after your tenure as the -- as schoolboard trustee, you ran

as a Conservative in Steveston-Richmond East ---1 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: --- in 2015, and at 3 that time you lost to the Liberal candidate. Is that 4 5 correct? MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. I lost to 6 Mr. Joe Peschisolido. He was originally a Conservative MP 7 under Canadian Alliance. He changed to the Liberal caucus in 8 2002. I was the -- one -- I was one of them, the campaign 9 team that helped him get elected in year 2000. So it was a 10 yes to versus Peschisolido campaign in 2015. 11 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you had a 12 13 rematch in 2019; is that correct? 14 MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you ran 15 again in Steveston-Richmond East, and this time you took the 16 seat. You were elected MP. 17 MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. 18 19 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Now, Steveston-Richmond East I understand is a riding with a large 20 21 concentration of Chinese Canadians which make up roughly 50 percent of the electoral district. Is that correct? 22 23 MR. KENNY CHIU: That's what I found, too, 24 yes. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Now, if we just 25 touch on the 2019 campaign, what I'd like to get to focus 26 more with you is the 2021 election campaign. But so we could 27 28 have maybe a point of reference or perspective of the

1	differences with 2021, can you briefly describe how that
2	campaign was run in 2019?
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was a little bit
4	different from 2015. In 2015, much like my 2011 school board
5	trustee campaign, around me I have many supporters and
6	friends who would come to help me campaign. I remember being
7	invited after I was elected as a school board trustee to a
8	Canadian Association or Alliance of Canadian Associations
9	gathering.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Is that the acronym
11	CACA that we see in your witness statement?
12	MR. KENNY CHIU: I believe so, yes.
13	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay.
14	MR. KENNY CHIU: And in attendance are all
15	the ethnic Chinese elected officials, mostly our school
16	boards and city councillors. And they presented me a plaque
17	which I'm still keeping. And that was 2011.
18	In 2015, I noticed that a few of them has
19	faded off, but by and large they're still very supporting.
20	Through their connection, I was able to rent a campaign
21	office, for example. But that has dramatically changed in
22	2019.
23	I noticed that not too many of them had come
24	out in support of me any more. They would try not to be, you
25	know, seen as with me campaigning, going door knocking,
26	volunteering services, et cetera. I attribute that to my
27	commentary with media such as Radio Free Asia in support of a
28	more open situation in Hong Kong, but I didn't pay too much

1	attention to it. And that was 2019.
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And in 2019, were you
3	talking about issues as related to the People's Republic of
4	China?
5	I understand there was the Hong Kong
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
7	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: anti-extradition
8	law protest in 2019 as well.
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Were you addressing
11	those things on the campaign trail?
12	MR. KENNY CHIU: No. It was intentional. We
13	are running a Canadian election in Canada in October 2019 and
14	it is not it's not the Chinese Communist Party, it's
15	not one of the parties that is running in Canadian election,
16	so I deliberately control myself to not to comment in
17	something that has happened in Hong Kong in 2019.
18	Even though there is a lot of pressure coming
19	from constituents of Chinese Hong Kong background advocating
20	and, you know, asking me to take a public stand, I decided
21	not to so, therefore, throughout the entire campaign, you
22	would not find any commentary that are proactively giving out
23	from me.
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And what about your
25	access to the local media in Richmond or the Greater
26	Vancouver Area during the 2019 election campaign?
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: Unless they ask me for my
28	position and comment, I would honestly provide them, but

1	otherwise, I would not proactively Canada has
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Sorry. Perhaps my
3	question wasn't clear.
4	I'm not just speaking about China-related
5	issues, but what was your access like what was your access
6	like to the local media in Greater Vancouver Area in the 2019
7	year just at large?
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: It's pretty good, especially
9	I've, you know, developed a little bit of a rapport with the
10	local media, Richmond News, and also many of the Chinese
11	media, printed media, for example. They are still they
12	are aware of me and where I stand, so yes, I have a good
13	relationship with them.
14	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So after as of
15	October 2019, you were elected to the House of Commons. Do
16	you remember the first thing you did after you were elected
17	to the House of Commons?
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: I received an invitation
19	again, I'm Madam Commissioner, I'm a very opinionated
20	person and so I have my
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: As a politician?
22	MR. KENNY CHIU: View on what happened and
23	what is the problem with Hong Kong. From my perspective,
24	it's because the government there was trying to resolve a
25	political problem using police brute force and not a
26	political solution. And that's why I had taken up the
27	invitation to join in international election observation
28	mission in Hong Kong because there is there was a

1	municipal election. It's called a district council election
2	in Hong Kong.
3	And in my view, the open and fair election
4	conducted there would have been a great testimony how they
5	can pacify, how can they resolve many of the political
6	problems.
7	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And in Hong Kong at
8	the time, there was the protests, the demonstrations that
9	were occurring in relation to the anti-extradition laws. Is
10	that correct?
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. It's it entered
12	into a vicious cycle to the point that, you know, Molotov
13	cocktails were being used against police, you know, against
14	the police brutality, so yeah.
15	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And when you came back
1.0	
16	to Canada after that trip, did you receive any feedback from
17	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong?
17	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong?
17 18	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have.
17 18 19	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. I have volunteers, you know, only a month,
17 18 19 20	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. I have volunteers, you know, only a month, month and a half ago in my campaign telling me that they will
17 18 19 20 21	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. I have volunteers, you know, only a month, month and a half ago in my campaign telling me that they will not volunteer for me in the next campaign. They will not
17 18 19 20 21 22	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. I have volunteers, you know, only a month, month and a half ago in my campaign telling me that they will not volunteer for me in the next campaign. They will not even vote for me. Whether they will vote for me or not, they
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. I have volunteers, you know, only a month, month and a half ago in my campaign telling me that they will not volunteer for me in the next campaign. They will not even vote for me. Whether they will vote for me or not, they will have to have a deep thought about that.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. I have volunteers, you know, only a month, month and a half ago in my campaign telling me that they will not volunteer for me in the next campaign. They will not even vote for me. Whether they will vote for me or not, they will have to have a deep thought about that. It was because they believe, in their view,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	your constituents with regard to your trip to Hong Kong? MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. I have volunteers, you know, only a month, month and a half ago in my campaign telling me that they will not volunteer for me in the next campaign. They will not even vote for me. Whether they will vote for me or not, they will have to have a deep thought about that. It was because they believe, in their view, that I was in support of rioters in Hong Kong.

1 to ---2 MR. KENNY CHIU: I do not agree with that That term is used by those who are pro Hong Kong 3 government, pro China seeing what happened in Hong Kong, 4 especially toward the later part of the protest. Yes, that's 5 6 the term that they have used. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And moving on 7 8 to some of your work in the House of Commons during the 43rd Parliament, obviously there was the COVID-19 pandemic that 9 struck in March of 2000 (sic) but when things got up and 10 running again, I understand that at some point you became 11 involved in the subcommittee on International Human Rights of 12 13 the Standing Committee on Foreign Affairs and International 14 Development. MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. 15 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Can you speak 16 to your time on that subcommittee? 17 MR. KENNY CHIU: Mr. O'Toole, the then 18 19 Conservative leader, appointed me after he became a leader. And when I joined the subcommittee of International Human 20 21 Rights, it had already completed a thorough study, and the 22 study lasted over a year and a half. And they were ready to 23 publish a report on how the Uyghur Muslim has been treated in 24 Xinjiang. 25 And so I joined them at that moment and they then released the report to the House of Commons to, I think, 26 Foreign Affairs Committee and committee tabled that to the 27 28 House of Commons. Yeah. And that's the background of it,

yeah. 1 2 We also study many other human rights issues, of course, as that's the committee's mandate. 3 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It wasn't just focused 4 on China. 5 6 MR. KENNY CHIU: No. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And on March 7 8 27, 2021, the People's Republic of China sanctioned Mr. Michael Chong individually and the subcommittee generally. 9 As a member of the subcommittee, what did you take that to 10 mean, that you were sanctioned as a member of the 11 subcommittee? 12 13 MR. KENNY CHIU: I guess understandably that is a very confusing signal because sanctions -- international 14 sanctions are very seldomly applied to a Parliamentary 15 committee. And I remember there were committee members who 16 actually stepped down officially as a community member, only 17 to be replaced by somebody else, but in all future committee 18 19 meetings, he would actually attend as a substitute of that substitute. My reading of that is this is how fearful people 20 are. These are Canadian parliamentarian of the Chinese 21 sanction. And coming from Hong Kong and understanding the 22 Chinese Communist Parties, People Republic of China, I do 23 24 understand where they're coming from. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And did you take that 25 to mean as -- did you take that to mean that you could not 26 27 return or travel to China? 28 MR. KENNY CHIU: Only the Chinese state would

be able to answer your question in black and white. 1 2 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: But did you take that to mean that you couldn't? 3 MR. KENNY CHIU: I -- yes. I -- that's how I 4 5 interpret it, yes. 6 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I'd like to move on to a piece of legislation that you tabled during the $43^{\rm rd}$ 7 Parliament. Bill C282, an Act to establish the Foreign 8 Influence Registry, that is at -- I'll call the doc ID KCH 9 finishing with 69. 10 --- EXHIBIT No. KCH 69: 11 Bill C-282 An Act to establish a 12 13 Foreign Intfluence Registry 14 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And we could just scroll through the document. So this is Bill C282 -- excuse 15 me, just go back to the first page. An Act to establish the 16 Foreign Influence Registry, the first reading on April 13, 17 2021. And we can go now to the next page. Okay, the next 18 19 page. Right. Thank you. Now, Mr. Chiu, I understand that this was a 20 21 private member's bill that you tabled in the House of Commons 22 in April of 2021? 23 MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. 24 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Can you speak a bit about this bill. What was it -- what was it designed to 25 do? What was the purpose of this particular bill? 26 MR. KENNY CHIU: This is actually the result 27 28 of a year-and-a-half study of my staff and myself. I believe

1	Canada needs transparency into foreign influencers
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: and to protect our
4	interest. So at first we were modelling a legislative
5	proposal under the U.S. FARA Scheme, but we noticed that, you
6	know, countries such as the Chinese Communist Party
7	controlled China, and also the general public in Canada has a
8	innnate anti-Americanism built in. So we decided not to do
9	that. Besides the FARA Scheme perhaps is too thorough as a
10	lowest hanging fruit to deploy, so we decided to model,
11	switch ourselves and model after the Australian model
12	instead. In Australian, it's called the Foreign Influence
13	Transparency Scheme. And that's how we model what we propose
14	eventually after.
15	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And what was
15 16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And what was your particular goal or in bringing this bill?
	-
16	your particular goal or in bringing this bill?
16 17	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know,
16 17 18	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there
16 17 18 19	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there have been a lot of shadowy activities happening under table.
16 17 18 19 20	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there have been a lot of shadowy activities happening under table. And there has also been signs that I read that are increasing
16 17 18 19 20 21	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there have been a lot of shadowy activities happening under table. And there has also been signs that I read that are increasing foreign influence in our country. I do not want to deny
16 17 18 19 20 21	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there have been a lot of shadowy activities happening under table. And there has also been signs that I read that are increasing foreign influence in our country. I do not want to deny people's rights to carry out those political activity, mostly
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there have been a lot of shadowy activities happening under table. And there has also been signs that I read that are increasing foreign influence in our country. I do not want to deny people's rights to carry out those political activity, mostly our political lobbying. So that's why I think, you know, the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there have been a lot of shadowy activities happening under table. And there has also been signs that I read that are increasing foreign influence in our country. I do not want to deny people's rights to carry out those political activity, mostly our political lobbying. So that's why I think, you know, the best would be some light would be the best disinfectant,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	your particular goal or in bringing this bill? MR. KENNY CHIU: My observation, you know, having lived in Canada for several decades, is that there have been a lot of shadowy activities happening under table. And there has also been signs that I read that are increasing foreign influence in our country. I do not want to deny people's rights to carry out those political activity, mostly our political lobbying. So that's why I think, you know, the best would be some light would be the best disinfectant, so, you know, keep on doing what you do, just as long as you

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: on behalf of
3	foreign governments
4	MR. KENNY CHIU: Of course, I would not want
5	to do that.
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And did your
7	does the bill mention any specific countries?
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, it was by design not to
9	mention any specific country, to remove politics from the
10	whole thing. I have to sign the bill to let the Privy
11	Counsel officers and also the bureaucrats, basically, to
12	define which countries that Canada are subjected to foreign
13	interference and the foreign influence; and, therefore,
14	mandate, you know, in that appendix the list of countries
15	that would they would add those name to it and it would
16	enforce the law against them.
17	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And does your
18	bill make any reference or employ the terms foreign
19	hostile foreign state?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: I don't remember that we
21	have.
22	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And I
23	understand you brought this bill as a private member's bill?
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: Right.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And I understand when
26	you brought this bill your party was not the governing party?
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Did you have

1	the support of your party's caucus?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And what
4	happened with this bill? It was tabled in April of 2021, and
5	went to first reading. What happened after that?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: The dissolution of the $43^{\rm rd}$
7	Parliament pretty much puts an end to it.
8	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: With respect to this
9	particular bill, did you solicit the views of your
10	constituents before or after you presented it?
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was the first reading, so
12	the initial proposal no, but I actually have a press
13	conference, a virtual press conference called when I tabled
14	that, and there have been very few feedback coming from, you
15	know, constituents on that.
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Now if I move
17	to the 2021 general election, the writs of election were
18	dropped on August 15, 2021. And can you talk to us about
19	getting your campaign up and running and the experiences that
20	you encountered during that campaign in 2021?
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: Well, it was during the
22	pandemic.
23	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: And I remember it was just
25	months before that, before the Parliament rises or arose, we
26	started the discussion and the trying to find facts fact
27	finding on the Winnipeg National Microbiology Lab, what
28	happened, under what circumstances the scientists were

1	dismissed, their security clearance removed. And there were
2	a lot of talk about anti-Asian racism even coming from the
3	government MPs and even the Prime Ministers in response to
4	our questioning. And as I explained to you, Madam
5	Commissioner, I have a very high regard of Canada's democracy
6	and it was a very disillusion moment when I see that the
7	Parliament of Canada had asked four times for documents for
8	the National Microbiology Lab situations and the government
9	keep on ignoring it and even took the Parliament represented
10	by the speaker to court. And then when that didn't work, the
11	government decided or the governing party decided to pull
12	the plug and call an election on that in the midst of a
13	COVID-19 pandemic that we were suffering. So it was a very
14	chaotic moment. It was also a very polarized moment. People
15	you know, there have been supportive of a mandate, you
16	know, against mandates, masking and all that.
17	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And when the
18	so if I go directly to the result and then we can maybe
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: Sure.
20	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: move back from
21	there, you ran for re-election in Steveston-Richmond East and
22	lost to the Liberal candidate by a margin of almost nine per
23	cent.
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Obviously, you lived
26	through that campaign and have had time to reflect and
27	conduct a postmortem on the campaign loss.
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Can you speak to what
2	you believe are the factors that led you or led you losing
3	your seat by a 9 per cent margin only 23 months after you
4	were elected?
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was surreal. But when we
6	looked at the voter turnout rate and also in light of some of
7	the misinformation that has been grounding many of the
8	constituents, we understand what happened a little bit
9	better. The voter turnout rate in Steveston-Richmond East in
10	the past three elections has been continuously dropping; from
11	2015's 60.25 percent to 2019's 56.94 percent, to 2021's 52.77
12	percent. In other words, over 3,000 eligible voters did not
13	vote in 2021 when they had voted just a mere 23 months ago.
14	And my opponent garnered the number of
15	votes that he garnered was actually lower than the vote that
16	I had in the 2015 election.
17	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: The 2015 election
18	which you lost?
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, that's right.
20	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Right.
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: But I had 16,630.
22	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. So what you're
23	saying is you had a higher vote count in 2015 during an
24	election loss than your opponent had in 2021 for an election
25	victory?
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay.
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was very unusual and

1	surreal, but, you know, given the polarized opinions in
2	China, Hong Kong, the Covid-19 pandemic and all that, and
3	also with my experience, my observation of China getting
4	involved, I wasn't surprised.
5	My staff, however, they were. My English-
6	speaking staff,
7	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: campaign staff. They
9	were shocked.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And obviously we'll be
11	talking about the misinformation and disinformation, the
12	false allegations that were spreading on social media.
13	I will tender, at this time, I'll call up
14	documents well I'll tender at this time, KCH1 through 27.
15	EXHIBIT No. KCH 1-27:
16	Chat screenshots: Current Events
17	Discussion. News Coverage. (24/7)
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And we'll go through
19	some of those. Those are essentially messages that you've
20	provided to or screenshots of messages you've provided to
21	the Commission.
22	And just for everyone's reference, there is a
23	document that translates much of these or all of these
24	Chinese language texts, and it's KCH 70.
25	EXHIBIT No. KCH 70:
26	Translation of KCH 1-27
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So if we go to KCH1 to
	Tax. Tax. Tax. Do if we go to hem to

1	little bit so we can have a bigger okay.
2	And Mr. Chiu, obviously you've provided these
3	to the Commission. Can you I understand that these are
4	dated or there's no date on them, but you've indicated
5	that it was around September $3^{\rm rd}$ or September $5^{\rm th}$ that these
6	messages were captured?
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
8	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Now, if I look
9	at the first message and I won't impress anyone with my
10	Chinese reading skills. I'm referring to the translations at
11	KCH70. But that first message at top, it says it
12	translates to:
13	"It would be so ironic if the Chinese
14	elect an anti-Chinese political
15	party, wouldn't it?"
16	And then it goes on to say, the next message,
17	at by the individual Anthony no, just the next message:
18	"Conservative Party- anti-Chinese []
19	anti-China, a garbage political party
20	that discriminates against the
21	Chinese!!!"
22	When did you first start when did you or
23	your campaign staff first notice these messages circulating
24	on Chinese language social media?
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: At the beginning of
26	September. That's when I started receiving screenshots such
27	as this.
28	Understandably, of course, I would not be

28

CHIU

privileged to be on the receiving end directly of these 1 2 messages. I'm not members of these chat rooms and groups. So there's zero opportunity for me to counter, and disprove, 3 and argue. 4 I think that is by design, of course. 5 6 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: What do you mean by 7 you think it's by design? 8 MR. KENNY CHIU: Well I don't believe, you know, people who would like me to present them evidence why 9 we are not, and why I believe there has been a lot of 10 disinformation being used against my Party leader then, Mr. 11 O'Toole, like, the Conservative Party, and also myself. So, 12 13 you know, if they give me a chance because I read the language, I speak the language, I would be very effective in 14 countering this disinformation. 15 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. So you had no 16 line of sight into these chat groups? 17 MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. And what I have 18 19 provided to the Commission, it's only a sample that has been 20 reported to me ---21 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm. 22 MR. KENNY CHIU: --- by my campaign volunteers, who happen to be also ethnic Chinese and were in 23 24 this group. 25 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Right. So it's beyond the messages that -- the one to 27? There's many more 26 27 messages and this is just ---

MR. KENNY CHIU: That's right.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: a sampling?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. If I continue
4	on to KCH4?
5	And at KCH4, the first message at the top,
6	and in the lighter text at 7:47 p.m. reads:
7	"What matters is [that] O'Toole
8	(vomiting) from Conservative Party is
9	just Trump's follower. Putting him
10	in power is detrimental to Chinese
11	Canadians and to the country's long-
12	term interests."
13	Is that correct?
14	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. That's approximately
15	correct for the translation.
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And it goes
17	if I go on to KCH18? I'm sorry, KCH11. And this text if
17 18	if I go on to KCH18? I'm sorry, KCH11. And this text if we just scroll out a little bit?
18	we just scroll out a little bit?
18 19	we just scroll out a little bit? And it indicates near the top, "It is too
18 19 20	we just scroll out a little bit? And it indicates near the top, "It is too ironic" And part of the text an excerpt of the text I'm
18 19 20 21	we just scroll out a little bit? And it indicates near the top, "It is too ironic" And part of the text an excerpt of the text I'm reading is:
18 19 20 21 22	we just scroll out a little bit? And it indicates near the top, "It is too ironic" And part of the text an excerpt of the text I'm reading is: "It is too ironic that in the federal
18 19 20 21 22 23	we just scroll out a little bit? And it indicates near the top, "It is too ironic" And part of the text an excerpt of the text I'm reading is: "It is too ironic that in the federal election, the Chinese vote for an
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we just scroll out a little bit? And it indicates near the top, "It is too ironic" And part of the text an excerpt of the text I'm reading is: "It is too ironic that in the federal election, the Chinese vote for an anti-Chinese Party (Conservative
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	we just scroll out a little bit? And it indicates near the top, "It is too ironic" And part of the text an excerpt of the text I'm reading is: "It is too ironic that in the federal election, the Chinese vote for an anti-Chinese Party (Conservative Party), which is unacceptable to me

interpret that, Mr. Chiu, as a Chinese Canadian? 1 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: That fits very well of a global narrative that the Chinese Communist Party has been 3 using around the world. 4 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm. 5 MR. KENNY CHIU: Not just in Canada, but also 6 in Australia, the U.S., U.K., New Zealand, that they are the 7 only legitimate representative of the welfare of ethnic 8 Chinese around the world, regardless whether you're from Hong 9 Kong, Taiwan, or China, or South East Asia, other places. 10 People such as myself, who has not lived one day under their 11 rule, they still believe they are the legitimate 12 13 spokesperson, advocate, for our benefit. So it fits very 14 well with that narrative. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And then if I go to 15 KCH16? We'll start with KCH16. Just scroll out a little 16 bit. This message by a user named Patrick. And then through 17 17, 18, and 19. Go down to 18 -- 17. And I understand here 18 19 -- yeah, so it's at 17 -- or 18, rather. This is -- the last two text messages were 20 21 referring to matters of Parliament and beginning to discuss 22 your Foreign Influence Bill. Is that correct? MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. 23 24 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And these --25 again, these are messages that are dated around September 3rd or September 5th? 26 27 MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. 28 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. What -- if --

1	before I get into your attempts to counter or attempts to
2	counter the narrative, can you speak to your experience on
3	the ground? There obviously wasn't just an online campaign
4	against you. What was your experience like going door to
5	door, for example? Meeting with the constituents?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was very confusing. Like
7	I said, the pandemic, COVID-19, masking mandates, all these
8	are polarising issues, but as an ethnic Chinese, I have no
9	problem wearing a mask myself. Even when go door knocking.
10	Doors that have been opened to me near 20-some months ago, as
11	soon as they heard my Chinese name, (speaking Chinese), they
12	would shut the door in my face.
13	And there have been families, a house I
14	vividly remember door knocking, and they were very supportive
15	in 2019, that they will vote for me, that they would actually
16	allow us to put a lawn sign on their property. This time,
17	when we knock on the door, the lady who opened the door, I
18	even remember her face, she had become emotional, with a deep
19	sense of hurt and hate, and she I mean, we didn't bother
20	asking for a lawn sign because she said she is not going to
21	vote for us "because we hate China, because we hate them."
22	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Now, if we could speak
23	a bit about how you attempted to counter the narrative and
24	respond to these allegations that surrounded your private
25	members bill and the maligning of you and your party as anti
26	China, I understand you reached out to media.
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And perhaps in

1	the interest of time, I won't call up the document on the
2	screen, but I'll reference it as CCC ending in 10.
3	EXHIBIT No. CCC 10:
4	Article: Conservatives face ugly
5	barrage over party's China policy
6	[Vancouver Sun]
7	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It's a Richmond News
8	article titled Richmond Candidate Attacked on WeChat for
9	Proposed Foreign Influence Legislation, dated September 7,
10	2021.
11	And it cites one of the posts circulating on
12	WeChat as stating:
13	"'This bill is going to have
14	extremely negative consequences for
15	immigrants from mainland China. It
16	will also harm economic, cultural and
17	technological exchanges between
18	Canada and China"
19	And:
20	"'Although the bill [does not] list
21	which countries belong to the foreign
22	forces, considering the [sourced]
23	relationship between Canada and
24	China(and Chiu's) anti-China
25	background, undoubtedly this bill is
26	targeting mainland Chinese
27	associations and aims to control or
28	monitor mainland Chinese speech and

1	behaviours'"
2	Why did you reach out to the English language
3	media in regards to these social media posts on WeChat in
4	Chinese language?
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: It was a campaign
6	calculation because of lack of support, and also means to
7	counter foreign interference in our riding, particularly
8	targeting Mainland Chinese and also Hong Kong Chinese
9	Canadians, we have decided to appeal to the non Chinese
10	speaking population in Richmond. The more we exposed this,
11	we were hoping that we would be able to earn some votes that
12	would compensate what we have lost in the Chinese speaking
13	side that actually is subject to foreign interference.
14	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: In another article,
15	this time the Vancouver Sun, later on on September 14th, you
16	are reported as saying in relation to the social media
17	attacks that "The barrage and falsification, disinformation
18	and personal attacks, it's beyond" your expectation.
19	That's a document at I'm sorry, that was
20	CCCC010. I made a mistake in calling up the last document.
21	I'll just reference it. The Richmond News article was KCH
22	68.
23	EXHIBIT No. KCH 68:
24	Article: Richmond candidate attacked
25	on WeChat for proposed 'Foreign
26	Influence' legislation
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So going back to the
28	Vancouver Sun article, you it's mentioned that you the

1	barrage and falsification, disinformation and personal
2	attacks was beyond your expectation. Why was it beyond your
3	expectation?
4	MR. KENNY CHIU: I my experience in the
5	House of Commons had led me to believe that the Government of
6	Canada has set up, you know, all these mechanisms to monitor
7	irregularities during the elections. I was a rookie MP, so
8	I'm not, you know, at an expert in SITE Task Force, in
9	CIEPP. You know, even the fact that I understand what NSICOP
10	means, NSIRA means, it's already, you know, top marks from my
11	perspective. So I have a very high expectation that I will
12	be protected. And when things come like a tsunami, to me, I
13	was caught by surprise that things like that would allow, and
14	the Government of Canada did not have any clarification to
15	clear my names.
15 16	clear my names. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to
16 17	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with
16 17 18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with this article for a second. Because it in the article, it
16 17 18 19	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with this article for a second. Because it in the article, it goes on to cite you as refusing to speculate whether the
16 17 18 19 20	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with this article for a second. Because it in the article, it goes on to cite you as refusing to speculate whether the Chinese Government or its agents are behind the campaign.
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with this article for a second. Because it in the article, it goes on to cite you as refusing to speculate whether the Chinese Government or its agents are behind the campaign. And you are quoted as saying:
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with this article for a second. Because it in the article, it goes on to cite you as refusing to speculate whether the Chinese Government or its agents are behind the campaign. And you are quoted as saying: "'By observation, the message is the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with this article for a second. Because it in the article, it goes on to cite you as refusing to speculate whether the Chinese Government or its agents are behind the campaign. And you are quoted as saying: "'By observation, the message is the same[b]ut I have no evidence
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with this article for a second. Because it in the article, it goes on to cite you as refusing to speculate whether the Chinese Government or its agents are behind the campaign. And you are quoted as saying: "'By observation, the message is the same[b]ut I have no evidence They'" (As read)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to get to some of that a bit later, but I just want to stay with this article for a second. Because it in the article, it goes on to cite you as refusing to speculate whether the Chinese Government or its agents are behind the campaign. And you are quoted as saying: "'By observation, the message is the same[b]ut I have no evidence They'" (As read) Referring to China:

1	"'They (China and its agents) are the
2	most experienced infiltrators. They
3	have done it for so many years.
4	They're not going to get caught.'"
5	So I understand this, is that at this point
6	you're refusing to speculate whether there was a state-
7	sponsored campaign, but in your interview summary, and
8	elsewhere, you have stated that foreign interference by China
9	was a significant factor in your election loss.
10	Has your view as to whether there was PRC
11	Government-sponsored disinformation campaign against you, has
12	that evolved?
13	MR. KENNY CHIU: Well, as we go into election
14	more and longer, and when I start collecting a collection of
15	these screenshots and see how some of the argument, how
16	ridiculous some of the argument is, how hurtful it is, yeah,
17	I understand that somebody is activating using emotion,
18	weaponizing emotions of our of my electorates. And my
19	understanding of the geopolitical situations that Canada is
20	in, by elimination it's unlikely that other country
21	hostile country would be doing that, and it would likely be
22	China. And you know, the fact that I stopped having
23	volunteers coming from that background, it's also a sign to
24	me that somebody behind the scenes has actually warned them.
25	If I may actually put on one point about the
26	Richmond News article.
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Sure.
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: You notice Richmond News

1	article was written by a reporter, her name is Nono Shen.
2	She is actually a Mainland Chinese, and she has also been
3	privy to many of this information. She even receives some of
4	them. That's why when I speak to her and I ask for
5	clarification opportunity, I do not have to explain any of
6	the background, and right away she would start interviewing
7	me and asking me valid and relevant questions.
8	Daphne from Vancouver Sun, for example, there
9	is a lot of background explanation that I have to go through.
10	And that highlights the difference of, and the powerfulness,
11	and effectiveness of segregated disinformation campaign.
12	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: In your witness
13	summary, there was a mention that while this these false
14	allegations maligning your bill and as well as maligning the
15	Conservative Party were circulating, you referred to sort of
16	a media shunning of you in the
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Greater Vancouver
19	area. Can you speak to that?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: The distancing of my friends
21	and also former colleagues when I was a commentator at the
22	at these radio stations, newspapers, outlets, and also online
23	form TVs, did not start during the election, it was actually
24	started. You know, when the Uyghur votes was conducted, for
25	example, that had already happened. It you know, I was
26	not given a chance to go live on air to explain myself. So
27	during the election, when I when I call up on a press
28	conference, it was actually very poorly attended. Whoever

1	attended didn't come. I don't even know if they actually
2	have reported what we have asked.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So you tried to reach
4	out to Chinese language media in Canada, and they did not
5	pick up the story or give you a platform?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: In Greater Vancouver. News
7	medias in Greater Vancouver. Ethnic news media in Greater
8	Vancouver.
9	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'm going to
10	refer you another now to a document, CCC 4.
11	EXHIBIT No. CCC 4:
12	Article [Today Commercial News]
13	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Do you recognize this
14	document, Mr. Chiu? Have you seen this before? This is a
15	
16	MR. KENNY CHIU: Can you slow down a little
17	bit,
18	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Yeah.
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: so that I can see the
20	title?
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: This is an article in
22	the Today Commercial News
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: that appeared on
25	September 9, 2021 titled, "Conservative MP Chiu introduced
26	the registration of foreign forces bill. The registration of
27	foreign forces bill to suppress the Chinese community." The
28	article states, as in the title, that you introduced this

28

CHIU

bill to suppress the Chinese community in Canada. 1 Did you see this article at the time? 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. It's hard not 3 to. Yeah, I have. 4 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And can you tell us 5 what this bill gets wrong about -- sorry; what this article 6 gets wrong about your bill? Was your intent to suppress the 7 Chinese community? 8 MR. KENNY CHIU: Never. As a Chinese-9 Canadian, I understand how difficult it is to be involved, or 10 to involve with Canadian political activities. And I also 11 understand the close relationship with your birthplace, your 12 13 place of origin. It would not be morally correct to prevent 14 people from engaging in activities such as, you know, keeping in touch with the former schools and the alumni association 15 and all that. So it was never my intent. 16 In fact, by not having a transparency scheme 17 for those special interest people who've been conducting 18 19 their business under table, it actually hurts the diaspora community's reputation, you know, as a whole. So my thought 20 was by having transparency, by putting everything under the 21 22 sunlight, you know, we would be able to safeguard our future in Canada. 23 24 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: The article also mentions -- refers to you as being anti-China. How do you 25 respond to being called anti-China? 26 MR. KENNY CHIU: I do not believe I am anti-27

China. I do not support the Chinese Communist Party because

1	of the historical reasons since they got into power in 1949.
2	That is a matter of fact. But China, it's a country
3	representing many people. You know, billion over one
4	billion of them, 1.4, I believe. And you know, it's where my
5	cultural heritage is, and why would I be hating China?
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I'd like to walk you
7	now through some of the Government of Canada directions we
8	received in the last days and weeks that the Commission
9	has received. I'll turn now to CAN 00144.
10	EXHIBIT No. CAN 144:
11	RRM Canada Open Data Analysis October
12	8, 2021
13	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: This is a document,
14	Mr. Chiu, that received a public release, and it relates to
15	the Rapid Response Mechanism of the Global Affairs Canada.
16	This is an Open Data Analysis report. It's titled, "Chinese
17	state media and Canadian WeChat News Accounts Spread False
18	Narrative about the Conservative Party and Former Candidate
19	Kenny Chiu." It states at page one, I think it's the second
20	bullet:
21	"We do not have clear evidence of a
22	PRC-directed operation, but we have
23	observed strong indicators of a
24	coordinated campaign as well as [the]
25	links between the campaign and the
26	PRC."
27	First of all, have you seen this report
28	before today?

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
2	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: The fourth bullet on
3	the first page refers to:
4	"Three of the Chinese-language news
5	accounts that first circulated a
6	false narrative about Chiu are
7	members of a media partnership with
8	the Chinese state-run media agency,
9	China News Service, which
10	[according to the RRM] may be under
11	the direct control of the United
12	Front Work Department, [which is]
13	China's main department for gathering
14	intelligence and influencing diaspora
15	Chinese communities overseas."
16	Can I just stop here, at the small
17	parentheses, and refer to the document CAN 4821?
18	EXHIBIT No. CAN 4821:
19	SITE TF Analysis 25 October 2021
20	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Scroll down a bit to
21	keep going, please. Right. Now stop here at "For
22	example".
23	So if you see the yes, that bullet there.
24	"At least three of these Chinese" Sorry; this is from the
25	SITE Task Force. It's the same month but from a different
26	entity:
27	"At least three of these Canadian
28	Chinese-language news sites that

1	first circulated a false narrative
2	about Chiu are very likely members of
3	a media partnership with the Chinese
4	state-run media agency, China News
5	Service"
6	And here's the distinction:
7	"which is under the direct control
8	of the CCP's United Front Work
9	Department"
10	Were you aware of this, Mr. Chiu?
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
12	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I'll go back to
13	the last document, CAN 144.
14	We can scroll down to page I believe it's
15	page 2. And at page 2 keep going, please. Keep scrolling
16	down. Right.
17	Now it's a "Timeline of events," and I'll go
18	I'll jump, in the interests of time, to page 7. Here we
19	go. So on page 7 on $$ it is mentioned in this report by the
20	RRM:
21	"On September 6,105.9 Yes My Radio, a
22	Greater Toronto Area radio station
23	that broadcasts in Mandarin,
24	published an anonymous blog post on
25	the open web titled 'please pay
26	attention to Kenny Chiu's 'foreign
27	power registration act/'"
28	Were you aware of this article of blog post

1	on 105.9 Yes My Radio?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Do you have any
4	idea why a radio station or a media in the Greater Toronto
5	Area would be interested in you as a candidate in the Greater
6	Vancouver Region?
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, I don't understand that,
8	why they would have a focus on a candidate on Steveston-
9	Richmond East in British Columbia.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. The so you
11	were not aware of this at the time. It's mentioned in this
12	report if we keep going down the page, it's mentioned:
13	"The content of the 105.9 Yes My
14	Radio post bear some similarities to
15	the content of the third WeChat group
16	message reported by Glacier Media,
17	and they are likely one in the
18	same,"
19	It then mentions a series of articles that
20	were circulating in Chinese-language media in Canada on 105.9
21	Yes My Radio, then another news site, another Chinese news
22	site, Global Chinese Convergence Media CGC TV. Are you aware
23	of CGC TV?
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, never heard of it.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And the last
26	one was the Today Commercial News, and you mentioned that
27	that you saw at the time.
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And so you
2	weren't aware of any of these reportings reports at the
3	time?
4	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
5	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It goes on to page 9,
6	that's page and it mentions at page 9 if you go down
7	there just a bit that there's also, "a WeChat account
8	called "CouponKing54.ca $[sic]$," which had 60,000 subscribers,
9	that:
10	"shared an anonymous article
11	titled 'Why should Chinese oppose
12	Conservative MP Kenny Chiu'?"
13	And it mentions that it contains:
14	"The content of the article is nearly
15	identical to the one published by
16	Today Commercial News,"
17	I understand that CouponKing51.ca that's
18	something that you saw; is that correct?
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: I'm not aware of their
20	existence.
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. It's not a site
22	that you visit?
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: No.
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. I understand
25	you've had a chance to review this report today?
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Is there anything in
28	the report that is news to you, besides these last mentions

1	of the media reporting around that time?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: There's an observation that
3	I would like to draw your attention to.
4	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: In the Today Commercial
6	News, the official publication of that attack piece, you'll
7	notice that they have a link to the private member bill C-
8	282.
9	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Right.
10	MR. KENNY CHIU: But there was a typo. There
11	was a missing dot, "ww." and the dot was missing.
12	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: So it was a broken
13	link.
14	MR. KENNY CHIU: It's broken link, so anybody
15	who's interested to find the truth, they would not find that.
16	Secondly, if you go back to some of the
17	screenshots that I provided you, similar lines of attack were
18	used with that same broken link that was embedded. Maybe
19	it's just a coincidence, but it's unlikely, from my
20	perspective.
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: I'm aware of the time.
22	I'd just like to cover two more grounds, maybe one-and-a-
23	half. You also mentioned a meeting with seniors in your
24	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: electoral district
26	in an attempt to counter the narrative of the false
27	allegations being spread against you on social media. Can we
28	speak to that?

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Seniors have a very special
2	position in a family hierarchy.
3	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.
4	MR. KENNY CHIU: Many Chinese family would
5	listen to and respect their opinion. And so we figured with
6	all these disinformation in around September $3^{\rm rd}$, we decided
7	that we when I say "we" this time is MP former MP Alice
8	Wong and I, she's the candidate for Richmond Centre and I was
9	the Conservative candidate for Steveson-Richmond East. We
10	_
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Mr. Chiu, can you just
12	speak a bit
13	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Oh.
14	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: more slowly
15	MR. KENNY CHIU: Oh.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Someone reminded me.
17	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Sorry, it was
18	probably me
19	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Probably you.
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Oh, pardon me. Sorry. So
21	the two of us have organized a meeting to meet up with these
22	seniors. They were very concerned. And in that two, three
23	hours meeting, they open up their heart. They express how
24	worried they is they are if Canada started persecuting
25	Chinese. And I totally agree. We I even shed some tears
26	with them because I identified a kind of fear that they are
27	subjected to. In the end, they were all testified, and they
28	all left relatively happy.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And what happened
2	subsequent to that meeting?
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: Pictures started to
4	circulate to myself that this same group of seniors have
5	shown up at my opponent's campaign office and take picture
6	with him, and also started campaigning for him.
7	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And that was how long
8	after you noticed that you had this meeting with the seniors?
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: One or two days.
10	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: We've seen the social
11	media posts throughout the government productions and the
12	documents that are available to the parties and will be
13	entered into evidence as well. There's the social media
14	post, the media articles that take issues with you as a
15	candidate and your bill and your party. What do you respond
16	to people that claim that, sure, there may have been some
17	false and spurious claims about you and your bill, but wasn't
18	it just legitimate debate among Chinese Canadians?
19	MR. KENNY CHIU: When I am not aware of that
20	disinformation, when I am not given a chance to dispute, when
21	there is no counterinformation being provided, when no offer
22	is for the audience to actually look at the bill themselves,
23	the link, it is not a debate at all. It's just one-sided
24	propaganda.
25	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And, obviously, wisdom
26	and great ideas come easy after a storm, but in light of the
27	information you have today and the information that you see
28	that was available to the government agencies at the time, is

there anything that you would have done or could have done 1 differently knowing -- could have done differently? 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: I may not have run for 3 office because as an immigrant, it's already very challenging 4 to build a life here in Canada. To go into public service, 5 6 it's even more challenging. I -- you know, when I became a school board trustee, I intentionally severed my ties with my 7 relatives in China, with the understanding that I will put 8 them in danger. And, you know, in 2021, unfortunately, it 9 seems like my worry has come true. But then I thought I 10 would be protected by my country, and I was deeply troubled, 11 disappointed that I was exposed, and the government doesn't 12 13 seem to care. And now that through the Commission I've 14 learned that they've known all about it, it's almost like I was drowning, and they are watching, and the best they could 15 do, by the way, is to let me know that I'm drowning. I don't 16 need their notification. I need their help. And so that's 17 the overall disappointment mixing with a emotion of anger 18 19 that I have. And, yes, I do not believe the way the Chinese Communist Party treating people in Hong Kong or even just 20 21 general Chinese, let alone Uyghur Muslims are right and 22 justifiable. But I -- by and large, I have focussed on how I 23 can propose, my party can propose a view, a way of how Canada 24 can be governed better. And for that, I've been betrayed. That's how I see it. 25 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Just a final 26 topic that I'd like to cover before ---27 28 MR. KENNY CHIU: Just ---

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: giving you the
2	last word.
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: just one more
4	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Sure.
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: one more minor point.
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Yeah.
7	MR, KENNY CHIU: And as a visible minority,
8	as a racialized Chinese Canadians, for somebody who would
9	voice up to for the benefit of Canada in the House of
10	Commons when I heard hurtful remarks, not just from any MP,
11	but from the Prime Minister of Canada when we asked about the
12	Wuhan rioters, when we asked why are we not shutting down
13	flights from Wuhan in early 2020, because of our constituents
14	who are from China asking us why are we exposing Canada to
15	that, so we asked that question in House of Commons, the
16	answer has always been, you know, mindful of racism, you
17	know, don't be an anti-Asian. To me, as an Asian Canadian,
18	it's very insulting, and for that to come from the top leader
19	of our country, it's doubling insult with injury doubling
20	injury with insults.
21	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And you
22	mentioned I think it was mentioned in your witness
23	statement that that's without attributing any intent, that
24	that sort of played into the CCP playbook.
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. That's what I said,
26	and I still believe in it. There is some coincidental
27	situations between the two.
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: M'hm.

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: The Prime Minister said, you
2	know, don't be anti-Asian. If you inquire about what
3	happened in, you know, Wuhan, what happened in Winnipeg
4	Microbiology Lab, don't be anti, you know, Chinese. I don't
5	know if the Chinese Communist government had learned of this
6	line of attack and copied it, or maybe it's the Prime
7	Minister who actually and the Liberal Party had actually
8	copied that attack, but the matter of fact is, this anti-
9	Asian, you know, Chinese hate and racism line has been
10	continuous and used in collab by the CCP in my riding against
11	me, but also as you have provided me with information, even
12	in Toronto against myself, against my party, against Erin
13	O'Toole.
14	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: And I understand that
15	you reported the you reported these this campaign,
16	these false allegations circulating on in media and social
17	media. Did you report it to any so you reported that to
18	the party central. Did you report it to I understand you
19	also reported it to CSIS at the time?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: I have instructed my staff
21	to provide the information to party central, the Conservative
22	party central, but, yes, because before the election, CSIS
23	had come to me and warned me about, you know, situations that
24	I am not at the liberty to disclose. But at the same time,
25	when during the election when I start seeing these this
26	information, I remember the contact that I have at CSIS, so I
27	pick up the phone and asked to meet him.
28	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. And what time -

- when was that about? Just ---1 MR. KENNY CHIU: It would be the -- you know, 2 maybe first -- after first week. It will be in second week 3 of September. 4 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. So it was 5 6 during the campaign. MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, it was during the writ 7 8 period for sure. MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay. Mr. Chiu, my 9 time is up, but I promised you a last word, so if you have 10 anything that we did not cover today that you'd like to 11 mention, I'd like to give you that opportunity. 12 13 MR. KENNY CHIU: Well, thank you. Thank you. 14 Madam Commissioner, I thank you for giving me the opportunity and also, a few days ago, we have heard from 15 diaspora communities the deep hurt and danger situations that 16 we have put in many Canadians in Canada by inaction. And I 17 implore you to look, you know, deep into the situations and 18 19 consider suggestions and ways that would protect these communities. 20 21 Like Mr. O'Toole had testified in front of you, there are many communities around Canada that are in 22 23 relative isolation and we must, as a great country, endeavour 24 to reach out to them. The current situation, the current 25 system is not working. As I said, as a drowning person, I don't need 26 to be notified that I am drowning even if it meets the 27 28 threshold. What we need, perhaps, as a country is a

1	mechanism that would clear the air using Government of Canada
2	brand, you know, for these diaspora community. That's what I
3	would table as a suggestion to you, Your Honour, and I would
4	appreciate if you can consider that.
5	Thank you.
6	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you very much.
8	We'll break for lunch. We'll come back at
9	2:40.
10	THE REGISTRAR: Order please.
11	The hearing is in recess until 2:40.
12	Upon recessing at 1:29 p.m./
13	Upon resuming at 2:44 p.m./
14	THE REGISTRAR: Order please.
15	This sitting of the Foreign Interference
16	Commission is back in session.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So we'll start with the
18	cross-examination.
19	The first one, you're already there, so
20	acting for the Conservative Party, Me De Luca.
21	MR. KENNY CHIU, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NANDO de LUCA:
23	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you,
24	Commissioner.
25	Good afternoon, Mr. Chiu.
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: Good afternoon.
27	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Mr. Chiu, towards the
28	end of your testimony this morning in reflecting upon your

1	experiences of foreign interference in connection with the
2	2021 federal election, you expressed the sentiment that
3	particularly as an immigrant Canadian, you felt betrayed. Do
4	you recall that?
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
6	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Betrayed by whom?
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: By those who are in power of
8	this country representing the best interests of the country.
9	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can you be more
10	specific?
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: In my view, not wanting to
12	be over partisan, it's the Prime Minister. The buck stops
13	there. He let me down.
14	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Can I please get you
15	CANDOC 000024 called up, please?
16	Do you have that before you, Mr. Chiu?
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. Yes.
18	MR. NANDO de LUCA: We heard earlier that
19	this is a summary prepared by the Government of Canada of a
20	briefing that CSIS gave to you on September 18, 2023
21	regarding foreign interference in the 2021 General Election;
22	correct?
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
24	MR. NANDO de LUCA: And that election took
25	place on September 20, 2021; correct?
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
27	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Did you get an
28	understanding on September 18, 2023 why CSIS was only giving

1	you a briefing then about foreign interference that took
2	place for an election two years earlier?
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: It wasn't explained to me,
4	no.
5	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Mr. Chiu, in connection
6	with the 2019 and 2021 General Election, can you please
7	comment on the usefulness or lack of usefulness of CSIS, the
8	RCMP and any other Canadian security apparatus efforts to
9	track and combat foreign interference?
10	MR. KENNY CHIU: In 2019, perhaps, it was
11	still at the time of being established and also running
12	through teething problems, et cetera, et cetera, but I
13	participated in the 43rd election, 2019 election, without
14	much anticipation of mechanisms that would counter foreign
15	interference. And then throughout my two years at the House
16	of Commons, I've learned about these what I've termed the
17	alphabet soup: GAC, that's Global Affairs Canada; CSIS of
18	course everybody knows; the SIWTE Taskforce; Security and
19	Intelligence Threats to Elections Taskforce; and then so on
20	and so forth. There's RM, P5, NSICOP, CSE, CCE, EFCC, and
21	then CIEPP. All these.
22	So the impression I have is it's a well-
23	resourced net of protective mechanisms. And so I gradually
24	developed what is now to me, it's a false sense of security.
25	And that was that's why I was deeply disappointed after
26	the 2021 election.
27	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. When you say false
28	sense of security, did you have higher expectations for all

1	of those groups or bodies?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have expectations.
3	Perhaps it's undue. Perhaps it's, you know, unrealistic
4	expectations perhaps. But, you know, I've collected 15
5	acronyms that are involved with elections security and I
6	don't think it's expecting too much to get a call from one of
7	these organizations and offer their advice how to counter
8	interference such as the ones that I've encountered.
9	MR. NANDO de LUCA: So back to my question,
10	would you am I correct in assuming that you would
11	characterise the utility or usefulness of all of these
12	organizations in relation to foreign election interference,
13	especially for the 2021 election, as not being terribly
14	useful?
15	MR. KENNY CHIU: As of this moment, I would
16	have to agree with that.
17	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay.
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: After the election, in fact
19	the CSIS briefing that you cited in 2023, September, was the
20	very first one that any government agency had approached me
21	and contacted me. I was hoping that Special Rapporteur Mr.
22	Johnston would actually have been in touch with me, but he
23	did not.
24	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Mr. Chiu, based on your
25	experiences with the elections that you participated in,
26	2015, 2019, and 2021, the federal campaigns, can you please
27	tell the Commission how Chinese Canadians can be influenced

Canadian election process? 1 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: Once the immigrants who have settled in big cities, like Greater Toronto or Greater 3 Vancouver, they have a choice of relying more on ethnic media 4 from -- for their updates, and their news, and their 5 viewpoints. And that exactly is why for over 15 years I've 6 been involved with Chinese news media, because I want to 7 develop or provide different angles for our listeners, our 8 audience, our citizens to consider in terms of Canadian 9 politics. 10 And so imagine if for years they developed a 11 reliance and dependency on media sources that are somehow 12 13 somewhat controlled or influenced by a foreign power, willingly or unwillingly. You pretty much will have control 14 of how these people get their source of information, how they 15 perceive an issue. 16 In a previous hearing, I believe how Ukraine 17 -- the war -- the invasion of Russia into Ukraine, that could 18 19 have been portrayed, in fact indeed it has, in some of the Chinese media to align more with the Chinese Communist 20 21 Party's positions than the Canadian general public ones. 22 MR. NANDO de LUCA: Okay. And from your answer, it sounds like there's at least a potential for 23 24 influence or control by the PRC, how prevalent a problem or pervasive a problem do you think it is with the current 25 sources? 26 MR. KENNY CHIU: It's definitely a 27 28 vulnerability. It's an exposure. All we have power to look

1	at is what happens in Canada. Who is to say, for example, if
2	somehow they do business in mainland China, and all of a
3	sudden, certain owners of a radio station in Canada, the
4	relative gets a huge contract. What happens overseas, we
5	have no jurisdictions. We have no information about. And
6	that is the exposure, that is the vulnerability of ownership
7	and directing and influencing the media positioning and also
8	as well as the direction that they are going.
9	MR. NANDO de LUCA: Thank you. Those are my
10	questions.
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
13	Counsel for Michael Chong?
14	MR. GIB van ERT: Thank you, Commissioner.
15	No questions.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No questions?
17	Counsel for the Human Rights Coalition?
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HANNAH TAYLOR:
19	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good morning, Mr. Chiu.
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Good morning. Good
21	afternoon.
22	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Oh, good afternoon.
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good afternoon, Mr. Chiu.
25	So you mentioned this morning that now that you've learned
26	that intelligence agencies knew what was happening to you and
27	what you were experiencing, it's as if you were drowning
28	while they watched and the best they could do is to let you

1	know you were drowning. And you can let me know if I've
2	misquoted you. You noted that you did not need their
3	notification, you needed their help.
4	What support and protections did you need as
5	you were drowning?
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: As the Prime Minister was
7	giving me all the alphabets, through my mind, I was replaying
8	September 20^{th} during the election, or at the end of the
9	election, or during the election, what would I do if I were
10	told officially by SITE Taskforce, or through the
11	Conservatives, whatever, that my riding has been under
12	foreign interference? I would have no answer to that because
13	we started detecting irregularities starting, like, early
14	September ourselves. And we have taken steps to protect
15	ourselves. We have taken steps to clear the air, but to no
16	effect that we found all the work that we've done.
17	So one big question I have in mind would be
18	instead of just notifying me, that's why I was suggesting to
19	Madam Commissioner that if we could develop an effective way
20	of communicating to the diaspora communities that are the
21	target of foreign powers exploitation, I think that would
22	help tremendously. And that's what I came up with when I
23	sort of go back in time and think about.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
25	Chiu.
26	With your leave, Commissioner, I hope to put
27	a document to Mr. Chiu today. We didn't include it in our
28	cross-examination request, but at the evening of April 1st,

1	we let Commission counsel know that we intended to ask for
2	your leave to put the document to the witness. It's the
3	interview summary of Benjamin Fung. It's at WIT 5.EN. If
4	granted leave, I would ask that it be entered as an exhibit.
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Can you put the document
6	on the screen?
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: For the Court Reporter,
8	it's or Court Operator, it's WIT 5.EN.
9	EXHIBIT No. WIT 5 EN:
10	Interview Summary: Benjamin Fung
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It's fine. You can ask
12	your question.
13	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you, Commissioner.
14	And Mr. Chiu, my focus with this document
15	will just be
16	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Excuse me, Madam
17	Commissioner. We didn't advise excuse me for
18	interrupting. We didn't advise the parties of the document
19	that's being put to the witness, so maybe if we just give the
20	parties five or 10 minutes to review the document? It's the
21	witness summary of Benjamin Fung, which is WIT 5.
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Surely. But what I
23	suggest is we'll just wait for the question,
24	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Okay.
25	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: and then we'll break
26	to let everyone take a look at the document.
27	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Sure.
28	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Do you have more than

1	one question
2	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: For my
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: from this document,
4	or?
5	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: It would be a couple
6	questions. I would the easiest might be to, like, go
7	through well, I can make it one, if it's easiest, I can
8	make it one.
9	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay.
10	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Yeah, okay. Sure, I'll
11	make it one.
12	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Is it going to be along
13	one?
14	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: No, no.
15	So Mr. Chiu, again, the question that I'll
16	have for you having to do with the summary, really what I'll
17	do is I'm just going to point to a couple of the social media
18	platforms Mr. Fung mentions in this summary, and then ask you
19	questions about those.
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Okay.
21	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: So if it's easiest, I can
22	just point them out and then we can take a break, perhaps?
23	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes.
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: It's up to you, of
25	course.
26	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes.
27	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
28	So Mr. Fung's interview summary notes that

1	beginning in the September 2021, this information about you
2	and criticism of the Conservative party began being shared on
3	several social media platforms, including WeChat, which we
4	see at paragraph 6, if we could just scroll down. And then
5	you'll notice WeChat's mentioned in there, and then also
6	WhatsApp, which we see at paragraph 7 on the next page.
7	And you see that, Mr. Chiu?
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
9	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And so from your
10	perspective, are these primary social media platforms and
11	messaging apps for Chinese-Canadians, including those who
12	were your constituents?
13	MR. KENNY CHIU: Am I to answer right now,
14	or?
15	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No. I think, then,
16	we'll break.
17	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
18	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Because everyone will
19	review the document, or at least the paragraphs that are
20	useful. So I don't think is the document very long,
21	or? No? So we'll take just five minutes.
22	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay, thank you.
23	THE REGISTRAR: Order, please
24	This hearing is now in recess for five
25	minutes.
26	Upon recessing at 2:58 p.m./
27	Upon resuming at 3:03 p.m./
28	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing of the

1	Commission on Foreign Interference is back in session. Cette
2	séance de la Commission sur l'Ingérence Étrangère a repris.
3	MR. KENNY CHIU, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
4	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: May I ask you just to
5	warn the witness that the document has not been filed yet?
6	The document that you're using, the summary, is not part of
7	the evidence yet.
8	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Yeah, yes.
9	Mister
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Just for the witness to
11	know.
12	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Yeah.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
14	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR, (Cont'd/Suite):
15	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Mr. Chui, the document is
16	not part of the evidence yet, as the person whose witness
17	statement it is isn't
18	MR. KENNY CHIU: Thank you. Appreciate that.
19	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: So going back to my
20	actual question, we were looking at WeChat and WhatsApp.
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
22	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: From your perspective,
23	are these primary social media platforms and messaging apps
24	for Chinese-Canadians, including those who are your
25	constituents?
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
27	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: But it's not an exclusive

1	list because in China technology is developing very rapidly.
2	Every couple of years you will start seeing new apps. For
3	example, one of them, it's Xiaohongshu, and this is becoming
4	more popular, even in Taiwan, and it's now coming to other
5	to the rest of the world, such as Canada.
6	And so, yes, TikTok, Douyin, WeChat, QQ, but
7	also the up and coming ones.
8	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Chiu.
9	And you mentioned QQ. Mr. Fung's interview
10	summary, and perhaps in the interest of time I won't bring
11	you to the paragraphs, but he also mentions that
12	disinformation about you and/or criticism about the
13	Conservative Party and Erin O'Toole was published by Chinese
14	language media outlets and news websites like Today
15	Commercial News, QQ, Chinesecanadianvoice.ca, the Global
16	Times, and Rise Magazine.
17	From your perspective, are these primary news
18	sources for Chinese-Canadians, including those who are your
19	constituents?
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
21	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And from your
22	perspective, was the spread of disinformation about you and
23	criticism of the Conservative Party through these particular
24	social media platforms and news outlets, as well as what you
25	have described as your effective shunning from ethnic news
26	media in the Greater Vancouver Area, in particular,
27	significant?
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes. Although the list of

1	media is not exclusive, so there are other medias that are
2	engaging more into self-censorship, for example, that also
3	play into the whole game as well.
4	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And these are Chinese
5	language
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: outlets?
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct.
9	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
10	If we could turn to scroll down to
11	paragraph 10 in the witness summary?
12	At paragraph 10, Mr. Fung says:
13	"Reproducing the same text nearly
14	verbatim is very typical of CCP
15	propaganda. A small deviation from a
16	source text might prompt
17	repercussions against the author if
18	it's thought to convey the wrong
19	meaning. As a result, authors will
20	often hesitate to make even small
21	changes and will hew closely to the
22	original text." (As read)
23	In your review of the disinformation shared
24	about you, did you notice a pattern in similar messaging,
25	almost verbatim texts among a number of indirect
26	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
27	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Yes?
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, I have. And they share

1	similar attack arguments, and also even the insults are
2	identical.
3	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you.
4	Based on your understanding of the Chinese
5	government's treatment of those who oppose its actions, would
6	you agree that your public stance on China issues and your
7	support, or perceived support of Hong Kongers and Uyghurs,
8	was likely one of the reasons that you became a target of the
9	Chinese government?
10	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
11	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Those are all my
12	questions.
13	Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you, Mr.
14	Chiu.
15	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
16	Attorney General.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS:
18	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Good afternoon, sir.
19	My name is Gregory Tzemenakis; I'm counsel for the Attorney
20	General.
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: Good afternoon.
22	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: I have four quick
23	areas I would like to touch upon to just clarify the
24	evidence. I'm going to ask the Court Reporter to pull up
25	your witness statement, which is 000014.
26	In your evidence this morning, sir, you said
27	and please correct me if I'm wrong at any point that
28	foreign interference activities was a factor in the loss of

1	in your riding in general election 2021; correct?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: Correct. More specifically,
3	foreign interference from China from the PRC.
4	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And did I also
5	understand you to say that foreign interference was not the
6	only factor that
7	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct.
8	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: might have
9	contributed to the loss?
10	MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. Just like
11	any other elections, there are multiple actors at play.
12	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you.
13	I'm going to ask if we can turn to paragraph
14	41 of your witness summary, and I just want to clarify, sir,
15	that in addition to the evidence that you gave this morning,
16	does this paragraph is this paragraph still consistent
17	with your view? And the paragraph reads I'm not going to
18	read the whole paragraph or I will for the record:
19	"Although foreign interference by
20	China was a significant factor in his
21	election loss, Mr. Chiu acknowledges
22	it was not the only factor. Other
23	factors likely contributed to the
24	outcome. The election took place in
25	a very politically polarized climate
26	after"
27	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Excuse me.
28	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It's just sorry,
2	
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: You're going too fast.
4	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Yes.
5	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay.
6	I'm not going to read it, sir. Can you just
7	confirm for us that the context of this paragraph still
8	remains true, given your evidence this morning?
9	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
10	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Now, sir, I want to
11	talk a little bit on another topic about information flow,
12	and I heard you say this morning that some of the concerns
13	about misinformation you raised with the national campaign,
14	so with the campaign of the Conservative Party of Canada that
15	was managing the national campaign. Is that right?
16	MR. KENNY CHIU: The Conservative Party of
17	Canada has provided a lot of leeway for local campaigns to
18	conduct itself. But we do have maintained a conduit to
19	provide information to communicate with the central campaign.
20	So my staff, for example, my campaign manager, he has
21	participated in that mechanism; he's the point man.
22	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay. Did you
23	understand do you know if the campaign asked any of the
24	concerns that your staff expressed to the SITE Task Force?
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: Not directly for sure.
26	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Do you expect
27	MR. KENNY CHIU: However, we I have
28	instructed my staff to talk to the central campaign about our

situation that we're facing. 1 2 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay, I get that part. I'm just asking if you understood -- did the campaign 3 ever tell you that they passed your concerns along ---4 MR. KENNY CHIU: Oh. 5 6 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: --- to the SITE Task 7 Force? MR. KENNY CHIU: The Conservative 8 headquarters, whether they have? 9 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Yes. 10 MR. KENNY CHIU: No, I don't think I have 11 heard from the central campaign whether they have or not. 12 13 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you. 14 I want to talk a little bit about -- and appreciating that I do not want you to get into any 15 classified information whatsoever, am I correct that you met 16 with the Canadian Security Intelligence Service for a 17 security awareness briefing prior to GE 2021? 18 19 MR. KENNY CHIU: That is correct. MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And did you also 20 meet with them during the writ period to discuss other 21 22 matters? 23 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes, per my request. 24 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Per your request. MR. KENNY CHIU: I called them and I have 25 them come to my campaign office to talk to them. 26 MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: Did you have any other 27 28 meetings with the service during the writ period, other than

that one occasion? 1 2 MR. KENNY CHIU: No. I feel like I need to clarify ---3 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Please. 4 MR. KENNY CHIU: --- that the meeting that 5 CSIS contacted me while I was still an MP, prior to the 6 election, of course. They would not explain to me why they 7 want to talk to me. They sat down, they only asked me 8 questions and I provided answer, and that was it. 9 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay. 10 I'm not going to get into the specifics of it 11 12 13 MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah, yeah. 14 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: --- but I don't want you to lie. 15 MR. KENNY CHIU: I would not be able to 16 17 answer you. MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: That's fine. 18 19 My last or second-last topic is we were talking a little bit about the misinformation campaign on 20 21 WeChat and other Chinese language media. Do you recall that 22 discussion? 23 And my friend just took you to excerpts from 24 the testimony and identified certain media organizations and social media platforms. 25 MR. KENNY CHIU: SITE Task Force, yeah. 26 27 MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: No, no, the platform 28 where there was misinformation.

1	MR. KENNY CHIU: Okay.
2	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: As observed by our end.
4	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: As observed by your
5	campaign.
6	MR. KENNY CHIU: By my campaign, yes. Yes.
7	The screenshots.
8	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And I understood you
9	to say that you could not well, let me ask a different
10	question.
11	As a candidate, do you agree that voters may
12	not understand a Party's position or a Party's platform and
13	that efforts need to be made to explain and clarify positions
14	and platforms?
15	MR. KENNY CHIU: I think so, yeah. It would
16	be it would be the job of a candidate, for example, to
17	articulate that. Sure.
18	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And it's I'm
19	going to suggest to you, and I think it's not contested, that
20	it's not only the job of the candidate, it's the job of the
21	candidate, it's the job of the Party, it's the job of the
22	national and local candidates. Is that fair?
23	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah, I think so. Just like
24	the Government of Canada is responsible for clarifying
25	untruth about legislative matter that in the House of
26	Commons, for example
27	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Right.
28	MR. KENNY CHIU: Bill C288.

1	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And isn't it also
2	true that people and individuals can express opinions that
3	you may not agree with or that others may not agree with, but
4	that's part of the electoral process?
5	MR. KENNY CHIU: Oh, definitely. Sure.
6	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And it's part of the
7	democratic process.
8	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
9	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: You just have to say
10	"yes" or "no" for the record.
11	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yes.
12	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Yes.
13	And my question to you is, you become aware
14	of these communications that are taking place on WeChat and I
15	heard you say you didn't have an ability to engage in the
16	debate because you weren't a user. Is that fair?
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: That's right. I don't use
18	WeChat.
19	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: So how did you
20	become aware and could those who made you aware have
21	MR. KENNY CHIU: Through my volunteers and my
22	supporters. They used WeChat and they have seen all these
23	disinformation being circulated.
24	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: And could your
25	volunteers and we'll talk about volunteers in particular.
26	Could the volunteers on your campaign have
27	engaged or have put forward your correct position or
28	clarified your position or explained your position on these

1	platforms?
2	MR. KENNY CHIU: I believe you are searching
3	a rational discussion in the heat of a very emotionally-
4	charged disinformation campaign. That would be nearly
5	impossible. The very fact that the group of seniors who came
6	to me came to us, myself and MP former MP Alice Wong,
7	we explained to them we thought we have, quote unquote,
8	disarmed them and we clearly articulated what exactly my
9	proposal, Bill C282, it's supposed to do. That did not help.
10	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: My last question is
11	this. You made a very conscious effort to do that with the
12	seniors in a very emotional meeting, but no similar effort
13	was made on the WeChat platforms. Am I right on if I have
14	it wrong, please tell me I'm wrong.
15	MR. KENNY CHIU: No, that you are correct.
16	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Okay.
17	MR. KENNY CHIU: You are correct.
18	MR. GREGORY TZEMENAKIS: Thank you very much,
19	sir.
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Yeah.
21	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
22	Re-examination, Mr. Ferguson?
23	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: If you'd just allow me
24	a moment, Madam Commissioner.
25	MR. KENNY CHIU: Madam Commissioner, I was
26	wondering if I could add just one sentence to that very last
27	answer.
28	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Yes, you can.

1	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: It may save the re-
2	examination.
3	MR. KENNY CHIU: The Member of Parliament,
4	the House of Commons has prohibited us from using WeChat when
5	I was a Member of Parliament because of security concern. It
6	was just weeks ago I was an MP and then during the election,
7	I'm not. And knowing the danger that I'm submitting myself
8	to, we have made a conscious decision not to get a WeChat
9	account nor engage with people on WeChat.
10	Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
12	MR. MATTHEW FERGUSON: No further questions.
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: I think we just need
15	sorry, Madam Commissioner. It's we just need five
16	minutes to switch to the next witness.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: To switch to the next
18	witness?
19	Thank you very much, Mr. Chiu, and good luck.
20	MR. KENNY CHIU: Thank you.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Order please.
22	The hearing is in recess until five minutes.
23	Upon recessing at 3:16 p.m./
24	Upon resuming at 3:21 p.m./
25	THE REGISTRAR: Order please.
26	This sitting of the Foreign Interference
27	Commission is back in session.
28	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Good afternoon,

1	Ms. Kwan.
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: Good afternoon.
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: So Me Rodriguez, it's
4	your turn.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much.
6	If we can have the witness affirmed, please.
7	THE REGISTRAR: Could you please state your
8	name for the record, and spell your last name, please.
9	HON. JENNY KWAN: Jenny Kwan, K-W-A-N.
10	HON. JENNY KWAN, Affirmed:
11	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ:
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon,
13	Ms. Kwan.
14	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: I just want to make sure
15	that
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: it's fine if they
18	are not
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Oh.
20	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: present
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: I did not
22	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: your colleagues?
23	It's okay? I see that your two friends
24	MR. ADDISON LEIGH: Yes, I think they're just
25	on their way back, Madam Commissioner.
26	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Pardon me?
27	MR. ADDISON LEIGH: I think they're just on
28	their way back, Madam Commissioner. I can go take a look.

COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay, but I think we'll 1 2 wait just to make sure that ---MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 3 COMMISSIONER HOGUE: --- they are with us 4 before we start. Sorry. Is there anybody else missing or 5 6 it's fine? Because I see many empty seats. It's okay? I haven't noticed if all the seats were -- no, okay. There 7 8 is.... 9 Okay. So you can go on. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 12 Good afternoon, Ms. Kwan. Good to see you again. As you know, my name is Natalia Rodriguez. I'm 13 14 Commission Counsel. On February 15, 2024, you had an interview 15 with Commission Counsel; is that right? 16 HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct. 17 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And an interview 18 19 summary was generated from that interview. HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. 20 21 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I'm now going to call up WIT 12.EN. 22 --- EXHIBIT No. WIT 12.EN: 23 24 Interview Summary: Jenny Kwan MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And Ms. Kwan, is this 25 the interview summary that was generated from your interview 26 with Commission Counsel? 27 28 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it is.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you've had a
2	chance to review that summary for accuracy?
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you have any
5	corrections, additions, or deletions to make to that summary
6	at this time?
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: I do not.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you adopt the
9	contents of this summary as part of your evidence before the
10	Commission today?
11	HON. JENNY KWAN: I do.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Great. So we'll have
13	that entered as the next exhibit. And for the record, the
14	French translation is at WIT 12.FR.
15	EXHIBIT No. WIT 12.FR:
16	Résumé d'entrevue : Jenny Kwan
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And also for the
18	record, you have a copy of your witness summary, of your
19	interview summary, rather, with you today as you give
20	testimony. Is that right?
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct. I have a
22	copy here.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And that's just as an
24	memory aid?
25	HON. JENNY KWAN: Just in case if I need to
26	refer to it.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.

Parliament for the NDP for the riding of Vancouver East. Is 1 2 that correct? HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. 3 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were first 4 elected to parliament in 2015. Is that correct? 5 6 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were 7 re-elected in 2019. 8 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. 9 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were elected 10 again in 2021? 11 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. 12 13 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were 14 previously member of the British Columbia Legislative Assembly from 1996 until 2015 when you ran for your current 15 position. Is that right? 16 HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right. I was the 17 member of the Legislative Assembly for Vancouver Mount 18 19 Pleasant. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And that was with the 20 21 provincial NDP. 22 HON. JENNY KWAN: That is right. That's 23 correct. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And from 1998 until 24 2001, you were a provincial member of cabinet. Is that 25 right? 26 27 HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct. 28 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand you

1	entered politics in 1993 when you were elected to the
2	Vancouver City Council.
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you immigrated to
5	Canada with your family from Hong Kong at the age of 9. Is
6	that right?
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand that
9	before politics you were a community legal advocate working
10	primarily in Vancouver's Downtown East side.
11	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now, you received a
13	briefing by CSIS concerning foreign interference in May of
14	2023. Is that right?
15	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. In fact, I
16	got two briefings if you will. One was just prior to the
17	2021 election. It was kind of like a general briefing. And
18	then in May of 2023, I received a more specific briefing from
19	CSIS.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so I'll
21	take you now to CAN.DOC.23. Now, it's a document entitled
22	Commission Request for Summary Information On Briefing To
23	Jenny Kwan.
24	EXHIBIT No. CAN.DOC 23:
25	Commission request for summary
26	information on briefing to Jenny Kwan
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: At the request of the
28	Commission, the government has produced a summary of the

1	briefing that you received in May of 2023 in a format that is
2	suitable for public disclosure. Now, you may be asked
3	questions about this summary today. In accordance with the
4	Commission's obligations to prevent the disclosure of
5	information protected by national security confidentiality, I
6	will not be asking you any questions intended to elicit any
7	information that remains classified.
8	Now, I understand you may not be able to
9	answer aspects of my question as a result, so simply you can
10	indicate, where you cannot provide some information in the
11	answer, you can just say that you cannot answer for this
12	reason, and I will move on to something else.
13	And you've had a chance, I understand, to
14	review this summary. Is that right?
15	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you've agreed
17	that the summary could be made public and your name attached
18	to it. Is that right?
19	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And now, speaking
21	in general terms, without getting into specifics, does the
22	summary generally accord with your recollection of the type
23	of information that you were provided with in that briefing?
24	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And again, without
26	getting into the details of the content of the briefing or
27	any specifics, did you find that briefing, and again we're
28	speaking about the May 2023 briefing, did you find it to be

1	helpful?
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was helpful, and
3	particularly, I thought it was important for me to know and
4	to be informed that I am a target by the CCP, and that I
5	would likely be a what was termed as a "evergreen target".
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And did
7	the briefing provide you with any tools that you could
8	implement to better protect yourself against foreign
9	interference or attempts of foreign interference?
10	HON. JENNY KWAN: The briefing explained to
11	me the structure of what foreign interference would look like
12	coming from China and how the United Front work department
13	would be set up, and how possibly that work would be
14	undertaken. There were no I'm not at liberty to disclose
15	details around some of that work. So from that perspective
16	it was good to know.
17	The other piece that would be really good to
18	know, of course, is just to know that you're being a target.
19	It brings to the forefront, I think, the reality of what is
20	and potentially could be out there. And so from that
21	perspective I thought it was useful and helpful.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Now, you
23	would agree that you follow events in Hong Kong and Mainland
24	China fairly closely?
25	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And why is that?
27	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, Hong Kong is my
28	birthplace, so I have obviously a special connection to it,

1	and my ancestors, they come from China. My parents were born
2	in China, my grandparents were born in China, and so there is
3	that connection from that perspective. So with news that
4	emerged in the international community is something that I do
5	follow and to watch and see what is going on.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you have
7	family ties currently to Hong Kong?
8	HON. JENNY KWAN: I do not, and especially in
9	light of the fact to know that I am being targeted, and I'm
10	particularly grateful for that, I don't have to worry about
11	family members or relatives that are there, unlike some of
12	the other people who might as a result of being targeted.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Is that because you
14	don't have family in Hong Kong or Mainland China or is it
15	because you don't have you haven't maintained any
16	connection with them?
17	HON. JENNY KWAN: It's because we don't have
18	any family members that are left in Hong Kong or China. We -
19	- when we immigrated to Canada, my family unit, we were the
20	last group to leave Hong Kong, and so consequently we don't
21	have any close relatives. We may have some loose relatives,
22	if you will, or distant relatives in China that I don't
23	really know.
24	Some years ago, when I travelled back to
25	China on my own, as somebody who was trying to find my roots,
26	I met people there living in my mom's house or my mom's
27	family's home that I have no idea who they are, but they're
28	all there in that village living in the home, taking care of

1	the home, but they are not I wouldn't classify it as
2	family members.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay, thank you. And
4	some what are some of the issues related to Hong Kong and
5	the PRC that you have spoken up about and been vocal on.
6	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, on the issue around
7	Hong Kong and, of course, the national security law is a
8	critical issue for the people of Hong Kong. I watch Hong
9	Kong very closely, and one of the reasons why my family
10	immigrated to Canada was because of the handover. My family
11	were particularly worried about what is the aftermath of
12	that. And, of course, in addition to that, they wanted to
13	give opportunities to the children. There are six of us,
14	together a family of eight, and my mom only has a Grade six
15	level of education. My dad has a Grade 10 level of
16	education, and they wanted their children to have
17	opportunities. So we immigrated here, and I'm watching very
18	carefully in terms of what's developing.
19	So with the national security law and what
20	was clear to me is that the policy on one country, two system
21	has been completely dismantled. I also watch on the news the
22	devastating police brutality against the protesters and the
23	peaceful protesters. So many of them are young people. So
24	that's something that is close and near and dear to my heart.
25	And, of course, prior to that, I was also
26	made aware, I wasn't involved in the electoral system at that
27	time, but, of course, was made aware and watch on the news
28	like many people the Tiananmen massacre, and that whole news

1	was just and again, another devastating piece of news
2	that's surfacing in the community. The issue around the
3	Uyghur, Uyghur genocide is another issue that I follow very
4	closely as well and advocate for. The situation with Taiwan,
5	for example, as well, so there are a number of different
6	issues to which I watch very closely to see what is evolving
7	in the community.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And, Ms. Kwan, I
9	would just ask that for the sake of the translators, and I
10	also have to remind myself at times, just to be aware that
11	they are translating in real time and to try and slow down a
12	little bit for them.
13	HON. JENNY KWAN: Apologies.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. No, no need to
15	apologize.
16	Was there a time when you started to become
17	more vocal about these issues, and if so, when would that
18	have been?
19	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, particularly at the
20	time when, you know, the news was surfacing about Hong
21	Kongers taking to the streets and peaceful protests, and,
22	literally, we're talking about, you know, millions of people
23	taking to the streets and to raise the issues worried about
24	the extradition law and so on, I started to sort of tune in
25	even more so. And then you started to hear the news of the
26	police brutality emerge. And then that's really at a point
27	where I felt like I have to speak up and you have to stand
28	with Hong Kongers as someone who was born in Hong Kong. So

1	those were the issues that really brought it to the
2	forefront, but, you know, all throughout my political career,
3	I've always spoken up for human rights, and raised the issues
4	around human rights concerns related to China. So such as,
5	for example, with the Tiananmen massacre on certain
6	anniversaries of those days, I would issue statements, for
7	example, to highlight that situation and to remember the
8	victims and their families, as an example.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so when you said
10	around the national security law, around that time and the
11	protests in Hong Kong, I take it that would be around 2019;
12	is that right?
13	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. That's
14	when this first emerged.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So is it fair
16	to say that for the last five years you have been more vocal
17	about some of these China related issues that you've
18	mentioned?
19	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And I
21	understand that you've also spoken at and attended rallies in
22	relation to PRC issues. Can you maybe tell us a little bit
23	about those?
24	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yeah, there were a number
25	of different community rallies held. One was held actually
26	just outside of the Vancouver Public Library, and it was the
27	earlier on period with respect to the national security law,
28	and so I spoke at that rally there. There were other rallies

1	to which I spoke in front of the consulates building, or
2	residence, if you will, and
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And, sorry, when
4	would that have been, the one in front of the consulate?
5	HON. JENNY KWAN: I did it a number of
6	different times when I stood in front of that building. And
7	the first time to which I did that, let me just pass back my
8	memory, I believe that would have been in 2020 and 2021, to
9	be sure, I think.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so maybe to
11	refresh your memory, I would call up JKW 149.
12	EXHIBIT No. JKW 149:
13	Photo Democracy Walk near Chinese
14	Consulate
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So I don't
15 16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So I don't know if this is available in native format or if it's just an
	- -
16	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an
16 17	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and
16 17 18	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind
16 17 18 19	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind of see. Okay. So do you know whether this would have been
16 17 18 19 20	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind of see. Okay. So do you know whether this would have been one of the instances in which you were speaking in front of
16 17 18 19 20 21	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind of see. Okay. So do you know whether this would have been one of the instances in which you were speaking in front of the Chinese consulate?
16 17 18 19 20 21	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind of see. Okay. So do you know whether this would have been one of the instances in which you were speaking in front of the Chinese consulate? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was. So I'm
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind of see. Okay. So do you know whether this would have been one of the instances in which you were speaking in front of the Chinese consulate? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was. So I'm standing in this image on a flatbed truck that the organizers
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind of see. Okay. So do you know whether this would have been one of the instances in which you were speaking in front of the Chinese consulate? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was. So I'm standing in this image on a flatbed truck that the organizers used as a platform, and that's in front of the Chinese
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	know if this is available in native format or if it's just an image view, but it's difficult to appreciate in the black and white, but if we zoom out a little bit, so that we can kind of see. Okay. So do you know whether this would have been one of the instances in which you were speaking in front of the Chinese consulate? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was. So I'm standing in this image on a flatbed truck that the organizers used as a platform, and that's in front of the Chinese consulate.

1	EXHIBIT No. JKW 150:
2	Photo Democracy Walk near Chinese
3	Consulate
4	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So we can
6	appreciate a little bit the distance, where you are relative
7	to the consulate. And so this would be the same event as the
8	last photo that we saw; is that right?
9	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's right.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And can you
11	tell us a bit more about the experience of protesting
12	well, speaking at an event in front of the Chinese Consulate?
13	HON. JENNY KWAN: So at this event, the
14	organizers brought us there. We sort of began, I think, with
15	a walk, and then sort of ended up at this location, and
16	speakers were invited up. I was I believe I was the only
17	elected official to appear and speak at this event. And at
18	this event, as I was speaking, I was noticing as well that
19	there is a camera, actually, right by the tree there.
20	Anyway, just right by the fence there. And you can see the
21	camera kind of turn, and then it alerted me to the fact that
22	what was happening was they were actually recording images
23	possibly, or at least being able to see who was out there and
24	participating. And I know that for some of the community
25	members they're particularly concerned about being
26	identified. So as a result, some people wore masks. Some
27	people wore hoodies and the like to sort of try and sort of
28	protect their identity, or at least not to be so easily

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

identifiable in this event. And so we were speaking this -and I think I had a megaphone of some sort or a mic of some
sort to speak. There were people also across the street, by
the way, so that they're not right within the vicinity of
this area, perhaps to evade the cameras possibly, but that's
sort of the nature of the event.

MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I noticed that you did not seem to have your face covered or you're not wearing a hoodie or not -- you're not hiding your identity in any way. Was that a concern for you or what was the reason for not wanting to conceal yourself?

HON. JENNY KWAN: I was not masking. I was not trying to hide my identity. I speak publicly about these issues. I am an elected official. I support human rights everywhere. Not just here in Canada, but abroad as well, and I fight for these things. And so as an elected official, I feel very much that I have this privilege, this honour, this platform that's before me, and I have to use it. I feel like that's part of my job. And so in this instance, when we're talking about, you know, the Tiananmen massacre that occurred, the situation with Hong Kong, where people are losing their basic human rights, where the rule of law that once supplied to them is no more, I feel like that it is my job to speak up and I have a platform to do so. It would be a disservice if I were to hide my identity to the position that I hold to which I honour, I think, in my role as a Member of Parliament. And I'm also cognizant as well that there are many members of the diaspora community who are not

1	at liberty to do that because they are afraid because they
2	have family members that are there, that are in Hong Kong or
3	in China that might have repercussions for them. And in some
4	ways, I also feel like it's my responsibility to speak up and
5	to be that voice.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Now, I
7	want to move on we can take the document down. I want to
8	speak a little bit about your experience with Chinese
9	community associations. And in your witness summary, you
10	refer to some of these as "The Big Five". And I wanted you
11	to explain what you meant by that. Who are The Big Five and
12	why are they considered important?
13	HON. JENNY KWAN: So in our community, there
14	are a number of community events that would be hosted by
15	various organizations. The biggest ones would be, for
16	example, the Lunar New Year celebration, the big parade that
17	takes place in Chinatown. The organizations that come
18	together to organize this would be what I call "The Big
19	Five". Sometimes it's six, but generally, it's this frame.
20	The Chinese Benevolent Association is one of them. The
21	Chinese Freemasons is also another one. The Chinese Cultural
22	Centre is the third one. The Vancouver Chinatown Merchants
23	Association is another organization. And finally, an
24	organization called S.U.C.C.E.S.S., which in full, the name
25	is the United Chinese Community Enhancement Services Society.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I understand
27	while these five may have some, or potentially six, have some
28	significance, there are many, many, many different community

associations within the Canadian Chinese community. Can you 1 2 tell us briefly about that? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, there are many 3 community organizations within the Chinese community to be 4 sure. Some of them are service oriented, non-profit 5 orientated, but there are also many what we call clan 6 associations. These associations were really established 7 8 long ago, back in the day when Chinese people came to Canada, they were really being discriminated against in many ways, 9 and they clan associations are grouped together usually by 10 people's last names or districts, or region, if you will, and 11 it really became an association for people to network, to 12 13 find support and kinship with each other, and back in the day 14 with all the discrimination that took place, some of them even created this space to house people, because Chinese 15 people were not allowed to -- anyways, there was a lot of 16 restrictions and discriminatory practices towards Chinese 17 18 people. 19 So these clan associations exist in my riding. A lot of them were first established in -- around 20 21 Chinatown. And so there are literally hundreds of those in 22 my riding. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And in terms of The 23 24 Big Five that you mentioned, are they headquartered within 25 your riding? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, they are. 26 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And can you describe 27 28 your relationship with these five organizations historically

1	and how it is now? And if there's been any change, to note
2	that as well.
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yeah, I will say that
4	historically, I've had a good relationship with all these
5	organizations. We would work together, collaborate on
6	different issues at different times, and to support the
7	community and to advocate for policy change or whatever the
8	case may be.
9	But I will say that over time, as I became
10	more and more vocal on the issues that profile China's human
11	rights violations, as an example, especially for sure during
12	the Hong Kong with the Hong Kong National Security Law
13	situation, I sensed that there was a shift in attitude from
14	these organizations towards me.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what do you mean
15 16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what do you mean by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example?
	-
16	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example?
16 17	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was
16 17 18	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know,
16 17 18 19	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know, the invitations that would, for example, would come to my
16 17 18 19 20	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know, the invitations that would, for example, would come to my office, and we would not receive some invitations to our
16 17 18 19 20 21	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know, the invitations that would, for example, would come to my office, and we would not receive some invitations to our office, as an example. Some of the community leaders would
16 17 18 19 20 21	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know, the invitations that would, for example, would come to my office, and we would not receive some invitations to our office, as an example. Some of the community leaders would shy away from engaging with me, as an example. And so you
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know, the invitations that would, for example, would come to my office, and we would not receive some invitations to our office, as an example. Some of the community leaders would shy away from engaging with me, as an example. And so you sort of just see those kinds of changes. It became in the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know, the invitations that would, for example, would come to my office, and we would not receive some invitations to our office, as an example. Some of the community leaders would shy away from engaging with me, as an example. And so you sort of just see those kinds of changes. It became in the beginning it was a little more subtle, and then it became
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	by a shift in attitude. Can you give us an example? HON. JENNY KWAN: So for example, I was noticing in my office, we were noticing that, you know, the invitations that would, for example, would come to my office, and we would not receive some invitations to our office, as an example. Some of the community leaders would shy away from engaging with me, as an example. And so you sort of just see those kinds of changes. It became in the beginning it was a little more subtle, and then it became more and more obvious over time.

2019 I started to notice there's some shift and some change. 1 2 But for sure as you sort of move towards 2020, 2021, you know, you really noticed a significant departure from that 3 behaviour. 4 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so I'll take you 5 now to JKW 65 R. 6 7 --- EXHIBIT No. JKW 65 R: 8 Press release February 18, 2022 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is a press 9 release dated February 18, 2022. It's titled CCP suspected 10 of manipulating the political ecology of Chinese Canadians 11 through Lunar New Year celebration events. And my 12 13 understanding is that this is a press release by the Chinese Concerned Group, who is an intervenor in this Commission, and 14 it's about your exclusion from a Lunar New Year celebration 15 in 2022. 16 I assume you have seen this press release 17 before? Is that right? 18 19 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I have. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And can you 20 tell us about that particular event and how you were excluded 21 22 from that event? 23 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yeah, so this was an event 24 that was coming in to celebrate the Lunar New Year. So we were just kind of coming out of covid. And so over the covid 25 period, the big celebration that took place in my riding did 26 not happen. There was no big parade and so on because of 27 28 covid. So this would have been the first time since that

1	period where a Lunar New Year event celebration is being held
2	in the community. While it's not a parade, but still it's
3	deemed to be a significant celebratory moment.
4	At this event, it was there were two
5	organizations that organized this event, and one of them is
6	the Chinese Benevolent Association.
7	But for this event, we saw in the newspaper
8	afterwards not only did my office not receive an invite
9	for it, but we saw in the newspaper coverage of the event
10	that there were people invited, elected officials invited,
11	from outside of Vancouver. There were definitely Members of
12	Parliament from Vancouver too from outside of the district
13	where the event was being hosted at, like people from
14	Richmond, for example, were invited to it, the Mayor of
15	Richmond, for example, was invited to it, provincial elected
16	officials were invited to Richmond or invited to it. And
17	there was, I think, councillors from Burnaby invited to it.
18	What's glaringly obvious is that I, myself,
19	as a Chinese Canadian who is in that riding, in that area
20	there, was not invited. The Mayor of Vancouver at the time
21	was also not invited as well. So that became quite obvious,
22	at least from my perspective, that there's a distinction on
23	who they've chosen to be invited to this event.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if we can go to
25	the bottom of that page? And the press release says, in the
26	last paragraph:
27	"As Chinatown falls under the
28	electoral district of Jenny Kwan and

	ENGLISH INTERPRETATION 162 KWAN In-Ch (Rodriguez)
1	she is the longest serving Chinese-
2	Canadian politician, a celebration of
3	Lunar New Year in Vancouver's
4	Chinatown excluding Jenny Kwan and
5	Vancouver's current mayor Kennedy
6	Stewart makes no sense."
7	And if we keep going:
8	"The Concerned Group feels that the
9	only plausible reason is that Jenny
10	Kwan had, in Parliament, brought up
11	the Hong Kong situation as well as
12	voting in support of the motion
13	naming China's Uyghur persecution as
14	genocide"
15	And it goes on from there. Do you agree with
16	that assessment?
17	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I do.
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: I want to take you
19	now to JKW 70_R. And this is a letter from you, and it is
20	addressed to the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.
21	That's CSIS.
22	EXHIBIT No. JKW 70 R:
23	Letter to CSIS
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And if we go down
25	just a little bit? Okay. Thank you.
26	It is a letter speaking to CSIS about your

exclusion from that Lunar New Years celebration. You recall

27

28

sending this letter?

1	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I do.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And why did you write
3	to CSIS about this?
4	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well from the briefings,
5	from the first briefing from CSIS, one of the things that
6	they said to me was that, please, keep us informed. Stay
7	alert. These are some of the you know, certain things
8	that you might be suspicious about, or you wondered about,
9	please, keep us informed. So, to that end, I thought it was
10	important for CSIS to know that this has occurred, and so I
11	wrote that letter and brought it to their attention.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So if we go to the
13	last paragraph of the letter, and then it says,
14	"I hope CSIS could look into this to
15	determine whether or not, or to what
16	extent foreign influence or agents of
17	a foreign government is or are
18	affecting how community events are
19	organized and reports its findings to
20	various authorities including the
21	federal government." (As read)
22	Did you receive a response to this letter?
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: I did not.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: If I can turn now to
25	JKW-66_R?
26	EXHIBIT No. JKW 66 R:
27	Press release July 10, 2023
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this one is dated

July 10, 2023, and it looks to also be a press release. And 1 2 this one's entitled "Canadian Chinese Concern Group on CCP's Human Rights Violations, Expresses Concerns Over Possible 3 Infiltration of Chinese Communist Party in Chinese Canadian 4 Museum". And it is a press release that speaks about your 5 exclusion from a Chinese museum event. Can you -- I assume 6 you saw this press release at the time since it is about you? 7 8 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I have seen this. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And can you tell us a 9 little bit about that event and what led to this press 10 release? 11 HON. JENNY KWAN: So in Chinatown, we had a 12 13 very big event, actually, and that is the opening of the 14 Chinese Canadian Museum, the first in Canada. And so this was a big event in terms of the opening, and there were many 15 community members and elected officials there. What happened 16 at this event was, as the local representative where the 17 Canadian Chinese Museum is located, as someone who's 18 19 represented this riding, and some I say who's broken barriers for Chinese Canadian communities over the last 30 years in my 20 21 role as an elected official at one level or another, it was a 22 curious thing where at this event I was -- while I was invited to it, I did -- I was not acknowledged of my presence 23 for the event. I did not receive a designated seat where 24 many of the prominent electoral officials were. And even 25 when -- during the program, elected officials, federal 26 representatives were invited up on stage to unveil a plaque 27 28 from the federal government. I was not invited to

1	participate in that. It was just a very curious thing and I
2	suppose in some ways, on a personal level, a little bit of a
3	hurtful thing that occurred. But in the face of that, and I
4	think the community groups and people who attended events saw
5	it as well, some came up to me afterwards and said, "Why
6	didn't they acknowledge you? Why didn't you go up on stage?
7	Why didn't you speak?" And said these things to me. And I
8	said, "Well, you know, I don't know. I'm not the organizer,
9	but here you are."
10	And so the concerned group, of course, who's
11	an active group in our community watching all of these things
12	all the time, and they also picked it up, and, consequently,
13	I think they wrote this press release.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And was that event
15	held in your riding?
16	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was. And the
17	Chinese Canadian Museum is in my riding, the first of its
18	kind in Canada.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I want to take
20	you now to CAN.SUM.3.
21	EXHIBIT No. CAN.SUM.3:
22	Topical Summary: PRC Officials -
23	Foreign Interference Activities in
24	Greater Vancouver in the 2019 General
25	Election
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: This is a topical
27	summary that was just recently made available. So I
28	understand, Ms. Kwan, you have not seen this before. It's

1	entitled "People's Republic of China Officials, Foreign
2	Interference Activities in Greater Vancouver in the 2019
3	General Election". And it comes with a page of caveats,
4	which my co-Commissioner counsel, Ms. Dann, read into the
5	record yesterday. Taking those caveats into account, I want
6	to take you to paragraph 3 of that summary, which is on the
7	second page. And it says,
8	"Intelligence reports indicate that
9	these officials coordinated the
10	exclusion" (As read)
11	And by "these officials", they're speaking
12	about officials for the People's Republic of China.
13	"coordinate the exclusion of
14	particular political candidates
15	perceived as anti-China from
16	attending local community events
17	related to the election." (As read)
18	So this is, again, the 2019 election.
19	"This was accomplished via PRC proxy
20	agents hiding the direct involvement
21	of these PRC officials." (As read)
22	Now, taking into account that this is
23	referring to events leading up to the 2019 election and you,
24	having given your evidence that you started noticing these
25	exclusions from events starting in and around 2019, does this
26	paragraph generally accord with your understanding of why and
27	how you may have been excluded from local community events
28	such as the ones that you described for us today?

HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. 1 2 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So is it fair to say that, in your view, there is a relationship between your 3 advocacy around China-related issues and your exclusion from 4 local community events? 5 6 HON. JENNY KWAN: I think it is quite plausible that is the case. What we also know is that a 7 former colleague of mine, Richard Lee, a former MLA, who was 8 shunted, I guess, from the community, and the word is that if 9 community groups invited him to events, then representatives 10 from the consulate would not attend, as an example. So 11 consequently, Richard did not get a lot of invitations. So 12 this kind of practice is something that we know does occur in 13 14 the community. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Now I want to move on 15 to your experience with your constituents. What has your 16 experience with your constituents been like in the last 5 17 years, so since 2019, and have you noticed a change in your 18 19 constituents' demeanour, or in their interactions with you, and what can you tell us about that? 20 21 HON. JENNY KWAN: My constituents, many of 22 them, of course, have watched me grow up, as they say, over 23 all these years. But in the latter part, in the last five 24 years or so particularly, with my outspokenness around human rights violations from China and particularly with the Hong 25 Kong situation and so on, I'm noticing nervousness and fear 26 from some of my constituents, and particularly, the elderly 27 28 Chinese Canadian members. I've had people come up to me, to

whisper to me, worried about their support for me and that it 1 might be found out by the CCP, and that there might be 2 repercussions for themselves or that of their family members. 3 They have this fear in their heart, as an example. 4 would often just come up to me, hold onto me, grab my arm, 5 6 and sort of whisper these things to me. I would reassure them to say that no one will know who you voted for because 7 our ballots are secret. Nobody can tell. Only you know, as 8 you mark the ballot, as an example. There are instances 9 where people would come up and ask me to say, "Did you really 10 protest the consulate and stood in front of the consulate to 11 speak out against them", as an example. Others would ask me 12 13 to pay attention and focus on domestic issues, as an example. 14 And then in that reference, I think to mean not to speak out against China. There are others who have outright said to me 15 that they weren't going to be donating to me or supporting me 16 because of that. So there is definitely some change in 17 behaviour. 18 19 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so with respect to the 2021 election, did you experience any of that -- those 20 21 concerns conveyed to you as you went door knocking and 22 canvassing for votes in 2021? 23 HON. JENNY KWAN: There were some people that 24 I door knocked on where they asked me "What is your position on certain policies?", for example, on the Uyghur issue, as 25 an example. And you know, so those kinds of things would 26 surface. What is my position on Hong Kong? Those kinds of 27 28 things. But you can never know for sure was that a

1	determining factor for them in terms of that behaviour. But
2	from time to time, yes, those issues did come up.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did they express
4	concern about supporting you in 2021 for fear of reprisal?
5	HON. JENNY KWAN: A lot of these
6	conversations, when people say these things to me, they so
7	when I am talking about the seniors who raised those concerns
8	to me, some of them during the campaign would say that
9	they're worried, but a lot of them after the campaign would
10	continue to raise these issues up with me.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So it wasn't
12	limited to the 2021 campaign is what you're saying.
13	HON. JENNY KWAN: No. I think these kinds of
14	sentiments sometimes it precedes the campaign, sometimes it's
15	after the campaign. It kind of just continues, and it kind
16	of just doesn't stop.
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so with respect
18	to the 2021 election, I understand there was an incident in
19	
20	2021, in August, that led to the NDP filing a complaint with
-	the Commissioner of Canada Elections. Can you tell us a
21	
	the Commissioner of Canada Elections. Can you tell us a
21	the Commissioner of Canada Elections. Can you tell us a little bit about that?
21 22	the Commissioner of Canada Elections. Can you tell us a little bit about that? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. During the campaign,
212223	the Commissioner of Canada Elections. Can you tell us a little bit about that? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. During the campaign, we were alerted by someone that there was a WeChat post from
21222324	the Commissioner of Canada Elections. Can you tell us a little bit about that? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. During the campaign, we were alerted by someone that there was a WeChat post from Fred Kwok inviting members of the Chinese community to attend
2122232425	the Commissioner of Canada Elections. Can you tell us a little bit about that? HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. During the campaign, we were alerted by someone that there was a WeChat post from Fred Kwok inviting members of the Chinese community to attend a free lunch. And when we looked at this post, a few things

1	buying, a bribe if you will? So that was my first thought.
2	And second, of course, is who's hosting this?
3	You know, who's the host of this event? Is it Fred Kwok as
4	an individual? If he was doing that, is he a third-party?
5	Is he a registered third-party? Because we have rules and
6	regulations related to that. If not, is it the Liberal Party
7	he's doing that? And so that question around the host and
8	potential violations of the <i>Election Act</i> also came up.
9	Then, of course, because it's a free lunch,
10	and the way in which the language was posed in this WeChat
11	message basically said, "Come and bring your friends.
12	Doesn't matter about the number. No need to pay." Then
13	you're imagining lots of people will go to this event, so
14	then the cost issue also becomes a factor. And in an
15	election, election financing, contribution limits, and all of
16	those matter a lot. So then my thought was would they be in
17	violation of that as well?
18	So our the lawyer for the NDP, we
19	contacted central and advised them of the situation. So the
20	lawyer for the NDP filed a complaint with the OCCE.
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And just for the
22	record, that document is at JKW 76.
23	EXHIBIT No. JKW 76:
24	Letter to OCCE: Complaint re
25	collusion and vote-buying in
26	Vancouver East
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And in the interest
28	of time, I will move to a different area. Well, actually,

1	staying in this topic for one second, if I can take you to
2	CAN 1075.
3	EXHIBIT No. CAN 1075:
4	SITE TF SITREP: 07 September 2021
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is a SITE
6	Task Force SITREP. It's dated September 7, 2021. And my
7	understanding is the lunch took place at the end of August.
8	Is that right?
9	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct. I believe
10	it was the 29th.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So this would
12	have been about almost 10 days after. And if we go down, the
13	report says on the last bullet point:
14	"The Facebook page for the Vancouver
15	Hong Kong Forum Societypublished
16	screengrabs from a WeChat group with
17	what they claim are members of the
18	Chinese Benevolent Associationof
19	Vancouver, a group that many open
20	source reports claim are linked to
21	China's United Front Work Department.
22	The chat that shows what is purported
23	to be an offer of a free lunch to
24	support the LPC Vancouver East
25	candidate as someone who 'cares about
26	the issues of the Chinese people."
27	And this Hong Kong Foreign Society:
28	"further claims that the message

1	encourages solidarity among Chinese
2	voters in a manner similar to South
3	Asian voter solidarity."
4	Can I take it that as a candidate you were
5	aware of the SITE Task Force in 2021?
6	HON. JENNY KWAN: I was not.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Did you know
8	that there was a SITE Task Force and it was and that it
9	was called that?
10	HON. JENNY KWAN: No.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: I only learned of this from
13	this hearing.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So is it fair
15	to say, then, that SITE did not inform you that they had
16	flagged this issue with the lunch shortly after it took
17	place?
18	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Did any
20	official from any government department or agency tell you at
21	the time that this had been picked up by the SITE Task Force?
22	HON. JENNY KWAN: No.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
24	HON. JENNY KWAN: In fact, it was our
25	campaign after the election, we contacted CSIS to let them
26	know that this had occurred.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And to be
28	fair, it's RRM Canada and GAC that picked this up, and it's

1	in a SITE Task Force report, but the sourcing is from the
2	Rapid Response Mechanism. Just wanted to make that clear.
3	So in terms of sources of information related
4	to foreign interference in the 2019 and 2021 elections, it
5	sounds like it wasn't SITE. That wasn't your go-to for
6	information on foreign interference in the elections. Is
7	that right?
8	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so who did
10	you understand you were to report any suspicious activities
11	to during those two elections?
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, the first place, of
13	course, is to the OCCE, and then, of course, related to
14	matters on foreign interference it would be CSIS.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you
15 16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you understood that if there was if you had any concerns about
16	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about
16 17	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those
16 17 18	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is
16 17 18 19	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is that right?
16 17 18 19 20	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is that right? HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, CSIS had asked me to
16 17 18 19 20 21	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is that right? HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, CSIS had asked me to keep them informed, and so that's what we did, to keep them
16 17 18 19 20 21	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is that right? HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, CSIS had asked me to keep them informed, and so that's what we did, to keep them informed after the election. At the time when this free
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is that right? HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, CSIS had asked me to keep them informed, and so that's what we did, to keep them informed after the election. At the time when this free lunch event was being promoted from Fred Kwok, our first
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is that right? HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, CSIS had asked me to keep them informed, and so that's what we did, to keep them informed after the election. At the time when this free lunch event was being promoted from Fred Kwok, our first thought was Elections Canada, the OCCE, and those violations
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	understood that if there was if you had any concerns about suspected or possible foreign interference during those elections, you were to go to contact CSIS directly. Is that right? HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, CSIS had asked me to keep them informed, and so that's what we did, to keep them informed after the election. At the time when this free lunch event was being promoted from Fred Kwok, our first thought was Elections Canada, the OCCE, and those violations within it, that's why we lodged our complaint to them

1	about it, and in that article they talked a lot about foreign
2	interference, and then it started to make me think, "Hmm, is
3	it this foreign interference related?" And then of course,
4	after I was briefed by CSIS in May of 2023, it became even
5	more apparent to me that this might be a foreign interference
6	activity. And so to that end, I did follow up with CSIS, as
7	well as OCCE on it.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you have a
9	sense for what constituted suspicious activity that should be
10	reported? Did you understand what that threshold was for you
11	to report something to CSIS?
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: No. No, I no. They
13	never advised exactly what would be the threshold and what
14	are the clear signs, it's just to alert you to be more aware.
15	And so then, you know, you're being more aware. And during
16	the election campaign period, the things that jumped out at
17	us with respect to this event were the potential violations
18	of the <i>Election Act</i> . And then after the fact, when you put
19	all the different pieces together, all the different
20	information that's surfacing, then you start to wonder, "Is
21	this a foreign interference activity that took place?"
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And unfortunately, I
23	am out of time, but I would like to ask you one final
24	question, and that is, is there anything else you would like
25	to tell us about any of the issues within Stage 1 of our
26	mandate, which is the 2019 and 2021 elections that we have
27	not had a chance to discuss?
28	HON. JENNY KWAN: I think that's really

1	it's really important to actually look at the WeChat post
2	that Fred Kwok put up because there's some very specific
3	language within it that in the context of foreign
4	interference that we should be paying attention to. So I
5	wonder if we can actually pull up that post
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sure.
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: so that we can go
8	through it.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely.
10	So we can see it at CCC 186.
11	EXHIBIT No. CCC 186:
12	Press release from Chinese-Canadian
13	Concerned Group on the Chinese
14	Communist Party's Human Rights
15	Violation
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And I pull this one
17	up because it has the original post and it has the if you
18	can go down, please. And it has the translation as well.
19	So that's if we can zoom in on the side-
20	by-side there.
21	And maybe just briefly tell us who Fred Kwok
22	is or who he was at that time.
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yeah. Fred Kwok at the
24	time was the chair of the Chinese Benevolent Association.
25	He's also the chairperson for the Chinese Cultural Centre.
26	He is very involved with the Chinese Freemasons and I believe
27	he holds the title of being an honorary leader, national
28	leader, of the Chinese Freemasons.

1	So that's the background a little bit about
2	Fred.
3	But if you look at the post and even the
4	translation here did not pick it up and reflected it. But ir
5	three places, the post reference Chinese people using the
6	word "Chinese people" in three different places, talking
7	about, if I can put it on the record here, the post says:
8	"Just over two weeks left in the
9	federal election. Throughout these
10	years, the Chinese community and the
11	Chinese people have long been
12	neglected." (As read)
13	So here they use "Chinese people".
14	"The Chinese people must show their
15	voting power in this election [again
16	they use Chinese people]. Now that
17	this Liberal candidate is running for
18	the first time in Vancouver East, we,
19	the Chinese people must show their
20	voting power to the politicians." (As
21	read)
22	So the third time they're saying "Chinese
23	people". Then it goes on to say, "The South Asian people
24	already have a huge influence."
25	Then here it says, "The Chinese should also
26	show solidarity."
27	So here I'm going to sort of pause for a
28	minute and just go to the Chinese version of the post. Where

1	they use the word "the Chinese", they actually the literal
2	translation in Chinese is (other language) meaning China
3	person or China people, so that's one distinction there.
4	And when you say and use the word China
5	person or China people, I take that to mean a Chinese
6	national, not a Chinese person or Chinese people.
7	They again use this term (other language),
8	Chinese nationals, later on in the message where they go on
9	to say:
10	"Tomorrow morning at 11:30 a.m. lunch
11	at Flota restaurant. No matter how
12	many we'll need to pay. You all may
13	bring some friends here to show that
14	you care. When the future victory
15	comes, at least there will be a few
16	more MPs who will care about the
17	issues of the Chinese people." (As
18	read)
19	That should actually say, "at least there
20	will be a few more MPs who will care about the issues of
21	Chinese nationals" because in the Chinese version of the
22	post, it once again says (other language) meaning China
23	person or China people, and that in reference to Chinese
24	nationals.
25	So this is to me, is deliberate. The
26	using that term, they're talking about wanting to elect
27	someone who will prioritize the issues that are of concern to
28	Chinese nationals, to the Chinese government or to China, if

1	you will.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for that
3	clarification.
4	Those are all of my questions. The
5	participants may have some other questions for you.
6	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
7	But before that, we will take a short break,
8	15 minutes. So we'll be back at 4:30.
9	THE REGISTRAR: Order please.
10	The hearing is in recess until 4:30.
11	Upon recessing at 4:16 p.m./
12	Upon resuming at 4:34 p.m./
13	THE REGISTRAR: Order please.
14	This sitting of the Foreign Interference
15	Commission is back in session.
16	HON. JENNY KWAN, Resumed
17	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: The first one this time
18	is counsel for Human Rights Coalition.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HANNAH TAYLOR:
20	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Good afternoon, Ms. Kwan.
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: Good afternoon.
22	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: You spoke a bit about
23	this with Commission counsel this morning. You mentioned in
24	your interview summary that you noticed more fear and
25	apprehension from your constituents beginning in 2019, and
26	it's also mentioned in your interview summary that during the
27	2021 election, constituents, donors, and supporters told you
28	that because you protested in front of the Consulate, they

1	were fearful about voting for you.
2	Your summary mentioned that they were worried
3	that the Chinese Government would find out that they had
4	voted for you or supported you, and that this would
5	compromise the safety of their families in China.
6	Do you know why they were afraid for the
7	safety of their families in China?
8	HON. JENNY KWAN: I would suspect that if
9	they were supporting someone who would be critical of China's
10	human rights record, that they fear that there could be
11	repercussions for them, and part of those repercussions
12	potentially could be the safety of their family members in
13	China.
14	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And without providing any
15	identifying information, would you be able to share who
16	expressed these kinds of fears to you during the 2021
17	election? You mentioned Chinese Canadian seniors in
18	particular expressed these fears, but were there other groups
19	in particular that came to you with apprehension?
20	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well they're just mostly
21	individuals. They don't tend to come to me as a group. It
22	will be individuals who will see me, you know, in the
23	community somewhere, and they'll come up and whisper these
24	things at me. The age, of course, ranged.
25	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.
26	HON. JENNY KWAN: It's not necessarily just
27	seniors. But seniors, for me, are particularly vulnerable, -
28	

1

MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay.

2	HON. JENNY KWAN: just because they're
3	elderly and many of them, you know, may not have a lot of the
4	resources to even figure out where to get help. And many of
5	them are alone. And it just breaks my heart that they would
6	have this fear, you know, with them.
7	I also remember when I first entered into the
8	political arena, and first as sort of, like, a volunteer, I
9	worked really hard to get people who have never registered
10	before to vote, and there were points in time where I said,
11	"I don't even care who you vote for." I mean, I was working
12	on you know, volunteering for a campaign. But it didn't
13	matter for me who they ultimately voted for. I just wanted
14	them to vote, to exercise their democratic right.
15	And for these seniors to express fear in
16	voting, you know, to participate full participating fully
17	in our Canadian democratic system was something that they're
18	now fearful of, it was just something that struck me to be
19	particularly challenging. And I worry for the seniors.
20	${\tt MS.}$ HANNAH TAYLOR: Okay. And so what I'm
21	hearing is that particularly among Chinese Canadian seniors,
22	although the folks who came to you, the Chinese Canadian
23	members of your constituency that came to you with these
24	fears ranged when it comes to range, but particularly with
25	seniors, the fear was not only voting for you, although that
26	was a particular fear, but engaging in voting more generally?
27	That was also a fear?
28	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, because if they fear

1	that someone would know how they voted, or if they someone
2	would know they supported an individual who China was seeing
3	to be who deemed that individual to be anti-China, then
4	there might be repercussions for them. That's my insinuation
5	of what that means when they, I think, whisper these things
6	to me, and I did my best to assure them that their vote is
7	private, is secret, no one would know, to reassure them that
8	it is our democratic right as Canadians to exercise that
9	right.
10	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And did they say
11	specifically what they were afraid might happen to them or
12	their families? Like, what these repercussions were that
13	they were afraid of if they voted for you or if it was found
14	out who they voted for more generally? These more general
15	fears?
16	HON. JENNY KWAN: They weren't specific about
17	exactly what they think might happen or may not happen to
18	their family members, but other than just to express a fear,
19	and then a fear for their safety.
20	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: To the extent that you
21	know, were your constituents afraid because they had received
22	direct threats from the Chinese Government or proxies, or did
23	they understand there to be an implicit threat to their
24	safety and the safety of their families?
25	HON. JENNY KWAN: No one has expressed to me
26	that there was a direct threat. What people just said to me
27	was that they have this fear and they just expressed their
28	thoughts.

1	Some of them actually feared for me. Some of
2	them have said, you know, "You should be careful too." And
3	so some of them had expressed that sentiment for my safety.
4	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you very much, Ms.
5	Kwan.
6	HON. JENNY KWAN: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Counsel for Micheal
8	Chong?
9	MR. GIB van ERT: No questions. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: No questions?
11	The AG? Oh, there's oh, I don't have
12	no, I think there's the OCC
13	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: before. Sorry.
15	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It's not on my list.
17	Sorry. Désolé, Me Boucher.
18	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Je prendrais quelques
19	minutes seulement.
20	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: C'est correct. Mais
21	quelqu'un peut m'indiquer par ailleurs, en principe, le temps
22	alloué est de combien?
23	MR. LUC BOUCHER: C'est 5 minutes.
24	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Ok.
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LUC BOUCHER:
26	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Good afternoon.
27	HON. JENNY KWAN: Good afternoon.
28	MR. LUC BOUCHER: I'll direct you we'll go

1	directly to the luncheon for Mr. Vander Vies that was paid by
2	Mr. Kwok. Okay. I understand that you've been elected for -
3	- since 2015 to the Parliament and you were an elected
4	official before that for 10 years? Twelve (12) years?
5	HON. JENNY KWAN: I was elected federally in
6	2015. Prior to that, I was elected provincially from 1996
7	until 2015. And then prior to that, I was elected
8	municipally from 1993 to 1996.
9	MR. LUC BOUCHER: So you understand that
10	every time you have to get elected to continue your
11	positions? You understand that? And you understand that
12	getting elected is about the business of getting votes;
13	right?
14	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well I understand that with
15	each election cycle, I have to earn the trust of the
16	electorate and support from them to get elected. And yes,
17	ultimately it is getting votes into the ballot box.
18	But for me, it's a little bit more than that.
19	It is about standing up for what you believe in and then
20	fighting for those beliefs.
21	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. And to get votes,
22	you need to communicate with the communities? Is that
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: Of course. Part of the
24	electoral process is communications and being able to
25	communicate with the electorate would absolutely be paramount
26	in the electoral process.
27	MR. LUC BOUCHER: And using the pillars of
28	the communities to get in contact with them is part of the

1	job?
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: Using communication tools?
3	MR. LUC BOUCHER: No, the
4	HON. JENNY KWAN: Is that what you mean?
5	MR. LUC BOUCHER: important persons of
6	community. The pillars of the communities.
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: Oh, I see. So getting
8	support from community leaders
9	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Yes.
10	HON. JENNY KWAN: to show support for
11	your candidacy? Yes. Of course. I think every elected
12	official would aim to try and get that. Or every candidate.
13	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. Being a Member of
14	Parliament since 2015, you must be familiar with the Canada
15	Elections Act?
16	HON. JENNY KWAN: I am.
17	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. And when the lunch
18	occurred, one of your worries was that Mr. Kwok did not
19	register as a third party?
20	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well when the when we
21	learned of the event and saw the WeChat post, a number of
22	things jumped out at me. One of course is who is organizing
23	this. And if Mr. Kwok was organizing this, is he a
24	registered third party? Is he doing this as an individual?
25	Is he abiding by the contribution limits as they are set out
26	in the Elections Act?
27	The other element, of course, related to
28	those concerns, is the fact that the post, the WeChat post,

1	spoke about a free lunch, and it seemed unlimited, urging
2	people to bring as many people as they can, and using clear
3	language to say, "Don't worry. No need to pay." Then you
4	start to wonder, is this a violation of the Elections Act
5	pertaining to buying votes? So that's another element in
6	relation to that. And then, of course, contribution limits,
7	because all campaigns have limitations related to that, and
8	would there be violations of contribution limits?
9	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. And have you been
10	following the Commission's testimonies prior to yours?
11	HON. JENNY KWAN: I have, for the most part.
12	There were some moments where I wasn't able to catch elements
13	of it, but for the most part, yes.
14	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. Did you have a
15	chance to listen to the Commissioner Simard, Commissioner
16	Côté, and Mylène Gigou testify?
17	HON. JENNY KWAN: I did, yes.
18	MR. LUC BOUCHER: And in fact, you learned
19	from that testimony that the lunch was at the request of
20	Mr. Vander Vies?
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, I had heard that
22	testimony. What was of course interesting is that in the
23	WeChat post there was no indication that this is a free lunch
24	at the behest of the candidate.
25	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay.
26	HON. JENNY KWAN: As well, in the media
27	reporting that came afterwards, in the Vancouver Sun, there
28	was a prominent article from a reporter, a columnist I should

1	say, and there was no indication in that report that this was
2	a free lunch event organised at the behest of the candidate.
3	Mr. Fred Kwok was interviewed, and in fact he
4	said in that article that he violated the Election Act for he
5	did not register as a third-party and he also said in that
6	article that he paid \$1,500 for the lunch.
7	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Okay. But in fact, in that
8	article, and we may disagree, but in that article what
9	Mr. Kwok worries was that he also contributed to the
10	Conservative Party \$1,200, and he may have over contributed
11	at that time.
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: In that article, he
13	mentioned that he also contributed to another candidate, but
14	in terms I guess in terms of the contribution limit, there
15	are two elements to it, in terms of the personal contribution
16	and those limits and to two different candidates, but there
17	is also a contribution limit for the free lunch to which he
18	was contributing to. So that's the way I read that article.
19	Contribution limits could apply in both instances.
20	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Now, if we come back to the
21	purpose of this Commission, the occurrence of the lunch, the
22	issue might be where the money comes from. Exact? And
23	during your witness statement, at paragraph 41, I won't ask
24	the people, but you stated at paragraph 41 that:
25	"In light of a media report about
26	transfer of funds from the Chinese
27	Consulate in Toronto to campaigns"
28	You wonder:

1	"whether the Chinese Consulate in
2	Vancouver may be operating at petty
3	cash slush fund to finance events,
4	such as the lunch hosted by
5	Mr. Kwok."
6	My question is do you have any evidence, any
7	evidence besides that media article targeting the consulate
8	in Toronto, do you have any evidence of that slush fund
9	existing in the Vancouver area?
10	HON. JENNY KWAN: So first off, on the issue
11	around the cost of the lunch, there is a big question in my
12	mind that is still outstanding, and that is whether or not
13	the actual cost of the lunch is the same amount as the
14	reported amount.
15	MR. LUC BOUCHER: But Ms just because
16	the Commission is concerned with foreign interference.
17	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes.
18	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Now, foreign interference
19	in the lunch would be where the money comes from.
20	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Your Honour,
21	Commissioner, excuse me, I think Ms. Kwan is well aware of
22	the question, and I think she's getting to an answer. So I'd
23	ask my friend to desist from interrupting her so that she can
24	complete her answer.
25	MR. LUC BOUCHER: That's fine.
26	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Complete your answer.
27	HON. JENNY KWAN: Thank you so much. Yes, if
28	you could indulge me, and I apologise if I'm taking time to

1	explain this, so that I can connect the dots with respect to
2	the question.
3	So from the market rate to which my office
4	was able to attain for a event such as this, it seemed to
5	indicate that the cost of the lunch is far exceeds the
6	amount that's been reported, at least by a third, maybe even
7	half or more than what was reported. So then the outstanding
8	question is, who paid for that amount? Where did the source
9	of that money come from?
10	And so related to that, then, could it be a
11	foreign interference actor who paid for that amount? We have
12	no information with respect to that. We cannot even verify
13	what is the actual amount of the cost.
14	So when the news media and reports was coming
15	forward to indicate that allegedly that there is a slush fund
16	that was set up for elections, I in Toronto, I can't help
17	but wonder whether or not the same thing is happening here in
18	Vancouver as well.
19	So this is how it relates, and that's why
20	chasing that money is so critical. Finding out where that
21	source of funds might have come from is so critical.
22	MR. LUC BOUCHER: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
24	MR. LUC BOUCHER: C'tait mes questions.
25	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Now, it's your turn.
26	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARNEY BRUCKER:
27	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Good afternoon,
28	Ms. Kwan. My name's Barney Brucker. I'm here for the

28

Attorney General. Thank you for assisting us with the 1 2 Inquiry by being here today. I'm not going to ask what was on the menu for 3 lunch, so we can at least get that out of the way. 4 HON. JENNY KWAN: I was told it was pretty 5 6 lavish, but I wasn't invited. MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I just wanted to ask 7 8 about your riding. Vancouver East, was it? 9 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it is. MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And what is the ethnic 10 makeup of that riding? 11 12 HON. JENNY KWAN: I'm very proud to be 13 representing Vancouver East. It's one of the most diverse 14 communities or ridings if you will across the country. At one point, reports indicate that we have some 76 different 15 spoken languages in the district. And pertaining to the 16 ethnic diaspora community, we have a wide-ranging cultures 17 and representation in the riding. The Chinese Canadian 18 19 community, according to census, seem to indicate that our population is about a little under 20 percent or so of the 20 21 overall population. 22 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. You had mentioned that prior to the election you had had a briefing by CSIS. 23 And I take it that did not involve any classified 24 information. That it was a, I think you called it, a general 25 briefing? 26 27 HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, it was a general

briefing. I think that the purpose, at least I was told the

1	purpose of that was to alert members of parliament about
2	foreign interference, and to bring that to our attention.
3	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And do you know if other
4	MPs of various party stripes were also given a similar type
5	of briefing prior to the election?
6	HON. JENNY KWAN: To my knowledge, some other
7	MPs were, although who exactly, that I don't know.
8	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And do you know that
9	either before or during or even after the election the NDP
10	National Campaign, or Committee, or whatever their correct
11	terminology is, did they also receive a briefing?
12	HON. JENNY KWAN: You mean during the
13	campaign if they received or
14	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Yes. Before the
15	leading into the campaign or during the campaign did the
16	national party receive a briefing, do you know?
17	HON. JENNY KWAN: From CSIS? I was not
18	advised.
19	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. So let me suggest
20	to you that among the general concepts put forward during
21	this general briefing, CSIS may have explained their mandate,
22	that they were not a law enforcement agency. There may have
23	been the discussion about what is foreign interference and
24	what isn't foreign interference, and also, a discussion about
25	perhaps how would you try how could you recognise it or
26	what is the what are the methods employed, in a general
27	way. And also, some contact information for you or members
28	of your staff to contact government organisations if you saw

1	something of concern during the elections. Is that fair?
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's fair, and CSIS was
3	definitely clear to say that they do not have investigative
4	powers.
5	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. And you mentioned
6	a follow-up after shortly after the election. And I can't
7	recall because my notes aren't very good, but what was that
8	follow up in about?
9	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes. So after the
10	election, and in light of this free lunch that was that
11	Fred Kwok had put on the WeChat platform after the election,
12	and my office contacted CSIS to inform them of this event
13	that took place. There was also another sorry. I'll just
14	leave it there.
15	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. I thought we
16	might away from the lunch, but apparently not.
17	Can you tell me, did it occur to you when you
18	contacted CSIS about the free lunch that was the reason
19	for that that it was possible foreign interference? Is that
20	why you contacted?
21	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.
22	After the event when we started to think
23	about all the different things that were occurring and
24	putting these different pieces together, you start to wonder,
25	could this be a foreign interference activity. And so CSIS
26	had said to me that to please keep them informed and to let
27	them know that if we think there were any suspicious
28	activities to let them know. And so as you think about these

1	things, I thought it would be best to keep them informed, and
2	that's exactly what I did.
3	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And did it occur to you
4	when you contacted the Commissioner of Canada Elections about
5	a possible campaign violation that also may be foreign
6	interference?
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, at the time when the
8	matter was happening, the first thing that jumped out at me
9	was, was this legal. They're offering a free lunch to people
10	in the middle of a campaign. And my head space went right to
11	the <i>Elections Act</i> and those kinds of violations, so that's
12	why the lawyer for the NDP, after we contacted them, filed
13	that letter as such.
14	Subsequent to that, there was a media
15	reporting also in the Vancouver Sun that talked about that
16	event and then also raised a whole number of other foreign
17	interference related issues.
18	And so when you sort of start to think about
19	that and this really came to hit me hard, I guess, was
20	when after my briefing in May of 2023. And I started to
21	think maybe that really was potentially a foreign
22	interference activity.
23	And when you started to hear all the
24	different news that were surfacing about the slush fund and
25	all of those kinds of things, and so that's why I followed up
26	in writing a letter to the OCCE raising these issues again
27	and also bringing that up to the attention of CSIS.
28	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I think I just have one

more question. 1 Back to the briefing that you had prior to 2 the 2021 election, did you adjust your campaign strategy or 3 your campaign approach in any way as a result of that 4 briefing? 5 6 HON. JENNY KWAN: No. What they were telling us was to sensitize us to this possibility, and so I hadn't 7 thought much of it other than to say, okay, I will be alert 8 and pay attention. But I wasn't thinking that necessarily 9 this would be happening or may happen, so we did not really 10 adjust our strategy in that way. But as the campaign sort of 11 evolved, as things happened and then later on, as I said, in 12 13 May of 2023 when all these other pieces of information were 14 coming forward, I started to wonder about it and perhaps connect the dots. 15 MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Right. Was your 16 campaign monitoring WeChat? 17 HON. JENNY KWAN: No, we didn't. And the 18 19 reason why is that some years ago, we did -- my office, we did use WeChat as a platform to get information out, putting 20 21 forward statements and government announcements and different 22 things like that through that platform. And then partway 23 through, we had discovered that I was being censored. 24 What happened was one of the statements, and I believe it was a statement about the anniversary of the 25 Tiananmen massacre that we had put up, and it was censored 26 and was taken down. And someone alerted us to that. 27 28 And I thought, wow, I'm being censored by

1	Chinese authorities as a Canadian elected official. That
2	cannot be allowed to happen.
3	So I decided that I would not use the WeChat
4	platform.
5	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And when you discovered
6	that, did you report that to Commissioner of Canada Elections
7	or CSIS or to anybody?
8	HON. JENNY KWAN: No, that happened way
9	before with all of this, and this is before I even thought
10	about potential foreign interference issues.
11	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
12	Kwan.
13	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
14	Mr. Choudhry?
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY:
15 16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just
16	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just
16 17	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background
16 17 18	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background issues about your political career just for the record.
16 17 18 19	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background issues about your political career just for the record. So is it true that you're the only Chinese
16 17 18 19 20	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background issues about your political career just for the record. So is it true that you're the only Chinese Canadian to have been elected to all three levels of office -
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background issues about your political career just for the record. So is it true that you're the only Chinese Canadian to have been elected to all three levels of office all three levels of government?
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background issues about your political career just for the record. So is it true that you're the only Chinese Canadian to have been elected to all three levels of office all three levels of government? HON. JENNY KWAN: I believe so.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background issues about your political career just for the record. So is it true that you're the only Chinese Canadian to have been elected to all three levels of office all three levels of government? HON. JENNY KWAN: I believe so. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Yes. And you were the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background issues about your political career just for the record. So is it true that you're the only Chinese Canadian to have been elected to all three levels of office all three levels of government? HON. JENNY KWAN: I believe so. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Yes. And you were the first Chinese Canadian to serve in the B.C. Cabinet.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Ms. Kwan, so I just would like to begin with a couple of kind of background issues about your political career just for the record. So is it true that you're the only Chinese Canadian to have been elected to all three levels of office - - all three levels of government? HON. JENNY KWAN: I believe so. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Yes. And you were the first Chinese Canadian to serve in the B.C. Cabinet. HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.

1	related issue of information flow. And so I'd like to kind
2	of use your experience as a window into that issue because
3	that's really what's of most interest to the Commissioner.
4	And so what I'd like to first take you to, if
5	I may, is the WeChat message because I think getting the
6	language right is important. So I'd like to take you to the
7	version of the WeChat message translation that you provided,
8	and that is in your witness statement. It's Witness
9	Statement 12, paragraph 41.
10	And I know there have been a couple of
11	different versions of this witness statement, so forgive me
12	if I've not got the right paragraph.
13	Okay. So this is the different version.
14	Could we if I could ask for assistance
15	from Commission counsel. This I had it at paragraph 41 of
16	my version.
17	Actually, if you just scroll up, please.
18	Yes, there you go, 38.
19	And so just to clarify for the record, here
20	in your translation, is it true that you've drawn the
21	distinction between the references to the terms "Chinese
22	community" and "Chinese people" and Chinese nationals"?
23	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, that's correct.
24	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Right. And the term
25	by the term "Chinese national", your evidence is that that
26	term refers to persons whose main political concern or
27	allegiance is China.
28	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.

1	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: As opposed to Chinese
2	people of an ethnicity or Chinese community.
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: That is correct.
4	So for example, I'm a Chinese person, Chinese
5	Canadian individual born in Hong Kong, so some people would
6	say I'm a Hong Konger and a Chinese person. Others, for
7	example, might be born in Malaysia and whose heritage is
8	Chinese as well. And so that's also another Chinese person.
9	But when we say "Chinese nationals", then
10	that is a very specific language which affiliates you to
11	China, and so in this context I believe the language refers
12	to someone whose allegiance is first and foremost to China.
13	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so Commission
14	counsel took you to the SITREP reports that are
15	contemporaneous with the 2021 elections. And it's true that
16	those SITREP reports flagged this event, and they flagged
17	and they linked this event to groups that were allied with
18	China. They even linked that event to the United Front.
19	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct.
20	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So certainly to the
21	federal government or entities within the federal government,
22	at the time the event took place, in the intelligence
23	community this event raised red flags.
24	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, that's correct.
25	In looking at that document, the SITE report
26	that came out, I believe the knowledge of that information
27	was in and around September the 7th, I believe. And as it
28	happens, that was also the date in which the NDP lawyer filed

1	a complaint to OCCE.
2	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: but of course, they
3	haven't been told about that the intelligence community
4	had flagged the very same event that you were raising a
5	complaint about.
6	HON. JENNY KWAN: No. In from the
7	hearing, they had advised the Commission that they were not
8	informed of this and, of course, neither was I as the
9	candidate.
10	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So could we call up
11	CAN.DOC 23? This is the summary of the CSIS briefing.
12	And I'd like you to scroll down. There's a
13	phrase that begins, "With a better understanding".
14	Yes. Could we just stop there?
15	So I'd like to read this phase to you, Ms.
16	Kwan. So this is again the public document that summarizes,
17	on a non-confidential basis, the CSIS briefing you received
18	in May 2023, and it says the following:
19	"With a better understanding of PRC
20	foreign interference methodology and
21	tradecraft, Ms. Kwan would be better
22	able to reduce the effectiveness of
23	such threats in the future, enabling
24	her to respond and resist foreign
25	interference efforts by reporting
26	them to CSIS and other authorities."
27	So reporting them to CSIS. So would you
28	would a person reading this reasonably conclude that you were

1	advised to report foreign interference potential foreign
2	interference to CSIS or other authorities?
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: That's correct. That's
4	what I understood from the briefing that I was to do, and so
5	that is what we did. We reported the matter to CSIS, and
6	then other authorities as well.
7	And so of course during the election campaign
8	it was to the OCCE, and then also not just during the
9	election campaign but afterwards as well, in August, when the
10	OCC reached out to me and talked to me about another
11	potential foreign interference matter, and I raised with them
12	at that time this incident and I was advised by them that
13	they were of less interest on this matter.
14	Mr. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Right.
15	HON. JENNY KWAN: The other authorities that
15 16	HON. JENNY KWAN: The other authorities that we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP.
16	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP.
16 17	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected
16 17 18	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was
16 17 18 19	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was in September 2022.
16 17 18 19 20	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was in September 2022. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So just to kind of
16 17 18 19 20 21	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was in September 2022. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So just to kind of collect all this, so you brought this event to the event
16 17 18 19 20 21	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was in September 2022. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So just to kind of collect all this, so you brought this event to the event [sic] of OCCE in September 2021 at the very same time that a
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was in September 2022. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So just to kind of collect all this, so you brought this event to the event [sic] of OCCE in September 2021 at the very same time that a SITREP report had flagged this event as being a possible
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was in September 2022. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So just to kind of collect all this, so you brought this event to the event [sic] of OCCE in September 2021 at the very same time that a SITREP report had flagged this event as being a possible incident of foreign interference. You then raised it again
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	we also brought this issue to the attention was the RCMP. And so we had an opportunity where the RCMP had connected with us, and we brought this to their attention and that was in September 2022. MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: So just to kind of collect all this, so you brought this event to the event [sic] of OCCE in September 2021 at the very same time that a SITREP report had flagged this event as being a possible incident of foreign interference. You then raised it again with CSIS in October 2021. You raised it with the RCMP in

1	HON. JENNY KWAN: Correct.
2	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And then what happened?
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: Nothing happened. I have
4	not received any information about the complaint from OCCE.
5	I learned later on that most recently a decision has been
6	made, and that was communicated to the party lawyer in March.
7	It seemed to me from all these entities to
8	which we brought this information to, there just simply
9	wasn't that much interest in regards to it and nor was there
10	any ability for these government entities to collaborate or
11	to work together to address the issue. They all seemed to me
12	working in isolation of one another.
13	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so just a couple
14	more points on this and I'm on the issue of the lunch and
15	your complaints about it.
16	So there's I just want to put in to
17	mark as an exhibit an affidavit from Gabriel Yiu, who was our
18	campaign manager. And that document is Witness 8_AF.
19	EXHIBIT No. WIT 8 AF:
20	Affidavit of Gabriel Yiu with
21	Interview Summary
22	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: That, just for the
23	record, Commissioner, just provides some evidence regarding
24	the costing of this event and raises the question it's the
25	factual basis for the question as to where the missing funds
26	might have come from. I won't take you to it.
27	And so I'd like to, though, take you to the
28	answer that was given by the OCC witnesses on Thursday

1	regarding their conclusion that the event could not have
2	possibly been an instance of foreign interference because, of
3	course, it was host according to them, it was requested by
4	the Liberal candidate.
5	But let's assume that to be true, right? Is
6	it still nonetheless possible that there might have been
7	foreign interference in relation to this campaign event, even
8	if it were requested by your political opponent?
9	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, yes, I think both
10	could be true. It could very well be that the candidate
11	requested a free lunch to be hosted on his behalf to support
12	him, absolutely. But it also could be true that foreign
13	interference actors might have observed this and seized on
14	that opportunity to and used that opportunity as a foreign
15	interference activity. And so both could be true. And
16	especially in light of the fact that the reported cost of the
17	event has not been verified with the actual cost. And based
18	on the commercial market rate that we were able to obtain
19	from the restaurant in and around that time, to host a
20	similar event, the reported amount at best is a third of the
21	actual market cost and quite possibly, you know, the rest of
22	the sorry; is two-thirds of the market cost, and quite
23	possibly a third of the cost for the event, or a half or even
24	more of the cost of the event was paid by someone else.
25	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And it's your job as a
26	as someone making complaints is to raise questions.
27	You're not a plaintiff in a lawsuit; it's not your job to
28	provide evidence. It's your job to tell an investigative

1	authority to do their job and to use their investigative
2	tools.
3	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, that's exactly it.
4	I'm not investigating this matter; I am providing as much
5	information as we can garner to them and for the people whose
6	task to investigate this.
7	So the OCCE in part is tasked to investigate
8	what violations have taken place, and on the question around
9	foreign interference, from my perspective, chase the money
10	and then maybe they would be able to find out whether or not
11	there are other actors involved and whether or not foreign
12	interference is part of that.
13	Of course, in context putting in context of
14	all of this to look at the person who posts the WeChat
15	message out, which was Fred Kwok, whose affiliation is the
16	Chair of the Chinese Benevolent Association, whose
17	affiliation is an honorary national leader of the Chinese
18	Freemasons and so on.
19	So put that in on one side. Then you also
20	have to think about all the other activities that are going
21	on as well. The Chinese Benevolent Association, along with
22	the Chinese Freemasons, have also undertaken quite actively
23	in various ad campaigns that they put out in support of the
24	message and narrative of the CCP. And so therefore, putting
25	all these together would be important to for it to be
26	considered in an investigation.
27	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so should the SITREP
28	report have been provided to OCCE when you lodged your

1	complaint with them about this event?
2	HON. JENNY KWAN: From the testimony, from
3	what we understood, from what I understand is that it had
4	not.
5	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: But should it have been,
6	in your view?
7	HON. JENNY KWAN: Yes, absolutely it should
8	have been.
9	You know, when you collect this information
10	and you have multiple departments collecting this
11	information, wouldn't you want to use that information in
12	real time, and so that you can ensure that the investigation
13	is actually done properly?
14	We had filed a complaint, but that
15	information was not shared with the OCCE, and to which, from
16	my perspective, is really problematic. What is the point of
17	gathering this information if you can't use it in real time?
18	Especially when there's an active complaint.
19	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: And so I guess I have
20	one last question which is about kind of looking forward.
21	And so the Commissioner is going to be making
22	recommendations regarding different institutional mechanisms
23	to detect, deter, and counter foreign interference, and
24	she'll be looking at different institutions as they currently
25	exist; she'll be thinking, perhaps, or proposing new
26	institutions be created. Once such institution is OCCE.
27	So based on your experience of how the OCCE
28	addressed alleged foreign interference in one event, do you

1	have any thoughts to share about the role of the OCC going
2	forward an whether they would be able to detect foreign
3	interference in relation to issues in their mandate?
4	HON. JENNY KWAN: Well, from my perspective,
5	when you look at the investigative process that I'm aware of
6	from the OCCE, it's clear to me that it was a failed process
7	and not only did they not get the necessary evidence to
8	verify the actual cost of the event, they did not use the
9	authority or the tool that they have to compel the
10	restaurant, who refused to provide a receipt, to get that
11	information. And so consequently, they don't have all the
12	evidence before them to determine whether or not foreign
13	interference had occurred, or some other act whoever that
14	other actor might have been, to pay for the difference
15	between the actual reported amount versus the reported
16	amount.
17	And so you know, I kind of look at it like
18	this. You have multiple government agencies who have a bit
19	of the ingredients, let's put an analogy that I can
20	understand, of baking a cake. Everybody has a little bit of
21	the ingredients here and there and multiple agencies, the
22	RCMP, CSIS, OCCE, this Taskforce, for example. And they all
23	have it. And then when you want to bake the cake, you want
24	to make sure all the ingredients are mixed well together in
25	the order in which it should be to produce the product.
26	Well that didn't happen here. Instead, what
27	happened is that you have a half-baked product, because
28	everybody owned their own ingredients and filled it in

1	whenever they felt like it. And that doesn't make any sense
2	at all. And so that's a failure of the system. And then
3	when you have all the tools to follow to try and get the
4	ingredients, or sorry, to get the product, you think that
5	you'd used all the tools, but it seemed to me that OCCE did
6	not use all the tools, because they did not compel the
7	restaurant to produce the receipt and to follow the cost to
8	verify the amount of that free lunch event.
9	So it's a failure of a system to me, and I'm
10	deeply disappointed about it.
11	The worst thing for me about all of this is,
12	aside from my complaint itself, set that aside for a minute,
13	is what message is being sent to public? We look at
14	government agencies and they are supposed to instil
15	confidence, and trust, and faith in the hearts and minds of
16	the public. We rely on them to do the very work to
17	investigate and to verify, and to ensure the law is being
18	followed. But I think that in this instance, the
19	investigation failed in that.
20	And in relation to foreign interference, the
21	message here is that for the if in fact there was foreign
22	interference that took place in this free lunch event, the
23	message sent to them is, "You can get away with it." That is
24	the message, and that is not helpful.
25	And so that's what I'm worried about. That's
26	why it's so important to do this work. And so I do hope that
27	the Commission you know, I advocated for this Commission,
28	I'm so happy that it is here, and I do look forward to the

1	recommendations from the Commission, and I do hope that the
2	Commission will look at all these elements and make
3	recommendations so that we can effectively combat foreign
4	interference, and yes, in real time as well.
5	MR. SUJIT CHOUDHRY: Thank you, Ms. Kwan.
6	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
7	Re-examination, Ms. Rodriguez?
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: No. None for this
9	witness. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you very much.
11	You're free to go, or you may stay if you prefer.
12	We have another witness. I see that actually
13	he is already in the room, so instead of taking a break, I
14	suggest that we continue right away.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: We are running a bit
17	late.
18	THE REGISTRAR: Mr. Chong, do you wish to be
19	affirmed or sworn?
20	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I'll swear in, please.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Okay. Thank you. Can you
22	please state your name and spell your last name for the
	prease state your name and sperr your rase name for the
23	record, please?
23 24	
	record, please?
24	record, please? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: My name is Michael
24 25	record, please? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: My name is Michael Chong. C-H-O-N-G.

1	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ:
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
3	Good afternoon, Mr. Chong. As you know, my
4	name is Natalia Rodriguez. I'm Commission counsel.
5	On February 15, 2024, you had an interview
6	with Commission counsel. Is that right?
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And an interview
9	summary was generated from that interview; correct?
10	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so I will now
12	turn up WIT 18.EN.
13	EXHIBIT No. WIT 18.EN:
14	Stage 1 Interview Summary: Michael
15	Chong
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is the
17	summary that was generated from your interview? Is that
18	correct?
19	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That is correct, but I
20	have one clarification in paragraph 20. It's a minor one,
21	but I think it's an important one.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sure. Let's go there
23	now.
24	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: In paragraph 20, in
25	the second bracketed portion of the paragraph, I just want to
26	clarify that the Conservative Party requires a payment of a
27	minimal membership fee, but the Liberal Party of Canada does
28	not.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. So
2	what I understand you to be saying is that while it says
3	there that you observed that both the CPC and the Liberal
4	Party have minimal criteria and you ascribe the payment of
5	the minimal membership fee to both, it is actually only true
6	for the Conservative Party and not for the Liberal Party of
7	Canada? Am I understanding that correctly?
8	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That is correct.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. Do
10	you have any other modifications, additions, or deletions to
11	make to this summary?
12	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do not.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you otherwise,
14	given the caveats that we just discussed, adopt the contents
15	of this witness summary as you just modified as part of your
16	evidence before this Commission?
17	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do.
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. So we'll
19	have that entered in as the next exhibit.
20	And for the record, the French translation of
21	that summary can be found at WIT 18.FR. And that will also
22	go in as an exhibit.
23	EXHIBIT No. WIT 18.FR:
24	Résumé d'entrevue de l'étape 1 :
25	Michael Chong
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Do you have a copy of
27	your interview summary with you?
28	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do not.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I wasn't sure.
2	Do you wish to have one with you?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That would be helpful.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so you can
5	refer to it if you wish, just to recall parts of your
6	evidence.
7	And just a little bit in terms of your
8	background, I understand you are a Conservative Member of
9	Parliament for Wellington-Halton. Is that right?
10	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Wellington-Halton
11	Hills. That's correct.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you were first
13	elected as an MP in 2004? Is that right?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you entered the
16	Federal Cabinet of Prime Minister Harper in 2006? Is that
17	right?
18	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's right.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And currently, you're
20	the Shadow Minister of Foreign Affairs for the Official
21	Opposition? Is that right?
22	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's right.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And you received a
24	briefing from CSIS concerning foreign interference in May of
25	2023? Is that right?
26	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That is correct.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so the
28	subject matter of that briefing will be dealt with in Stage 2

1	of the Commission's process, so I won't be asking you any
2	questions about that, but I just wanted to clarify that you
3	did in fact receive a briefing in May of 2023?
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Correct.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so would
6	you agree that you follow events in Hong Kong and Mainland
7	China fairly closely? Or policy issues that relate to Hong
8	Kong and Mainland China?
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, I would agree
10	with that.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And why is that?
12	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well it's for the
13	reason that I'm the spokesperson for the Conservative Party
14	on foreign policy. And so for that reason, I follow foreign
15	affairs quite closely. And as is known, developments in the
16	People's Republic of China, including in the Special
17	Administrative Region of Hong Kong, in a particular note,
18	inform policy, not just here in Canada, but in other western
19	democracies.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And do you have a
21	personal family connection to Hong Kong as well?
22	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do. My father
23	immigrated from Hong Kong to Canada in 1952 as a Chinese
24	immigrant. He came here alone. And so as a result, the rest
25	of his family, my extended family, remained behind in Hong
26	Kong. And so I have a lot of family over there presently in
27	Hong Kong.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And is the family

1	connections part of the reason why you have been and continue
2	to be vocal on issues related to the PRC?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No, actually. My
4	primary reason for speaking up is that I speak for the
5	Conservative Party of Canada with respect to foreign policy.
6	That's the reason why I have been speaking on matters
7	concerning foreign policy, particularly on matters related to
8	the People's Republic of China and Hong Kong.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So you speak
10	to matters of foreign that have a foreign element, so to
11	speak, foreign affairs element, and that includes issues
12	related to the PRC, but it also includes issues relating to
13	other countries? Is that what you're saying?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, that's right.
15	And look, I obviously follow things closely in Hong Kong out
16	of personal interest as well, but when I speak, I'm speaking
17	on behalf of the Party and because I've been given this role
18	by the Party Leader, you know, I have an obligation to speak
19	up on the Party's position on foreign policy including with
20	respect to the PRC.
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what are some of
22	the issues that you have spoken up about in relation to the
23	PRC in your role as a shadow minister?
24	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Both domestic and
25	foreign. You know, obviously spoke up frequently about
26	foreign interference threat activities taking place here on
27	Canadian soil that are directed toward Canadian citizens.
28	Spoke up about espionage activities that have been directed

1	toward Canadian universities, private sector companies. Both
2	the espionage and the foreign interference activities are
3	activities that have been at the direction of the People's
4	Republic of China.
5	I've spoken up on international issues as it
6	relates to China's violations of international law as it
7	relates to the Convention of the Law of the Sea in the South
8	China Sea, and its increasing illegal actions as it relates
9	to the Philippines to Malaysia to Vietnam and to other
10	vessels in that area. I've spoken up on its violation of the
11	1997 Joint Declaration, the Sino-British Joint Declaration,
12	which is an international treaty which guarantees Hong Kong's
13	liberties and civil rights for 50 years from 1997.
14	Spoken up on issues concerning its violations
15	of international law as it relates to the Genocide Convention
16	of 1948, as it relates to the Uyghurs in Xinjiang Province,
17	its persecution of other minority groups in the PRC,
18	Christians, Tibetans, and other minorities.
19	So it's it is a broad file, as the
20	relationship between our two countries, it's broad, wide, and
21	deep.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And with respect to
23	the 2019 election, I understand that your primary role in
24	2019 was as a candidate. Is that right?
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Did you have any role
27	in the national campaign informally?
28	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did not.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Or informally either?
2	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And speaking about
4	the 2019 election, I understand that about two months ahead
5	of that election you received an email that you reported as
6	suspicious. Is that right?
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. I
8	received a what was obviously a spoof email, an email
9	purportedly from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the
10	People's Republic of China, that contained a veiled threat
11	that suggested that I was banned, not only from entering the
12	PRC, but that other Asian countries had been notified of such
13	ban, implying that I could be extradited from those other
14	Asian countries to the PRC. I took the step at the time of
15	notifying the Minister of Foreign Affairs, in particular, her
16	staff person, her exempt staff person, her political staff
17	person, and forwarded that communication to that staff
18	person.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So I'll take you to
20	the email.
21	It's at MMC 4. You can scroll down a little
22	bit. Okay, thank you.
23	EXHIBIT No. MMC 4:
24	Threatening correspondence directed
25	at MP Michael Chong
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So is this the email
27	that you received?
28	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: It is.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And it's dated
2	August 23rd, 2019?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And it purports to be
5	from someone named Zhong Shan. Do you know this individual?
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do not.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And we can see
8	it's coming from an a Gmail account.
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Who did you
11	understand the email to be from?
12	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Frojm an individual
13	who sent it from a Gmail account, but who put the prefix on
14	the account to suggest that it was from the Ministry of
15	Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And so the
17	language that you were paraphrasing is there. We can read it
18	into the record:
19	"This is to inform you that you've
20	been barred from entering Chinese
21	territory. Including Hong Kong. A
22	note will be sent to other Asian
23	countries and cities. Do not attempt
24	to enter Beijing, Shanghai, or Hong
25	Kong as you will be ejected from
26	entry. Thank you."
27	So what did you understand that to be
28	communicating?

1	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: My understanding was
2	that an individual had decided to email me a veiled threat.
3	What I wasn't certain about was whether or not this was a
4	proxy agent acting on behalf of the Government of the PRC or
5	whether this was simply somebody freelancing.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what did you do
7	when you received this? What were what steps did you
8	take, if any?
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: So I contacted the
10	Minister of Foreign Affairs' exempt staffer, whose name
11	escapes me, and I told that person that I had received this
12	email. I forwarded the email on to that individual, and that
13	was the last I heard of it.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So we'll go to that
15	email.
16	It's at MMC 5.
17	EXHIBIT No. MMC 5:
18	Email Fwd: Barred from entering
19	Chinese territory
20	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Prior to that, I have
21	just one question on the email received on August 23rd. Can
22	we go back?
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Can we go back to
24	there we go.
25	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: There is a reference to
26	the https://petitions.ourcommons.ca.
27	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Oh, yes.
28	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Can you tell me what

1	it's all about?
2	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah. So
3	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: If you know.
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: if
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: If you know.
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I don't know the
7	specifics of this petition, I can't recall, but it would be
8	available to the Commission on the ourcommons.ca website.
9	ourcommons.ca website is a URL of the Parliament of Canada.
10	It's several years ago, approximately 10 years ago,
11	Parliament allowed for established e-petitions, electronic
12	petitions, which is a way that individuals can start an
13	online electronic petition. I can't recall the exact nature
14	of this petition, but it probably had something to do with
1 -	_
15	
16	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. It's a reference
	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. It's a reference to
16	
16 17	to
16 17 18	to HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the People's
16 17 18 19	to HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the People's Republic of China. Yeah.
16 17 18 19 20	to HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the People's Republic of China. Yeah. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you.
16 17 18 19 20 21	to HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the People's Republic of China. Yeah. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So if you can go
16 17 18 19 20 21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the People's Republic of China. Yeah. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So if you can go MMC 5. If we go to the last page.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the People's Republic of China. Yeah. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So if you can go MMC 5. If we go to the last page. Okay, so that's the email that you received.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the People's Republic of China. Yeah. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So if you can go MMC 5. If we go to the last page. Okay, so that's the email that you received. And then it looks like you forward it on to someone, and you
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the People's Republic of China. Yeah. COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Okay. Thank you. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So if you can go MMC 5. If we go to the last page. Okay, so that's the email that you received. And then it looks like you forward it on to someone, and you say, "As discussed. Regards, Michael." That's you.

1	in touch."
2	And that's person's
3	If we just go down a bit. Sorry.
4	That person's title is Advisor, Planning and
5	Regional Affairs (Ontario), Office of the Minister of Foreign
6	Affairs.
7	So this is the person that you sent this
8	correspondence to; right?
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And the person says:
11	"Got it! Thanks, Michael. We'll be
12	in touch."
13	Did you ever receive a response to this?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I no, I don't
15	believe I did.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And did you
17	report this to the leadership of the party, the fact that you
18	had received this email and you were forwarding it on?
19	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And are you aware of
21	any investigation that was done and any outcome as a result
22	based on the fact that this that you reported this up?
23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I am not aware of any
24	well, I okay. So I am not aware of any investigation
25	that was done as a result of me forwarding the email to the
26	Minister of Foreign Affairs. The RCMP, as you know,
27	subsequently did do an investigation of this particular
28	email, but that was sometime later.

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And that's
2	because you forwarded it on to the RCMP as well?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No, that's because the
4	RCMP contacted me in May or June of last year asking me to
5	sit down with them to outline any potential foreign
6	interference threat activities that may have been directed at
7	me
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right.
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: over the last
10	several years, one of which pieces of information I gave them
11	this.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. But at this
13	time, then, you reported this to the Minister of Foreign
14	Affairs and nothing came out of it from your perspective?
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No. That's correct, I
16	never heard anything else about it. And the reason why I
17	reported it to the Minister of Foreign Affairs because I
17 18	reported it to the Minister of Foreign Affairs because I thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want
18	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want
18 19	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want to let her Chinese counterpart know that somebody is spoofing
18 19 20	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want to let her Chinese counterpart know that somebody is spoofing their Ministry of Foreign Affairs Communications account
18 19 20 21	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want to let her Chinese counterpart know that somebody is spoofing their Ministry of Foreign Affairs Communications account purporting to act on their behalf.
18 19 20 21 22	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want to let her Chinese counterpart know that somebody is spoofing their Ministry of Foreign Affairs Communications account purporting to act on their behalf. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And so prior
18 19 20 21 22 23	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want to let her Chinese counterpart know that somebody is spoofing their Ministry of Foreign Affairs Communications account purporting to act on their behalf. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And so prior to this incident, did you have a sense of who you should be
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want to let her Chinese counterpart know that somebody is spoofing their Ministry of Foreign Affairs Communications account purporting to act on their behalf. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And so prior to this incident, did you have a sense of who you should be reporting such incidences to?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	thought it's the Minister of Foreign Affairs who would want to let her Chinese counterpart know that somebody is spoofing their Ministry of Foreign Affairs Communications account purporting to act on their behalf. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. And so prior to this incident, did you have a sense of who you should be reporting such incidences to? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.

1	counterpart?
2	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah. And I think
3	that's yes, that's correct. And my thinking was, you
4	know, she is a member of parliament, she is the Minister of
5	Foreign Affairs, that's the appropriate level for me to deal
6	with, rather than trying to figure out some person deep in
7	the bowels of the Canadian bureaucracy.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. So this is a
9	few weeks before the writ period that you received this
10	email. At that time, who did you understand or what did you
11	understand to be the process for candidates to report
12	suspicious activities to throughout the campaign?
13	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I wasn't aware of any
14	process.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Were you ever
16	briefed in 2019, either prior to during the election, as to
17	who you should be reporting instances of suspected or
18	possible foreign interference as a candidate, either during
19	the campaign or preceding the campaign?
20	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And were you ever
22	informed as to what type of activity should be reported as
23	suspicious or possible foreign interference?
24	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Sorry, was I?
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were you ever
26	informed as to what kind of activity constituted something
27	that you should report as suspected or possible foreign
28	interference?

1	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No one ever informed
2	me before or during the 2019 election.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And
4	during the 2019 election, were you ever made aware of any
5	concerns regarding irregularities due to foreign
6	interference?
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Before the 20
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: In the 2019
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: election, during
11	the election,
12	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: M'hm.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: either during or
14	after,
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: were you ever
17	told, either by fellow candidates, or by other MPs, or people
18	on the campaign, staffers, about incidences of suspected or
19	possible foreign interference or issues related to that in
20	2019? Was that something that you were ever made aware of?
21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And in 2019,
23	were you aware of the SITE Taskforce?
24	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, I was aware of
25	it.
26	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what was your
27	understanding of their role?
28	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: It had been stood up

1	to protect the integrity of the General Election. It was an
2	intra-government of intragovernmental taskforce within the
3	Government of Canada to ensure protection of the election
4	from foreign threats and foreign interference.
5	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you
6	understand that they were an entity that you were to report
7	instances of suspected foreign interference to?
8	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. So turning now
10	to the 2021 election, I understand that in September of 2021,
11	you participated in an all-candidates meeting in the Township
12	of Puslinch. Can you tell us a little bit about that and
13	what happened?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: So Puslinch Township
15	is a rural township in my riding of Wellington-Halton Hills.
16	It's a small rural township consisting of roughly I think six
17	or 7,000 people. That is the municipality of the corporation
18	of the Township of Puslinch, to give you an idea of its
19	scale. Most everyone knows each other.
20	This all-candidates debate has been run by
21	the local service club there for many decades. They do the
22	same thing during federal and provincial elections.
23	During this particular all candidates debate,
24	which took place entirely over Zoom as a result of the
25	restrictions of the pandemic, there was an individual who
26	appeared in the audience who asked two very loaded questions
27	that suddenly piqued my interest. This individual spoke in
28	Mandarin-accented English and asked me two questions that

1	were really in the form of two accusations. The first was
2	that I was responsible in criticizing the Government of the
3	People's Republic of China for the rise in anti-Chinese
4	racism and discrimination in Canada. In that same question,
5	the individual referenced that Han Dong had made similar
6	statements and that Han Dong had said that those who are
7	criticizing the Government of the People's Republic of China
8	are responsible for the rise in anti-Asian discrimination and
9	hate in Canada.
10	The second question focused on essentially
11	accusing me of aping or mimicking American foreign policy
12	and, you know, the made that assertion that in the pre-
13	amble to the question and then formed the question along the
14	lines of, you know, shouldn't Canada have an independent
15	foreign policy when it comes to the People's Republic of
16	China?
17	So that took place during the Puslinch all-
18	candidates debate. I was taken by surprise when it happened.
19	After the debate, we asked around if anybody
20	had ever seen or heard of this individual, and to this date,
21	no one has a clue who this individual or person is, which is
22	particularly surprising because Puslinch Township is a very
23	small, tight-knit rural community. And so to this day we
24	don't know who this individual is.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this may be
26	obvious, but is there a large Chinese community, Chinese
27	Canadian community, in Puslinch?
28	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No, there's not. You

1	know, I you know, the riding I represent is largely a
2	rural agricultural riding with smaller towns in it, and you
3	know, frankly, you know, my father and my family are one of
4	the few Chinese Canadians who live in the riding.
5	And you know, I remember when I went to, you
6	know, went to school in the riding, that I often was the only
7	minority in the school or in the classroom.
8	So there is not a significant Chinese
9	community anywhere in the riding, and including Puslinch
10	Township.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So fair to say that,
12	given what you've just told us, you deemed this incident as
13	suspicious?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did. I have not
15	concluded that it's foreign interference threat activities
16	directed at me during an election because I don't have any
17	evidence it was directed by the PRC Consulate in Toronto, but
18	it's consistent with those kinds of activities.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you report
20	this incident?
21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, several months
22	later, to CSIS.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: To CSIS. Okay. And
24	so I want to pull up MMC 8. And just go down a little bit.
25	EXHIBIT No. MMC 8:
26	Email: RCMP conclusion to FAI
27	investigation
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So this is dated

1	December 14, 2023 and it's from the RCMP to you. And it
2	says:
3	"This email is to provide an update
4	on the RCMP investigation into the
5	potential FAI emails received and an
6	encounter Mr. Chong experienced."
7	So when they say the encounter that you
8	experienced, is that relating to this all-candidates debate?
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
10	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
11	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: And the emails that I
12	had received.
13	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Is that in relation
14	to the spoof email that you received?
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That is correct.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And in the
17	second paragraph, it says that:
18	"Although the emails are
19	antagonistic, they do not satisfy the
20	elements of an uttering threats
21	offence."
22	So did you understand that this was kind of
23	the conclusion of that of the investigation into the spoof
24	email?
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, I concluded that
26	that was the end of the investigation.
27	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And then it says:
28	"The individual from the virtual all-

ENGLISH INTERPRETATION	224	CHONG
		In-Ch (Rodriguez)

1	candidates meeting cannot be
2	identified as the list of registered
3	participants was not retained by the
4	organizers."
5	And so you took this to be the end of the
6	investigation into that incident?
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And then it says:
9	"INSET-GTA investigators have
10	determined that the information
11	provided, although compelling, is not
12	actionable. This is to notify you of
13	the conclusion of this file."
14	So this was the response to the reported
15	incidences that you the complaints that you made with
16	respect to those incidences?
17	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well, to be clear, I
18	did not initiate this RCMP investigation.
19	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right.
20	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: This was initiated by
21	the RCMP as a result of reporting by the Globe and Mail and
22	other publications earlier last year. As a result of that
23	public reporting, the INSET-GTA group of the RCMP contacted
24	my office, asked to sit down with me, and to talk to me about
25	any potential foreign interference threat activities that I
26	may have experienced. And I provided them with the emails
27	that I had received several years ago, I provided them with
28	the details of the Puslinch all-candidates debate that took

1	place in the last election. And they took some time to
2	investigate, and they concluded their investigation with me
3	in this email.
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right. So when I
5	said that they that you reported to them, meaning they
6	asked you
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's right.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: to report
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I did not
10	initiate
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: to report to
12	them?
13	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I did not
14	initiate
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right.
16	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: the contact with
17	the RCMP.
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Right.
19	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: They contacted
20	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: But these are the
21	incidences that you felt were responsive to their request for
22	any incidences that you deemed suspicious?
23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. And
24	what I took of note there was that the two emails that I had
25	received from outside of Canada were likely authored by the
26	same person. So I thought that was new information to me
27	that I had not previously realized.
28	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. We can

take that document down. 1 2 Are you aware of any irregularities in the 2021 election as it pertains to foreign interference? Or any 3 possible irregularities? 4 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: 5 I am. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And can you 6 7 tell us about that? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well I'm aware of what 8 happened to former MP Kenny Chiu during that election. 9 He indicated to me in conversation that he 10 had been subject to large volumes of misinformation on online 11 platforms, particularly Chinese language online platforms. 12 13 Initially, he was uncertain as to the origin of that 14 misinformation. And so that is one incident that I was aware of. The second -- so that's one incident that I was aware 15 of. 16 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so was he 17 reporting this to you during the election campaign, the writ 18 19 period, or was this sometime afterwards that he told you about this? 20 21 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: My recollection is that it was -- if not during the writ period, it was 22 immediately thereafter, in the day or two after the election. 23 24 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And did you see any examples of this misinformation that he was bringing up with 25 you, or was he just telling you about it, or did you see 26 examples of this yourself? 27 28 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: He was telling me

1	about it. He was telling me about it. I did not I don't
2	I'm not on Chinese language social media platforms, so I
3	did not see that, but he was telling me about it. He was
4	telling me that the misinformation was telling voters that
5	all Chinese Canadians would have to register under a bill
6	that he had proposed in the previous parliament. He told me
7	that, you know, many people were afraid to talk to him during
8	the campaign. And after the election was finished, in the
9	days after the election, it was clear in reviewing some of
10	the preliminary data that had come in that there had been a
11	drop in votes, significant drop in votes from the previous 2
12	elections in the 2021 election in certain ridings with
13	significant Chinese communities.
14	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. And did
15	anybody else speak to you about concerns during the 2021
16	election, or about the 2021 election, any other candidates
17	other than Mr. Chiu?
18	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Just Mr. Chiu, during
19	and just after the election. Many other people spoke to me
20	in the weeks and months after that.
21	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Like, who?
22	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I held a number of
23	round tables with the Chinese community in the Greater
24	Toronto Area and in the Greater Vancouver in the lower
25	mainland of Vancouver to talk about policy generally, but
26	also, to do a review of what had happened in the previous
27	election. So it was a broad based set of round tables, with
28	the Chinese community in particular, to talk about what had

1	happened.
2	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so before we get
3	to that, which I have some questions on that, I just wanted
4	to confirm if any other candidates were raising concerns
5	about issues within their riding other than Mr. Chiu.
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, there were other
7	candidates who raised concerns.
8	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Like, who?
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I heard from I
10	heard from I'm drawing a blank now. I heard from a former
11	colleague from Markham.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Mr.?
13	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I am drawing a blank
14	now on the name. It's
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Could it be
16	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: it's
17	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Saroya?
18	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, Mr. Bob Saroya,
19	yes, sorry. Bob Saroya contacted me during that campaign and
20	before, during the campaign and afterwards as well to talk
21	about what happened to him and suggested that there was some
22	funny business going on in his riding. So that's another
23	person that I did talk to.
24	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Anybody else?
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I can't recall, but I
26	believe I spoke I can't recall exactly, but I believe I
27	also spoke with a number of other people, former colleagues
28	about this as well, but I just

1	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
2	MR MICHAEL CHONG: I can't recall.
3	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. No problem.
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: But I did definitely
5	speak to Bob Saroya.
6	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you.
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Sorry, I forgot the
8	name.
9	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: No, that's fine.
10	So you were telling us about a postmortem
11	that happened after the election in which you solicited the
12	views of Chinese Canadians about the Conservative Party and
13	its policies; is that right?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
15	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And who organized
15 16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And who organized these round tables?
16	these round tables?
16 17	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office.
16 17 18	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs
16 17 18 19	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs involved?
16 17 18 19 20	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs involved? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. I cohosted the
16 17 18 19 20 21	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs involved? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. I cohosted the round tables with I believe it was former MP Bob Saroya, with
16 17 18 19 20 21	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs involved? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. I cohosted the round tables with I believe it was former MP Bob Saroya, with former MP Kenny Chiu, former MP Alice Wong. I believe those
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs involved? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. I cohosted the round tables with I believe it was former MP Bob Saroya, with former MP Kenny Chiu, former MP Alice Wong. I believe those were the three people that I cohosted the round tables with.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs involved? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. I cohosted the round tables with I believe it was former MP Bob Saroya, with former MP Kenny Chiu, former MP Alice Wong. I believe those were the three people that I cohosted the round tables with. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And these took place
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	these round tables? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did, and my office. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Were there other MPs involved? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. I cohosted the round tables with I believe it was former MP Bob Saroya, with former MP Kenny Chiu, former MP Alice Wong. I believe those were the three people that I cohosted the round tables with. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And these took place in the Greater Toronto Area and the Greater Vancouver Area;

CHONG

of feedback did you hear from Chinese Canadians? 1 2 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I heard a wide range of feedback from these Chinese Canadians. First, I heard 3 that many of them were subject to vast volumes of 4 disinformation. They highlighted how Conservative policies 5 6 had been misrepresented in Chinese language media, on Chinese language media social platforms. They also talked about how 7 regulated broadcasters in Canada, regulated under the CRTC, 8 in particular, radio broadcasters were getting editorial 9 direction from owners not to speak to or even utter Kenny 10 Chiu's name on air. I heard that one radio station in 11 particular, I believe it was AM 1320 I believe is the call 12 13 sign, in Vancouver, instructed 2 of their on-air broadcasters 14 not to either interview or say Kenny Chiu's name on air. One of them interviewed Kenny Chiu and was terminated, I was 15 told, from his show, and the other person mentioned Kenny 16 Chiu's name on air and had his hours significantly reduced. 17 We also heard during the round tables about how the PRC often 18 19 has a stronger presence in many Chinese communities in Canada than does the Government of Canada, and that this is in part 20 21 why the community is often -- parts of the community are so 22 fearful because they don't see a presence from the Government 23 of Canada, but they do see a large presence from PRC 24 officials, including diplomatic and consular officials from the missions of the PRC here in Canada. 25 We also heard -- that's what I can recall 26 right now. 27 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sure, and I'll take

1	you to
2	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I don't have the
3	letter in front of me, but it's somewhere
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Well, I'll take you
5	to the letter.
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Okay.
7	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: I probably should
8	have done that first. It's MMC 9.
9	EXHIBIT No. MMC 9:
10	Letter from Michael Chong to Erin
11	O'Toole - December 21, 2021
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And this is a letter
13	that you wrote addressed to Mr. O'Toole, who was the party
14	leader at that time, and it's dated December $21^{\rm st}$, 2021.
15	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: M'hm.
16	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And my understanding
17	is that this letter summarizes some of the feedback you heard
18	from these round tables, and laid out some of the what you
19	were hearing from Chinese Canadians in these round tables; is
20	that right?
21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
22	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. And if we go
23	down a little bit, I would just note that there are some
24	redactions there. Can you explain the basis for those
25	redactions?
26	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, some of that is
27	information related to staffing in the office of the leader
28	of the opposition, which for those reasons I asked that my

staff redact that portion of the document. 1 2 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: So fair to say it's not relevant to the terms of reference of this Commission; is 3 that correct? 4 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. 5 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. 6 And does it fairly reflect some of the issues or feedback 7 that you were hearing from Chinese Canadians in these round 8 tables? 9 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, it does. 10 MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. 11 12 can take that down. 13 Is it your understanding that the 14 Conservative Party lost seats in the 2021 election due to foreign interference? 15 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I would say that the 16 Conservative Party was affected in about half a dozen ridings 17 by the foreign interference threat activities directed by the 18 19 Government of the People's Republic of China. MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And what do you base 20 21 that understanding on? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I base that on a 22 23 number of analyses that have been done subsequent to the 2021 24 election, 3 analyses in particular. An -- the analysis done by the Rapid Response Mechanism of Global Affairs Canada 25 during the writ period that indicated that the Chinese 26 Communist Party was likely behind the disinformation 27 28 operations conducted against MP Kenny Chiu during that

1	campaign. I based it on the digital lab forensic analysis
2	done by the Atlantic Council in November of 2021 that went
3	through the various social media posts that had been posted
4	during the election, and came to a similar conclusion. And
5	thirdly, I based it on the analysis of the research done by
6	Canada Research Chair at McGill University, Dr. Benjamin
7	Fung, and his associate.
8	I also based it on my own analysis of the
9	drop in turnout for Conservative candidates in these half a
10	dozen ridings during the 2021 period, which was a marked
11	change from previous elections.
12	I based it on the firsthand accounts of
13	former colleagues, like MP Kenny Chiu, MP Bob Saroya,
14	MP Alice Wong, and others, and I based it on some of the
15	accounts of what happened in the roundtables that I hosted
16	subsequent to the 2021 election. So that's what I based it
17	on.
18	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And would you have
19	done anything different as a candidate, either in 2019 or in
20	2021, had the information that is now come out, or that you
21	now know, had you known that then?
22	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Absolutely.
23	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: What would you have
24	done different?
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well, when I became
26	known when I became aware last May that the PRC was
27	directly targeting me, the first thing that crossed my mind
28	is had I known that in the previous election I would have hit

1	record on the Zoom all candidates debate and press lunch.
2	You know, I had been aware of foreign interference threat
3	activities for years now. CSIS provided me with a briefing
4	on foreign interference threat activities in the summer of
5	2021, but it was a briefing of general application. And a
6	lot of what I had been briefed on was I had already known
7	and was widely known in the public realm.
8	I never knew at that juncture, nor did I
9	during the last election, that I was actually being
10	clandestinely, coercively, and corruptly targeted by the
11	Government of the PRC here on Canadian soil. And had I known
12	that, I would have gone on high alert during that election,
13	and when that you know, things like that loaded question
14	was asked of me during the press lunch all candidates debate
15	I most certainly would have pressed record on that all
16	candidates debate.
17	I slowly came to the realisation many months
18	afterwards that it may have that that event may have been
19	a foreign interference threat activity, but you know, it
20	wasn't really until I became aware last year that I was
21	directly being targeted that, you know, I realised that, you
22	know, I should have hit record.
23	And so yes, I would have done things
24	differently had I known that.
25	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And so I understand
26	that there is probably other things you would like to tell us
27	that relate to Stage 2 of our mandate, but my question is, is
28	there anything else that we haven't covered related to

1	Stage 1, which specifically involves the 2019 and the 2021
2	federal elections, that you have not had a chance to tell us?
3	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I think I'll
4	finish by saying this. I think the government's the
5	Government of Canada's lack of transmission of information to
6	individuals who are being directly targeted by foreign
7	interference threat activities is actually leading to an
8	increase in racial profiling in Canada.
9	You know, when I became aware of the
10	allegations just over a year ago, in the fall of 2022, that
11	the PRC had been reports that the PRC had been assisting
12	candidates and candidates for nomination and candidates
13	for election with funds for their and with other
14	assistance for their election, I asked my campaign manager to
15	pull the lists of all the people with Chinese last names who
16	had donated to my 2021 campaign and my 2019 campaign, to
17	ensure that just to check whether or not, you know, they
18	may be people under suspicion. We concluded that they
19	weren't because we knew each and every one of them, but the
20	fact that I did that is an example of racial profiling or
21	ethnic profiling that is a result of the Government of
22	Canada's lack of transparency about the intelligence they
23	have concerning agents and proxy agents here in Canada that
24	may be targeting our democratic institutions.
25	And this is what elected officials, like
26	myself, and candidates in an election have had to resort to
27	because we are not given the sort of intelligence.
28	And so you know, the infuriating thing about

1	what has happened over the last couple of years is the
2	intimation that people raising legitimate concerns about
3	threats to the security of Canada, threats to the security of
4	Canadian citizens, threats to the rules based international
5	order are responsible for fomenting anti Asian racism and
6	discrimination, when in fact, you know, it's the Government
7	of Canada and its lack of transparency that is actually
8	creating a lot of distrust and a lot of innuendo in the
9	Chinese community because they haven't been forthright about
10	the intelligence that they have.
11	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chong.
12	Those are my questions.
13	Thank you, Commissioner.
14	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
15	So there is going to be some cross-
16	examination. The first one on the list was the Conservative
17	Party.
18	MS. ERIN DANN: Commissioner? Sorry, I
19	Mr. De Luca unfortunately had to leave, but he let me know
20	that the Conservative Party does not have any questions.
21	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Perfect. Thank you.
22	Then, counsel for the Human Rights Coalition.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HANNAH TAYLOR:
24	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Hello, Mr. Chong. Based
25	on your understanding of how the Chinese Government engages
26	in foreign interference and transnational oppression against
27	those who oppose their policies abroad, do you think you were
28	targeted because of your public advocacy regarding the

1	Chinese Government and its policies, including as they relate
2	to Hong Kong and targeted groups, like Uyghurs and Tibetans,
3	for example?
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Absolutely. You know,
5	the bizarre situation that I found myself in was that I had
6	introduced two motions in the House of Commons, one in the
7	fall of 2020, and the second in early 2021. The first
8	related to the threat that Huawei presented to Canada's
9	national telecommunications network, and called on the
10	government to ban Huawei from our national telecommunications
11	network and to bring forward a plan to combat foreign
12	interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That
13	first motion was adopted by Parliament in late 2020.
14	The second motion concerned the evidence that
15	had accumulated by that point in early 2021, that the
16	Government of the PRC was committing a genocide against the
17	Uyghur Muslim minority population in Xinjiang Province. That
18	motion too was adopted by Parliament.
19	What was so you know, what resulted from
20	that second motion being adopted was the Government of
21	Canada, even though it had abstained from voting on the
22	motion, took action based on the motion in concert with
23	western democratic governments, in countries like the United
24	Kingdom, to impose sanctions on officials and entities in
25	Xinjiang for their gross human rights abuses against the
26	Uyghur Muslim minority.
27	I subsequently was sanctioned for the
28	Government of Canada's sanctions on individuals and an entity

1	in Xinjiang. I'm not the Government of Canada. I am part of
2	the official opposition, and so that's how I ended up being
3	sanctioned by the PRC for the government's actions in respect
4	of human rights abuses in Xinjiang.

MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And based on your experience as a target, what information do you think targets or potential targets of foreign interference or transnational oppression, as it relates to elections, need from intelligence agencies in order to take appropriate precautions?

HON. MICHAEL CHONG: They need information. And this is advice that CSIS has provided to the ministry. In what little information we have received from heavily redacted documents presented to the Procedure and House Affairs Committee of the House of Commons, it is clear that the Director of CSIS has advised the government that sunlight and transparency is one tool in a suite of tools that are necessary to combat foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil.

CSIS has advised the government that by going public about details concerning foreign interference threat activities on part of authoritarian states that they are able to inform the public, build resilience in the public, so the public is armed with this information and protect themselves from these foreign interference threat activities, because CSIS has advised many foreign interference threat activities do not rise to the level of a criminal prosecution. And it is often difficult to translate intelligence into evidence.

1	And so using the Criminal Code, using criminal law to
2	prosecute these threat activities isn't always the most
3	effective way to combat them. So one way to combat them that
4	in the advice that has been given by experts to the
5	government is to use sunlight and transparency to arm the
6	public with information so that they can protect themselves.
7	And we're seeing that increasingly in the Five Eyes Alliance,
8	but we're we've not seen it as much here in Canada.
9	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: It's my understanding
10	that you would have taken preventative actions if you had
11	been warned that you faced specific threats. In addition to
12	recording the all-candidates meeting on Zoom, what other
13	precautions would you have taken?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Well, I'm I am an
15	MP who represents a riding that is partly in the Greater
16	Toronto Area. As a result, you know, I'm often in downtown
17	Toronto. You know, I'm often at the University of Toronto,
18	talking to experts in a range of policy areas at the Munk
19	International the Munk School of International Affairs,
20	which happens to be located at St. George and Bloor Street.
21	And just up the street, a mere matter of blocks, is the PRC
22	Consulate, where this particular diplomat, Mr. Wade Joe
23	worked. So it would have been nice to know that this
24	individual was targeting me, so that I could have at least
25	been aware of my surroundings and to ensure that nothing
26	nefarious was going on. So those are the kinds of things
27	that I think elected officials and Canadians more broadly
28	need to be made aware of. If we don't if we're not aware

1	of these things, we go about our business, you know, not
2	thinking about these things.
3	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: And considering your
4	experience
5	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: It's going to be your
6	last question because your time is already exhausted.
7	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you. Considering
8	your experience, you would agree that in addition to
9	parliamentarians and their families it's important that
10	members of targeted diaspora communities in Canada are
11	provided warnings in a timely manner when they face specific
12	threats of foreign interference and transnational oppression?
13	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Absolutely. And that
14	is currently the case in other western democracies. Back
15	when my case when the case of Mr. Wei Zhao, the diplomat -
16	- the consular official who was declared persona non grata
17	last year after a Global Mail report was made public, I had
18	many I had a number of foreign missions here in Ottawa
19	express surprise to me privately that I had not been
20	previously informed of this individual targeting me, that the
21	protocol in place in these other western democracies is that
22	elected officials, even elected officials in opposition,
23	elected officials who are not in government are regularly
24	informed of threat activities directed toward them and their
25	families by intelligence agencies.
26	MS. HANNAH TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Chong.
27	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
28	The AG?

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARNEY BRUCKER:
2	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Good afternoon, Mr.
3	Chong. Just a couple of questions. The round tables that
4	you organized, how many of those did you approximately how
5	many of those did you hold?
6	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Approximately three.
7	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And how many people
8	attended?
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: It was in the dozens.
10	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: And were these all
11	Chinese Canadians or a mix of people?
12	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: They were all Chinese
13	Canadians.
14	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Right. You mentioned
15	that you had been aware of foreign interference for some
16	time, and I think you talked about some meetings with CSIS.
17	Now we apparently are going to hear in the next stage of the
18	inquiry about or more about a briefing that you had, a
19	classified briefing in or about June of 2023, May, June of
20	2023.
21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I did not have a
22	classified briefing.
23	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: You've never had a
24	classified briefing?
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No, in May of 2023
26	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Yeah.
27	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Director David
28	Vigneault declassified under threat reduction measures a

1	paragraph of the intelligence assessment of July of 2020 on.
2	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: You had let me put it
3	another way. You had a briefing in which you had received
4	some information that was formerly classified information?
5	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct. One
6	paragraph that
7	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Whereas, in the previous
8	briefings, that did not occur?
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
10	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Right. And my
11	understanding is you had maybe four or five of those
12	briefings, those other briefings prior to the May or June of
13	2023 briefings; is that fair?
14	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: No.
15	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: No
15 16	<pre>MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: No HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing</pre>
16	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing
16 17	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about
16 17 18	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil.
16 17 18 19	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That briefing took place in Toronto. That briefing was of
16 17 18 19 20	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That briefing took place in Toronto. That briefing was of general application. My understanding I was the first Member
16 17 18 19 20 21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That briefing took place in Toronto. That briefing was of general application. My understanding I was the first Member of Parliament to be briefed in this way. And that briefing
16 17 18 19 20 21	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That briefing took place in Toronto. That briefing was of general application. My understanding I was the first Member of Parliament to be briefed in this way. And that briefing did not contain any information about any threats directed
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That briefing took place in Toronto. That briefing was of general application. My understanding I was the first Member of Parliament to be briefed in this way. And that briefing did not contain any information about any threats directed toward me.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That briefing took place in Toronto. That briefing was of general application. My understanding I was the first Member of Parliament to be briefed in this way. And that briefing did not contain any information about any threats directed toward me.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I had one briefing from CSIS of general application in the summer of 2021 about foreign interference threat activities here on Canadian soil. That briefing took place in Toronto. That briefing was of general application. My understanding I was the first Member of Parliament to be briefed in this way. And that briefing did not contain any information about any threats directed toward me. MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I just want to be clear

1	one briefing prior to the briefing in May or
2	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
3	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: June of 2023?
4	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes.
5	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: All right. Thank you.
6	And you used the word "targeted". What do you mean by that?
7	You said you were targeted. You became aware that you were
8	targeted. What do you
9	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah.
10	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: mean by "targeted"?
11	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: So what I mean by that
12	is a consular official by the name of Mr. Wei Zhao, who was
13	accredited by the Government of Canada and accorded rights
14	that ordinary Canadian citizens do not have, diplomatic
15	rights under the Vienna Convention, that it shield them from
16	certain types of prosecutions that Canadians are not afforded
17	to, was gathering information about me and my family to pass
18	along to the Ministry of State Security, which is the
19	Ministry in Beijing responsible for Beijing's secret police,
20	an order to potentially further target me and my extended
21	family. That's what I mean by targeting.
22	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I'm just wondering if I
23	might do you understand that you're coming back for the
24	next phase of this inquiry? Because
25	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: I do not know I've
26	been called as a witness for the next part of the inquiry,
27	but I understand I'm getting standing for the next part of
28	the inquiry.

1	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: There's some nodding of
2	heads over here, so I think maybe I'll take that as a sign
3	that we may have another opportunity to explore this
4	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: Sorry, excuse me,
5	Commissioner. The nodding of heads is to agree with Mr.
6	Chong's assessment that we have not determined witnesses for
7	stage two, but he does indeed have standing for the entire
8	phase one, phase two, stage one, stage two of the Commissions
9	proceedings.
10	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: I'm not sure how helpful
11	that is, but thank you.
12	MS. NATALIA RODRIGUEZ: And, sorry, just to
13	clarify, the issues that are being brought up will be dealt
14	with in stage two of the Commission's fact-finding phase.
15	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Again, not sure how
15 16	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Again, not sure how helpful it is, but thank you for the information.
16	helpful it is, but thank you for the information.
16 17	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only
16 17 18	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is
16 17 18 19	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is potential foreign interference in your campaign in 2021 was
16 17 18 19 20	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is potential foreign interference in your campaign in 2021 was this incident on Zoom in the Puslinch Township meeting; is
16 17 18 19 20 21	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is potential foreign interference in your campaign in 2021 was this incident on Zoom in the Puslinch Township meeting; is that fair?
16 17 18 19 20 21	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is potential foreign interference in your campaign in 2021 was this incident on Zoom in the Puslinch Township meeting; is that fair? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I don't know
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is potential foreign interference in your campaign in 2021 was this incident on Zoom in the Puslinch Township meeting; is that fair? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I don't know that that was a foreign interference threat activity. I
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is potential foreign interference in your campaign in 2021 was this incident on Zoom in the Puslinch Township meeting; is that fair? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I don't know that that was a foreign interference threat activity. I don't have the evidence to make that conclusion. It's not
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	helpful it is, but thank you for the information. Sorry, just let me check my note. The only direct knowledge you have, at least of what you believe is potential foreign interference in your campaign in 2021 was this incident on Zoom in the Puslinch Township meeting; is that fair? HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, I don't know that that was a foreign interference threat activity. I don't have the evidence to make that conclusion. It's not inconsistent with a foreign interference threat activity of

1	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Okay. But that's the
2	only thing that I heard with respect to your own campaign.
3	You have talked to others, and you told us who. Is that
4	correct?
5	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: In the 2021
6	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Yes.
7	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: campaign, yes,
8	that's correct.
9	MR. BARNEY BRUCKER: Right. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
11	It's your colleague. So he's counsel to
12	Michael Chan, for those that do not know.
13	MR. ANDY CHAN: Thank you, Commissioner.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDY CHAN:
15	MR. ANDY CHAN: Mr. Chong, just a couple of
16	questions. At paragraph 20 of your interview summary, we
17	don't need to go there, but you recall that you said that
18	nomination rules are vulnerable to foreign interference; is
19	that right?
20	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: That's correct.
21	MR. ANDY CHAN: And the Commission has heard
22	evidence that rules for nomination contests are similar to
23	those for leadership contests, because if you're a registered
24	member, you can vote in a leadership contest. And I wondered
25	if you the concerns that you have about nomination
26	contests would also apply to leadership contests?
27	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes. The concerns I
28	have about nomination contests apply to leadership contests.

In fact, my concern about leadership contests are even
greater than my concern about nomination contests, and here's
why. The nomination contest is not the end of the matter.
When a person is elected or selected as the candidate for a
registered political party, there is then a subsequent very
important step, which is the election or byelection to elect

that individual to the House of Commons.

No such second step exists for the election of a party leader. And so with the vulnerabilities that have been exposed in testimony today and yesterday are in place for the election of a leader of a party, particularly of a party that has the majority of seats or commands the majority of support in the House of Commons, we are effectively opening up the appointment of heads of government in this country, including prime ministers and premiers, to this foreign interference.

I think of my late colleague, the Honourable Jim Prentice. When Jim Prentice was appointed Premier of the Province of Alberta, he was a private citizen. He was no different than anyone walking down Wellington Street today. He was appointed by the Lieutenant Governor of the Province of Alberta to be full premier of that province. He did not have a seat in the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Alberta. He had simply been elected as leader of the Alberta PC Party.

And what has happened in recent decades, both at the provincial and the federal level, is that party caucuses have deferred the election, the selection, of party

leaders to the registered political parties. 1 And so we could have a situation here in 2 Canada where a Prime Minister resigns from office. 3 registered political party conducts an election for the 4 leader. That election, if subject to the same kind of rules 5 6 that some of the parties have outlined here today and yesterday at the Commission, would result in the appointment 7 of a Prime Minister who does not have a seat in the House of 8 Commons and who was elected through a process that was 9 significantly compromised by non-citizens and by foreign 10 state actors. 11 And so that's why I think -- that's why I'm 12 13 very concerned not just about some of the evidence that we've 14 heard here in front of the Commission with respect to party nominations, but also how it relates to the appointment of 15 heads of government, particularly the appointment of a Prime 16 Minister by the Governor General. 17 MR. ANDY CHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chong. 18 19 your testimony to Commission counsel, Ms. Rodriguez, you discussed disinformation campaigns in Kenny Chiu's campaign. 20 21 Do you recall that? 22 HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yes, I do. MR. ANDY CHAN: And at times in these 23 24 hearings today, there have been some suggestions that opinions, views, and articles in these campaigns or in these 25 disinformation campaigns may just form part of legitimate 26 debate in a free election. And I wanted to provide you an 27

opportunity to comment on that.

1	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Yeah, free debates,
2	misinformed debates, even misinformed opinions are the right
3	of any Canadian citizen during an election, and in between
4	elections. People are right to express their points of view,
5	however informed or misinformed they are. So there's nothing
6	wrong about doing that.
7	What is wrong is if a foreign state actor,
8	directly or indirectly, clandestinely, corruptly, or
9	coercively, is spreading disinformation and misinformation
10	during or even before elections in this country. That is not
11	acceptable. That you know, using clandestine, coercive,
12	and corrupt methods is foreign interference and any state
13	actor that participates in the dissemination of that kind of
14	information in those in that way is committing foreign
15	interference, a violation of foreign interference law in
16	Canada, and needs to be held accountable. And if that
17	violation of our laws here in Canada doesn't rise to the
18	level of criminal prosecution, then the Government of Canada
19	has a range of other tools in the tool kit to go after that
20	foreign interference.
21	MR. ANDY CHAN: Thank you, Mr. Chong. That's
22	very helpful.
23	Those are my questions, Commissioner.
24	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: Thank you.
25	Thank you very much. You're free to go.
26	HON. MICHAEL CHONG: Merci, Madam.
27	COMMISSIONER HOGUE: And to come back
28	whenever you want.

1	So thank you all. We'll be in the same room
2	tomorrow morning at 9:30.
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order, please. À l'ordre,
4	s'il vous plaît.
5	This sitting of the Foreign Interference
6	Commission has adjourned until tomorrow at 9:30.
7	Upon adjourning at 6:19 p.m./
8	
9	CERTIFICATION
10	
11	I, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, a certified court reporter,
12	hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an accurate
13	transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and
14	ability, and I so swear.
15	
16	Je, Sandrine Marineau-Lupien, une sténographe officielle,
17	certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une transcription
18	conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes
19	capacités, et je le jure.
20	
21	The way
22	Sandrine Marineau-Lupien
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	